

# Integral estimates for a class $\mathcal{B}_n$ of operators

Shah Lubna Wali and Abdul Liman

**Abstract.** Let  $\mathcal{P}_n$  be the class of polynomials of degree at most  $n$ . Rahman introduced a class  $\mathcal{B}_n$  of operators  $\mathcal{B}$  that map  $\mathcal{P}_n$  into itself. In this paper, we establish certain integral estimates concerning  $\mathcal{B}$ -operator, and thereby obtain generalizations as well as improvements of some well known inequalities for polynomials.

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010):** 26D10, 41A17.

**Keywords:**  $\mathcal{B}$ -Operator, polynomial inequalities, integral estimates.

## 1. Introduction and Statement of Results

Let  $\mathcal{P}_n$  be the class of polynomials  $P(z) := \sum_{j=0}^n a_j z^j$  of degree at most  $n$  with complex coefficients. For  $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$ , define

$$\begin{aligned}\|P\|_0 &:= \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log |P(e^{i\theta})| d\theta\right\}, \\ \|P\|_p &:= \left\{\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^p d\theta\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad 0 < p < \infty, \\ \|P\|_\infty &:= \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)|.\end{aligned}$$

It is known that if  $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$ , then

$$\|P'\|_\infty \leq n\|P\|_\infty \tag{1.1}$$

and for  $R > 1$ ,

$$\|P(R \cdot)\|_\infty \leq R^n \|P\|_\infty. \tag{1.2}$$

Inequality (1.1) is an immediate consequence of a famous result due to Bernstein on the derivative of a trigonometric polynomial (for reference see [6], [14]), where as

inequality (1.2) is a simple deduction from the maximum modulus principle. Inequalities (1.1) and (1.2) can be obtained by letting  $p \rightarrow \infty$  in

$$\|P'\|_p \leq n\|P\|_p, \quad p > 0 \tag{1.3}$$

and

$$\|P(R \cdot)\|_p \leq R^n\|P\|_p, \quad R > 1, \quad \text{and} \quad p > 0. \tag{1.4}$$

Inequality (1.3) for  $p \geq 1$  is due to Zygmund [18], where as inequality (1.4) is a simple consequence of a result due to Hardy [10]. Arestov [2] proved that (1.3) remains true for  $0 < p < 1$  as well.

For the class of polynomials  $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$  such that  $P(z) \neq 0$  in  $|z| < 1$ , inequalities (1.1) and (1.2) can be replaced by

$$\|P'\|_\infty \leq \frac{n}{2}\|P\|_\infty \tag{1.5}$$

and

$$\|P(R \cdot)\|_\infty \leq \frac{R^n + 1}{2}\|P\|_\infty, \quad R > 1. \tag{1.6}$$

Inequality (1.5) was conjectured by Erdős and later verified by Lax [11], where as Ankeny and Rivilin [1] used (1.5) to prove (1.6).

Inequalities (1.5) and (1.6) can be obtained by letting  $p \rightarrow \infty$  in

$$\|P'\|_p \leq \frac{n}{\|1 + E_n\|_p}\|P\|_p, \quad p > 0, \tag{1.7}$$

and

$$\|P(R \cdot)\|_p \leq \frac{\|E_n(R \cdot) + 1\|_p}{\|1 + E_n\|_p}\|P\|_p, \quad p > 0, \tag{1.8}$$

where  $E_n(z) := z^n$ .

Inequality (1.7) was found out by de Bruijn [8] for  $p \geq 1$ , whereas inequality (1.8) for  $p \geq 1$  was proved by Boas and Rahman [7]. Rahman and Schmeisser [13] have shown that inequalities (1.7) and (1.8) remain true for  $0 < p < 1$  as well.

As a compact generalisation of inequalities (1.7) and (1.8), Aziz and Rather [4] proved that if  $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$  and  $P(z)$  does not vanish in  $|z| < 1$ , then for  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|\alpha| \leq 1, |\beta| \leq 1, R > r \geq 1$  and  $p > 0$ ,

$$\|P(Rz) - \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)P(rz)\|_p \leq \frac{C_p}{\|1 + z\|_p}\|P\|_p \tag{1.9}$$

where

$$C_p = \|(R^n + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)r^n)z + (1 + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta))\|_p$$

and

$$\phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta) = \beta \left\{ \left( \frac{R+1}{r+1} \right)^n - |\alpha| \right\} - \alpha. \tag{1.10}$$

