

# Existence for stochastic sweeping process with fractional Brownian motion

Tayeb Blouhi, Mohamed Ferhat and Safia Benmansour

**Abstract.** This paper is devoted to the study of a convex stochastic sweeping process with fractional Brownian by time delay. The approach is based on discretizing stochastic functional differential inclusions.

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010):** 34A37, 34K45, 60H99, 60H05, 65C30, 47H10.

**Keywords:** Sweeping process, evolution inclusion, perturbation, normal cone, fixed point.

## 1. Introduction

The so-called sweeping process is a particular differential inclusion of the general form

$$-x'(t) \in N_{C(t)}(x(t)) \text{ a. e. } t \in [0, T] \quad (1.1)$$

$$x(0) \in C(0) \quad (1.2)$$

where  $C(t)$  is a convex time dependence set, and  $N_{C(t)}(x(t))$  is the normal cone to  $C(t)$  at  $x(t)$ . The sweeping process, introduced by Moreau in the early 1970s, and extensively studied by himself and other authors (see, e.g., [2, 7, 8, 5]). These models prove to be quite useful in elastoplasticity, non smooth mechanics, convex optimization, mathematical economics, queuing theory, etc. In this paper, we propose a simple extension of the sweeping process. More precisely, We consider the problem formally

expressed by

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} -dx(t) \in N_{C_1(t)}(x(t))dt + G^1(t, x_t, y_t)dB^{H_1} \quad a, e. t \in J := [0, T] \\ -dy(t) \in N_{C_2(t)}(y(t))dt + G^2(t, x_t, y_t)dB^{H_2} \quad a, e. t \in J := [0, T] \\ x(t) = \phi(t), t \in [-r, 0], x(0) \in C_1(0) \\ y(t) = \bar{\phi}(t), t \in [-r, 0], y(0) \in C_2(0) \end{array} \right. \tag{1.3}$$

where  $C_1(t), C_2(t)$  is convex for all  $t$ ,  $X$  is a real separable Hilbert space with inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  induced by norm  $\| \cdot \|$ ,  $G^j : M_2([-r, 0], X) \times M_2([-r, 0], X) \rightarrow L^0_{Q_{H_j}}(Y, X)$  are given functions. Here,  $L^0_{Q_{H_j}}(Y, X)$  denotes the space of all  $Q_{H_j}$ -Hilbert-Schmidt operators from  $Y$  into  $X, B^{H_j}$  is sequence of mutually independent fractional Brownian motions with  $H_1 \neq H_2$  i.e  $(B^{H_1} \neq B^{H_2})$  for each  $j = 1, 2$ , with Hurst parameter  $H_j > \frac{1}{2}$ . Here  $y(\cdot, \cdot) : [-r, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow X$ , then for any  $t \geq 0, y_t(\cdot, \cdot) : [-r, 0] \times \Omega \rightarrow X$  is given by:

$$y_t(\theta, \omega) = y(t + \theta, \omega), \text{ for } \theta \in [-r, 0], \omega \in \Omega.$$

Here  $y_t(\cdot)$  represents the history of the state from time  $t - r$ , up to the present time  $t$ . Let  $M^2([-r, 0], X)$  be the following space defined by

$$M^2([-r, 0], X) = \{ \phi, \bar{\phi} : [-r, 0] \times \Omega \rightarrow X, \phi, \bar{\phi} \in C([-r, 0], L^2(\Omega, X)) \},$$

endowed with the norm

$$\| \phi(t) \|_{M^2_{\mathcal{F}_0}} = \int_{-r}^0 |\phi(t)|^2 dt$$

Now, for a given  $T > 0$ , we define

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} M^2([-r, T], X) = y : [-r, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow X, \phi, \bar{\phi} \in C([-r, T], L^2(\Omega, X)) \text{ and} \\ \sup_{t \in [0, T]} E(|y(t)|^2) < \infty, \int_{-r}^0 |\phi(t)|^2 dt < \infty. \end{array} \right.$$

Endowed with the norm

$$\| y \|_{M^2_{\mathcal{F}_b}} = \sup_{-r \leq s \leq T} (\mathbb{E} \| y(s) \|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Random differential and integral equations play an important role in characterizing many social, physical, biological and engineering problems; see for instance the monographs by Da Prato and Zabczyk [3], Gard [4], Sobczyk [10] and Tsokos and Padgett [11]. For example, a stochastic model for drug distribution in a biological system was described by Tsokos and Padgett [11] to a closed system with a simplified heat, one organ or capillary bed, and re-circulation of a blood with a constant rate of flow, where the heart is considered as a mixing chamber of constant volume. For the basic theory concerning stochastic differential equations see the monographs by Bharucha-Reid [1], Mao[6], Øksendal[9], Tsokos and Padgett [11].

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 and 3, we recall some definitions and results that will be used in all the sequel. Section 4 is devoted to the study of the

existence problem of (1.3). In Section 5, we restrict our attention to the case when the perturbation with  $F$ .

## 2. Basic definitions of stochastic calculus

In this section, we introduce notations, definitions, and preliminary facts which are used throughout this paper. Actually we will borrow them from [?]. Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  be a complete probability space with a filtration  $(\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$  satisfying the usual conditions (i.e. right continuous and  $\mathcal{F}_0$  containing all  $\mathbb{P}$ -null sets).

For a stochastic process  $x(\cdot, \cdot) : [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow X$  we will write  $x(t)$  (or simply  $x$  when no confusion is possible) instead of  $x(t, \omega)$ .

**Definition 2.1.** Given  $H_1, H_2 \in (0, 1), H_1 \neq H_2$  a continuous centered Gaussian process  $B^H$  is said to be a two-sided one-dimensional fractional Brownian motion (*fBm*) with Hurst parameter  $H_j, j = 1, 2$  if its covariance function  $R_{H_j}(t, s) = \mathbb{E}[B^{H_j}(t)B^{H_j}(s)]$  satisfies

$$R_{H_j}(t, s) = \frac{1}{2}(|t|^{2H_j} + |s|^{2H_j} - |t - s|^{2H_j}) \quad t, s \in [0, T].$$

It is known that  $B^H(t)$  with  $H_j > \frac{1}{2}$  admits the following Volterra representation

$$B^{H_j}(t) = \int_0^t K_{H_j}(t, s) dW(s) \tag{2.1}$$

where  $W$  is a standard Brownian motion given by

$$W(t) = B^{H_j}((K_{H_j}^*)^{-1}\xi_{[0,t]}),$$

and the Volterra kernel the kernel  $K(t, s)$  is given by

$$K_{H_j}(t, s) = c_{H_j} s^{1/2-H_j} \int_s^t (u - s)^{H_j - \frac{3}{2}} \left(\frac{u}{s}\right)^{H_j - \frac{1}{2}} du, \quad t \geq s,$$

where  $c_{H_j} = \sqrt{\frac{H_j(2H_j-1)}{\beta(2H_j-2, H_j-\frac{1}{2})}}$  and  $\beta(\cdot, \cdot)$  denotes the Beta function,  $K(t, s) = 0$  if  $t \leq s$ , and it holds

$$\frac{\partial K_{H_j}}{\partial t}(t, s) = c_H \left(\frac{t}{s}\right)^{H_j - \frac{1}{2}} (t - s)^{H_j - \frac{3}{2}},$$

and the kernel  $K_{H_j}^*$  is defined as follows. Denote by  $\mathcal{E}$  the set of step functions on  $[0, T]$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the Hilbert space defined as the closure of  $\mathcal{E}$  with respect to the scalar product

$$\langle \chi_{[0,t]}, \chi_{[0,s]} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = R_{H_j}(t, s),$$

and consider the linear operator  $K_{H_j}^*$  from  $\mathcal{E}$  to  $L^2([0, T])$  defined by,

$$(K_{H_j}^* \phi^j)(t) = \int_s^T \phi^j(t) \frac{\partial K_{H_j}}{\partial t}(t, s) dt.$$

Notice that,

$$(K_{H_j}^* \chi_{[0,t]})(s) = K_{H_j}(t, s) \chi_{[0,t]}(s).$$

The operator  $K_{H_j}^*$  is an isometry between  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $L^2([0, T])$  which can be extended to the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . In fact, for any  $s, t \in [0, T]$  we have

$$\langle K_{H_j}^* \chi_{[0,t]}, K_{H_j}^* \chi_{[0,t]} \rangle_{L^2([0,T])} = \langle \chi_{[0,t]}, \chi_{[0,s]} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = R_{H_j}(t, s).$$

In addition, for any  $\phi^j \in \mathcal{H}$ ,

$$\int_0^T \phi^j(s) dB^{H_j}(s) = \int_0^T (K_{H_j}^* \phi^j)(s) dW(s),$$

if and only if  $K_{H_j}^* \phi \in L^2([0, T])$ . Next we are interested in considering an fBm with values in a Hilbert space and giving the definition of the corresponding stochastic integral.

**Definition 2.2.** An  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -adapted process  $\phi^j$  on  $[0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow X$  is an elementary or simple process if for a partition  $\psi = \{\bar{t}_0 = 0 < \bar{t}_1 < \dots < \bar{t}_n = T\}$  and  $(\mathcal{F}_{\bar{t}_i})$ -measurable  $X$ -valued random variables  $(\phi_{\bar{t}_i}^j)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ ,  $\phi_t$  satisfies

$$\phi_t^j(\omega) = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_{\bar{t}_i}^j(\omega) \chi_{(\bar{t}_{i-1}, \bar{t}_i]}(t), \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq T, \quad \omega \in \Omega.$$

The Itô integral of the simple process  $\phi^j$  is defined as

$$I_{H_j}(\phi^j) = \int_0^T \phi^j(s) dB^{H_j}(s) = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi^j(\bar{t}_i)(B_l^{H_j}(\bar{t}_i) - B_l^{H_j}(\bar{t}_{i-1})), \quad (2.2)$$

whenever  $\phi_{\bar{t}_i}^j \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{\bar{t}_i}, \mathbb{P}, X)$  for all  $i \leq n$ .

