

# Korovkin type approximation on an infinite interval via generalized matrix summability method using ideal

Sudipta Dutta and Rima Ghosh

**Abstract.** Following the notion of  $A^{\mathcal{I}}$ -summability method for real sequences [24] we establish a Korovkin type approximation theorem for positive linear operators on  $UC_*[0, \infty)$ , the Banach space of all real valued uniform continuous functions on  $[0, \infty)$  with the property that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x)$  exists finitely for any  $f \in UC_*[0, \infty)$ . In the last section, we extend the Korovkin type approximation theorem for positive linear operators on  $UC_*([0, \infty) \times [0, \infty))$ . We then construct an example which shows that our new result is stronger than its classical version.

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010):** 40A35, 47B38, 41A25, 41A36.

**Keywords:** Positive linear operator, Korovkin type approximation theorem, ideal,  $A^{\mathcal{I}}$ -summable,  $A_2^{\mathcal{I}}$ -summable.

## 1. Introduction and background

Throughout the paper  $\mathbb{N}$  will denote the set of all positive integers. For a sequence  $\{L_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of positive linear operators on  $C(X)$ , the space of real valued continuous functions on a compact subset  $X$  of real numbers, Korovkin [17] first established the necessary and sufficient conditions for the uniform convergence of  $\{L_n(f)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  to a function  $f$  by using the test functions  $e_1 = 1$ ,  $e_2 = x$ ,  $e_3 = x^2$  [1]. The study of the Korovkin type approximation theory has a long history and is a well-established area of research. In recent years, using the concept of uniform statistical convergence various statistical approximation results have been proved ([9]). Erkuş and Duman [13] studied a Korovkin type approximation theorem via  $A$ -statistical convergence in the space  $H_w(I^2)$  where  $I^2 = [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)$  which was extended for double sequences of positive linear operators of two variables in  $A$ -statistical sense by Demirci and Dirik in [6, 8]. Further it was extended for double sequences of positive linear operators of

two variables in  $A_2^{\mathcal{I}}$ -statistical sense and in the sense of  $A_2^{\mathcal{I}}$ -summability method, by Dutta et. al. [11, 10].

Our primary interest, in this paper, is to obtain general Korovkin type approximation theorem for positive linear operators on the space  $UC_*(D)$ , the Banach space of all real valued uniform continuous functions on  $D := [0, \infty)$  with the property that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x)$  exists and finite, endowed with the supremum norm  $\|f\|_* = \sup_{x \in D} |f(x)|$  for  $f \in UC_*(D)$ , using the concept of  $A^{\mathcal{I}}$ -summability method for real sequences and test functions  $1, e^{-x}, e^{-y}$ . In the last section, we extend the Korovkin-type approximation theorem for double sequence of positive linear operators on  $UC_*([0, \infty) \times [0, \infty))$ . We also construct an example which shows that our new result is stronger than its classical version.

The concept of convergence of a sequence of real numbers was extended to statistical convergence by Fast [14]. Further investigations started in this area after the pioneering works of Šalát [22] and Fridy [15]. The notion of  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence of real sequences was introduced by Kostyrko et. al. [18] as a generalization of statistical convergence using the notion of ideals. On the other hand statistical convergence was generalized to  $A$ -statistical convergence by Kolk ([16]). Later a lot of works have been done on matrix summability and  $A$ -statistical convergence (see [2, 3, 5, 12, 16, 19, 23]). In particular, in [25, 24] the very general notion of  $A^{\mathcal{I}}$ -statistical convergence and  $A^{\mathcal{I}}$ -summability was introduced and studied.

