

Self adjoint operator harmonic polynomials induced Chebyshev-Grüss inequalities

George A. Anastassiou

Abstract. We present here very general self adjoint operator harmonic Chebyshev-Grüss inequalities with applications.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 26D10, 26D20, 47A60, 47A67.

Keywords: Self adjoint operator, Hilbert space, Chebyshev-Grüss inequalities, harmonic polynomials.

1. Motivation

Here we mention the following inspiring and motivating result.

Theorem 1.1. (Čebyšev, 1882, [3]) *Let $f, g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ absolutely continuous functions. If $f', g' \in L_\infty([a, b])$, then*

$$\left| \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x)g(x) dx - \left(\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) dx \right) \left(\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b g(x) dx \right) \right| \quad (1.1) \\ \leq \frac{1}{12} (b-a)^2 \|f'\|_\infty \|g'\|_\infty.$$

Also we mention

Theorem 1.2. (Grüss, 1935, [9]) *Let f, g integrable functions from $[a, b]$ into \mathbb{R} , such that $m \leq f(x) \leq M$, $\rho \leq g(x) \leq \sigma$, for all $x \in [a, b]$, where $m, M, \rho, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}$. Then*

$$\left| \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x)g(x) dx - \left(\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) dx \right) \left(\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b g(x) dx \right) \right| \quad (1.2) \\ \leq \frac{1}{4} (M-m)(\sigma-\rho).$$

Next we follow [1], pp. 132-152.

We make

Brief Assumption 1.3. Let $f : \prod_{i=1}^m [a_i, b_i] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\frac{\partial^l f}{\partial x_i^l}$ for $l = 0, 1, \dots, n$; $i = 1, \dots, m$, are continuous on $\prod_{i=1}^m [a_i, b_i]$.

Definition 1.4. We put

$$q(x_i, s_i) = \begin{cases} s_i - a_i, & \text{if } s_i \in [a_i, x_i], \\ s_i - b_i, & \text{if } s_i \in (x_i, b_i], \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

$x_i \in [a_i, b_i]$, $i = 1, \dots, m$.

Let $(P_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a harmonic sequence of polynomials, that is $P'_n = P_{n-1}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $P_0 = 1$.

Let functions f_λ , $\lambda = 1, \dots, r \in \mathbb{N} - \{1\}$, as in Brief Assumption 1.3, and $n_\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ associated with f_λ .

We set

$$\begin{aligned} A_{i\lambda}(x_i, \dots, x_m) &:= \frac{n_\lambda^{i-1}}{\prod_{j=1}^{i-1} (b_j - a_j)} \\ &\times \left[\sum_{k=1}^{n_\lambda-1} (-1)^{k+1} P_k(x_i) \int_{a_1}^{b_1} \dots \int_{a_{i-1}}^{b_{i-1}} \frac{\partial^k f_\lambda(s_1, \dots, s_{i-1}, x_i, \dots, x_m)}{\partial x_i^k} ds_1 \dots ds_{i-1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{k=1}^{n_\lambda-1} \frac{(-1)^k (n_\lambda - k)}{b_i - a_i} \right. \\ &\quad \times \left[P_k(b_i) \int_{a_1}^{b_1} \dots \int_{a_{i-1}}^{b_{i-1}} \frac{\partial^{k-1} f_\lambda(s_1, \dots, s_{i-1}, b_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_m)}{\partial x_i^{k-1}} ds_1 \dots ds_{i-1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - P_k(a_i) \int_{a_1}^{b_1} \dots \int_{a_{i-1}}^{b_{i-1}} \frac{\partial^{k-1} f_\lambda(s_1, \dots, s_{i-1}, a_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_m)}{\partial x_i^{k-1}} ds_1 \dots ds_{i-1} \right] \Bigg], \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

and

$$B_{i\lambda}(x_i, \dots, x_m) := \frac{n_\lambda^{i-1} (-1)^{n_\lambda+1}}{\prod_{j=1}^i (b_j - a_j)} \quad (1.5)$$

$$\times \left[\int_{a_1}^{b_1} \dots \int_{a_i}^{b_i} P_{n_\lambda-1}(s_i) q(x_i, s_i) \frac{\partial^{n_\lambda} f_\lambda(s_1, \dots, s_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_m)}{\partial x_i^{n_\lambda}} ds_1 \dots ds_i \right],$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, m$; $\lambda = 1, \dots, r$.

We also set

$$A_1 := \left(\frac{\left(\prod_{j=1}^m (b_j - a_j) \right)}{3} \right) \cdot \left[\sum_{\lambda=1}^r \left\{ \left(\prod_{\substack{\rho=1 \\ \rho \neq \lambda}}^r \|f_\rho\|_\infty \prod_{j=1}^m [a_j, b_j] \right) \right\} \right] \quad (1.6)$$

$$\times \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \left[(b_i - a_i) n_\lambda^{i-1} \|P_{n_\lambda-1}\|_{\infty, [a_i, b_i]} \left\| \frac{\partial^{n_\lambda} f_\lambda}{\partial x_i^{n_\lambda}} \right\|_{\infty, \prod_{j=1}^m [a_j, b_j]} \right] \right) \Bigg\},$$

(let $p, q > 1 : \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$)

$$A_2 := \sum_{\lambda=1}^r \sum_{i=1}^m \left\| \prod_{\substack{\rho=1 \\ \rho \neq \lambda}}^r f_\rho \right\|_{L_p \left(\prod_{j=1}^m [a_j, b_j] \right)} \|B_{i\lambda}\|_{L_q \left(\prod_{j=i}^m [a_j, b_j] \right)} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{i-1} (b_j - a_j) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad (1.7)$$

and

$$A_3 := \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \sum_{\lambda=1}^r \left\{ \left\| \prod_{\substack{\rho=1 \\ \rho \neq \lambda}}^r f_\rho \right\|_{L_1 \left(\prod_{j=1}^m [a_j, b_j] \right)} \left[\sum_{i=1}^m [(b_i - a_i) n_\lambda^{i-1} \right. \right. \right. \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \left. \left. \times \|P_{n_\lambda-1}\|_{\infty, [a_i, b_i]} \left\| \frac{\partial^{n_\lambda} f_\lambda}{\partial x_i^{n_\lambda}} \right\|_{\infty, \prod_{j=1}^m [a_j, b_j]} \right] \right] \right\} \right\}. \quad (1.8)$$