Let  $(X, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, |\cdot|_X)$ ,  $(Y, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, |\cdot|_Y)$  be separable Hilbert spaces. Let  $\mathcal{L}(Y, X)$  denote the space of all linear bounded operators from  $Y$  into  $X$ . Let  $e_n, n = 1, 2, \dots$  be a complete orthonormal basis in  $Y$  and  $Q_{H_j} \in \mathcal{L}(Y, X)$  be an operator defined by  $Q_{H_j} e_n = \lambda_n^j e_n$  with finite trace  $tr Q_{H_j} = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \lambda_n^j < \infty$  where  $\lambda_n^j, n = 1, 2, \dots$ , are non-negative real numbers. Let  $(\beta_n^{H_j})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of two-sided one-dimensional standard fractional Brownian motions mutually independent on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ . If we define the infinite dimensional  $fBm$  on  $Y$  with covariance  $Q_{H_j}$  as

$$B^{H_j}(t) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \sqrt{\lambda_n} \beta_n^{H_j}(t) e_n, \quad (2.3)$$

then it is well defined as an  $Y$ -valued  $Q_{H_j}$ -cylindrical fractional Brownian motion (see [?]) and we have

$$\mathbb{E} \langle \beta_l^{H_j}(t), x \rangle \langle \beta_k^{H_j}(s), y \rangle = R_{H_{lk}}(t, s) \langle Q_{H_j}(x), y \rangle, \quad x, y \in Y \quad \text{and } s, t \in [0, T]$$

such that

$$R_{H_{lk}}^j = \frac{1}{2} \{ |t|^{2H_j} + |s|^{2H_j} + |t-s|^{2H_j} \} \delta_{lk} \quad t, s \in [0, T],$$

where

$$\delta_{lj} = \begin{cases} 1 & k = l, \\ 0 & k \neq l. \end{cases}$$

In order to define Wiener integrals with respect to a  $Q_{H_j} - fBm$ , we introduce the space  $L^0_{Q_{H_j}} := L^0_{Q_{H_j}}(Y, X)$  of all  $Q_{H_j}$ -Hilbert-Schmidt operators  $\varphi^j : Y \rightarrow X$ . We recall that  $\varphi^j \in L(Y, X)$  is called a  $Q_{H_j}$ -Hilbert-Schmidt operator, if

$$\|\varphi^j\|^2_{L^0_{Q_{H_j}}} = \|\varphi Q^{1/2}_{H_j}\|^2_{HS} = \text{tr}(\varphi_j Q \varphi_j^*) < \infty.$$

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $\phi^j(s), s \in [0, T]$ , be a function with values in  $L^0_{Q_{H_j}}(Y, X)$ . The Wiener integral of  $\phi^j$  with respect to  $fBm$  given by (2.3) is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \phi^j(s) dB^{H_j}(s) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^t \sqrt{\lambda_n} \phi^j(s) e_n d\beta_n^{H_j} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^T \sqrt{\lambda_n} K_{H_j}^*(\phi^j e_n)(s) d\beta_n. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Notice that if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|\phi Q^{1/2} e_n\|_{L^{1/H_j}([0, T]; X)} < \infty, \tag{2.5}$$

the next result ensures the convergence of the series in the previous definition. It can be proved by similar arguments to those used to prove Lemma 2.4 in Caraballo *et al.* [?].

**Lemma 2.4.** For any  $\phi^j : [0, T] \rightarrow L^0_{Q_{H_j}}(Y, X)$  such that (2.5) holds, and for any  $\alpha, \beta \in [0, T]$  with  $\alpha > \beta$ , for each  $j = 1, 2$

$$\mathbb{E} \left| \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \phi^j(s) dB^{H_j}(s) \right|^2_X \leq c_2(H_j) H_j (2H_j - 1) (\alpha - \beta)^{2H_j - 1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \left| \phi^j(s) Q^{1/2} e_n \right|^2_X ds. \tag{2.6}$$

where  $c_2(H_j)$  is a constant depending on  $H_j$ . If, in addition,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\phi^j Q^{1/2} e_n|_X \text{ is uniformly convergent for } t \in [0, T],$$

then,

$$\mathbb{E} \left| \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \phi^j(s) dB^{H_j}(s) \right|^2_X \leq c_2(H_j) H_j (2H_j - 1) (\alpha - \beta)^{2H_j - 1} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \|\phi^j(s)\|^2_{L^0_{Q_{H_j}}} ds. \tag{2.7}$$

### 3. Nonsmooth analysis

Let  $x, y \in X$ ; the projection of  $x, y$  into  $C_j \subset X$  is the set

$$\text{Proj}(y, C_j) = \{z \in C_j : d(z, C_j) = \|z - y\|\}.$$

This set is nonempty if, for example,  $C_j$  is weakly closed. Let  $C_j$  be a closed subset of space  $X$ ; and let  $x, y \in C_j$ : We say that a vector  $v \in X$  is a proximal normal to  $C_j$  at  $z$  if  $v = y - z$  for some  $y \in X$  with  $z \in \text{Proj}(y, C_j)$ . We denote by  $N^p(z, C_j)$ .

the normal cone. One can show that  $\eta \in N^P(y, C_j)$  if and only if there exists  $M$  such that the following proximal normal inequality holds,

$$\langle \eta, z - y \rangle \leq M \|z - y\|,$$

for all  $z \in C_j$ . (In general,  $M$  will depend on  $x$ ). On the other hand

$$N^P(z, C_j) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ v \in X : d\left(y + \frac{v}{n}\right) = \frac{\|v\|}{n} \right\}.$$

This cone is convex, but in general not closed. An useful characterization of the proximal normal cone is the following (see, e.g., [?], Proposition 1.1.5(a)):

$$N^P(z, C_j) = \bigcup_{\mu > 0} \{v \in X : \langle v, a - z \rangle \leq \mu \|z - y\|^2, a \in C_j\}.$$

If  $C_j$  is closed and convex then we have

$$z \in N^P(z, C_j) \iff y \in C_j \text{ and } \langle z, y \rangle = \sigma(z, C_i) \iff y \in C_j, x \in \partial\varphi_{C_j}(y)$$

where  $\sigma$  is the support function of a subset  $C_j$  of  $X$ ,  $\partial\varphi_{C_j}$  is the subdifferential in the sense of convex analysis and  $C_i$  is the indicator function of a subset  $C_j$  of  $X$

$$\partial\varphi_{C_j}(y) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } y \in C_j, \\ \emptyset, & \text{if } y \notin C_j. \end{cases}$$

We define the Bouligand cone by

$$T_{C_j}(x) = \left\{ v \in X : \liminf_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{d(z + hv, C_j)}{h} \right\} = \bigcap_{\epsilon > 0} \bigcap_{\delta > 0} \bigcup_{0 < h < \delta} \left( \frac{C_j - z}{h} + \epsilon \bar{B}(0, 1) \right).$$

For more informations about nonsmooth analysis we see the monographs of Clarke and Ledyaev et al [?] and Clarke [?].

### 3.1. Multi-valued analysis

$$\mathcal{P}_{cl}(X) = \{y \in \mathcal{P}(X) : y \text{ closed}\},$$

$$\mathcal{P}_b(X) = \{y \in \mathcal{P}(X) : y \text{ bounded}\},$$

$$\mathcal{P}_c(X) = \{y \in \mathcal{P}(X) : y \text{ convex}\},$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{cp}(X) = \{y \in \mathcal{P}(X) : y \text{ compact}\}.$$

Consider  $H_d : \mathcal{P}(X) \times \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^n \cup \{\infty\}$  defined by

$$H_d(A, B) := \begin{pmatrix} H_{d_1}(A, B) \\ \dots \\ H_{d_n}(A, B) \end{pmatrix}$$

Let  $(X, d)$  be a generalized metric space with

$$d(x, y) := \begin{pmatrix} d_1(x, y) \\ \dots \\ d_n(x, y) \end{pmatrix}$$

Notice that  $d$  is a generalized metric space on  $X$  if and only if  $d_i, i = 1, \dots, n$  are metrics on  $X$ ,

$$H_d(A, B) = \max \left\{ \sup_{a \in A} d(a, B), \sup_{b \in B} d(A, b) \right\},$$

where  $d(A, b) = \inf_{a \in A} d(a, b), d(a, B) = \inf_{b \in B} d(a, b)$ . Then,  $(\mathcal{P}_{b,cl}(X), H_d)$  is a metric space and  $(\mathcal{P}_{cl}(X), H_d)$  is a generalized metric space.

A multivalued map  $F : X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$  is convex (closed) valued if  $F(y)$  is convex (closed) for all  $y \in X, F$  is bounded on bounded sets if  $F(B) = \bigcup_{y \in B} F(y)$  is bounded in  $X$  for all  $B \in \mathcal{P}_b(X)$ .  $F$  is called upper semi-continuous (u.s.c. for short) on  $X$  if for each  $y_0 \in X$  the set  $F(y_0)$  is a nonempty, closed subset of  $X$ , and for each open set  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$  containing  $F(y_0)$ , there exists an open neighborhood  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $y_0$  such that  $F(\mathcal{V}) \subset \mathcal{U}$ .  $F$  is said to be completely continuous if  $F(B)$  is relatively compact for every  $B \in \mathcal{P}_b(X)$ .

