

DIFFERENTIAL AND INTEGRAL OPERATORS PRESERVING FUNCTIONS WITH POSITIVE REAL PART AND HARDY CLASSES

GHEORGHE MICLĂUS

1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{H}(U)$ set of denote the functions analytic in the unit disk $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$. In [4] the authors develop differential and integral operators preserving functions with positive real part.

In [2] and [3] sharp results concerning the boundary behaviour of $I(f)$, $I_g(f)$ and $I_{\phi, \varphi}(f)$ when f belongs to the Hardy spaces H^p , $0 < p \leq \infty$, where $I(f)$, $I_g(f)$ and $I_{\phi, \varphi}(f)$ is the integral operator defined by:

$$I[f](z) = \left[\frac{\beta + \gamma}{z^\gamma} \int_0^z f^\beta(t) t^{\gamma-1} dt \right]^{\frac{1}{\beta}}, \quad z \in U, \text{ (Singh, 1973)} \quad (1)$$

$$I_g(f)(z) = \left[\frac{\beta + \gamma}{z^\gamma} \int_0^z \left[\frac{f(t)}{t} \right]^\alpha \left[\frac{g(t)}{t} \right]^\delta t^{\alpha+\delta-1} dt \right]^{\frac{1}{\beta}}, \quad z \in U \quad (2)$$

$$I_{\phi, \varphi}(f)(z) = \left[\frac{\beta + \gamma}{z^\gamma \phi(z)} \int_0^z f^\alpha(t) \varphi(t) t^{\delta-1} dt \right]^{\frac{1}{\beta}}, \quad z \in U \text{ (Miller, Mocanu, 1991)} \quad (3)$$

In this paper we obtain results for the Hardy classes of these integral operators when f satisfy some differential conditions.

2. Preliminaries

For $f \in \mathcal{H}(U)$ and $z = re^{i\theta}$ we denote

$$M(r, f) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^p d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \text{ for } 0 < p < \infty$$



and

$$M_{\infty}(r, f) = \sup_{0 \leq \theta < 2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})| \text{ for } p = \infty.$$

A function is said to be of Hardy class H^p , $0 < p \leq \infty$ if $M(r, f)$ remains bounded as $r \rightarrow 1^-$, H^{∞} is the class of bounded analytic functions in the unit disk.

We shall need the following lemmas:

Lemma 1. *Let $A \geq 0$ and $B, C, D : U \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ with*

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} B(z) &\geq A \\ [\operatorname{Im} C(z)]^2 &\leq [\operatorname{Re} B(z) - A] \operatorname{Re} [B(z) - A - 2D(z)]. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

If f is analytic in U with $f(0) = 1$ and

$$\operatorname{Re} [Az^2 f''(z) + B(z)zf'(z) + C(z)f(z) + D(z)] > 0 \quad (5)$$

then $\operatorname{Re} f(z) > 0$.

Lemma 2. *Let $\eta \neq 0$, $\eta \in \mathbf{C}$, $\operatorname{Re} \eta \geq 0$ and φ, ϕ analytic functions in U , $\varphi(z)\phi(z) \neq 0$, $\varphi(0) = \phi(0)$, and*

$$\left| \operatorname{Im} \frac{\eta\phi(z) + z\phi'(z)}{\eta\varphi(z)} \right| \leq \operatorname{Re} \frac{\phi(z)}{\eta\varphi(z)}. \quad (6)$$

Let f be analytic in U with $f(0) = 1$ and $\operatorname{Re} f(z) > 0$, $z \in U$.

If f is defined by:

$$F(z) = \frac{\eta}{z^{\eta}\phi(z)} \int_0^z f(t)t^{\eta-1}\varphi(t)dt \quad (7)$$

then F is analytic in U , $F(0) = 1$ and $\operatorname{Re} F(z) > 0$, $z \in U$.

Lemma 3. *Let β and γ be complex numbers with $\beta\gamma > 0$, $\operatorname{Re} \beta \geq 0$, $\operatorname{Re} \gamma \geq 0$, φ and ϕ be analytic in U with $\varphi(z)\phi(z) \neq 0$, $\varphi(0) = \phi(0)$ and w be analytic in U with $w(0) = 0$. Suppose that (4) holds with*

$$\begin{cases} A = \frac{1}{B\gamma}, & D(z) = -w(z) \\ B(z) = \frac{1}{\beta} \left[\beta + \gamma + 1 + z \frac{\varphi'(z)}{\varphi(z)} + \frac{z\phi'(z)}{\phi(z)} \right] \\ C(z) = \frac{1}{\beta\gamma} \left[\left(\beta + \frac{z\phi'(z)}{\phi(z)} \right) \left(\gamma + \frac{z\varphi'(z)}{\varphi(z)} + z \left(\frac{z\varphi'(z)}{\varphi(z)} \right)' \right) \right] \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

Let f be analytic in U with $f(0) = 1$ and $\operatorname{Re} f(z) > 0$, $z \in U$.