We finally set

$$W := r \int_{\prod_{j=1}^m [a_j, b_j]} \left(\prod_{\rho=1}^r f_\rho(x) \right) dx \quad (1.9)$$

$$- \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^n (b_j - a_j)} \sum_{\lambda=1}^r n_\lambda^m \left(\int_{\prod_{j=1}^m [a_j, b_j]} \left(\prod_{\substack{\rho=1 \\ \rho \neq \lambda}}^r f_\rho(x) \right) dx \right) \left(\int_{\prod_{j=1}^m [a_j, b_j]} f_\lambda(s) ds \right)$$

$$- \sum_{\lambda=1}^r \int_{\prod_{j=1}^m [a_j, b_j]} \left(\left(\prod_{\substack{\rho=1 \\ \rho \neq \lambda}}^r f_\rho(x) \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^m A_{i\lambda}(x_i, \dots, x_m) \right) \right) dx.$$

We mention

Theorem 1.5. ([1], p. 151-152) *It holds*

$$|W| \leq \min \{A_1, A_2, A_3\}. \quad (1.10)$$

2. Background

Let A be a selfadjoint linear operator on a complex Hilbert space $(H; \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$. The Gelfand map establishes a $*$ -isometrically isomorphism Φ between the set $C(Sp(A))$

of all continuous functions defined on the spectrum of A , denoted $Sp(A)$, and the C^* -algebra $C^*(A)$ generated by A and the identity operator 1_H on H as follows (see e.g. [8, p. 3]):

- For any $f, g \in C(Sp(A))$ and any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ we have
- (i) $\Phi(\alpha f + \beta g) = \alpha\Phi(f) + \beta\Phi(g)$;
 - (ii) $\Phi(fg) = \Phi(f)\Phi(g)$ (the operation composition is on the right) and $\Phi(\bar{f}) = (\Phi(f))^*$;
 - (iii) $\|\Phi(f)\| = \|f\| := \sup_{t \in Sp(A)} |f(t)|$;
 - (iv) $\Phi(f_0) = 1_H$ and $\Phi(f_1) = A$, where $f_0(t) = 1$ and $f_1(t) = t$, for $t \in Sp(A)$.
- With this notation we define

$$f(A) := \Phi(f), \text{ for all } f \in C(Sp(A)),$$

and we call it the continuous functional calculus for a selfadjoint operator A .

If A is a selfadjoint operator and f is a real valued continuous function on $Sp(A)$ then $f(t) \geq 0$ for any $t \in Sp(A)$ implies that $f(A) \geq 0$, i.e. $f(A)$ is a positive operator on H . Moreover, if both f and g are real valued functions on $Sp(A)$ then the following important property holds:

(P) $f(t) \geq g(t)$ for any $t \in Sp(A)$, implies that $f(A) \geq g(A)$ in the operator order of $B(H)$.

Equivalently, we use (see [6], pp. 7-8):

Let U be a selfadjoint operator on the complex Hilbert space $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ with the spectrum $Sp(U)$ included in the interval $[m, M]$ for some real numbers $m < M$ and $\{E_\lambda\}_\lambda$ be its spectral family.

Then for any continuous function $f : [m, M] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, it is well known that we have the following spectral representation in terms of the Riemann-Stieljes integral:

$$\langle f(U)x, y \rangle = \int_{m-0}^M f(\lambda) d(\langle E_\lambda x, y \rangle), \quad (2.1)$$

for any $x, y \in H$. The function $g_{x,y}(\lambda) := \langle E_\lambda x, y \rangle$ is of bounded variation on the interval $[m, M]$, and

$$g_{x,y}(m-0) = 0 \text{ and } g_{x,y}(M) = \langle x, y \rangle,$$

for any $x, y \in H$. Furthermore, it is known that $g_x(\lambda) := \langle E_\lambda x, x \rangle$ is increasing and right continuous on $[m, M]$.

An important formula used a lot here is

$$\langle f(U)x, x \rangle = \int_{m-0}^M f(\lambda) d(\langle E_\lambda x, x \rangle), \quad \forall x \in H. \quad (2.2)$$

As a symbol we can write

$$f(U) = \int_{m-0}^M f(\lambda) dE_\lambda. \quad (2.3)$$

Above,

$$m = \min \{ \lambda | \lambda \in Sp(U) \} := \min Sp(U), \quad M = \max \{ \lambda | \lambda \in Sp(U) \} := \max Sp(U).$$

The projections $\{E_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}}$, are called the spectral family of A , with the properties:

- (a) $E_\lambda \leq E_{\lambda'}$ for $\lambda \leq \lambda'$;
- (b) $E_{m-0} = 0_H$ (zero operator), $E_M = 1_H$ (identity operator) and $E_{\lambda+0} = E_\lambda$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Furthermore

$$E_\lambda := \varphi_\lambda(U), \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{2.4}$$

is a projection which reduces U , with

$$\varphi_\lambda(s) := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } -\infty < s \leq \lambda, \\ 0, & \text{for } \lambda < s < +\infty. \end{cases}$$

The spectral family $\{E_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}}$ determines uniquely the self-adjoint operator U and vice versa.

For more on the topic see [10], pp. 256-266, and for more details see there pp. 157-266. See also [5].

Some more basics are given (we follow [6], pp. 1-5):

Let $(H; \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a Hilbert space over \mathbb{C} . A bounded linear operator A defined on H is selfjoint, i.e., $A = A^*$, iff $\langle Ax, x \rangle \in \mathbb{R}, \forall x \in H$, and if A is selfadjoint, then

$$\|A\| = \sup_{x \in H: \|x\|=1} |\langle Ax, x \rangle|. \tag{2.5}$$

Let A, B be selfadjoint operators on H . Then $A \leq B$ iff $\langle Ax, x \rangle \leq \langle Bx, x \rangle, \forall x \in H$.

In particular, A is called positive if $A \geq 0$.

Denote by

$$\mathcal{P} := \left\{ \varphi(s) := \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k s^k \mid n \geq 0, \alpha_k \in \mathbb{C}, 0 \leq k \leq n \right\}. \tag{2.6}$$

If $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ (the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators defined on H , i.e. from H into itself) is selfadjoint, and $\varphi(s) \in \mathcal{P}$ has real coefficients, then $\varphi(A)$ is selfadjoint, and

$$\|\varphi(A)\| = \max \{ |\varphi(\lambda)|, \lambda \in Sp(A) \}. \tag{2.7}$$

If φ is any function defined on \mathbb{R} we define

$$\|\varphi\|_A := \sup \{ |\varphi(\lambda)|, \lambda \in Sp(A) \}. \tag{2.8}$$

If A is selfadjoint operator on Hilbert space H and φ is continuous and given that $\varphi(A)$ is selfadjoint, then $\|\varphi(A)\| = \|\varphi\|_A$. And if φ is a continuous real valued function so it is $|\varphi|$, then $\varphi(A)$ and $|\varphi|(A) = |\varphi(A)|$ are selfadjoint operators (by [6], p. 4, Theorem 7).

