

TORSION IN  $\Gamma$ -LATTICES

GRIGORE CĂLUGĂREANU

*Dedicated to Professor Ioan Purdea at his 60<sup>th</sup> anniversary*

**Abstract.** After general properties of  $\Gamma$ -lattices, a new notion of torsion is given and some of its connections with purity are established.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $(\Gamma, \cdot, 1)$  be a monoid. A lattice  $L$  is called  $\Gamma$ -lattice ([3]) if it is provided with a multiplication  $\varphi : \Gamma \times L \rightarrow L$  (we shall denote by  $\gamma a = \varphi(\gamma, a)$ ) which satisfies the following axioms

$$\Gamma 1 : \gamma a \leq a$$

$$\Gamma 2 : \gamma(a \vee b) = \gamma a \vee \gamma b$$

$$\Gamma 3 : (\gamma\gamma')a = \gamma(\gamma'a)$$

$$\Gamma 4 : 1.a = a$$

The source of this notion is the lattice of all the submodules of a given module  $M$  over a commutative ring  $R$  with identity on which the monoid of the principal ideals of  $R$  operates in a natural way:  $\varphi(rR, A) = rA$  ( $r \in R, A \leq M$ ).

**Remark 1.1.** *This monoid naturally acts also on quotient  $R$ -modules.*

Moreover, this monoid has a special element : the zero ideal. In order to get suitable definitions for purity, divisibility and torsion and to recover some of the standard results one must consider a zero element in the monoid  $\Gamma$ . This is called a  $\Gamma_0$ -lattice if it satisfies the axiom

$$\Gamma 0 : \text{for each } a \in L, 0.a = 0 \text{ holds.}$$

We say that  $\Gamma$  has no zero-divisors if  $\gamma \neq 0, \delta \neq 0$  imply  $\gamma \cdot \delta \neq 0$ .

A subset  $C \subseteq L$  is called a system of generators for  $L$  if each element of  $L$  is a union of elements from  $C$ . A system of generators is called closed if  $\Gamma \cdot C \subseteq C$ .

---

This research was completed in the Università degli Studi di Padova under a Nato-CNR fellowship.

As in [2] we use the quotient sublattice notation  $b/a = \{c \in L \mid a \leq c \leq b\}$ . An element  $c \in L$  is called **cycle** if  $c/0$  is a noetherian and distributive sublattice. Clearly, using  $\Gamma_1$ , for any cycle  $c$  and any  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $\gamma c$  is a cycle too.

In a  $\Gamma_0$ -lattice an element  $d \in L$  is called **divisible** if  $\forall 0 \neq \gamma \in \Gamma : \gamma d = d$ .

In a  $\Gamma$ -lattice  $L$  an element  $p$  is called **pure** (see [1]) if  $\gamma p = p \wedge \gamma 1, \forall \gamma \in \Gamma$ .

## 2. Elementary results

In what follows  $\Gamma$  will denote a (non-necessary commutative) monoid. For the proofs of the following simple results see [1].

**Lemma 2.1.** *In any  $\Gamma$ -lattice,  $\gamma \cdot 0 = 0, \forall \gamma \in \Gamma$ .*

**Consequence 2.1.** *0 is divisible in each  $\Gamma_0$ -lattice.*

- One can consider, for a fixed  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , the upper semi-morphism (according to  $\Gamma_2$ )  $\varphi_\gamma : L \rightarrow L, \varphi_\gamma(a) = \gamma a, \forall a \in L$ . Hence

**Lemma 2.2.**  *$\varphi_\gamma$  is an order-preserving morphism.*

Hence

**Lemma 2.3.** *(i)  $a \leq b \Rightarrow \gamma a \leq \gamma b$ . Moreover,*

*(ii)  $\gamma(a \wedge b) \leq \gamma a \wedge \gamma b$ .*

A subset  $B$  of a  $\Gamma$ -lattice  $L$  is called a  $\Gamma$ -**stable** if  $\forall \gamma \in \Gamma, \gamma B \subseteq B$ .

Clearly (using  $\Gamma_1$ ) the sublattices  $a/0$  are  $\Gamma$ -stable and in general not every sublattice  $1/a$  (or  $b/a$ ) is  $\Gamma$ -stable.

**Proposition 2.1.** *A sublattice  $b/a$  is  $\Gamma$ -stable iff  $a$  is divisible.*

**Lemma 2.4.** *Each divisible element is also pure.*

Reconsidering 1.1 we consider on quotient sublattices  $b/a$  the following  $\Gamma$ -lattice structure:

$$\forall \gamma \in \Gamma, \forall c \in b/a : \gamma * c = (\gamma c) \vee a$$

enlarging in this way the notion of  $\Gamma$ -**sublattice** ( $\Gamma$ -stable sublattices).