Recall that a real double sequence  $\{x_{mn}\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is said to be convergent to  $L$  in Pringsheim's sense if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $N(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|x_{mn} - L| < \varepsilon$  for all  $m, n > N(\varepsilon)$  and denoted by  $\lim_{m,n} x_{mn} = L$ . A double sequence is called bounded if there exists a positive number  $M$  such that  $|x_{mn}| \leq M$  for all  $(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ . A real double sequence  $\{x_{mn}\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is statistically convergent to  $L$  if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{j,k} \frac{|\{m \leq j, n \leq k : |x_{mn} - L| \geq \varepsilon\}|}{jk} = 0 \text{ [20].}$$

Recall that a family  $\mathcal{I} \subset 2^Y$  of subsets of a nonempty set  $Y$  is said to be an ideal in  $Y$  if (i)  $A, B \in \mathcal{I}$  implies  $A \cup B \in \mathcal{I}$ ; (ii)  $A \in \mathcal{I}, B \subset A$  implies  $B \in \mathcal{I}$ , while an admissible ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  of  $Y$  further satisfies  $\{x\} \in \mathcal{I}$  for each  $x \in Y$ . If  $\mathcal{I}$  is a non-trivial proper ideal in  $Y$  (i.e.  $Y \notin \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I} \neq \{\emptyset\}$ ) then the family of sets  $F(\mathcal{I}) = \{M \subset Y : \text{there exists } A \in \mathcal{I} : M = Y \setminus A\}$  is a filter in  $Y$ . It is called the filter associated with the ideal  $\mathcal{I}$ . A non-trivial ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  of  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  is called strongly admissible if  $\{i\} \times \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathbb{N} \times \{i\}$  belong to  $\mathcal{I}$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . It is evident that a strongly admissible ideal is admissible also. Let  $\mathcal{I}_0 = \{A \subset \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \text{there is } m(A) \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } i, j \geq m(A) \implies (i, j) \notin A\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{I}_0$  is a non-trivial strongly admissible ideal [4].

## 2. A Korovkin type approximation for a sequence of positive linear operators of single variable

Throughout this section  $\mathcal{I}$  denotes the non-trivial admissible ideal on  $\mathbb{N}$ . If  $\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence of real numbers and  $A = (a_{nk})_{n,k=1}^\infty$  is an infinite matrix,

then  $Ax$  is the sequence whose  $n$ -th term is given by

$$A_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}x_k.$$

A matrix  $A$  is called regular if  $A \in (c, c)$  and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} A_k(x) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k \text{ for all } x = \{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in c$$

when  $c$ , as usual, stands for the set of all convergent sequences. It is well-known that the necessary and sufficient conditions for  $A$  to be regular are

- R1)  $\|A\| = \sup_n \sum_k |a_{nk}| < \infty;$
- R2)  $\lim_n a_{nk} = 0$ , for each  $k;$
- R3)  $\lim_n \sum_k a_{nk} = 1.$

We first recall the following definition

**Definition 2.1.** [25] Let  $A = (a_{nk})$  be a non-negative regular summability matrix. Then a real sequence  $x = \{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is said to be  $A^{\mathcal{I}}$ -summable to a number  $L$  if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : |A_n(x) - L| \geq \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}$  where  $A_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}x_k.$

Thus  $x = \{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is  $A^{\mathcal{I}}$ -summable to a number  $L$  if and only if  $\{A_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent to  $L$ . In this case, we write  $\mathcal{I} - \lim_n \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} a_{nk}x_k = L.$

It should be noted that for  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}_d$ , the set of all subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$  with natural density zero,  $A^{\mathcal{I}}$ -summability reduces to statistical  $A$ -summability [12].

We now establish a Korovkin type approximation theorem for positive linear operators on  $UC_*[0, \infty)$ , the Banach space of all real valued uniform continuous functions on  $[0, \infty)$  with the property that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x)$  exists finitely for any  $f \in UC_*[0, \infty)$ . If  $L$  be a positive linear operator then  $L(f) \geq 0$  for any positive function  $f$ . Also we denote the value of  $L(f)$  at a point  $x \in [0, \infty)$  by  $L(f; x).$

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $\{L_n\}$  be a sequence of positive linear operators from  $UC_*[0, \infty)$  into itself and let,  $A = (a_{jn})$  be a non-negative regular summability matrix then for all  $f \in UC_*[0, \infty)$

$$\mathcal{I} - \lim_n \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}L_k(f) - f \right\|_* = 0$$

if and only if the following statements hold

$$\mathcal{I} - \lim_n \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}L_k(e^{-pt}) - e^{-px} \right\|_* = 0, \quad p = 0, 1, 2.$$