Hence it holds

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi(A)\| &= \|\varphi\|_A = \sup \{ \|\varphi(\lambda)\|, \lambda \in Sp(A) \} \\ &= \sup \{ |\varphi(\lambda)|, \lambda \in Sp(A) \} = \|\varphi\|_A = \|\varphi(A)\|, \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$\|\varphi(A)\| = \|\varphi(A)\|. \tag{2.9}$$

For a selfadjoint operator $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ which is positive, there exists a unique positive selfadjoint operator $B := \sqrt{A} \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ such that $B^2 = A$, that is $(\sqrt{A})^2 = A$. We call B the square root of A .

Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, then A^*A is selfadjoint and positive. Define the "operator absolute value" $|A| := \sqrt{A^*A}$. If $A = A^*$, then $|A| = \sqrt{A^2}$.

For a continuous real valued function φ we observe the following:

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi(A)| \text{ (the functional absolute value)} &= \int_{m-0}^M |\varphi(\lambda)| dE_\lambda \\ &= \int_{m-0}^M \sqrt{(\varphi(\lambda))^2} dE_\lambda = \sqrt{(\varphi(A))^2} = |\varphi(A)| \text{ (operator absolute value),} \end{aligned}$$

where A is a selfadjoint operator.

That is we have

$$|\varphi(A)| \text{ (functional absolute value)} = |\varphi(A)| \text{ (operator absolute value).} \quad (2.10)$$

Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, then

$$\|AB\| \leq \|A\| \|B\|, \quad (2.11)$$

by Banach algebra property.

3. Main results

Let $(P_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a harmonic sequence of polynomials, that is $P'_n = P_{n-1}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $P_0 = 1$. Furthermore, let $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$, $a \neq b$, and $h : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be such that $h^{(n-1)}$ is absolutely continuous function for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

We set

$$q(x, t) = \begin{cases} t - a, & \text{if } t \in [a, x], \\ t - b, & \text{if } t \in (x, b], \end{cases} \quad x \in [a, b]. \quad (3.1)$$

By [4], and [1], p. 133, we get the generalized Fink type representation formula

$$\begin{aligned} h(x) &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{k+1} P_k(x) h^{(k)}(x) \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^k (n-k)}{b-a} \left[P_k(b) h^{(k-1)}(b) - P_k(a) h^{(k-1)}(a) \right] \\ &+ \frac{n}{b-a} \int_a^b h(t) dt + \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{b-a} \int_a^b P_{n-1}(t) q(x, t) h^{(n)}(t) dt, \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

$\forall x \in [a, b]$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, when $n = 1$ the above sums are zero.

For the harmonic sequence of polynomials $P_k(t) = \frac{(t-x)^k}{k!}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, (3.2) reduces to Fink formula, see [7].

Next we present very general harmonic Chebyshev-Grüss operator inequalities based on (3.2). Then we specialize them for $n = 1$.

We give

Theorem 3.1. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f, g \in C^n([a, b])$ with $[m, M] \subset (a, b)$, $m < M$. Here A is a selfadjoint linear bounded operator on the Hilbert space H with spectrum $Sp(A) \subseteq [m, M]$. We consider any $x \in H : \|x\| = 1$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle (\Delta(f, g))(A)x, x \rangle := |\langle f(A)g(A)x, x \rangle - \langle f(A)x, x \rangle \langle g(A)x, x \rangle \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{k+1} \left\{ \left\langle P_k(A) \left(g(A)f^{(k)}(A) + f(A)g^{(k)}(A) \right) x, x \right\rangle \right. \\ & - \left. \left[\left\langle P_k(A)f^{(k)}(A)x, x \right\rangle \langle g(A)x, x \rangle + \left\langle P_k(A)g^{(k)}(A)x, x \right\rangle \langle f(A)x, x \rangle \right] \right\} \Big| \\ & \leq \frac{\left[\|g(A)\| \|f^{(n)}\|_{\infty, [m, M]} + \|f(A)\| \|g^{(n)}\|_{\infty, [m, M]} \right]}{2(M-m)} \\ & \|P_{n-1}\|_{\infty, [m, M]} \left[\left\| (M1_H - A)^2 \right\| + \left\| (A - m1_H)^2 \right\| \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Proof. Here $\{E_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}}$ is the spectral family of A . Set

$$k(\lambda, t) := \begin{cases} t - m, & m \leq t \leq \lambda, \\ t - M, & \lambda < t \leq M. \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

where $\lambda \in [m, M]$.

Hence by (3.2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f(\lambda) &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{k+1} P_k(\lambda) f^{(k)}(\lambda) \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^k (n-k)}{M-m} \left[P_k(M) f^{(k-1)}(M) - P_k(m) f^{(k-1)}(m) \right] \\ &+ \frac{n}{M-m} \int_m^M f(t) dt + \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{M-m} \int_m^M P_{n-1}(t) k(\lambda, t) f^{(n)}(t) dt, \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} g(\lambda) &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{k+1} P_k(\lambda) g^{(k)}(\lambda) \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^k (n-k)}{M-m} \left[P_k(M) g^{(k-1)}(M) - P_k(m) g^{(k-1)}(m) \right] \\ &+ \frac{n}{M-m} \int_m^M g(t) dt + \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{M-m} \int_m^M P_{n-1}(t) k(\lambda, t) g^{(n)}(t) dt, \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

$\forall \lambda \in [m, M]$.