If the multivalued map  $F$  is completely continuous with nonempty compact valued, then  $F$  is u.s.c. if and only if  $F$  has a closed graph, i.e.,  $x_n \rightarrow x_*, y_n \rightarrow y_*, y_n \in F(x_n)$  imply  $y_* \in F(x_*)$ .

A multi-valued map  $F : J \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{cp,c}$  is said to be measurable if for each  $y \in X$ , the mean-square distance between  $y$  and  $F(t)$  is measurable.

**Definition 3.1.** The set-valued map  $F : J \times X \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X \times X)$  is said to be  $L^2$ -Carathéodory if

- (i).  $t \mapsto F(t, v)$  is measurable for each  $v \in X \times X$ ;
- (ii).  $v \mapsto F(t, v)$  is u.s.c. for almost all  $t \in J$ ;
- (iii). for each  $q > 0$ , there exists  $h_q \in L^1(J, \mathbb{R}^+)$  such that

$$\|F(t, v)\|^2 := \sup_{f \in F(t, v)} \|f\|^2 \leq h_q(t), \text{ for all } \|v\|^2 \leq q \text{ and for a.e. } t \in J.$$

We denote the graph of  $G$  to be the set  $gr(G) = \{(x, y) \in X \times Y, y \in G(x)\}$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** [?] *If  $G : X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{cl}(Y)$  is u.s.c., then  $gr(G)$  is a closed subset of  $X \times Y$ . Conversely, if  $G$  is locally compact and has nonempty compact values and a closed graph, then it is u.s.c.*

**Lemma 3.3.** [?] *If  $G : X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{cp}(Y)$  is quasicompact and has a closed graph, then  $G$  is u.s.c.*

**Definition 3.4.** A set-valued operator  $G : J \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{cl}(X)$  is said to be a contraction if there exists  $0 \leq \gamma < 1$  such that

$$H_d(G(x), G(y)) \leq \gamma d(x, y), \text{ for all } x, y \in X,$$

The following two results are easily deduced from the limit properties.

**Lemma 3.5.** (See e.g. [?], Theorem 1.4.13) *If  $G : X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{cp}(X)$  is u.s.c., then for any  $x_0 \in X$ ,*

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow x_0} G(x) = G(x_0).$$

**Lemma 3.6.** (See e.g. [?], Lemma 1.1.9) *If Let  $(K_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset K \subset X$  be a sequence of subsets where  $K$  is compact in the separable Banach space  $X$ . Then*

$$\overline{\text{co}}(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} K_n) = \bigcap_{N > 0} \overline{\text{co}}(\bigcup_{n \geq N} K_n)$$

where  $\overline{\text{co}}A$  refers to the closure of the convex hull of  $A$ .

The second one is due to Mazur, 1933:

**Lemma 3.7.** (Mazur’s Lemma, ([?] [Theorem 21.4])) *Let  $X$  be a normed space and  $\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X$  be a sequence weakly converging to a limit  $x \in X$ . Then there exists a sequence of convex combinations  $y_m = \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_{mk} x_k$  with  $\alpha_{mk} > 0$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_{mk} = 1$ , which converges strongly to  $x$ .*

**Lemma 3.8.** [?]  $C : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{cl}(X)$  such that

- (i).  $C$  is Hausdorff lower semicontinuous at  $t = 0$ ;
- (ii).  $\partial C$  is Hausdorff upper semicontinuous at  $t = 0$ ;
- (iii). there exist  $x \in X$  and  $r_0 > 0$  such that  $B(x, r_0) \subseteq C(0)$

Then for every  $r \in (0, r_0)$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $B(x, r) \subset C(r)$  for all  $t \in [0, \delta]$ .

### 4. Statement of the main results

**Definition 4.1.** A function  $x, y \in M^2([-r, T], X)$ , is said to be a solution of (1.3) if  $x, y$  satisfies the equation

$$\begin{cases} dx(t) \in N^p(x(t), C_1(t))dt + G^1(t, x_t, y_t)dB^{H_1} & a, e. t \in [0, T] \\ dy(t) \in N^p(y(t), C_2(t))dt + G^2(t, x_t, y_t)dB^{H_2} & a, e. t \in [0, T] \end{cases}$$

and the conditions  $(x(t), y(t)) \in (C_1(t), C_2(t))$ , for all  $t \in [0, T]$ .

First, we will list the following hypotheses which will be imposed in our main theorem. In this section,

(H<sub>1</sub>)  $C_j(t)$  is convex for every  $t \in [0, T]$  and there exists  $\lambda > 0$  such that

$$H_{d_j}(C_j(t), C_j(s)) \leq \lambda|t - s|,$$

for all  $t, s \in [0, T]$ ,

(H<sub>2</sub>) there exists a positive constant  $\alpha_j, \beta_j$  for each  $j = 1, 2$  such that

$$\mathbb{E}|G^j(t, x, y) - G^j(t, \bar{x}, \bar{y})| \leq \alpha_j \|x - \bar{x}\|_{M^2_{\mathbb{F}_0}} + \beta_j \|y - \bar{y}\|_{M^2_{\mathbb{F}_0}},$$

for all  $t \in [0, T]$  and  $x, y, \bar{x}, \bar{y} \in M^2([-r, 0], X)$

**Theorem 4.2.** *Assume that (H<sub>1</sub>) and (H<sub>2</sub>) hold. Then, problem (1.3) possesses a unique solution on  $[0, T]$ .*



*Proof.* The existence part. Therefore, we pass immediately to uniqueness. We shall obtain the solution by a well-established discretization procedure.

The following discretization scheme lies at the heart of many proofs for sweeping processes. Consider for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the following partition of  $[0, T]$ ,

$$t_{n,i} := \frac{iT}{2^n}, 0 \leq i \leq 2^n \text{ and } I_{n,i} = (t_{n,i}, t_{n,i+1}], \text{ if } 0 \leq i \leq 2^n - 1, n \geq 0.$$

$$x_{n,0} = \begin{cases} \phi(t), & t \in [-r, 0], \\ \phi(0), & t \in [0, t_{n,0}], \end{cases}$$

for any  $I_{n,0} = (t_{n,0}, t_{n,1}]$ , we have

$$x_{n,1} = \begin{cases} x_{n,0}(t), & t \in [-r, t_{n,0}], \\ \text{proj}\left(\phi(0) + G^1(t_{n,0}, x_{(n,0)t_{n,0}}, y_{(n,0)t_{n,0}})(B^{H_1}(t_{n,1}) - B^{H_1}(t_{n,0}), C_1(t_{n,1}))\right), & t \in [t_{n,0}, t_{n,1}] \end{cases}$$

for any  $I_{n,1} = (t_{n,1}, t_{n,2}]$ , we have

$$x_{n,2} = \begin{cases} x_{n,1}(t), & t \in [-r, t_{n,1}], \\ \text{proj}\left(x_{n,1}(t_{n,1}) + G^1(t_{n,1}, x_{(n,1)t_{n,1}}, y_{(n,1)t_{n,1}})(B^{H_1}(t_{n,2}) - B^{H_1}(t_{n,1}), C_1(t_{n,2}))\right), & t \in [t_{n,1}, t_{n,2}]. \end{cases}$$

With the same argument we can define recursively

$$x_{n,i+1} = \begin{cases} x_{n,i}(t), & t \in [-r, t_{n,i}], \\ \text{proj}\left(x_{n,i}(t_{n,i}) + G^1(t_{n,i}, x_{(n,i)t_{n,i}}, y_{(n,i)t_{n,i}})(B^{H_1}(t_{n,i+1}) - B^{H_1}(t_{n,i}), C_1(t_{n,i+1}))\right), & t \in [t_{n,i}, t_{n,i+1}]. \end{cases}$$

Estimate  $(x_n, y_n)$  by norm  $M^2([-r, T], X) \times M^2([-r, T], X)$ , since  $(x_n, y_n)$  is piecewise affine, by direct calculations,

$$\sup\{\sqrt{E|x_{n,i+1}(t) - x_{n,i}(t)|^2} : t \in [-r, T]\} \leq \lambda \frac{T}{2^n}. \tag{4.1}$$

Observe that  $(x_{n,i}(t), y_{n,i}(t)) \in (C_1(t_{n,i}), C_2(t_{n,i}))$ , and

$$\mathbb{E}|x_{n,i+1}(t) - x_{n,i}(t)| \leq \mathbb{E}H_{d_1}(C_1(t_{n,i}), C_1(t_{n,i+1})) \leq \lambda \frac{T}{2^n} \tag{4.2}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}|y_{n,i+1}(t) - y_{n,i}(t)| \leq \mathbb{E}H_{d_2}(C_2(t_{n,i}), C_2(t_{n,i+1})) \leq \lambda \frac{T}{2^n}, \tag{4.3}$$

for all  $t \in (t_{n,i-1}, t_{n,i}]$ , for every  $0 \leq i \leq 2^n$ .