*Proof.* Since the necessity is clear, then it is enough to proof sufficiency. Our objective is to show that for given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist constants  $C_0, C_1, C_2$  (depending on  $\varepsilon > 0$ ) such that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} L_k(f) - f \right\|_* &\leq \varepsilon + C_2 \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} L_k(e^{-2t}) - e^{-2x} \right\|_* \\ &\quad + C_1 \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} L_k(e^{-t}) - e^{-x} \right\|_* \\ &\quad + C_0 \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} L_k(1) - 1 \right\|_* . \end{aligned}$$

If this is done then our hypothesis implies that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} L_k(f) - f \right\| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in \mathcal{I}.$$

Let  $f \in UC_*[0, \infty)$  then  $\exists$  a constant  $M$  such that  $|f(x)| \leq M$  for each  $x \in [0, \infty)$ . Let  $\varepsilon$  be an arbitrary positive number. By hypothesis we may find  $\delta := \delta(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that for every  $t, x \in [0, \infty)$ ,  $|e^{-t} - e^{-x}| < \delta$  implies  $|f(t) - f(x)| < \varepsilon$ . We can write  $|f(t) - f(x)| < 2M \forall t, x \in [0, \infty)$ . Also if  $|e^{-t} - e^{-x}| \geq \delta$  then

$$|f(t) - f(x)| < \frac{2M}{\delta^2} (e^{-t} - e^{-x})^2.$$

Then for all  $t, x \in [0, \infty)$ ,

$$|f(t) - f(x)| < \varepsilon + \frac{2M}{\delta^2} (e^{-t} - e^{-x})^2.$$

Then for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , using the linearity and the positivity of the operators  $L_n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} L_k(f(t); x) - f(x) \right| &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} L_k(|f(t) - f(x)|; x) \\ &\quad + |f(x)| \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} L_k(1; x) - 1 \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} L_k(\varepsilon + \frac{2M}{\delta^2} (e^{-t} - e^{-x})^2; x) + |f(x)| \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} L_k(1; x) - 1 \right| \\ &\leq \varepsilon + (\varepsilon + M) \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} L_k(1; x) - 1 \right| + \frac{2M}{\delta^2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} L_k((e^{-t} - e^{-x})^2; x) \\ &\leq \varepsilon + (\varepsilon + M) \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} L_k(1; x) - 1 \right| + \frac{2M}{\delta^2} |e^{-2x}| \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} L_k(1; x) - 1 \right| \\ &\quad + \frac{2M}{\delta^2} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} L_k(e^{-2t}; x) - e^{-2x} \right| + \frac{4M}{\delta^2} |e^{-x}| \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} L_k(e^{-t}; x) - e^{-x} \right| \end{aligned}$$

where  $|e^{-kt}| \leq 1 \forall t \in [0, \infty)$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Then taking supremum over  $x \in [0, \infty)$  we have

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} L_k(f) - f \right\|_* \leq \varepsilon + K \left\{ \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} L_k(1) - 1 \right\|_* + \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} L_k(e^{-t}) - e^{-x} \right\|_* + \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} L_k(e^{-2t}) - e^{-2x} \right\|_* \right\}$$

where

$$K = \max \left\{ \varepsilon + M + \frac{2M}{\delta^2}, \frac{2M}{\delta^2}, \frac{4M}{\delta^2} \right\}.$$

For a given  $r > 0$  choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\varepsilon < r$  let us define the following sets

$$\begin{aligned} D &= \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} L_k(f) - f \right\|_* \geq r \right\} \\ D_1 &= \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} L_k(1) - 1 \right\|_* \geq \frac{r - \varepsilon}{3K} \right\} \\ D_2 &= \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} L_k(e^{-t}) - e^{-x} \right\|_* \geq \frac{r - \varepsilon}{3K} \right\} \\ D_3 &= \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} L_k(e^{-2t}) - e^{-2x} \right\|_* \geq \frac{r - \varepsilon}{3K} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $D \subset D_1 \cup D_2 \cup D_3$ . Since from hypotheses  $D_1, D_2, D_3$  are belong to  $\mathcal{I}$  so  $D \in \mathcal{I}$  i.e.