Obviously, if  $a$  is divisible this is the natural  $\Gamma$ -lattice structure on  $b/a$  obtained by restriction.

### 3. Torsion and purity in $\Gamma_0$ -lattices

In this section we give some properties of a new notion of torsion in a  $\Gamma_0$ -lattice  $L$  connected with purity (continuing [1]). In this context special new conditions on  $\Gamma_0$ -lattices seem to be necessary.

Observe that the inequality  $\bigvee \{\gamma a \mid \gamma a \leq b\} \leq b \wedge \gamma 1$  holds for each  $b \in L$  and each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

Clearly, if  $b = \gamma x$  for a suitable  $x \in L$  this is an equality: indeed, both members are equal to  $b$ . Generally, if  $b \notin \Gamma \cdot L$  this could be no equality.

In the sequel we shall call a  $\Gamma$ -lattice **dense** if for each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and each  $b \in L$  the equality  $\bigvee \{\gamma a \mid \gamma a \leq b\} = b \wedge \gamma 1$  holds.

We use **bounded** elements in a  $\Gamma_0$ -lattice, i.e. elements  $b \in L$  such that there is an  $0 \neq \gamma \in \Gamma$  with  $\gamma b = 0$ . We shall denote by  $B$  the set of all the bounded elements of  $L$ .

For a  $\Gamma_0$ -lattice  $L$  the **torsion part**  $t(L)$  is defined as the union of all the bounded (compact) elements. Then  $L$  is called a **torsion lattice** if  $t(L) = 1$  resp.  $t \in L$  is called a **torsion element** if  $t = t(t/0)$ . The lattice  $L$  is called **torsion-free** if  $t(L) = 0$  resp.  $u \in L$  is called a **torsion-free element** if  $t(u/0) = 0$ .

A closed system of generators  $C \subseteq L$  is called **good** if  $C \cap (t(L)/0) \subseteq B$ , i.e., the generators  $c \in C$  such that  $c \leq t(L)$  are bounded (as concrete examples one could consider the compact elements in algebraic  $H$ -noetherian lattices or the cycles in cyclic generated lattices).

We first record in a  $\Gamma_0$ -lattice  $L$  the following simple properties:

(a) If  $a \leq b$  and  $b$  is bounded then  $a$  is also bounded. In particular, by  $\Gamma 1$ , if  $b$  is bounded,  $\gamma b$  is bounded too, for each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

(b) Each atom is bounded or divisible.

(c) If  $C$  is a system of generators for  $L$  then any bounded element  $b$  is a union of bounded generators  $\{c_i\}_{i \in I} \subseteq C$ . Moreover, if for  $0 \neq \gamma$  we have  $\gamma b = 0$  then  $\forall i \in I : \gamma c_i = 0$ .

Consequently, if the  $\Gamma_0$ -lattice  $L$  has no divisible atoms

(d) The socle  $s(L) \leq t(L)$ .

(e) If  $u$  is a torsion-free element then  $u/0$  has no atoms.

If  $\Gamma$  has no zero-divisors

(f) For each  $0 \neq \gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $b$  is bounded iff  $\gamma b$  is bounded.

Indeed, if  $\gamma b$  is bounded there is  $0 \neq \delta \in \Gamma : \delta(\gamma b) = (\delta\gamma)b = 0$ .  $\Gamma$  having no zero-divisors,  $\delta\gamma \neq 0$  and so  $b$  is bounded. The rest is (a).

**Proposition 3.1.** *If  $\Gamma$  has no zero-divisors the "radical" property:*

$$t(1/t(L)) = t(L),$$

*holds in a  $\Gamma_0$ -lattice  $L$  with a good system of generators  $C$ .*

*Proof.* By definitions:  $1/t(L)$  is a torsion-free sublattice  $\Leftrightarrow$

$$\forall b \in 1/t(L), \exists 0 \neq \gamma \in \Gamma : \gamma * b = t(L) \Rightarrow b = t(L) \Leftrightarrow$$

$\exists 0 \neq \gamma \in \Gamma : \gamma b \leq t(L) \leq b \Rightarrow b = t(L)$ . The lattice  $L$  having a (good) system of generators  $C$ , the inequality  $b \leq t(L)$  can be verified as follows:  $\forall c \in C, c \leq b \Rightarrow c \leq t(L)$ .