By applying the spectral representation theorem on (3.5), (3.6), i.e. integrating against E_λ over $[m, M]$, see (2.3), (ii), we obtain:

$$f(A) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{k+1} P_k(A) f^{(k)}(A) \quad (3.7)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^k (n-k)}{M-m} \left[P_k(M) f^{(k-1)}(M) - P_k(m) f^{(k-1)}(m) \right] \right) 1_H \\
& + \left(\frac{n}{M-m} \int_m^M f(t) dt \right) 1_H + \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{M-m} \int_{m-0}^M \left(\int_m^M P_{n-1}(t) k(\lambda, t) f^{(n)}(t) dt \right) dE_\lambda,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$g(A) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{k+1} P_k(A) g^{(k)}(A) \quad (3.8)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^k (n-k)}{M-m} \left[P_k(M) g^{(k-1)}(M) - P_k(m) g^{(k-1)}(m) \right] \right) 1_H \\
& + \left(\frac{n}{M-m} \int_m^M g(t) dt \right) 1_H + \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{M-m} \int_{m-0}^M \left(\int_m^M P_{n-1}(t) k(\lambda, t) g^{(n)}(t) dt \right) dE_\lambda.
\end{aligned}$$

We notice that

$$g(A) f(A) = f(A) g(A) \quad (3.9)$$

to be used next.

Then it holds

$$\begin{aligned}
g(A) f(A) & = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{k+1} g(A) P_k(A) f^{(k)}(A) \quad (3.10) \\
& + \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^k (n-k)}{M-m} \left[P_k(M) f^{(k-1)}(M) - P_k(m) f^{(k-1)}(m) \right] \right) g(A) \\
& + \left(\frac{n}{M-m} \int_m^M f(t) dt \right) g(A) \\
& + \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{M-m} g(A) \int_{m-0}^M \left(\int_m^M P_{n-1}(t) k(\lambda, t) f^{(n)}(t) dt \right) dE_\lambda,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
f(A) g(A) & = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{k+1} f(A) P_k(A) g^{(k)}(A) \quad (3.11) \\
& + \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^k (n-k)}{M-m} \left[P_k(M) g^{(k-1)}(M) - P_k(m) g^{(k-1)}(m) \right] \right) f(A) \\
& + \left(\frac{n}{M-m} \int_m^M g(t) dt \right) f(A) \\
& + \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{M-m} f(A) \int_{m-0}^M \left(\int_m^M P_{n-1}(t) k(\lambda, t) g^{(n)}(t) dt \right) dE_\lambda.
\end{aligned}$$

Here from now on we consider $x \in H : \|x\| = 1$; immediately we get

$$\int_{m-0}^M d \langle E_\lambda x, x \rangle = 1.$$

Then it holds (see (2.2))

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f(A)x, x \rangle &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{k+1} \langle P_k(A) f^{(k)}(A)x, x \rangle \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^k (n-k)}{M-m} \left[P_k(M) f^{(k-1)}(M) - P_k(m) f^{(k-1)}(m) \right] \\ &+ \frac{n}{M-m} \int_m^M f(t) dt + \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{M-m} \int_{m-0}^M \left(\int_m^M P_{n-1}(t) k(\lambda, t) f^{(n)}(t) dt \right) d \langle E_\lambda x, x \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle g(A)x, x \rangle &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{k+1} \langle P_k(A) g^{(k)}(A)x, x \rangle \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^k (n-k)}{M-m} \left[P_k(M) g^{(k-1)}(M) - P_k(m) g^{(k-1)}(m) \right] \\ &+ \frac{n}{M-m} \int_m^M g(t) dt + \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{M-m} \int_{m-0}^M \left(\int_m^M P_{n-1}(t) k(\lambda, t) g^{(n)}(t) dt \right) d \langle E_\lambda x, x \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f(A)x, x \rangle \langle g(A)x, x \rangle &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{k+1} \langle P_k(A) f^{(k)}(A)x, x \rangle \langle g(A)x, x \rangle \\ &+ \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^k (n-k)}{M-m} \left[P_k(M) f^{(k-1)}(M) - P_k(m) f^{(k-1)}(m) \right] \right) \langle g(A)x, x \rangle \\ &+ \left(\frac{n}{M-m} \int_m^M f(t) dt \right) \langle g(A)x, x \rangle \\ &+ \frac{(-1)^{n+1} \langle g(A)x, x \rangle}{M-m} \int_{m-0}^M \left(\int_m^M P_{n-1}(t) k(\lambda, t) f^{(n)}(t) dt \right) d \langle E_\lambda x, x \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle g(A)x, x \rangle \langle f(A)x, x \rangle &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{k+1} \langle P_k(A) g^{(k)}(A)x, x \rangle \langle f(A)x, x \rangle \\ &+ \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^k (n-k)}{M-m} \left[P_k(M) g^{(k-1)}(M) - P_k(m) g^{(k-1)}(m) \right] \right) \langle f(A)x, x \rangle \\ &+ \left(\frac{n}{M-m} \int_m^M g(t) dt \right) \langle f(A)x, x \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

$$+ \frac{(-1)^{n+1} \langle f(A)x, x \rangle}{M-m} \int_{m-0}^M \left(\int_m^M P_{n-1}(t) k(\lambda, t) g^{(n)}(t) dt \right) d \langle E_\lambda x, x \rangle.$$

Furthermore we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f(A)g(A)x, x \rangle &\stackrel{(3.10)}{=} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{k+1} \langle g(A)P_k(A)f^{(k)}(A)x, x \rangle \quad (3.16) \\ &+ \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^k (n-k)}{M-m} \left[P_k(M)f^{(k-1)}(M) - P_k(m)f^{(k-1)}(m) \right] \right) \langle g(A)x, x \rangle \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{n}{M-m} \int_m^M f(t) dt \right) \langle g(A)x, x \rangle \\ &+ \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{M-m} \left\langle \left(g(A) \int_{m-0}^M \left(\int_m^M P_{n-1}(t) k(\lambda, t) f^{(n)}(t) dt \right) dE_\lambda \right) x, x \right\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f(A)g(A)x, x \rangle &\stackrel{(3.11)}{=} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{k+1} \langle f(A)P_k(A)g^{(k)}(A)x, x \rangle \quad (3.17) \\ &+ \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^k (n-k)}{M-m} \left[P_k(M)g^{(k-1)}(M) - P_k(m)g^{(k-1)}(m) \right] \right) \langle f(A)x, x \rangle \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{n}{M-m} \int_m^M g(t) dt \right) \langle f(A)x, x \rangle \\ &+ \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{M-m} \left\langle \left(f(A) \int_{m-0}^M \left(\int_m^M P_{n-1}(t) k(\lambda, t) g^{(n)}(t) dt \right) dE_\lambda \right) x, x \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.14) and (3.16) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E &:= \langle f(A)g(A)x, x \rangle - \langle f(A)x, x \rangle \langle g(A)x, x \rangle \quad (3.18) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{k+1} \left[\langle g(A)P_k(A)f^{(k)}(A)x, x \rangle - \langle P_k(A)f^{(k)}(A)x, x \rangle \langle g(A)x, x \rangle \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{M-m} \left[\left\langle \left(g(A) \int_{m-0}^M \left(\int_m^M P_{n-1}(t) k(\lambda, t) f^{(n)}(t) dt \right) dE_\lambda \right) x, x \right\rangle \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \langle g(A)x, x \rangle \int_{m-0}^M \left(\int_m^M P_{n-1}(t) k(\lambda, t) f^{(n)}(t) dt \right) d \langle E_\lambda x, x \rangle \right], \end{aligned}$$