By affine interpolation we define a corresponding sequence of approximate solutions  $x_n, y_n \in M^2([-r, T], X)$ ; for  $t \in I_{n,i}$  the explicit formula is

$$x_n(t) = \begin{cases} x_{n,i}(t), & t \in [-r, t_{n,i}] \\ x_{n,i}(t_{n,i}) + \frac{t-t_{n,i}}{\epsilon_n}(x_{n,i+1}(t) - x_{n,i}(t)) \\ \quad + G^1(t_{n,i}, x(n,i)_{t_{n,i}})(B^{H_1}(t) - B^{H_1}(t_{n,1})), & t \in [t_{n,i}, t_{n,i+1}] \end{cases}$$

and

$$y_n(t) = \begin{cases} y_{n,i}(t), & t \in [-r, t_{n,i}] \\ y_{n,i}(t_{n,i}) + \frac{t-t_{n,i}}{\epsilon_n}(y_{n,i+1}(t) - y_{n,i}(t)) \\ \quad + G^2(t_{n,i}, x(n,i)_{t_{n,i}}, y(n,i)_{t_{n,i}})(B^{H_2}(t) - B^{H_2}(t_{n,1})), & t \in [t_{n,i}, t_{n,i+1}] \end{cases}$$

where  $\epsilon_n = \frac{T}{2^n}$  and for every  $0 \leq i \leq 2^n - 1$ .

From the definition of normal proximal cone, we have

$$\begin{aligned} dx_n(t) &\in -N(x_{n,i+1}, C_1(t_{n,i+1}))dt \\ &\quad + G^1(t_{n,i}, x(n,i)_{t_{n,i}}, y(n,i)_{t_{n,i}})(B^{H_1}(t) - B^{H_1}(t_{n,1})). \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} dy_n(t) &\in -N(y_{n,i+1}, C_2(t_{n,i+1}))dt \\ &\quad + G^2(t_{n,i}, x(n,i)_{t_{n,i}}, y(n,i)_{t_{n,i}})(B^{H_2}(t) - B^{H_2}(t_{n,1})). \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Now we prove that  $\{x_n, y_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is compact in  $M^2([-r, T], X)$ , for each  $z_n = (x_n, y_n)$  in  $M^2([-r, T], X) \times M^2([-r, T], X)$ .

**Step 1.**  $\{(x_n, y_n) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  are bounded sets in  $M^2([-r, T], X) \times M^2([-r, T], X)$ .

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |x_n(t)| &\leq |x_{n,i}(t)| + |x_{n,i+1}(t) - x_{n,i}(t)| \\ &\quad + b|G^1(t_{n,i}, x(n,i)_{t_{n,i}}, y(n,i)_{t_{n,i}})|(B^{H_1}(t) - B^{H_1}(t_{n,1}))| \\ &\leq |x_{n,0}(t)| + \sum_{k=1}^{i+1} |x_{n,k-1}(t) - x_{n,k}(t)| \\ &\quad + T|G^1(t_{n,i}, x(n,i)_{t_{n,i}}, y(n,i)_{t_{n,i}})|(B^{H_1}(t) - B^{H_1}(t_{n,1}))| \\ &\leq \|\phi\| + 2T + T\left(|G^1(t_{n,i}, x(n,i)_{t_{n,i}}, y(n,i)_{t_{n,i}})\right. \\ &\quad \left.- G^1(t_{n,i}, 0, 0)| + |G^1(t_{n,i}, 0, 0)|\right)|(B^{H_1}(t) - B^{H_1}(t_{n,1}))| \\ &\leq \|\phi\| + 2T + T\left(\alpha_1\|(x_{n,i})_{t_{n,i}}\|_{M^2_{\mathcal{F}_0}}\right. \\ &\quad \left.+ \beta_1\|(y_{n,i})_{t_{n,i}}\|_{M^2_{\mathcal{F}_0}} + |G^1(t_{n,i}, 0, 0)|\right)|(B^{H_1}(t) - B^{H_1}(t_{n,1}))|. \end{aligned}$$

By definition  $(x_{n,i}, y_{n,i})$  we can prove that there exist  $M, \bar{M} > 0$  such that

$$\sup\{\mathbb{E}|x_{n,i}(t)| : t \in [-r, T]\} \leq M$$

and

$$\sup\{\mathbb{E}|y_{n,i}(t)| : t \in [-r, T]\} \leq \bar{M}.$$

Hence, by using (4.2) and (4.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|x_n(t)|^2 &\leq 2\mathbb{E}|\phi|^2 + 4T^2 + 2T^2\left(\alpha_1 E\|(x_{n,i})_{t_{n,i}}\|^2 + \beta_1 E\|(y_{n,i})_{t_{n,i}}\|^2\right) \\ &\quad + \sup_{t \in [0, b]} |G^1(t, 0, 0)|^2 \mathbb{E}|(B^{H_1}(t) - B^{H_1}(t_{n,1}))|^2 \\ &\leq 2\mathbb{E}|\phi|^2 + 4T^2 + 2T^2\left(\alpha_1 \mathbb{E}\|(x_{n,i})_{t_{n,i}}\|^2 + \beta_1 E\|(y_{n,i})_{t_{n,i}}\|^2\right) \\ &\quad + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |G^1(t, 0, 0)|^2 |t - t_{n,1}|^{2H_1} \\ &\leq 2\mathbb{E}|\phi|^2 + 4T^2 + 2T^2\left(\alpha_1 M + \beta_1 \bar{M} + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |G^1(t, 0, 0)|^2\right) |t - t_{n,1}|^{2H_1} \\ &\leq 2\mathbb{E}|\phi|^2 + 4T^2 + 2T^2\left(\alpha_1 M + \beta_1 \bar{M} + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |G^1(t, 0, 0)|^2\right) T^{2H_1} = l_1. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\mathbb{E}|y_n(t)|^2 \leq 2\mathbb{E}|\bar{\phi}|^2 + 4T^2 + 2T^2\left(\alpha_2 \bar{M} + \beta_2 \bar{M} + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |G^2(t, 0, 0)|^2\right) T^{2H_2} = l_2.$$

which implies that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{E}|x_n(t)|^2 \\ \mathbb{E}|y_n(t)|^2 \end{pmatrix} \leq \begin{pmatrix} l_1 \\ l_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

**Step 2.**  $\{(x_n, y_n) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  are equicontinuous sets in  $M^2([-r, T], X) \times M^2([-r, T], X)$ .

Let  $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in [t_{n,i}, t_{n,i+1}]$ ,  $\tau_1 < \tau_2$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}|x_n(\tau_2) - x_n(\tau_1)|^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left| \frac{\tau_2 - \tau_1}{\epsilon_n} (x_{n,i+1} - x_{n,i}) + G^1(t_{n,i}, x_{(n,i)}_{t_{n,i}}, y_{(n,i)}_{t_{n,i}})(B^{H_1}(\tau_2) - B^{H_1}(\tau_1)) \right|^2 \\ &\leq 2|\tau_2 - \tau_1|^2 + 2\left(\alpha_1 M + \beta_1 \bar{M} + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |G^1(t, 0, 0)|^2\right) |\tau_2 - \tau_1|^{2H_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|y_n(\tau_2) - y_n(\tau_1)|^2 &\leq 2|\tau_2 - \tau_1|^2 \\ &\quad + 2\left(\alpha_2 M + \beta_2 \bar{M} + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |G^2(t, 0, 0)|^2\right) |\tau_2 - \tau_1|^{2H_2}. \end{aligned}$$

The right-hand side tends to zero as  $\tau_2 - \tau_1 \rightarrow 0$ , and  $\epsilon$  sufficiently small. From Steps 1, 2. By the Arzela-Ascoli theorem, we conclude that there is a subsequence of  $(x_n, y_n)$ , again denoted  $(x_n, y_n)$  which converges to  $(x, y) \in M^2([-r, T], X)$ .

Now, we prove that  $(x(t), y(t)) \in (C_1(t), C_2(t))$ . Let  $\rho_n(t), \mu_n(t)$  be two functions from  $[0, T]$  into  $[0, T]$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_n(t) &= t_{n,i}, & \text{if } t \in [t_{n,i}, t_{n,i+1}), & \quad \rho_n(0) = 0 \\ \mu_n(t) &= t_{n,i+1}, & \text{if } t \in [t_{n,i}, t_{n,i+1}), & \quad \mu_n(0) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $t \in [0, T]$ . From (4.4) and (4.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 dx_n(t) &\in -N(x_n(\mu_n(t)), C_1(\mu_n(t)))dt \\
 &+ G^1(t_{\rho_n(t)}, x_{\rho_n(t)}, y_{\rho_n(t)})dB^{H_1}(\rho_n(t)), \text{ a.e. } t \in [0, T]
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{4.6}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 dy_n(t) &\in -N(x_n(\mu_n(t)), C_2(\mu_n(t)))dt \\
 &+ G^2(t_{\rho_n(t)}, x_{\rho_n(t)}, y_{\rho_n(t)})dB^{H_2}(\rho_n(t)), \text{ a.e. } t \in [0, T].
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{4.7}$$

Moreover, for all  $n$  large enough, we have

$$\rho_n(t) \rightarrow t, \quad \mu_n(t) \rightarrow t \quad \text{uniformly on } [0, b]$$

Since  $|\rho_n(t) - t| \leq \frac{T}{2^n}$  and  $|\mu_n(t) - t| \leq \frac{T}{2^n}$ . Thus

$$|y_n(\rho_n(t)) - y_n(t)| \leq H_{d_1}(C_1(\rho_n(t)), C_1(t)) \leq \lambda|\rho_n(t) - t|,$$

which immediately yields

$$\sup\{\sqrt{\mathbb{E}|y_n(\rho_n(t)) - y_n(t)|^2} : t \in [0, T]\} \leq \lambda\sqrt{E|\rho_n(t) - t|^2} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Let  $t \in [0, T]$ . From (4.1) for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}, t_{n,i} \in I_{n,i}$  for some  $i$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |x_n(t) - C_1(t)| &\leq |x_n(t) - x_n(t_{n,i})| + d(x_n(t_{n,i}), C_1(t)) \\
 &\leq \lambda\frac{T}{2^n} + H_{d_1}(C_1(t_{n,i}), C_1(t)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$|x_n(t) - C_1(t)| \leq \lambda\frac{T}{2^{n-1}}.
 \tag{4.8}$$