$$\left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} L_k(f) - f \right\|_* \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in \mathcal{I}$$

and this completes the proof. □

### 3. A Korovkin type approximation for a sequence of positive linear operators of two variables

Throughout this section  $\mathcal{I}$  denotes the non-trivial strongly admissible ideal on  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $A = (a_{jkmn})$  be a four dimensional summability matrix. For a given double sequence  $\{x_{mn}\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , the  $A$ -transform of  $x$ , denoted by  $Ax := ((Ax)_{jk})$ , is given by

$$(Ax)_{jk} = \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a_{jkmn} x_{mn}$$

provided the double series converges in Pringsheim sense for every  $(j, k) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ . In 1926, Robison [21] presented a four dimensional analog of the regularity by considering an additional assumption of boundedness. This assumption was made because a convergent double sequence is not necessarily bounded.

Recall that a four dimensional matrix  $A = (a_{jkmn})$  is said to be RH-regular if it maps every bounded convergent double sequence into a convergent double sequence with the same limit. The Robison-Hamilton conditions state that a four dimensional matrix  $A = (a_{jkmn})$  is RH-regular if and only if

- (i)  $\lim_{j,k} a_{jkmn} = 0$  for each  $(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ ,
- (ii)  $\lim_{j,k} \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a_{jkmn} = 1$ ,
- (iii)  $\lim_{j,k} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} |a_{jkmn}| = 0$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,
- (iv)  $\lim_{j,k} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |a_{jkmn}| = 0$  for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,
- (v)  $\sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} |a_{jkmn}|$  is convergent for each  $(j, k) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ ,
- (vi) there exist finite positive integers  $M_0$  and  $N_0$  such that  $\sum_{m,n > N_0} |a_{jkmn}| < M_0$

holds for every  $(j, k) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ .

Let  $A = (a_{jkmn})$  be a nonnegative RH-regular summability matrix and let  $K \subset \mathbb{N}^2$ . Then the  $A$ -density of  $K$  is given by

$$\delta_A^{(2)}\{K\} = \lim_{j,k} \sum_{(m,n) \in K} a_{jkmn}.$$

Recall the following definition

**Definition 3.1.** [10] Let  $A = (a_{jkmn})$  be a nonnegative RH-regular summability matrix. Then a real double sequence  $x = \{x_{mn}\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is said to be  $A_2^{\mathcal{I}}$ -summable to a number  $L$  if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\{(j, k) \in \mathbb{N}^2 : |(Ax)_{j,k} - L| \geq \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}$ .

Thus  $x = \{x_{mn}\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is  $A_2^{\mathcal{I}}$ -summable to a number  $L$  if and only if  $(Ax)_{j,k}$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent to  $L$ . In this case, we write  $\mathcal{I}_2 - \lim_{j,k} \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a_{jkmn} x_{mn} = L$ .

It should be noted that, if we take  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}_d$ , the set of all subsets of  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  with natural density zero, then  $A_2^{\mathcal{I}}$ -summability reduces to the notion of statistical  $A$ -summability for double sequence [2].

We now establish the Korovkin type approximation theorem for a double sequence of positive linear operators on  $UC_*(([0, \infty) \times [0, \infty))$ , the Banach space of all real valued uniform continuous functions defined on  $[0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)$  with the property that  $\lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow (\infty, \infty)} f(x, y)$  exists finitely for any  $f \in UC_*(([0, \infty) \times [0, \infty))$  endowed with the supremum norm  $\|f\|_* = \sup_{x,y \in [0, \infty)} |f(x, y)|$ , in  $A_2^{\mathcal{I}}$ -summability method. If  $L$  be a positive linear operator then  $L(f) \geq 0$  for any positive function  $f$ . Also we denote the value of  $L(f)$  at a point  $(x, y) \in [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)$  by  $L(f; x, y)$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** Assume  $\mathcal{K} := [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)$  and let  $\{L_{mn}\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of positive linear operators on  $UC_*(\mathcal{K})$ , the Banach space of all real valued uniform continuous functions defined on  $\mathcal{K}$  with the property that  $\lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow (\infty, \infty)} f(x, y)$  exists

