

## RADIAL MOTION IN MANEFF'S FIELD

**Cristina STOICA\* and Vasile MIOC\*\***

Dedicated to Professor V. Ureche on his 60<sup>th</sup> anniversary

*Received: May 8, 1995*

*AMS subject classification: 70F05*

**REZUMAT.** - **Mișcarea radială în câmpul Maneff.** Se studiază mișcarea radială în cadrul problemei celor două corpuri în câmpul gravitațional post-newtonian nerelativist propus de G.Maneff (caracterizat de un potențial cvasiomogen). Pe baza integralei prime a energiei, se stabilesc traiectorii de coliziune sau evadare pentru toate valorile și pentru cele două orientări posibile ale vitezei inițiale.

Proposed in 1924, Maneff's post-Newtonian nonrelativistic gravitational law [5-8] proved itself able to describe accurately the secular motions of both perihelia of inner planets and Moon's perigee. As showed in [4], Maneff's law

---

\* *Institute for Gravitation and Space Sciences, Laboratory for Gravitation, Bucharest, Romania*

\*\* *Astronomical Institute of the Romanian Academy, Astronomical Observatory, 3400 Cluj-Napoca, Romania*

provides the same good theoretical approximation for these phenomena as the relativity. Reconsidered recently (starting with F.N.Diacu's researches), Maneff's potential appeared much less commonplace than at first sight, showing interesting and surprising properties (see [1-3,9]). This field has implications not only in physics and (celestial) mechanics, but also in astrodynamics, cosmogony, astrophysics [10], even in atomic physics (see [1]).

In this note we shall consider the radial motion in Maneff's field, more precisely the rectilinear motion in the framework of the two-body problem with the potential function (e.g. [1,3,9])

$$U = \frac{Gm_1m_2}{r} \left( 1 + \frac{3G(m_1+m_2)}{2c^2r} \right), \quad (1)$$

where  $m_1, m_2$  = the masses,  $r$  = distance between  $m_1$  and  $m_2$ ,  $G$  = Newtonian gravitational constant,  $c$  = speed of light.

It is easy to see that, with the potential function (1), the relative motion of  $m_2$ , say, with respect to  $m_1$  will be described by the equation

$$\ddot{r} = -\frac{\mu r}{r^3} - 3\left(\frac{\mu}{c}\right)^2 \frac{r}{r^4} \quad (2)$$

with  $\mu = G(m_1 + m_2)$ . In polar coordinates  $(r, u)$ , (2) transforms as (see [9])

$$\ddot{r} - r\dot{u}^2 + \frac{\mu}{r^2} + 3\frac{(\mu/c)^2}{r^3} = 0, \quad (3)$$

$$r\ddot{u} + 2\dot{r}\dot{u} = 0, \quad (4)$$

system to which we attach the initial conditions

$$(r, u, \dot{r}, \dot{u})(t_0) = (r_0, u_0, V_0 \cos\alpha, V_0 \sin\alpha/r_0), \quad (5)$$

where  $V =$  velocity,  $\alpha =$  angle between initial radius vector and initial velocity (remind that we study the motion of  $m_2$  in a frame originated in  $m_1$ ).

The force field is central, so the angular momentum is conserved and (4) provides the first integral

$$r^2 \dot{u} = C, \quad (6)$$

where  $C = r_0 V_0 \sin\alpha$  is the constant angular momentum. The first integral of energy can also be easily obtained by the usual technique

$$V^2 = \dot{r}^2 + (r\dot{u})^2 = \frac{2\mu}{r} + 3 \frac{(\mu/c)^2}{r^2} + h, \quad (7)$$

where the constant of energy  $h$  results to have the expression

$$h = V_0^2 - 2 \frac{\mu}{r_0} - 3 \frac{(\mu/c)^2}{r_0^2}. \quad (8)$$

In the following we shall consider only the rectilinear motion ( $\alpha = 0$  or  $\alpha = \pi$ , so  $C = 0$ ). In this case (7) leads to  $V^2 = \dot{r}^2$ , but the integral of energy explicated by (7) and (8)

$$V^2 = V_0^2 + 2\mu \left( \frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{r_0} \right) + 3 \left( \frac{\mu}{c} \right)^2 \left( \frac{1}{r^2} - \frac{1}{r_0^2} \right), \quad (9)$$

keeps the same expression as in the general case.

We shall study the motion for all values of  $V_0$ . The domains in which the

motion is possible, featured by the condition  $V^2 \geq 0$ , will be pointed out, and the characteristics of the motion as well.

Let us first introduce the following abridging notation

$$V_1 = \frac{c}{\sqrt{3}} + \frac{\sqrt{3}\mu}{cr_0}, \quad V_2 = \sqrt{2\frac{\mu}{r_0} + 3\frac{(\mu/c)^2}{r_0^2}}. \quad (10)$$

Suppose that  $V_0 > V_1$ . In this case  $h > c^2/3$ . If  $\alpha = 0$  (radial motion outwards),  $m_2$  will follow an escape trajectory on which  $V$  decreases continuously, tending to  $\sqrt{h}$  when  $r$  tends to infinity. If  $\alpha = \pi$  (radial motion inwards), we have a collision trajectory with continuously increasing velocity such that  $V \rightarrow \infty$  for  $r \rightarrow 0$ .