Since  $(x_n, y_n)$  is defined by linear interpolation, we obtain

$$|x'_n(t)| \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon_n} \sup_i |x_{n,i+1}(t) - x_{n,i}(t)|,$$

and

$$|y'_n(t)| \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon_n} \sup_i |y_{n,i+1}(t) - y_{n,i}(t)|.$$

By letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (4.8) for all  $t \in [0, T]$ , we obtain that

$$(x(t), y(t)) \in (C_1, C_2).$$

Now, we prove that the sequences of composition mappings  $(x_n \circ \mu_n, y \circ \mu_n)$  and  $(x_n \circ \rho_n, y \circ \rho_n)$  converge uniforms to  $(x_t, y_t)$  in  $M^2([-r, 0], X)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{E}|x_n(\rho_n(t) + \tau) - x(t + \tau)|^2 &\leq 3\mathbb{E}|x_n(\rho_n(t) + \tau) - x_n(t + \tau)|^2 \\
 &+ 3\mathbb{E}|x_n(\rho_n(t) + \tau) - x_n(\mu_n(t) + \tau)|^2 \\
 &+ 3\mathbb{E}|x_n(\mu_n(t) + \tau) - x_n(t + \tau)|^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sup_{\tau \in [-r, 0]} \mathbb{E}|(x_n)_{\rho_n(t)} - x_t|^2 &\leq 3\lambda^2\mathbb{E}|\rho_n(t) - t|^2 + 3\mathbb{E}|\rho_n(t) - \mu_n(t)|^2 \\
 &+ 3 \sup_{\tau \in [-r, T]} \mathbb{E}|x_n(\mu_n(t)) - x(t)|^2 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $|(\rho_n(t) - \tau) - (t - \tau)| \leq \frac{T}{2^n}$  and  $|\mu_n(t) - \rho_n(t)| \leq \frac{T}{2^{n-1}}$ . We can pass to the limit when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we deduce from

$$(x_{\rho_n(t)}, y_{\rho_n(t)}) \rightarrow (x_t, y_t) \in M^2([-r, 0], X)$$

and, the fact that  $G^i(., ., .)$  is a continuous function then we have

$$G^i(\rho_n(t), x_{\rho_n(t)}, y_{\rho_n(t)}) \rightarrow G^i(t, x_t, y_t).$$

Now, we show that

$$dx(t) \in -N(x(t), C_1(t))dt + G^1(t, x_t, y_t)dB^{H_1}(t), \text{ a.e. } t \in [0, T]. \tag{4.9}$$

and

$$dy(t) \in -N(y(t), C_2(t))dt + G^2(t, x_t, y_t)dB^{H_2}(t), \text{ a.e. } t \in [0, T]. \tag{4.10}$$

Since  $(x_n, y_n)$  is bounded in  $X \times X$ , there exists a subsequence of  $(x_n, y_n)$  converge to  $(x, y)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \sigma\left(-x'_n(t) + G^1(t, (x_n)_t, (y_n)_t)dB^{H_1}(t), C_1(\mu_n(t))\right)dt \\ & \leq \int_0^T \left(-x'_n(t) + G^1(t, (x_n)_t, (y_n)_t)dB^{H_1}(t), x(\mu_n(t))\right)dt. \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

Using the fact that  $\sigma(., C_j(t))$  is lower semicontinuous [?], then

$$\begin{aligned} & \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \sigma\left(-x'_n(t) + G^1(t, (x_n)_t, (y_n)_t)dB^{H_1}(t), C_1(\mu_n(t))\right)dt \\ & \geq \int_0^T \left(-x'(t) + G^1(t, x_t, y_t)dB^{H_1}(t), C_1(t)\right)dt. \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

By (5.16) and (5.18), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \left(-x'(t) + G^1(t, x_t, y_t)dB^{H_1}(t), C_1(t)\right)dt \\ & \geq \int_0^T \sigma\left(-x'(t) + G^1(t, x_t, y_t)dB^{H_1}(t), C_1(t)\right)dt. \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

Thus,

$$dx(t) \in -N(x(t), C_1(t))dt + G^1(t, x_t, y_t)dB^{H_1}(t), \text{ a.e. } t \in [0, T].$$

and

$$dy(t) \in -N(y(t), C_2(t))dt + G^2(t, x_t, y_t)dB^{H_2}(t), \text{ a.e. } t \in [0, T].$$

Finally, we prove the uniqueness of solutions of the problem (1.3). Let us assume that  $(x, y)$  and  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$  are two solutions of (1.3).

$$d\bar{x}(t) \in -N(\bar{x}(t), C_1(t))dt + G^1(t, \bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t)dB^{H_1}(t), \text{ a.e. } t \in [0, T],$$

and

$$d\bar{y}(t) \in -N(\bar{y}(t), C_2(t))dt + G^2(t, \bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t)dB^{H_2}(t), \text{ a.e. } t \in [0, T].$$

Since  $C(t) = (C_1(t), C_2(t))$  is a convex set, then

$$T_{C_j}(z) = \cup_{h>0} \overline{\frac{C_j(t) - z}{h}},$$

for all  $t \in [0, T]$ ,

$$T_{C_j}(z) \subset \{v \in X : \langle v, \xi \rangle \leq 0 \text{ for all } \xi \in N^p(z, \xi)\},$$

which immediately yields

$$\left\langle x'(t) - \bar{x}'(t) + \left( G^1(t, x_t, y_t) - G^1(t, \bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) \right) dB^{H_1}(t), x(t) - \bar{x}(t) \right\rangle \leq 0.$$

Thus, we deduce

$$\left\langle x'(t) - \bar{x}'(t), x(t) - \bar{x}(t) \right\rangle + \left\langle \left( G^1(t, x_t, y_t) - G^1(t, \bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) \right) dB^{H_1}(t), x(t) - \bar{x}(t) \right\rangle \leq 0.$$

By assumptions  $(H_1)$ ,  $(H_2)$  imply

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{d}{dt} \left| x(t) - \bar{x}(t) \right|^2 &\leq \alpha_1 \|x_t - \bar{x}_t\|_{M_{\mathcal{F}_0}^2} \left| x(t) - \bar{x}(t) \right| dB^{H_1}(t) \\ &+ \beta_1 \|y_t - \bar{y}_t\|_{M_{\mathcal{F}_0}^2} \left| x(t) - \bar{x}(t) \right| dB^{H_1}(t) \end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{d}{dt} \left| y(t) - \bar{y}(t) \right|^2 &\leq \alpha_2 \|x_t - \bar{x}_t\|_{M_{\mathcal{F}_0}^2} \left| y(t) - \bar{y}(t) \right| dB^{H_1}(t) \\ &+ \beta_2 \|y_t - \bar{y}_t\|_{M_{\mathcal{F}_0}^2} \left| y(t) - \bar{y}(t) \right| dB^{H_1}(t). \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

Integrating (4.14) and (4.15) over  $(0, t)$  we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \left| x(t) - \bar{x}(t) \right|^2 &\leq \alpha_1 \int_0^t \|x_s - \bar{x}_s\|_{M_{\mathcal{F}_0}^2} \left| x(s) - \bar{x}(s) \right| dB^{H_1}(s) \\ &+ \beta_1 \int_0^t \|y_s - \bar{y}_s\|_{M_{\mathcal{F}_0}^2} \left| x(s) - \bar{x}(s) \right| dB^{H_1}(s) \\ &\leq \alpha_1 \int_0^t \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \sqrt{E|x(s) - \bar{x}(s)|^2} \left| x(s) - \bar{x}(s) \right| dB^{H_1}(s) \\ &+ \beta_1 \int_0^t \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \sqrt{E|y(s) - \bar{y}(s)|^2} \left| x(s) - \bar{x}(s) \right| dB^{H_1}(s). \end{aligned}$$

Then, for each  $t \in [0, T]$  and thanks to Lemma 2.4,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left| x(t) - \bar{x}(t) \right|^4 &\leq 2\alpha_1 \mathbb{E} \left| \int_0^t \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \sqrt{\mathbb{E}|x(s) - \bar{x}(s)|^2} \left| x(s) - \bar{x}(s) \right| dB^{H_1}(s) \right|^2 \\ &+ 2\beta_1 \mathbb{E} \left| \int_0^t \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \sqrt{\mathbb{E}|y(s) - \bar{y}(s)|^2} \left| x(s) - \bar{x}(s) \right| dB^{H_1}(s) \right|^2 \\ &\leq 2c_2(H_1)H_1(2H_1 - 1)T^{2H_1 - 1} \alpha_1 \int_0^t \sup_{s \in [0, t]} E|x(s) - \bar{x}(s)|^4 ds \\ &+ 2c_2(H_1)H_1(2H_1 - 1)T^{2H_1 - 1} \beta_1 \\ &\quad \int_0^t \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \mathbb{E}|x(s) - \bar{x}(s)|^2 E|y(s) - \bar{y}(s)|^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\mathbb{E}\left|x(t) - \bar{x}(t)\right|^4 \leq A_1 \int_0^t \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \mathbb{E}|x(s) - \bar{x}(s)|^4 ds + B_1 \int_0^t \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \mathbb{E}|y(s) - \bar{y}(s)|^4 ds,$$