finitely for any  $f \in UC_*(\mathcal{K})$  and let  $A = (a_{jkmn})$  be a non-negative RH-regular summability matrix. Then for any  $f \in UC_*(\mathcal{K})$ ,

$$\mathcal{I}_2 - \lim_{j,k} \left\| \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a_{jkmn} L_{mn}(f) - f \right\|_* = 0$$

is satisfied if the following hold

$$\mathcal{I}_2 - \lim_{j,k} \left\| \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a_{jkmn} L_{mn}(f_i) - f_i \right\|_* = 0, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, 3 \tag{3.1}$$

where  $f_0 = 1, f_1 = e^{-x}, f_2 = e^{-y}, f_3 = e^{-2x} + e^{-2y}$ .

*Proof.* Assume that (3.1) holds. Let  $f \in UC_*(\mathcal{K})$ . Our objective is to show that for given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist constants  $C_0, C_1, C_2, C_3$  (depending on  $\varepsilon > 0$ ) such that

$$\left\| \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a_{jkmn} L_{mn}(f) - f \right\|_* \leq \varepsilon + \sum_{i=0}^3 C_i \left\| \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a_{jkmn} L_{mn}(f_i) - f_i \right\|_*.$$

If this is done then our hypothesis implies that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\{(j, k) \in \mathbb{N}^2 : \left\| \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a_{jkmn} L_{mn}(f) - f \right\|_* \geq \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}.$$

To this end, start by observing that for each  $(u, v) \in \mathcal{K}$  the function  $0 \leq g_{uv} \in UC_*(\mathcal{K})$  defined by

$$g_{uv}(s, t) = (e^{-s} - e^{-u})^2 + ((e^{-t} - (e^{-v})^2$$

satisfies

$$g_{uv} = (e^{-x})^2 + (e^{-y})^2 - 2e^{-u}e^{-x} - 2e^{-v}e^{-y} + (e^{-u})^2 + (e^{-v})^2.$$

Since each  $L_{mn}$  is a positive operator,  $L_{mn}g_{uv}$  is a positive function. In particular, we have for each  $(u, v) \in \mathcal{K}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & 0 \leq \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a_{jkmn} L_{mn}(g_{uv})(u, v) \\ &= \left[ \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a_{jkmn} L_{mn} \left( (e^{-x})^2 + (e^{-y})^2 - 2e^{-u}e^{-x} - 2e^{-v}e^{-y} + (e^{-u})^2 + (e^{-v})^2 ; u, v \right) \right] \\ &= \left[ \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a_{jkmn} L_{mn} \left( (e^{-x})^2 + (e^{-y})^2 ; u, v \right) - (e^{-u})^2 - (e^{-v})^2 \right] \\ &\quad - 2e^{-u} \left[ \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a_{jkmn} L_{mn} (e^{-x}; u, v) - e^{-u} \right] \\ &\quad - 2e^{-v} \left[ \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a_{jkmn} L_{mn} (e^{-y}; u, v) - e^{-v} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \left\{ (e^{-u})^2 + (e^{-v})^2 \right\} \left[ \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a_{jkmn} L_{mn}(f_0) - f_0 \right] \\
\leq & \left\| \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a_{jkmn} L_{mn}(f_3) - f_3 \right\|_* + 2e^{-u} \left\| \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a_{jkmn} L_{mn}(f_1) - f_1 \right\|_* \\
& + 2e^{-v} \left\| \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a_{jkmn} L_{mn}(f_2) - f_2 \right\|_* \\
& + \left\{ (e^{-u})^2 + (e^{-v})^2 \right\} \left\| \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a_{jkmn} L_{mn}(f_0) - f_0 \right\|_*.
\end{aligned}$$

Let  $f \in UC_*(\mathcal{K})$ . Then there exists a constant  $M$  such that  $|f(x, y)| \leq M$  for each  $(x, y) \in \mathcal{K}$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be arbitrary. Then by the uniform continuity of  $f$  on  $\mathcal{K}$  there exists a  $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that if  $|e^{-x} - e^{-u}| < \delta$  and  $|e^{-y} - e^{-v}| < \delta$  then

$$|f(x, y) - f(u, v)| < \varepsilon + \frac{2M}{\delta^2} \left[ (e^{-x} - e^{-u})^2 + (e^{-y} - e^{-v})^2 \right]$$

for all  $(x, y), (u, v) \in \mathcal{K}$ .