Indeed, if  $c \leq b$ , by 2.3  $\gamma c \leq \gamma b \leq t(L)$ .  $C$  being a good system of generators  $\gamma c$  is also a generator and it is bounded. Hence by (f)  $c$  is bounded too and  $c \leq t(L)$ .  $\square$

**Consequence 3.1.** *If  $\Gamma$  has no zero-divisors,  $L$  is cycle generated  $\Gamma_0$ -lattice and the cycles in  $t(L)/0$  are bounded then  $L$  has the "radical" property.  $\square$*

**Consequence 3.2.** *If  $\Gamma$  has no zero-divisors and  $L$  is an algebraic and  $H$ -noetherian  $\Gamma_0$ -lattice then  $L$  has the "radical" property.  $\square$*

Another condition we need in the propositions that follows is:

for each  $0 \neq \gamma \in \Gamma, \gamma a \leq \gamma b \Rightarrow a \leq b$  for elements in torsion-free  $\Gamma_0$ -lattices (\*).

**Proposition 3.2.** *If for an element  $p$  in a dense  $\Gamma_0$ -lattice  $L$  the sublattice  $1/p$  is torsion-free then  $p$  is pure. Conversely, if  $p$  is pure in a torsion-free  $\Gamma_0$ -lattice  $L$  with (\*) then  $1/p$  is also torsion-free.*

*Proof.* Indeed,  $1/p$  is torsion-free iff  $\exists 0 \neq \gamma \in \Gamma : \gamma u \leq p \leq u \Rightarrow u = p$ . Using the density of  $L$  we prove the inequality  $p \wedge \gamma 1 \leq \gamma p$  as follows:  $\gamma a \leq p \wedge \gamma 1 \Rightarrow \gamma(a \vee p) = \gamma a \vee \gamma p \leq p \wedge \gamma 1 \leq p \Rightarrow a \vee p = p \Rightarrow a \leq p \Rightarrow \gamma a \leq \gamma p$ .

Conversely, for  $0 \neq \gamma \in \Gamma : \gamma u \leq p \leq u$  and  $\gamma p = p \wedge \gamma 1$  we have to prove that  $u = p$ .

First, observe that  $\gamma u \leq p, \gamma u \leq \gamma 1 \Rightarrow \gamma u \leq p \wedge \gamma 1 = \gamma p$  and  $p \leq u \Rightarrow \gamma p \leq \gamma u$  so that  $\gamma u = \gamma p$ . One has finally to use (\*).  $\square$

**Proposition 3.3.** *If  $\Gamma$  has no zero-divisors, in a dense  $\Gamma_0$ -lattice  $L$  with a good system of generators,  $t(L)$  is pure.*

*Proof.* This is an immediate consequence of 3.1 and 3.2.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.4.** *In a dense torsion-free  $\Gamma_0$ -lattice  $L$  with  $(*)$ , an intersection of pure elements is pure.*

*Proof.* Let  $\{p_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a family of pure elements of  $L$  and let  $\bar{p} = \bigwedge_{i \in I} p_i$ . The lattice being dense it suffices to verify that for each  $0 \neq \gamma \in \Gamma$ :  $\gamma a \leq \bar{p} \wedge \gamma 1$  implies  $\gamma a \leq \gamma \bar{p}$ .

Indeed,  $\gamma a \leq \bar{p} \wedge \gamma 1 = (\bigwedge_{i \in I} p_i) \wedge \gamma 1 = \bigwedge_{i \in I} (p_i \wedge \gamma 1) = \bigwedge_{i \in I} (\gamma p_i)$  implies  $\gamma a \leq \gamma p_i$  for each  $i \in I$ . Now the condition  $(*)$  implies  $a \leq p_i$  for each  $i \in I$  and so  $a \leq \bar{p}$ . Hence  $\gamma a \leq \gamma \bar{p}$  by 2.3.  $\square$

**Final remark.** Although with a promising start,  $\Gamma$ -lattices require too much special conditions in order to obtain important results.

## References

- [1] Călugăreanu G., *Purity in  $\Gamma$ -lattices*, *Mathematica* (to appear) 1998.
- [2] Crawley P., Dilworth R.P., *Algebraic Theory of Lattices*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J. 1973.
- [3] Salce L., *Modular Lattices and polyserial Modules*, *General Algebra* 1988, Proc. Internat. Conf., Krems, Austria, p.221-231.

"BABEȘ-BOLYAI" UNIVERSITY, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE,  
3400 CLUJ-NAPOCA, ROMANIA