where

$$A_1 = 2c_2(H_1)H_1(2H_1 - 1)T^{2H_1-1}(2\alpha_1 + \beta_1)$$

and

$$B_1 = c_2(H_1)H_1(2H_1 - 1)T^{2H_1-1}\beta_1.$$

In the same way, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\left|y(t) - \bar{y}(t)\right|^4 &\leq 2c_2(H_2)H_2(2H_2 - 1)T^{2H_2-1}\alpha_2 \int_0^t \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \mathbb{E}|y(s) - \bar{y}(s)|^4 ds \\ &+ 2c_2(H_2)H_2(2H_2 - 1)T^{2H_2-1}\beta_2 \\ &\int_0^t \sup_{s \in [0,t]} E|x(s) - \bar{x}(s)|^2 \mathbb{E}|y(s) - \bar{y}(s)|^2 ds, \end{aligned}$$

and, consequently,

$$\mathbb{E}\left|y(t) - \bar{y}(t)\right|^4 \leq A_2 \int_0^t \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \mathbb{E}|y(s) - \bar{y}(s)|^4 ds + B_2 \int_0^t \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \mathbb{E}|x(s) - \bar{x}(s)|^4 ds,$$

where

$$A_3 = c_2(H_2)H_2(2H_2 - 1)T^{2H_2-1}(2\alpha_2 + \beta_2),$$

and

$$A_4 = c_2(H_2)H_2(2H_2 - 1)T^{2H_2-1}\beta_2.$$

Adding these we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\left|x(t) - \bar{x}(t)\right|^4 + \mathbb{E}\left|y(t) - \bar{y}(t)\right|^4 &\leq A_* \int_0^t \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \mathbb{E}|x(s) - \bar{x}(s)|^4 ds \\ &+ B_* \int_0^t \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \mathbb{E}|y(s) - \bar{y}(s)|^4 ds, \end{aligned}$$

where  $A_* = A_1 + B_2$ ,  $B_* = A_2 + B_1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \mathbb{E}\left|x(t) - \bar{x}(t)\right|^4 + \mathbb{E}\left|y(t) - \bar{y}(t)\right|^4 &\leq A_{**} \int_0^t \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \left( \mathbb{E}|x(s) - \bar{x}(s)|^4 \right. \\ &\left. + \mathbb{E}|y(s) - \bar{y}(s)|^4 \right) ds, \end{aligned}$$

where  $A_{**} = \max\{A_*, B_*\}$ .

By a generalization of Gronwall inequality, we have

$$\sup_{s \in [0,t]} \mathbb{E}\left|x(t) - \bar{x}(t)\right|^4 + \mathbb{E}\left|y(t) - \bar{y}(t)\right|^4 = 0 \implies (x(t), y(t)) = (\bar{x}(t), \bar{y}(t)), \text{ a.e. } t \in [0, T].$$

The proof is therefore complete. □

### 5. Perturbation Problem (1.3)

To prove the main result we will need the following auxiliary inclusion:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} -dx(t) \in N_{C_1(t)}(x(t))dt + F^1(t, x_t, y_t)dt \\ \quad + G^1(t, x_t, y_t)dB^{H_1}, \text{ a.e. } t \in [0, T] \\ -dy(t) \in N_{C_2(t)}(y(t))dt + F^2(t, x_t, y_t)dt \\ \quad + G^2(t, x_t, y_t)dB^{H_2}, \text{ a.e. } t \in [0, T] \\ x(t) = \phi(t), t \in [-r, 0], x(0) \in C_1(0) \\ y(t) = \bar{\phi}(t), t \in [-r, 0], y(0) \in C_2(0) \end{array} \right. \tag{5.1}$$

Very recently in the case where  $G^i = 0$  the perturbation problem was studied by Castaing et al . [?]. The aim in those works, is to study the existence of a solution of the problem (5.1) and investigated the topological structure of the solution set. The goal of this section is to study the existence result of the problem (5.1).

**Theorem 5.1.** *Assume that  $(H_1)$  and  $(H_2)$  and the conditions .*

$(H_3)$   $F^j : [0, T] \times M^2([-r, 0], X) \times M^2([-r, 0], X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{cp,cv}(X)$  be a u.s.c. Carathéodory multimap, and for each  $t \in [0, T]$ , scalarly  $\mathcal{L}([0, T]) \otimes \mathcal{B}(M^2([-r, 0], X), X)$  measurable, where  $\mathcal{L}([0, T])$  is the  $\sigma$ - algebra of Lebesgue measurable sets of  $[0, T]$  and  $\mathcal{B}(M^2)$  is the Borel tribe of  $M^2$  and  $|F^j(t, x, y)| \leq k_j$  for all  $(t, x, y) \in [0, T] \times M^2([-r, 0], X) \times M^2([-r, 0], X)$  or some constant  $k_j > 0$ .

Then, problem (5.1) has at least one solution on  $[0, T]$ .

*Proof.* Consider for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the following partition of  $[0, T]$ ,

$$t_{n,i} := \frac{iT}{2^n}, 0 \leq i \leq 2^n \text{ and } I_{n,i} = (t_{n,i}, t_{n,i+1}], \text{ if } 0 \leq i \leq 2^n - 1, n \geq 0.$$

$$x_{n,0} = \begin{cases} \phi(t), & t \in [-r, 0], \\ \phi(0), & t \in [0, t_{n,0}], \end{cases}$$

for any  $I_{n,0} = (t_{n,0}, t_{n,1}]$ , we have

$$x_{n,1} = \begin{cases} x_{n,0}(t), & t \in [-r, t_{n,0}], \\ \text{proj} \left( \phi(0) + g_0^1(t_{n,0}) \right. \\ \quad \left. + G^1(t_{n,0}, x_{(n,0)t_{n,0}}, y_{(n,0)t_{n,0}})(B^{H_1}(t_{n,1}) \right. \\ \quad \left. - B^{H_1}(t_{n,0}), C(t_{n,1})) \right), & t \in [t_{n,0}, t_{n,1}]. \end{cases}$$



Similarly, for any  $I_{n,1} = (t_{n,1}, t_{n,2}]$ , we have

$$x_{n,2} = \begin{cases} x_{n,1}(t), & t \in [-r, t_{n,1}], \\ \text{proj} \left( x_{n,1}(t_{n,1}) + g_0^1(t_{n,1}) \right. \\ \left. + G^1(t_{n,1}, x_{(n,1)t_{n,1}}, y_{(n,1)t_{n,1}})(B^{H_1}(t_{n,2}) \right. \\ \left. - B^{H_1}(t_{n,1}), C(t_{n,2})) \right), & t \in [t_{n,1}, t_{n,2}]. \end{cases}$$

With the same argument we can define recursively, for any  $I_{n,i} = (t_{n,i}, t_{n,i+1}]$ ,

$$x_{n,i+1} = \begin{cases} x_{n,i}(t), & t \in [-r, t_{n,i}], \\ \text{proj} \left( x_{n,i}(t_{n,i}) + g_0^1(t_{n,i}) \right. \\ \left. + G^1(t_{n,i}, x_{(n,i)t_{n,i}}, y_{(n,i)t_{n,i}})(B^{H_1}(t_{n,i+1}) \right. \\ \left. - B^{H_1}(t_{n,i}), C(t_{n,i+1})) \right), & t \in [t_{n,i}, t_{n,i+1}] \end{cases}$$

where

$$g_0^j(t, u) = \min\{|x| : x \in F^j(t, u)\}.$$

By construction, we have  $(x_{n,i}, y_{n,i}) \in (C_1, C_2)$ , for all  $t \in [t_{n,i-1}, t_{n,i}]$ . Then for every  $0 \leq i \leq 2^n$ ,

$$|x_{n,i+1}(t) - x_{n,i}(t)| \leq H_{d_1}(C_1(t_{n,i}), C_1(t_{n,i+1})) \leq \lambda \frac{T}{2^n}$$

and

$$|y_{n,i+1}(t) - y_{n,i}(t)| \leq H_{d_2}(C_1(t_{n,i}), C_1(t_{n,i+1})) \leq \lambda \frac{T}{2^n}$$

and, consequently,

$$\sup \left\{ \sqrt{\mathbb{E}|x_{n,i+1}(t) - x_{n,i}(t)|^2} : t \in [-r, T] \right\} \leq \lambda \frac{T}{2^n} \tag{5.2}$$

and

$$\sup \left\{ \sqrt{\mathbb{E}|y_{n,i+1}(t) - y_{n,i}(t)|^2} : t \in [-r, T] \right\} \leq \lambda \frac{T}{2^n} \tag{5.3}$$

Put

$$x_n(t) = \begin{cases} x_{n,i}(t), & t \in [-r, t_{n,i}] \\ x_{n,i}(t_{n,i}) + \frac{t-t_{n,i}}{\epsilon_n}(x_{n,i+1}(t) - x_{n,i}(t)) + (t - t_{n,i})g_0^1(t_{n,i}) \\ \quad + G^1(t_{n,i}, x_{t_{n,i}}, y_{t_{n,i}})(B^{H_1}(t) - B^{H_1}(t_{n,1})), & t \in [t_{n,i}, t_{n,i+1}]. \end{cases}$$

and

$$y_n(t) = \begin{cases} y_{n,i}(t), & t \in [-r, t_{n,i}] \\ y_{n,i}(t_{n,i}) + \frac{t-t_{n,i}}{\epsilon_n}(y_{n,i+1}(t) - y_{n,i}(t)) + (t - t_{n,i})g_0^2(t_{n,i}) \\ \quad + G^2(t_{n,i}, x_{t_{n,i}}, y_{t_{n,i}})(B^{H_2}(t) - B^{H_2}(t_{n,1})), & t \in [t_{n,i}, t_{n,i+1}]. \end{cases}$$