Since each  $L_{mn}$  is positive and linear it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\varepsilon \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a_{jkmn} L_{mn}(f_0) - \frac{2M}{\delta^2} \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a_{jkmn} L_{mn}(g_{uv}) \\
& \leq \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a_{jkmn} L_{mn}(f) - f(u, v) L_{mn}(f_0) \\
& \leq \varepsilon \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a_{jkmn} L_{mn}(f_0) + \frac{2M}{\delta^2} \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a_{jkmn} L_{mn}(g_{uv}).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a_{jkmn} L_{mn}(f; u, v) - f(u, v) L_{mn}(f_0; u, v) \right| \\
& \leq \varepsilon + \varepsilon \left[ \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a_{jkmn} L_{mn}(f_0; u, v) - f_0(u, v) \right] + \frac{2M}{\delta^2} \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a_{jkmn} L_{mn}(g_{uv}) \\
& \leq \varepsilon + \varepsilon \left\| \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a_{jkmn} L_{mn}(f_0) - f_0 \right\| + \frac{2M}{\delta^2} \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a_{jkmn} L_{mn}(g_{uv}).
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, note that

$$\left| \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a_{jkmn} L_{mn}(f; u, v) - f(u, v) \right|$$



$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \left| \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a_{jkmn} L_{mn}(f; u, v) - f(u, v) \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a_{jkmn} L_{mn}(f_0; u, v) \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a_{jkmn} f(u, v) \right| \left| \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a_{jkmn} L_{mn}(f_0; u, v) - f_0(u, v) \right| \\ &\leq \varepsilon + (M + \varepsilon) \left\| \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a_{jkmn} L_{mn}(f_0) - f_0 \right\|_* + \frac{2M}{\delta^2} \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a_{jkmn} L_{mn}(g_{uv}) \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a_{jkmn} L_{mn}(f) - f \right\|_* &\leq \varepsilon + C_3 \left\| \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a_{jkmn} L_{mn}(f_3) - f_3 \right\|_* \\ &\quad + C_2 \left\| \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a_{jkmn} L_{mn}(f_2) - f_2 \right\|_* \\ &\quad + C_1 \left\| \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a_{jkmn} L_{mn}(f_1) - f_1 \right\|_* \\ &\quad + C_0 \left\| \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a_{jkmn} L_{mn}(f_0) - f_0 \right\|_* \end{aligned}$$

where there exist such  $A$  and  $B$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} C_0 &= \left[ \frac{2M}{\delta^2} \{ (e^{-A})^2 + (e^{-B})^2 \} + M + \varepsilon \right], \quad C_1 = \frac{4M}{\delta^2} e^{-A}, \\ C_2 &= \frac{4M}{\delta^2} e^{-B} \quad \text{and} \quad C_3 = \frac{2M}{\delta^2}. \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$\left\| \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a_{jkmn} L_{mn}(f) - f \right\|_* \leq \varepsilon + C \sum_{i=0}^3 \left\| \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a_{jkmn} L_{mn}(f_i) - f_i \right\|_*, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, 3$$

where  $C = \max\{C_0, C_1, C_2, C_3\}$ .

For a given  $\gamma > 0$ , choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\varepsilon < \gamma$ . Now let

$$U = \left\{ (j, k) \in \mathbb{N}^2 : \left\| \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a_{jkmn} L_{mn}(f) - f \right\|_* \geq \gamma \right\}$$

and

$$U_i = \left\{ (j, k) \in \mathbb{N}^2 : \left\| \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a_{jkmn} L_{mn}(f_i) - f_i \right\|_* \geq \frac{\gamma - \varepsilon}{4C} \right\}, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, 3.$$

It follows that  $U \subset \bigcup_{i=0}^3 U_i$ . By hypotheses each  $U_i \in \mathcal{I}$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$  and consequently  $U \in \mathcal{I}$  i.e.

$$\left\{ (j, k) \in \mathbb{N}^2 : \left\| \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a_{jkmn} L_{mn}(f) - f \right\|_* \geq \gamma \right\} \in \mathcal{I}.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. □