and by (3.15) and (3.17) we derive

$$\begin{aligned} E &:= \langle f(A)g(A)x, x \rangle - \langle f(A)x, x \rangle \langle g(A)x, x \rangle \quad (3.19) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{k+1} \left[\langle f(A)P_k(A)g^{(k)}(A)x, x \rangle - \langle P_k(A)g^{(k)}(A)x, x \rangle \langle f(A)x, x \rangle \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{M-m} \left[\left\langle \left(f(A) \int_{m-0}^M \left(\int_m^M P_{n-1}(t) k(\lambda, t) g^{(n)}(t) dt \right) dE_\lambda \right) x, x \right\rangle \right. \\
& \quad \left. = \langle f(A)x, x \rangle \int_{m-0}^M \left(\int_m^M P_{n-1}(t) k(\lambda, t) g^{(n)}(t) dt \right) d \langle E_\lambda x, x \rangle \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
2E & = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{k+1} \left\{ \left[\langle g(A) P_k(A) f^{(k)}(A) x, x \rangle + \langle f(A) P_k(A) g^{(k)}(A) x, x \rangle \right] \right. \\
& \quad - \left[\langle P_k(A) f^{(k)}(A) x, x \rangle \langle g(A) x, x \rangle + \langle P_k(A) g^{(k)}(A) x, x \rangle \langle f(A) x, x \rangle \right] \Big\} \\
& + \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{M-m} \left\{ \left[\left\langle \left(g(A) \int_{m-0}^M \left(\int_m^M P_{n-1}(t) k(\lambda, t) f^{(n)}(t) dt \right) dE_\lambda \right) x, x \right\rangle \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. + \left\langle \left(f(A) \int_{m-0}^M \left(\int_m^M P_{n-1}(t) k(\lambda, t) g^{(n)}(t) dt \right) dE_\lambda \right) x, x \right\rangle \right] \right. \\
& \quad - \left[\langle g(A) x, x \rangle \int_{m-0}^M \left(\int_m^M P_{n-1}(t) k(\lambda, t) f^{(n)}(t) dt \right) d \langle E_\lambda x, x \rangle \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. + \langle f(A) x, x \rangle \int_{m-0}^M \left(\int_m^M P_{n-1}(t) k(\lambda, t) g^{(n)}(t) dt \right) d \langle E_\lambda x, x \rangle \right] \right\}. \quad (3.20)
\end{aligned}$$

We find that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle f(A) g(A) x, x \rangle - \langle f(A) x, x \rangle \langle g(A) x, x \rangle \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{k+1} \left\{ \left[\langle P_k(A) (g(A) f^{(k)}(A) + f(A) g^{(k)}(A)) x, x \rangle \right] \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. - \left[\langle P_k(A) f^{(k)}(A) x, x \rangle \langle g(A) x, x \rangle + \langle P_k(A) g^{(k)}(A) x, x \rangle \langle f(A) x, x \rangle \right] \right\} \right] \\
& = \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{2(M-m)} \left\{ \left[\left\langle \left(g(A) \int_{m-0}^M \left(\int_m^M P_{n-1}(t) k(\lambda, t) f^{(n)}(t) dt \right) dE_\lambda \right) x, x \right\rangle \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. + \left\langle \left(f(A) \int_{m-0}^M \left(\int_m^M P_{n-1}(t) k(\lambda, t) g^{(n)}(t) dt \right) dE_\lambda \right) x, x \right\rangle \right] \right. \\
& \quad - \left[\langle g(A) x, x \rangle \int_{m-0}^M \left(\int_m^M P_{n-1}(t) k(\lambda, t) f^{(n)}(t) dt \right) d \langle E_\lambda x, x \rangle \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. + \langle f(A) x, x \rangle \int_{m-0}^M \left(\int_m^M P_{n-1}(t) k(\lambda, t) g^{(n)}(t) dt \right) d \langle E_\lambda x, x \rangle \right] \right\} =: R. \quad (3.21)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore it holds

$$|R| \leq \frac{1}{2(M-m)} \left\{ \left[\|g(A)\| \left\| \int_{m-0}^M \left(\int_m^M P_{n-1}(t) k(\lambda, t) f^{(n)}(t) dt \right) dE_\lambda \right\| \right. \right.$$

$$+ \|f(A)\| \left\| \int_{m-0}^M \left(\int_m^M P_{n-1}(t) k(\lambda, t) g^{(n)}(t) dt \right) dE_\lambda \right\| \quad (3.22)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &+ \left[\|g(A)\| \left\| \int_{m-0}^M \left(\int_m^M P_{n-1}(t) k(\lambda, t) f^{(n)}(t) dt \right) dE_\lambda \right\| \right. \\ &+ \left. \|f(A)\| \left\| \int_{m-0}^M \left(\int_m^M P_{n-1}(t) k(\lambda, t) g^{(n)}(t) dt \right) dE_\lambda \right\| \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{(M-m)} \left\{ \|g(A)\| \left\| \int_{m-0}^M \left(\int_m^M P_{n-1}(t) k(\lambda, t) f^{(n)}(t) dt \right) dE_\lambda \right\| \right. \\ &+ \left. \|f(A)\| \left\| \int_{m-0}^M \left(\int_m^M P_{n-1}(t) k(\lambda, t) g^{(n)}(t) dt \right) dE_\lambda \right\| \right\} =: (\xi_1). \quad (3.23) \end{aligned}$$

We notice the following:

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \int_{m-0}^M \left(\int_m^M P_{n-1}(t) k(\lambda, t) f^{(n)}(t) dt \right) dE_\lambda \right\| \\ &= \sup_{x \in H: \|x\|=1} \left| \int_{m-0}^M \left(\int_m^M P_{n-1}(t) k(\lambda, t) f^{(n)}(t) dt \right) d \langle E_\lambda x, x \rangle \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{x \in H: \|x\|=1} \left(\int_{m-0}^M \left(\int_m^M |P_{n-1}(t)| |k(\lambda, t)| |f^{(n)}(t)| dt \right) d \langle E_\lambda x, x \rangle \right) \quad (3.24) \\ &\leq \left(\|P_{n-1}\|_{\infty, [m, M]} \|f^{(n)}\|_{\infty, [m, M]} \right) \\ &\sup_{x \in H: \|x\|=1} \left(\int_{m-0}^M \left(\int_m^M |k(\lambda, t)| dt \right) d \langle E_\lambda x, x \rangle \right) =: (\xi_2). \end{aligned}$$

(Notice that

$$\int_m^M |k(\lambda, t)| dt = \int_m^\lambda (t-m) dt + \int_\lambda^M (M-t) dt = \frac{(\lambda-m)^2 + (M-\lambda)^2}{2}.) \quad (3.25)$$

Hence it holds

$$\begin{aligned} &(\xi_2) \stackrel{(3.25)}{=} \left(\frac{\|P_{n-1}\|_{\infty, [m, M]} \|f^{(n)}\|_{\infty, [m, M]}}{2} \right) \\ &\times \sup_{x \in H: \|x\|=1} \left[\langle (M1_H - A)^2 x, x \rangle + \langle (A - m1_H)^2 x, x \rangle \right] \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\|P_{n-1}\|_{\infty, [m, M]} \|f^{(n)}\|_{\infty, [m, M]}}{2} \right) \left[\|(M1_H - A)^2\| + \|(A - m1_H)^2\| \right]. \quad (3.26) \end{aligned}$$

We have proved that

$$\left\| \int_{m-0}^M \left(\int_m^M P_{n-1}(t) k(\lambda, t) f^{(n)}(t) dt \right) dE_\lambda \right\| \quad (3.27)$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{\|P_{n-1}\|_{\infty, [m, M]} \|f^{(n)}\|_{\infty, [m, M]}}{2} \right) \left[\|(M1_H - A)^2\| + \|(A - m1_H)^2\| \right].$$

Similarly, it holds

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_{m-0}^M \left(\int_m^M P_{n-1}(t) k(\lambda, t) g^{(n)}(t) dt \right) dE_\lambda \right\| \\ & \leq \left(\frac{\|P_{n-1}\|_{\infty, [m, M]} \|g^{(n)}\|_{\infty, [m, M]}}{2} \right) \left[\|(M1_H - A)^2\| + \|(A - m1_H)^2\| \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

Next we apply (3.27), (3.28) into (3.23), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\xi_1) & \leq \frac{1}{(M-m)} \left\{ \|g(A)\| \left(\frac{\|P_{n-1}\|_{\infty, [m, M]} \|f^{(n)}\|_{\infty, [m, M]}}{2} \right) \right. \\ & \quad \times \left[\|(M1_H - A)^2\| + \|(A - m1_H)^2\| \right] + \|f(A)\| \\ & \quad \times \left. \left(\frac{\|P_{n-1}\|_{\infty, [m, M]} \|g^{(n)}\|_{\infty, [m, M]}}{2} \right) \left[\|(M1_H - A)^2\| + \|(A - m1_H)^2\| \right] \right\} \\ & = \frac{1}{2(M-m)} \left\{ \left[\|g(A)\| \|f^{(n)}\|_{\infty, [m, M]} + \|f(A)\| \|g^{(n)}\|_{\infty, [m, M]} \right] \right. \\ & \quad \left. \|P_{n-1}\|_{\infty, [m, M]} \left[\|(M1_H - A)^2\| + \|(A - m1_H)^2\| \right] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

We have proved that

$$\begin{aligned} |R| & \leq \frac{\left(\|g(A)\| \|f^{(n)}\|_{\infty, [m, M]} + \|f(A)\| \|g^{(n)}\|_{\infty, [m, M]} \right)}{2(M-m)} \\ & \quad \|P_{n-1}\|_{\infty, [m, M]} \left[\|(M1_H - A)^2\| + \|(A - m1_H)^2\| \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.31)$$

The theorem is proved. \square

It follows the case $n = 1$.

Corollary 3.2. (to Theorem 3.1) *Let $f, g \in C^1([a, b])$ with $[m, M] \subset (a, b)$, $m < M$. Here A is a selfadjoint bounded linear operator on the Hilbert space H with spectrum $Sp(A) \subseteq [m, M]$. We consider any $x \in H : \|x\| = 1$.*

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle f(A)g(A)x, x \rangle - \langle f(A)x, x \rangle \langle g(A)x, x \rangle| \\ & \leq \frac{\left[\|g(A)\| \|f'\|_{\infty, [m, M]} + \|f(A)\| \|g'\|_{\infty, [m, M]} \right]}{2(M-m)} \\ & \quad \left[\|(M1_H - A)^2\| + \|(A - m1_H)^2\| \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.32)$$

We continue with

Theorem 3.3. *All as in Theorem 3.1. Let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma > 1 : \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\beta} + \frac{1}{\gamma} = 1$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (\Delta(f, g))(A)x, x \rangle &\leq \frac{\|P_{n-1}\|_{\alpha, [m, M]}}{(M-m)(\beta+1)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}} \\ &\left[\|g(A)\| \|f^{(n)}\|_{\gamma, [m, M]} + \|f(A)\| \|g^{(n)}\|_{\gamma, [m, M]} \right] \\ &\left[\|(A - m1_H)^{1+\frac{1}{\beta}}\| + \|(M1_H - A)^{1+\frac{1}{\beta}}\| \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.33)$$

Proof. As in (3.24) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \int_{m-0}^M \left(\int_m^M P_{n-1}(t) k(\lambda, t) f^{(n)}(t) dt \right) dE_\lambda \right\| \\ &= \sup_{x \in H: \|x\|=1} \left| \int_{m-0}^M \left(\int_m^M P_{n-1}(t) k(\lambda, t) f^{(n)}(t) dt \right) d \langle E_\lambda x, x \rangle \right| =: \psi_1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.34)$$