Since  $(x_n, y_n)$  is defined by linear interpolation, we have

$$|x'_n(t)| \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon_n} \sup_i |x_{n,i+1}(t) - x_{n,i}(t)|$$

and

$$|y'_n(t)| \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon_n} \sup_i |y_{n,i+1}(t) - y_{n,i}(t)|.$$

Using the fact that the projections are non-expansive, thus

$$|x_{n,i+1}(t) - \text{proj}(x_{n,i}(t), C_1(t_{n,i+1}))| \leq \epsilon_n |g_0^1(t_{n,i})| \leq \epsilon_n k_1.$$

and

$$|y_{n,i+1}(t) - \text{proj}(y_{n,i}(t), C_2(t_{n,i+1}))| \leq \epsilon_n |g_0^2(t_{n,i})| \leq \epsilon_n k_2.$$

Hence

$$|x_{n,i+1}(t) - x_{n,i}(t)| \leq \epsilon_n (k_1 + \lambda). \tag{5.4}$$

Thus

$$|x'_n(t)| \leq k_1 + \lambda \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{t \in J} |x'_n(t)|^2 \leq (k_1 + \lambda)^2. \tag{5.5}$$

From the definition of normal proximal cone, we have

$$\begin{aligned} dx_n(t) &\in -N(x_{n,i+1}, C_1(t_{n,i+1}))dt + g_0^1(t_{n,i})dt \\ &+ G^1(t_{n,i}, x_{(n,i)t_{n,i}}, y_{(n,i)t_{n,i}})(B^{H_1}(t) - B^{H_1}(t_{n,1})), \text{ a.e. } t \in [0, T] \end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} dy_n(t) &\in -N(y_{n,i+1}, C_2(t_{n,i+1}))dt + g_0^2(t_{n,i})dt \\ &+ G^2(t_{n,i}, x_{(n,i)t_{n,i}}, y_{(n,i)t_{n,i}})(B^{H_2}(t) - B^{H_2}(t_{n,1})), \text{ a.e. } t \in [0, T]. \end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

Now we prove that  $\{(x_n, y_n) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is compact in  $M^2([-r, T], X) \times M^2([-r, T], X)$ .

**Step 1.**  $\{(x_n, y_n) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  are bounded sets in  $M^2([-r, T], X) \times M^2([-r, T], X)$ .

We have

$$\begin{aligned} |x_n(t)| &\leq |x_{n,i}(t)| + |x_{n,i+1}(t) - x_{n,i}(t)| + T|g_0^1(t_{n,i}, x_{(n,i)t_{n,i}}, y_{(n,i)t_{n,i}})| \\ &\quad + |G^1(t_{n,i}, x_{(n,i)t_{n,i}}, y_{(n,i)t_{n,i}})|(B^{H_1}(t) - B^{H_1}(t_{n,1}))| \\ &\leq |x_{n,0}(t)| + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{i+1} |x_{n,k-1}(t) - x_{n,k}(t)| + Tk_1 \\ &\quad + |G^1(t_{n,i}, x_{(n,i)t_{n,i}}, y_{(n,i)t_{n,i}})|(B^{H_1}(t) - B^{H_1}(t_{n,1}))| \\ &\leq \|\phi\| + 2T + \left( |G^1(t_{n,i}, x_{(n,i)t_{n,i}}, y_{(n,i)t_{n,i}}) - G^1(t_{n,i}, 0, 0)| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + |G^1(t_{n,i}, 0, 0)| \right) |(B^{H_1}(t) - B^{H_1}(t_{n,1}))| \\ &\leq \|\phi\| + 2T + Tk_1 \\ &\quad + T \left( \alpha_1 \|x_{(n,i)t_{n,i}}\|_{M_{\mathcal{F}_0}^2} + \beta_1 \|y_{(n,i)t_{n,i}}\|_{M_{\mathcal{F}_0}^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + |G^1(t_{n,i}, 0, 0)| \right) |(B^{H_1}(t) - B^{H_1}(t_{n,1}))|. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|x_n(t)|^2 &\leq 2(\|\phi\|^2 + 2T + Tk_1)^2 + 2T^2(\alpha_1 M + \beta_1 \bar{M}) \\ &\quad + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |G^1(t, 0, 0)|^2 \mathbb{E}|(B^{H_1}(t) - B^{H_1}(t_{n,1}))|^2 \\ &\leq 2(\|\phi\|^2 + 2T + Tk_1)^2 \\ &\quad + 2T^2\left(\alpha_1 M + \beta_1 \bar{M} + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |G^1(t, 0, 0)|^2\right) T^{2H_1} := \bar{l}_1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\sup\{\sqrt{\mathbb{E}|x_n(t)|^2} : t \in [-r, T]\} \leq \bar{l}_1.$$

and

$$\sup\{\sqrt{\mathbb{E}|y_n(t)|^2} : t \in [-r, T]\} \leq \bar{l}_2.$$

Which implies that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{E}|x_n(t)|^2 \\ \mathbb{E}|y_n(t)|^2 \end{pmatrix} \leq \begin{pmatrix} \bar{l}_1 \\ \bar{l}_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

**Step 2.**  $\{(x_n, y_n), n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  are equicontinuous sets in  $M^2([-r, T], X)$ .

Let  $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in [t_{n,i}, t_{n,i+1}], \tau_1 < \tau_2$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}|x_n(\tau_2) - x_n(\tau_1)|^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left| \frac{\tau_2 - \tau_1}{\epsilon_n} (x_{n,i+1} - x_{n,i}) + (\tau_2 - \tau_1) g_0^1(t_{n,i}, x(n,i)_{t_{n,i}}, y(n,i)_{t_{n,i}}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + G^1(t_{n,i}, x(n,i)_{t_{n,i}}, y(n,i)_{t_{n,i}}) (B^{H_1}(\tau_2) - B^{H_1}(\tau_1)) \right|^2 \\ &\leq 3|\tau_2 - \tau_1|^2 + 3\left(\alpha_1 M + \beta_1 \bar{M} + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |G^1(t, 0, 0)|^2\right) |\tau_2 - \tau_1|^{2H_1} \\ &\quad + 3k_1^2 |\tau_2 - \tau_1|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|y_n(\tau_2) - y_n(\tau_1)|^2 &\leq 3|\tau_2 - \tau_1|^2 + 3\left(\alpha_2 M + \beta_2 \bar{M} + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |G^2(t, 0, 0)|^2\right) |\tau_2 - \tau_1|^{2H_2} \\ &\quad + 3k_2^2 |\tau_2 - \tau_1|^2. \end{aligned}$$

The right-hand side tends to zero as  $\tau_2 - \tau_1 \rightarrow 0$ , and  $\epsilon$  sufficiently small. From Steps 1, 2, by the Arzela-Ascoli theorem, we conclude that there is a subsequence of  $(x_n, y_n)$ , again denoted  $(x_n, y_n)$  which converges to  $(x, y)$  in  $M^2([-r, T], X) \times M^2([-r, T], X)$ . It remains to prove that  $(x(t), y(t)) \in (C_1(t), C_2(t))$ . Let  $t \in [0, T]$ , from (5.5) ,we

obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 \leq |x_n(t) - C_1(t)| &= d(x_n(t), C_1(t)) \\
 &\leq |x_n(t) - x_n(t_{n,i})| + d(x_n(t_{n,i}), C_1(t)) \\
 &\leq (k_1 + \lambda)|t - t_{n,i}| + H_{d_1}(C_1(t_{n,i}), C_1(t)) \\
 &\leq \frac{(k_1 + \lambda)b}{2^{n-1}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$|x_n(t) - C_1(t)| \leq \frac{(k_1 + \lambda)T}{2^{n-1}}. \tag{5.8}$$

and

$$|y_n(t) - C_2(t)| \leq \frac{(k_2 + \lambda)T}{2^{n-1}}. \tag{5.9}$$

By letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (5.8) and (5.9) ,we obtain that

$$(x(t), y(t)) \in (C_1, C_2) \tag{5.10}$$

Now, we define, for  $t \in [0, T]$

$$\rho_n(t) = t_{n,i}, \quad \mu_n(t) = t_{n,i+1} \quad \text{if } t \in [t_{n,i}, t_{n,i+1}).$$

Hence, by using (4.4) and (4.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 dx_n(t) &\in -N(x_n(\mu_n(t)), C_1(\mu_n(t)))dt + g_0^1(t_{\rho_n(t)}, x_{\rho_n(t)}, y_{\rho_n(t)}) \\
 &\quad + G^1(t_{\rho_n(t)}, x_{\rho_n(t)}, y_{\rho_n(t)})dB^{H_1}(\rho_n(t)) \text{ a.e. } t \in [0, T].
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 dy_n(t) &\in -N(x_n(\mu_n(t)), C_2(\mu_n(t)))dt + g_0^2(t_{\rho_n(t)}, x_{\rho_n(t)}, y_{\rho_n(t)}) \\
 &\quad + G^2(t_{\rho_n(t)}, x_{\rho_n(t)}, y_{\rho_n(t)})dB^{H_2}(\rho_n(t)) \text{ } t \in \text{ a.e. } t \in [0, T].
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

Hence

$$\rho_n(t) \rightarrow t, \quad \mu_n(t) \rightarrow t \quad \text{uniformly on } [0, b]$$

Since  $|\rho_n(t) - t| \leq \frac{T}{2^n}$  and  $|\mu_n(t) - t| \leq \frac{T}{2^n}$ . Moreover,

$$|x_n(\rho_n(t)) - x_n(t)| \leq H_{d_1}(C_1(\rho_n(t)), C_1(t)) \leq \lambda|\rho_n(t) - t|.$$

Similarly,

$$|y_n(\rho_n(t)) - y_n(t)| \leq H_{d_2}(C_2(\rho_n(t)), C_2(t)) \leq \lambda|\rho_n(t) - t|.$$

Therefore,

$$\sup\{\sqrt{\mathbb{E}|x_n(\rho_n(t)) - x_n(t)|^2} : t \in [0, T]\} \leq \lambda\sqrt{\mathbb{E}|\rho_n(t) - t|^2} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

and

$$\sup\{\sqrt{\mathbb{E}|y_n(\rho_n(t)) - y_n(t)|^2} : t \in [0, T]\} \leq \lambda\sqrt{\mathbb{E}|\rho_n(t) - t|^2} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

In Theorem (4.2) was proved that  $(x_{\rho_n(t)}, y_{\rho_n(t)})$  converge to  $(x_t, y_t)$  in  $M^2([-r, T], X)$ .