**Remark 3.3.** We now show that our theorem is stronger than the statistical  $A$ -summable version [7] (and so the classical version). Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a non-trivial strongly admissible ideal of  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ . Choose an infinite subset  $C = \{(p_i, q_i) : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  (where  $p_i \neq q_i$ ,  $p_1 < p_2 < \dots$ , and  $q_1 < q_2 < \dots$ ) from  $\mathcal{I} \setminus \mathcal{I}_d$  where  $\mathcal{I}_d$  denotes the set of all subsets of  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  with natural density zero. Let  $\{u_{mn}\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be given by

$$u_{mn} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m, n \text{ are even} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let  $A = (a_{jkmn})$  be given by

$$a_{jkmn} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = p_i, k = q_i, m = 2p_i, n = 2q_i \text{ for some } i \in \mathbb{N} \\ 1 & \text{if } (j, k) \neq (p_i, q_i), \text{ for any } i, m = 2j + 1, n = 2k + 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now

$$y_{j,k} = \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a_{jkmn} u_{mn} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = p_i, k = q_i \text{ for some } i \in \mathbb{N} \\ 0 & \text{if } (j, k) \neq (p_i, q_i), \text{ for any } i \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Then  $\{(j, k) \in \mathbb{N}^2 : |y_{j,k} - 0| \geq \varepsilon\} = C \in \mathcal{I}$ . Then the sequence  $\{u_{mn}\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is  $A_2^{\mathcal{I}}$ -summable to 0. Evidently this sequence is not statistically  $A$ -summable to 0.

Let  $\mathcal{K} = [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)$ . We consider the following Baskakov operators

$$B_{mn} : UC_*(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow UC_*(\mathcal{K})$$

defined by

$$B_{mn}(f; x, y) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f\left(\frac{j}{n}, \frac{k}{n}\right) \binom{m-1+j}{j} \binom{n-1+k}{k} (1+x)^{-m-j} (1+y)^{-n-k} x^j y^k.$$

We now consider the double sequence  $\{L_{mn}\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of positive linear operators defined by

$$L_{mn}(f; x, y) = (1 + u_{mn})B_{mn}(f; x, y).$$

Then observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_{mn}(f_0; x, y) &= (1 + u_{mn})f_0(x, y), \\
 L_{mn}(f_1; x, y) &= (1 + u_{mn})\left(1 + x - xe^{-\frac{1}{m}}\right)^{-m}, \\
 L_{mn}(f_2; x, y) &= (1 + u_{mn})\left(1 + y - ye^{-\frac{1}{n}}\right)^{-n}, \\
 L_{mn}(f_3; x, y) &= (1 + u_{mn})\left[\left(1 + x - xe^{-\frac{1}{m}}\right)^{-m} + \left(1 + y - ye^{-\frac{1}{n}}\right)^{-n}\right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Now as  $A$  is a nonnegative RH-regular summability matrix and  $\{u_{mn}\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is  $A_2^{\mathcal{I}}$ -summable to 0 then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\left\{ (j, k) \in \mathbb{N}^2 : \left\| \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a_{jkmn} L_{mn}(f_i) - f_i \right\|_* \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in \mathcal{I}, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, 3.$$

Therefore by previous theorem

$$\left\{ (j, k) \in \mathbb{N}^2 : \left\| \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a_{jkmn} L_{mn}(f) - f \right\|_* \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in \mathcal{I}.$$

But since  $\{u_{mn}\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is not usual convergent and statistical  $A$ -summable so we can say that the classical version and statistical  $A$ -summable version of the previous theorem do not work for the operator defined above.

**Acknowledgement.** The authors are indebted to Prof. Pratulananda Das, Dept of Mathematics, Jadavpur University, who support us a lot in preparing this paper.