Here $\alpha, \beta, \gamma > 1 : \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\beta} + \frac{1}{\gamma} = 1$. By Hölder's inequality for three functions we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_m^M P_{n-1}(t) k(\lambda, t) f^{(n)}(t) dt \right| \leq \int_m^M |P_{n-1}(t)| |k(\lambda, t)| |f^{(n)}(t)| dt \\ &\leq \|P_{n-1}\|_\alpha \|f^{(n)}\|_\gamma \left(\int_m^M |k(\lambda, t)|^\beta dt \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \\ &= \|P_{n-1}\|_\alpha \|f^{(n)}\|_\gamma \left(\int_m^\lambda (t-m)^\beta dt + \int_\lambda^M (M-t)^\beta dt \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \\ &= \|P_{n-1}\|_\alpha \|f^{(n)}\|_\gamma \left[\frac{(\lambda-m)^{\beta+1} + (M-\lambda)^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} \right]^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \\ &\leq \frac{\|P_{n-1}\|_\alpha \|f^{(n)}\|_\gamma}{(\beta+1)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}} \left[(\lambda-m)^{\frac{\beta+1}{\beta}} + (M-\lambda)^{\frac{\beta+1}{\beta}} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.35)$$

I.e. it holds

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_m^M P_{n-1}(t) k(\lambda, t) f^{(n)}(t) dt \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\|P_{n-1}\|_\alpha \|f^{(n)}\|_\gamma}{(\beta+1)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}} \left[(\lambda-m)^{1+\frac{1}{\beta}} + (M-\lambda)^{1+\frac{1}{\beta}} \right], \quad \forall \lambda \in [m, M]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.36)$$

Therefore we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_1 &\leq \sup_{x \in H: \|x\|=1} \int_{m-0}^M \left| \int_m^M P_{n-1}(t) k(\lambda, t) f^{(n)}(t) dt \right| d \langle E_\lambda x, x \rangle \\ &\leq \left(\sup_{x \in H: \|x\|=1} \int_{m-0}^M \left[(\lambda-m)^{1+\frac{1}{\beta}} + (M-\lambda)^{1+\frac{1}{\beta}} \right] d \langle E_\lambda x, x \rangle \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\|P_{n-1}\|_{\alpha,[m,M]} \|f^{(n)}\|_{\gamma,[m,M]}}{(\beta+1)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}} \\
& \leq \left(\frac{\|P_{n-1}\|_{\alpha,[m,M]} \|f^{(n)}\|_{\gamma,[m,M]}}{(\beta+1)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}} \right) \\
& \quad \left[\|(A - m1_H)^{1+\frac{1}{\beta}}\| + \|(M1_H - A)^{1+\frac{1}{\beta}}\| \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{3.37}$$

We have proved that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \int_{m-0}^M \left(\int_m^M P_{n-1}(t) k(\lambda, t) f^{(n)}(t) dt \right) dE_\lambda \right\| \\
& \leq \frac{\|P_{n-1}\|_{\alpha,[m,M]} \|f^{(n)}\|_{\gamma,[m,M]}}{(\beta+1)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}} \left[\|(A - m1_H)^{1+\frac{1}{\beta}}\| + \|(M1_H - A)^{1+\frac{1}{\beta}}\| \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{3.38}$$

Similarly, it holds

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \int_{m-0}^M \left(\int_m^M P_{n-1}(t) k(\lambda, t) g^{(n)}(t) dt \right) dE_\lambda \right\| \\
& \leq \frac{\|P_{n-1}\|_{\alpha,[m,M]} \|g^{(n)}\|_{\gamma,[m,M]}}{(\beta+1)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}} \left[\|(A - m1_H)^{1+\frac{1}{\beta}}\| + \|(M1_H - A)^{1+\frac{1}{\beta}}\| \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{3.39}$$

Using (3.23) we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
|R| & \leq \frac{1}{(M-m)} \left\{ \|g(A)\| \frac{\|P_{n-1}\|_{\alpha,[m,M]} \|f^{(n)}\|_{\gamma,[m,M]}}{(\beta+1)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}} \right. \\
& \quad \left[\|(A - m1_H)^{1+\frac{1}{\beta}}\| + \|(M1_H - A)^{1+\frac{1}{\beta}}\| \right] \\
& \quad + \|f(A)\| \frac{\|P_{n-1}\|_{\alpha,[m,M]} \|g^{(n)}\|_{\gamma,[m,M]}}{(\beta+1)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}} \\
& \quad \left. \left[\|(A - m1_H)^{1+\frac{1}{\beta}}\| + \|(M1_H - A)^{1+\frac{1}{\beta}}\| \right] \right\} \\
& = \frac{1}{(M-m)} \left[\|g(A)\| \|f^{(n)}\|_{\gamma,[m,M]} + \|f(A)\| \|g^{(n)}\|_{\gamma,[m,M]} \right] \frac{\|P_{n-1}\|_{\alpha,[m,M]}}{(\beta+1)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}} \\
& \quad \left[\|(A - m1_H)^{1+\frac{1}{\beta}}\| + \|(M1_H - A)^{1+\frac{1}{\beta}}\| \right],
\end{aligned} \tag{3.40}$$

proving the claim. \square

The case $n = 1$ follows.

Corollary 3.4. (to Theorem 3.3) *All as in Theorem 3.3. It holds*

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle f(A)g(A)x, x \rangle - \langle f(A)x, x \rangle \langle g(A)x, x \rangle| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{(M-m)(\beta+1)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}} \left[\|g(A)\| \|f'\|_{\gamma, [m, M]} + \|f(A)\| \|g'\|_{\gamma, [m, M]} \right] \\ & \quad \left[\left\| (A - m1_H)^{1+\frac{1}{\beta}} \right\| + \left\| (M1_H - A)^{1+\frac{1}{\beta}} \right\| \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.42)$$

We also give

Theorem 3.5. *All as in Theorem 3.1. It holds*

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle (\Delta(f, g))(A)x, x \rangle \leq \|P_{n-1}\|_{\infty, [m, M]} \\ & \quad \left[\|g(A)\| \|f^{(n)}\|_{1, [m, M]} + \|f(A)\| \|g^{(n)}\|_{1, [m, M]} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.43)$$

Proof. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_m^M P_{n-1}(t) k(\lambda, t) f^{(n)}(t) dt \right| \leq \int_m^M |P_{n-1}(t)| |k(\lambda, t)| |f^{(n)}(t)| dt \\ & \leq \|P_{n-1}\|_{\infty, [m, M]} (M-m) \int_m^M |f^{(n)}(t)| dt \\ & = \|P_{n-1}\|_{\infty, [m, M]} (M-m) \|f^{(n)}\|_{1, [m, M]}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.44)$$