If f is defined by:

$$F(z) = \frac{\beta}{z^\gamma \varphi(z)} \int_0^z \frac{\varphi(t)}{\phi(t)} t^{\gamma-\beta-1} \int_0^t [f(s) + w(s)] \phi(s) s^{\beta-1} ds dt \quad (9)$$

then F is analytic in U , $F(0) = 1$ and $\operatorname{Re} F(z) > 0$, $z \in U$.

Lemma 1-3 was proved in [4].

Lemma 4. [1] If $f \in \mathcal{H}(U)$ and $\operatorname{Re} f(z) > 0$, $z \in U$ then $f \in H^p$, $p < 1$.

Lemma 5. If $f \in H^p$, $b > 0$ and I is the integral operator of Singh (3) then

(i) if $\beta > p$ then $I[f] \in H^{\frac{\beta p}{\beta-p}}$;

(ii) if $\beta \leq p$ then $I[f] \in H^\infty$.

Lemma 6. If $f \in H^p$, $g \in H^q$, $p, q, \alpha, \beta, \delta \in \mathbf{R}_+^*$ then

(i) if $\frac{pq}{\delta p + \alpha q} < 1$ then $I_g(f) \in H^{\frac{\beta pq}{\delta p + \alpha q - pq}}$;

(ii) if $\frac{pq}{\delta p + \alpha q} \geq 1$ then $I_g(f) \in H^\infty$.

Lemma 7. If $f \in H^p$, $\varphi \in H^q$, $\frac{1}{\phi} \in H^r$, $\alpha, \beta > 0$ then

(i) if $pq < p + \alpha q$ then $I_{\phi, \varphi}[f] \in H^{\frac{\beta pq r}{pq + pr + \alpha qr - pq r}}$;

(ii) if $pq > p + \alpha q$ then $I_{\phi, \varphi}[f] \in H^r$.

Lemma 5 was proved in [2] and Lemma 6 and Lemma 7 was proved in [3].

3. Main results

Theorem 1. Let be $A \geq 0$ and $B, C, D : U \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ satisfying condition (4). If f analytic in U , $f(0) = 1$ and

$$\operatorname{Re} [Az^2 f''(z) + B(z)zf'(z) + C(z)f(z) + D(z)] > 0, \quad A_i \in \mathbf{C}$$

then

$$F(z) = A_0 + A_1 f(z) + A_2 f^2(z) + \cdots + A_n f^n(z) \in H^{\frac{\lambda}{n}}, \quad \lambda < 1.$$

Proof. From Lemma 1 and Lemma 4 we have $f(z) \in H^\lambda$, $\lambda < 1$. Hence we deduce $f^n(z) \in H^{\frac{\lambda}{n}}$. By applying Minkowski's inequality we obtain the result. \square

Theorem 2. Let $A \geq 0$ and $B, C, D : U \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ satisfying conditions (4) and f analytic in U , $f(0) = 1$ and (5). If $\beta > 0$, $\gamma \in \mathbf{C}$ and I is integral operator of Singh (1) then

- (i) if $\beta > 1$ then $I[f] \in H^{\frac{\beta}{\beta-\lambda}}$, $\lambda < 1$;
- (ii) if $\beta \leq 1$ then $I[f] \in H^\infty$.

Proof. From Lemma 1 and Lemma 4 we obtain $f(z) \in H^\lambda$, $\lambda < 1$. From Lemma 5 we obtain the result. \square

Theorem 3. Let be $\delta \neq 0$, $\text{Re } \delta \geq 0$ and φ, ϕ analytic functions in U , with $\varphi(z)\phi(z) \neq 0$, $\varphi(0) = \phi(0)$ satisfying condition (6), f analytic in U with $f(0) = 1$ and $\text{Re } f(z) > 0$, $z \in U$ and F defined by (7). If $\beta > 0$, $\gamma \in \mathbf{C}$ and I is defined by (1) then:

- (i) if $\beta > 1$ then $I[F] \in H^{\frac{\beta}{\beta-\lambda}}$, $\lambda < 1$;
- (ii) if $\beta \leq 1$ then $I[F] \in H^\infty$.

Proof. From Lemma 2 and Lemma 4 we deduce $F \in H^\lambda$, $\lambda < 1$, and from Lemma 5 we obtain the result. \square

Theorem 4. Let be $\eta \neq 0$, $\eta \in \mathbf{C}$, $\text{Re } \eta \geq 0$ and φ, ϕ analytic functions in U , with $\varphi(z)\phi(z) \neq 0$, $\varphi(0) = \phi(0)$ and satisfying (6).