For  $V_0 = V_1$ , we have  $h = c^2/3$ . The possible scenarios are the same: escape path with decreasing velocity ( $V \rightarrow \sqrt{h} = c/\sqrt{3}$  when  $r \rightarrow \infty$ ) for  $\alpha = 0$ , and collision path with increasing velocity ( $V \rightarrow \infty$  when  $r \rightarrow 0$ ) for  $\alpha = \pi$ .

Let now consider  $V_2 < V_0 < V_1$ , which means  $0 < h < c^2/3$ . All is like previously: the motion directed outwards is decelerated but leads however to escape, while the motion directed inwards is accelerated and leads to collision. At limits  $V$  tends to the same values  $\sqrt{h}$  and  $\infty$ , respectively.

For  $V_0 = V_2$  we have  $h = 0$ . The scenario is identical:  $\alpha = 0$  means escape trajectory with  $V \rightarrow 0$  for  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , while  $\alpha = \pi$  leads to collision (with  $V \rightarrow \infty$

when  $r \rightarrow 0$ ).

Lastly, consider  $V_0 < V_2$ , meaning  $h < 0$ . If  $\alpha = 0$ , then  $m_2$  moves outwards with decreasing velocity, such that for

$$r = \frac{3\mu/c^2}{-1 + \sqrt{[1 + 3\mu/(c^2 r_0)]^2 - 3(V_0/c)^2}} \quad (11)$$

$m_2$  stops, then it starts inwards and collides with  $m_1$  ( $V \rightarrow \infty$  for  $r \rightarrow 0$ ). If  $\alpha = \pi$ , we have a collision path with continuously increasing velocity, tending to infinity when  $r \rightarrow 0$ .

Notice that  $V_1$  has no physical, but only mathematical importance (this value of  $V_0$  annuls the discriminant of the second degree polynomial function  $V = V(1/r)$  given by (9)), while  $V_2$  has a precise physical significance (this value of  $V_0$  annuls the constant of energy).

Concluding, in Maneff's field the radial motion has no other end but escape or collision, just like in the Newtonian field. By analogy with this last one (and by abuse of language), we shall call  $V_2$  (for which  $h = 0$ ) "parabolic velocity". So, the "hyperbolic/parabolic-type" ( $V_0 \geq V_2$ ) rectilinear motion directed outwards in Maneff's field leads to escape with decreasing velocity (which tends to the corresponding value  $\sqrt{h} \geq 0$  when  $r \rightarrow \infty$ ). The "elliptic-

type" ( $V_0 < V_2$ ) rectilinear motion directed outwards cannot lead to escape;  $m_2$  stops at a finite distance (11), then reverses the sense of motion and directs itself with increasing velocity to collision. As to the rectilinear motion directed inwards from the beginning, it ends in collision for any value of the initial velocity.

## R E F E R E N C E S

1. Diacu,F.N., *The Planar Isosceles Problem for Maneff's Gravitational Law*, J.Math. Phys., **34**(1993), 5671-5690.
2. Diacu,F.N., Illner,R., *Collision/Ejection Dynamics for Particle Systems with Quasihomogeneous Potentials*, Preprint DMS-624-IR, University of Victoria, February 1993.
3. Diacu,F.N., Mingarelli,A., Mioc,V., Stoica,C., *The Maneff Two-Body Problem: Quantitative and Qualitative Theory*, World. Sci. Ser. Appl. Anal., Vol.4, Dynamical Systems and Applications, World Scientific Publ. Co., 1995 (to appear).
4. Hagihara,Y., *Celestial Mechanics*, Vol.2, Part 1, The MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 1975.
5. Maneff,G., *La gravitation et le principe de l'égalité de l'action et de la réaction*, C.R.Acad. Sci. Paris, **178**(1924), 2159-2161.
6. Maneff,G., *Die Gravitation und das Prinzip von Wirkung und Gegenwirkung*, Z.Phys., **31**(1925), 786-802.
7. Maneff,G., *Le principe de la moindre action et la gravitation*, C.R.Acad. Sci. Paris, **190**(1930), 963-965.
8. Maneff,G., *La gravitation et l'énergie au zéro*, C.R.Acad. Sci. Paris, **190**(1930), 1374-

RADIAL MOTION IN MANEFF'S FIELD

1377.

9. Mioc, V., Stoica, C., *Discussion et résolution complète du problème des deux corps dans le champ gravitationnel de Maneff*, C.R.Acad. Sci. Paris, **320**(1995), 645-648.
10. Ureche, V., *Free-Fall Collapse of a Homogeneous Sphere in Maneff's Gravitational Field*, Rom. Astron. J., **5**(1995) (to appear).