So that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_m^M P_{n-1}(t) k(\lambda, t) f^{(n)}(t) dt \right| \\ & \leq (M-m) \|P_{n-1}\|_{\infty, [m, M]} \|f^{(n)}\|_{1, [m, M]}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_{m-0}^M \left(\int_m^M P_{n-1}(t) k(\lambda, t) f^{(n)}(t) dt \right) dE_\lambda \right\| \\ & = \sup_{x \in H: \|x\|=1} \left| \int_{m-0}^M \left(\int_m^M P_{n-1}(t) k(\lambda, t) f^{(n)}(t) dt \right) d \langle E_\lambda x, x \rangle \right| \\ & \leq (M-m) \|P_{n-1}\|_{\infty, [m, M]} \|f^{(n)}\|_{1, [m, M]}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.45)$$

and similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_{m-0}^M \left(\int_m^M P_{n-1}(t) k(\lambda, t) g^{(n)}(t) dt \right) dE_\lambda \right\| \\ & \leq (M-m) \|P_{n-1}\|_{\infty, [m, M]} \|g^{(n)}\|_{1, [m, M]}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.46)$$

Using (3.23) we obtain

$$|R| \leq \frac{1}{(M-m)} \left\{ \|g(A)\| (M-m) \|P_{n-1}\|_{\infty, [m, M]} \|f^{(n)}\|_{1, [m, M]} \right.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \|f(A)\| (M - m) \|P_{n-1}\|_{\infty, [m, M]} \left\| g^{(n)} \right\|_{1, [m, M]} \Big\} \\
 & = \|P_{n-1}\|_{\infty, [m, M]} \left[\|g(A)\| \left\| f^{(n)} \right\|_{1, [m, M]} + \|f(A)\| \left\| g^{(n)} \right\|_{1, [m, M]} \right], \tag{3.47}
 \end{aligned}$$

proving the claim. □

The case $n = 1$ follows.

Corollary 3.6. (to Theorem 3.5) *It holds*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |\langle f(A)g(A)x, x \rangle - \langle f(A)x, x \rangle \langle g(A)x, x \rangle| \\
 & \leq \left[\|g(A)\| \|f'\|_{1, [m, M]} + \|f(A)\| \|g'\|_{1, [m, M]} \right]. \tag{3.48}
 \end{aligned}$$

Comment 3.7. The case of harmonic sequence of polynomials $P_k(t) = \frac{(t-x)^k}{k!}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, was completely studied in [2], and this work generalizes it.

Another harmonic sequence of polynomials related to this work is

$$P_k(t) = \frac{1}{k!} \left(t - \frac{m+M}{2} \right)^k, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \tag{3.49}$$

see also [4].

The Bernoulli polynomials $B_n(t)$ can be defined by the formula (see [4])

$$\frac{xe^{tx}}{e^x - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_n(t)}{n!} x^n, \quad |x| < 2\pi, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{3.50}$$

They satisfy the relation

$$B'_n(t) = nB_{n-1}(t), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The sequence

$$P_n(t) = \frac{1}{n!} B_n(t), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \tag{3.51}$$

is a harmonic sequence of polynomials, $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

The Euler polynomials are defined by the formula (see [4])

$$\frac{2e^{tx}}{e^x + 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{E_n(t)}{n!} x^n, \quad |x| < \pi, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{3.52}$$

They satisfy

$$E'_n(t) = nE_{n-1}(t), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The sequence

$$P_n(t) = \frac{1}{n!} E_n(t), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{3.53}$$

is a harmonic sequence of polynomials.

Finally:

Comment 3.8. One can apply (3.3), (3.33) and (3.43), for the harmonic sequences of polynomials defined by (3.49), (3.51) and (3.53).

In particular, when (see (3.49))

$$P_n(t) = \frac{1}{n!} \left(t - \frac{m+M}{2} \right)^n, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \quad (3.54)$$

we get

$$\|P_{n-1}\|_{\infty, [m, M]} = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \left(\frac{M-m}{2} \right)^{n-1}, \quad (3.55)$$

and

$$\|P_{n-1}\|_{\alpha, [m, M]} = \frac{1}{(n-1)! (\alpha(n-1)+1)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} \left(\frac{(M-m)^{\alpha(n-1)+1}}{2^{\alpha(n-1)}} \right), \quad (3.56)$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma > 1 : \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\beta} + \frac{1}{\gamma} = 1$.

References

- [1] Anastassiou, G.A., *Intelligent Comparisons: Analytic Inequalities*, Springer, New York, Heidelberg, 2016.
- [2] Anastassiou, G.A., *Self Adjoint Operator Chebyshev-Grüss type inequalities*, submitted, 2016.
- [3] Čebyšev, P.L., *Sur les expressions approximatives des intégrales définies par les autres proses entre les mêmes limites*, Proc. Math. Soc. Charkov, **2**(1882), 93-98.
- [4] Dedić, Lj., Pečarić, J.E., Ujević, N., *On generalizations of Ostrowski inequality and some related results*, Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, **53**(2003), no. 1, 173-189.
- [5] Dragomir, S.S., *Inequalities for functions of selfadjoint operators on Hilbert Spaces*, ajmaa.org/RGMIA/monographs/InFuncOp.pdf, 2011.
- [6] Dragomir, S.S., *Operator inequalities of Ostrowski and Trapezoidal type*, Springer, New York, 2012.
- [7] Fink, A.M., *Bounds on the deviation of a function from its averages*, Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, **42**(117)(1992), 289-310.
- [8] Furuta, T., Mičić Hot, J., Pečarić, J., Seo, Y., *Mond-Pečarić Method in Operator Inequalities. Inequalities for Bounded Selfadjoint Operators on a Hilbert Space*, Element, Zagreb, 2005.
- [9] Grüss, G., *Über das Maximum des absoluten Betrages von* $\left[\left(\frac{1}{b-a} \right) \int_a^b f(x)g(x)dx - \left(\frac{1}{(b-a)^2} \int_a^b f(x)dx \int_a^b g(x)dx \right) \right]$, Math. Z., **39**(1935), 215-226.
- [10] Helmberg, G., *Introduction to Spectral Theory in Hilbert Space*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1969.

George A. Anastassiou
 Department of Mathematical Sciences
 University of Memphis
 Memphis, TN 38152, U.S.A.
 e-mail: ganastss@memphis.edu