### References

- [1] Aliprantis, C.D., Burkinshaw, O., *Principles of Real Analysis*, Academic Press, New York, 1998.
- [2] Belen, C., Mursaleen, M., Yildirim, M., *Statistical A-summability of double sequences and a Korovkin type approximation theorem*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., **49**(2012), no. 4, 851-861.
- [3] Connor, J., *The Statistical and strong p-Cesaro convergence of sequences*, Analysis, **8**(1988), 47-63.
- [4] Das, P., Kostyrko, P., Wilczyński, W., Malik, P.,  *$\mathcal{I}$  and  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -convergence of double sequences*, Math. Slovaca, **58**(2008), no. 5, 605-620.
- [5] Demirci, K., *Strong A-summabilty and A-statistical convergence*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **27**(1996), 589-593.
- [6] Demirci, K., Dirik, F., *A Korovkin type approximation theorem for double sequences of positive linear operators of two variables in A-statistical sense*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., **47**(2010), no. 4, 825-837.
- [7] Demirci, K., Karakuş, S., *A-statistical Korovkin type approximation theorem for functions of two variables on an infinite interval*, Acta Math. Univ. Comenian.(N.S.), **81**(2012), no. 2, 151-157.

- [8] Demirci, K., Karakuş, S., *Korovkin type approximation theorem for double sequences of positive linear operators via statistical A-summability*, Results Math., **63**(2013), 1-13.
- [9] Duman, O., Khan, M.K., Orhan, C., *A-statistical convergence of approximating operators*, Math. Inequal. Appl., **6**(2003), no. 4, 689-699.
- [10] Dutta, S., Akdağ, S., Das, P., *Korovkin type approximation theorem via  $A_2^I$ -summability method*, Filomat, **30**(2016), no. 10, 2663-2672.
- [11] Dutta, S., Das, P., *Korovkin type approximation theorem in  $A_2^I$ -statistical sense*, Mat. Vesnik, **67**(2015), no. 4, 288-300.
- [12] Edely, O.H.H., Mursaleen, M., *On statistical A-summability*, Math. Comp. Model., **49**(2009), no. 8, 672-680
- [13] Erkuş, E., Duman, O., *A-statistical extension of the Korovkin type approximation theorem*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci., **115**(2005), no. 4, 499-508.
- [14] Fast, H., *Sur la convergence statistique*, Colloq. Math., **2**(1951), 241-244.
- [15] Fridy, J.A., *On statistical convergence*, Analysis, **5**(1985), 301-313.
- [16] Kolk, E., *Matrix summability of statistically convergent sequences*, Analysis, **13**(1993), 77-83.
- [17] Korovkin, P.P., *Linear Operators and Approximation Theory*, Delhi, Hindustan Publ. Co., 1960.
- [18] Kostyrko, P., Šalát, T., Wilczyński, W.,  *$\mathcal{I}$ -convergence*, Real Anal. Exchange, **26**(2000/2001), no. 2, 669-685.
- [19] Mursaleen, M., Alotaibi, A., *Korovkin type approximation theorem for functions of two variables through statistical A-summability*, Advances in Difference Equations, <https://doi.org/10.1186/1687-1847-2012-65>.
- [20] Mursaleen, M., Edely, O.H.H., *Statistical convergence of double sequences*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **288**(2003), 223-331.
- [21] Robison, G.M., *Divergent double sequences and series*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **28**(1926), no. 1, 50-73.
- [22] Šalát, T., *On Statistically convergent sequences of real numbers*, Math. Slovaca, **30**(1980), 139-150.
- [23] Savas, E., Das, P., *A generalized statistical convergence via ideals*, Appl. Math. Lett., **24**(2011), 826-830.
- [24] Savas, E., Das, P., Dutta, S., *A note on strong matrix summability via ideals*, Appl. Math. Lett., **25**(2012), 733-738.
- [25] Savas, E., Das, P., Dutta, S., *A note on some generalized summability methods*, Acta Math. Univ. Comenian. (N.S.), **82**(2013), no. 2, 297-304.

Sudipta Dutta

Department of Mathematics

Govt. General Degree College At Manbazar-II

Purulia, Pin-723131, West Bengal, India

e-mail: [drsudipta.prof@gmail.com](mailto:drsudipta.prof@gmail.com)

Rima Ghosh

Garfa D.N.M. Girls High School

Kolkata-700075, West Bengal, India

e-mail: [rimag944@gmail.com](mailto:rimag944@gmail.com)