If f analytic in U , $f(0) = 1$, $\text{Re } f(z) > 0$, $z \in U$, F defined by (7) and I_γ defined by (2) then:

- (i) if $\frac{\lambda\mu}{\delta\lambda + \alpha\mu} < 1$ then $I_F[f] \in H^{\frac{\beta\lambda\mu}{\delta\lambda + \alpha\mu - \lambda\mu}}$, $0 < \lambda < 1$, $0 < \mu < 1$;
- (ii) if $\frac{\lambda\mu}{\delta\lambda + \alpha\mu} < 1$ then $I_F[f] \in H^\infty$, $0 < \lambda < 1$, $0 < \mu < 1$.

Proof. From Lemma 2 we obtain $\text{Re } F(z) > 0$ and from Lemma 4 we deduce $F(z) \in H^\mu$, $\mu < 1$. Since $\text{Re } f(z) > 0$ we have $f \in H^\lambda$, $\lambda < 1$. Applying again Lemma 6 we obtain the result. \square

Remark 1. An analog result we can obtain for F defined by (9).

Theorem 5. Let $\alpha = 1$, $\beta > 0$, $\gamma \in \mathbf{C}$, $\text{Re } \gamma \geq 0$, $\delta = \gamma$, ϕ and γ analytic functions in U , with $\varphi(z)\phi(z) \neq 0$, $\varphi(0) = \phi(0)$ satisfying (6) and f analytic in U , $f(0) = 1$, $\text{Re } f(z) \geq 0$, $z \in U$, then

$$I_{\phi, \varphi}[f] \in H^{p\beta}, \quad p < 1.$$

Proof. From Lemma 2, for $\eta \in \mathbf{C}^*$, $\operatorname{Re} \eta \geq 0$,

$$F(z) = \frac{\eta}{z^\eta \phi(z)} \int_0^z f(t) \varphi(t) t^{\eta-1} dt,$$

we have $\operatorname{Re} F(z) > 0$. Hence $F \in H^p$, $p < 1$.

For $\eta = \gamma$ we obtain

$$\frac{\gamma}{\beta + \gamma} \cdot \frac{\beta + \gamma}{z^\gamma \phi(z)} \int_0^z f(t) \varphi(t) t^{\gamma-1} dt \in H^p$$

and

$$\frac{\gamma}{\beta + \gamma} I_{\phi, \varphi} \in H^{p\beta}$$

and

$$I_{\phi, \varphi} \in H^{p\beta}.$$

□

Theorem 6. Let $\eta \neq 0$, $\eta \in \mathbf{C}$, $\operatorname{Re} \eta \geq 0$ and φ, ϕ analytic functions in U , with $\varphi(z)\phi(z) \neq 0$, $\varphi(0) = \phi(0)$ satisfying (6). Let be f analytic in U , $f(0) = 1$, $\operatorname{Re} f(z) > 0$, $z \in U$ and F defined by (7). If $i_{\phi, \varphi}$ is defined by (3), $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta > 0$ and $g \in H^p$, $0 < \lambda < 1$, $0 < \mu < 1$ then

(i) if $p\lambda < p + \alpha\lambda$ then $U_{F, f}(g) \in H^{\frac{p\lambda\mu}{p\lambda + p\mu + \alpha\lambda\mu - p\lambda\mu}}$;

(ii) if $p\lambda \geq p + \alpha\lambda$ then $I_{F, f}(g) \in H^\infty$.

Proof. From Lemma 4 we have $f \in H^\lambda$, $\lambda < 1$. From Lemma 2 we obtain $\operatorname{Re} F(z) > 0$ and $\operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{F(z)} > 0$. From Lemma 4 we have $\frac{1}{F(z)} \in H^\mu$, $\mu < 1$.

Applying again Lemma 7 replacing ϕ with F , φ with f and f with g we obtain the result. □

Remark 2. An analog result we can obtain for F defined by (9) or g is defined by (9).

References

- [1] Duren, P.L., *Theory of H^p Spaces*, Academic Press, New York and London, 1970.
- [2] Miclăuş, Gh., *Integral Operator of Singh and Hardy Classes*, Studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai, Mathematica, 42, 2(1997), 71-77.
- [3] Miclăuş, Gh., *Some Integral Operators and Hardy Classes*, Studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai, Mathematica, 41, 3(1996), 57-64.
- [4] Miller, S.S., Mocanu, P.T., *The theory and Applications of Second-Order Differential Subordinations*, Studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math., 34, 4(1989), 3-33.

MIHAI EMINESCU COLLEGE, 3900 SATU MARE, ROMANIA