Let  $v_n^j(t) = g_0^j(\rho_n(t), (x_n)_{\rho_n(t)}, (y_n)_{\rho_n(t)})$ .From  $H_3$  we have  $|v_n^j(t)| \leq k_j$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  implies that  $v_n^j(t) \in lB(0, 1)$ , hence  $(v_n^j)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  which converges weakly to some limit  $v^j \in L^2(J, X)$ . Since  $F(\cdot, x, y)$  is u.s.c. with closed and convex values and  $F^j(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$

is bounded for each  $j = 1, 2$ , then exists a sequence  $\{F_m^j\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  of globally u.s.c. set-valued mappings on  $J \times M^2([-r, 0], X) \times M^2([-r, 0], X)$  with convex compact values in  $X \times X$  satisfying the following conditions:

$$\|F_m^j(t, x, y)\| \leq k_j,$$

for all  $(t, x, y) \in J \times M^2([-r, 0], X) \times M^2([-r, 0], X)$  and  $j = 1, 2$ ,

$$F_{m+1}^j(t, x, y) \subset F_m^j(t, x, y), \quad F(t, x, y) = \bigcap_{m \geq 1} F_m^j(t, x, y).$$

Now we need to prove that  $v^j(t) \in F^j(t, x_t, y_t)$ , for a.e.  $t \in J$ . Lemma 3.7 yields the existence of constants  $\alpha_l^n \geq 0$ ,  $l = 1, 2, \dots, k(n)$  and  $j = 1, 2$  such that  $\sum_{l=1}^{k(n)} \alpha_l^n = 1$  and

the sequence of convex combinations  $\psi_n^j(\cdot) = \sum_{l=1}^{k(n)} \alpha_l^n v_l^j(\cdot)$  converges strongly to some limit  $v^j \in L^2(J, X)$ . Since  $F^j$  takes convex values, using Lemma 3.6, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} v^j(t) &\in \bigcap_{n \geq 1} \overline{\{\psi_n^j(t)\}}, \quad a.e \quad t \in J \\ &\subset \bigcap_{n \geq 1} \overline{\text{co}\{v_k^j(t), \quad k \geq n\}} \\ &\subset \bigcap_{n \geq 1} \overline{\text{co}\left\{ \bigcup_{k \geq n} F_m^j(\rho_k(t), (x_k)_{\rho_k(t)}, (y_k)_{\mu_k(t)}) \right\}} \\ &= \overline{\text{co}\{\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} F_m^j(\mu_k(t), (x_k)_{\mu_k(t)}, (y_k)_{\mu_k(t)})\}}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

Since  $F_m^j$  is u.s.c. and has compact values, then by Lemma 3.5, we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_m^j(\rho_n(t), (x_n)_{\rho_n(t)}, (y_n)_{\rho_n(t)}) = F_m^j(t, x_t, y_t) \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in J.$$

This and (5.13) imply that  $v^j(t) \in \overline{\text{co}(F^j(t, x_t, y_t))}$ . Since, for each  $j = 1, 2$ ,  $F_m^j(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  has closed, convex values, we deduce that  $v^j(t) \in F_m^j(t, x_t, y_t)$  for a.e.  $t \in J$ , then  $v^j(t) \in F^j(t, x_t, y_t)$ .

We can pass to the limit when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we deduce from

$$(x_{\rho_n(t)}, y_{\rho_n(t)}) \rightarrow (x_t, y_t) \in M^2([-r, 0], X) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Using the fact that  $G^j(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  is a continuous function then we have

$$G^j(\rho_n(t), x_{\rho_n(t)}, y_{\rho_n(t)}) \rightarrow G^j(t, x_t, y_t) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Now, we show that

$$dx(t) \in -N(x(t), C_1(t))dt + v^1(t)dt + G^1(t, x_t, y_t)dB^{H_1}(t) \text{ a.e. } t \in [0, T]. \tag{5.14}$$

and

$$dy(t) \in -N(y(t), C_2(t))dt + v^2(t)dt + G^2(t, x_t, y_t)dB^{H_2}(t) \text{ a.e. } t \in [0, T]. \tag{5.15}$$

Since  $(x_n, y_n)$  is bounded in  $X \times X$ , there exists a subsequence of  $(x_n, y_n)$  converge to  $(x, y)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \sigma \left( -x'_n(t) + v_n^1(t) + G^1(t, (x_n)_t, (y_n)_t) dB^{H_1}(t), C_1(\mu_n(t)) \right) dt \\ & \leq \int_0^T \left( -x'(t) + v^1(t) + G^1(t, (x_n)_t, (y_n)_t) dB^{H_1}(t), x(\mu_n(t)) \right) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (5.16)$$

Using the fact that  $\sigma(\cdot, C_1(t))$  is lower semicontinuous, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \sigma \left( -x'_n(t) + v_n^1(t) + G^1(t, (x_n)_t, (y_n)_t) dB^{H_1}(t), C_1(\mu_n(t)) \right) dt \\ & \geq \int_0^T \left( -x'(t) + v^1(t) + G^1(t, x_t, y_t) dB^{H_1}(t), C_1(t) \right) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (5.17)$$

By (5.16) and (5.18), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \left( -x'(t) + v^1(t) + G^1(t, x_t, y_t) dB^{H_1}(t), C_1(t) \right) dt \\ & \geq \int_0^T \sigma \left( -x'(t) + v^1(t) + G^1(t, x_t, y_t) dB^{H_1}(t), C_1(t) \right) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (5.18)$$

Thus,

$$dx(t) \in -N(x(t), C_1(t))dt + F^1(t, x_t, y_t)dt + G^1(t, x_t, y_t)dB^{H_1}(t), \text{ a.e. } t \in [0, T].$$

and

$$dy(t) \in -N(y(t), C_2(t))dt + F^1(t, x_t, y_t)dt + G^2(t, x_t, y_t)dB^{H_2}(t), \text{ a.e. } t \in [0, T].$$

and the proof is finished.  $\square$

## References

- [1] Bharucha-Reid, A.T., *Random Integral Equations*, Academic Press, New York, 1972.
- [2] Castaing, C., *Sur un nouvelle classe d'equation d'evolution dans les espaces de Hilbert*, expose no 10, Seminaire d'analyse convexe, University of Montpellier, 24 pages, 1983.
- [3] Da Prato, G., Zabczyk, J., *Stochastic Equations in Infinite Dimensions*, Cambridge Univ Press, Cambridge, 1992.
- [4] Gard, T.C., *Introduction to Stochastic Differential Equations*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1988.
- [5] Gavioli, A., *Approximation from the exterior of a multifunction and its applications in the "sweeping process"*, J. Diff. Equations, **92**(1992), 121-124.
- [6] Mao, X., *Stochastic Differential Equations and Applications*, Harwood, Chichester, 1997.
- [7] Moreau, J.J., *Rale par un convexe variable*, (premiere partie), expose no 15, Seminaire d'analyse convexe, University of Montpellier, 43 pages, 1971.
- [8] Moreau, J.J., *Probleme d'evolution associe a un convexe mobile d'un espace hilbertien*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Serie A-B, (1973), 791-794.
- [9] Øksendal, B., *Stochastic Differential Equations: An Introduction with Applications*, (Fourth Edition), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.

- [10] Sobczyk, H., *Stochastic Differential Equations with Applications to Physics and Engineering*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, London, 1991.
- [11] Tsokos, C.P., Padgett, W.J., *Random Integral Equations with Applications to Life Sciences and Engineering*, Academic Press, New York, 1974.

Tayeb Blouhi

Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science,  
Department of Mathematics,  
University of Science and Technology,  
Mohamed-Boudiaf El Mnaouar, BP 1505,  
Bir El Djir 31000, Oran, Algeria  
e-mail: [blouhitayeb1984@gmail.com](mailto:blouhitayeb1984@gmail.com)

Mohamed Ferhat

Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science,  
Department of Mathematics,  
University of Science and Technology,  
Mohamed-Boudiaf El Mnaouar, BP 1505,  
Bir El Djir 31000, Oran, Algeria  
e-mail: [ferhat22@hotmail.fr](mailto:ferhat22@hotmail.fr)

Safia Benmansour

01, Rue Barka Ahmed Bouhannak Imama  
Prés du Commissariat des 400 Logements de Bouhannak,  
Tlemcen 13000 Algeria  
e-mail: [safiabenmansour@hotmail.fr](mailto:safiabenmansour@hotmail.fr)