Rahman [14] introduced a class  $\mathcal{B}_n$  of operators  $B$  that map  $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$  into itself. That is, the operator  $B$  carries  $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$  into

$$B[P](z) := \lambda_0 P(z) + \lambda_1 \left( \frac{nz}{2} \right) \frac{P'(z)}{1!} + \lambda_2 \left( \frac{nz}{2} \right)^2 \frac{P''(z)}{2!}, \tag{1.11}$$

where  $\lambda_o, \lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are real or complex numbers such that all the zeros of

$$U(z) := \lambda_o + C(n, 1)\lambda_1 z + C(n, 2)\lambda_2 z^2, \quad C(n, r) = \frac{n!}{r!(n-r)!}, \quad (1.12)$$

lie in the half plane

$$|z| \leq \left| z - \frac{n}{2} \right|.$$

He observed that if  $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$ , then for  $R > 1$

$$\|B[P](R \cdot)\| \leq R^n |\Lambda| \|P\|_\infty \quad \text{for } |z| = 1 \quad (1.13)$$

where

$$\Lambda = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 \frac{n^2}{2} + \lambda_2 \frac{n^3(n-1)}{8}. \quad (1.14)$$

On the other hand Shah and Liman [15] proved that if  $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$  and  $P(z)$  does not vanish in  $|z| < 1$ , then for  $R \geq 1$

$$\|B[P](R \cdot)\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \{R^n |\Lambda| + |\lambda_o|\} \|P\|_\infty \quad \text{for } |z| = 1. \quad (1.15)$$

While seeking the desired extension of inequality (1.13) to  $l_p$ -norm Shah and Liman [16] proved that, if  $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$  and  $P(z)$  does not vanish in  $|z| < 1$ , then for each  $R \geq 1$  and  $p \geq 1$

$$\|B[P](R \cdot)\|_p \leq \frac{\|R^n \Lambda z + \lambda_o\|_p}{\|1+z\|_p} \|P\|_p,$$

where  $B \in \mathcal{B}_n$ .

Later on Rather and Shah extended the result for  $0 < p < 1$  as well.

In this paper, we investigate the dependence of

$$\|B[P](R \cdot) + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)B[P](r \cdot)\|_p$$

on  $\|P\|_p$ , where  $\phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)$  is given by (1.10),  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|\alpha| \leq 1, |\beta| \leq 1, R > r \geq 1, 0 \leq p < \infty$  and establish certain generalised integral inequalities. The results obtained will not only generalise but also improve inequalities (1.5) to (1.8) as well. In fact we prove:

## 2. Main Results

**Theorem 2.1.** *If  $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$  and  $P(z)$  does not vanish in  $|z| < 1$ , then for  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|\alpha| \leq 1, |\beta| \leq 1, R > r \geq 1$  and  $0 \leq p < \infty$*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|B[P](R \cdot) + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)B[P](r \cdot)\|_p \\ & \leq \frac{\|(R^n + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)r^n)\Lambda z + (1 + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta))\lambda_o\|_p}{\|1+z\|_p} \|P\|_p, \end{aligned}$$

where  $B \in \mathcal{B}_n, \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)$  and  $\Lambda$  are as defined by (1.10) and (1.14) respectively.

The result is sharp and equality holds for  $P(z) = az^n + b, |a| = |b| \neq 0$ .

If we assume that  $\beta = 0$  so that  $\phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta) = -\alpha$ , then we get from Theorem 2.1 the following:

**Corollary 2.1.** *If  $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$  and  $P(z)$  does not vanish in  $|z| < 1$ , then for every real or complex number  $\alpha$  with  $|\alpha| \leq 1$ ,  $R > r \geq 1$  and  $0 \leq p < \infty$ , we have*

$$\|B[P](R \cdot) - \alpha B[P](r \cdot)\|_p \leq \frac{\|(R^n - \alpha r^n)\Lambda z + (1 - \alpha)\lambda_o\|_p}{\|1 + z\|_p} \|P\|_p,$$

where  $B \in \mathcal{B}_n$  and  $\Lambda$  is defined by (1.14).

The result is best possible and equality holds for  $P(z) = az^n + b$ ,  $|a| = |b| \neq 0$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** *Suppose  $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$  and  $P(z)$  does not vanish in  $|z| < 1$ . If  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$  are such that  $|\alpha| \leq 1, |\beta| \leq 1, R > r \geq 1$ , then for every  $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|\gamma| \leq 1$ , and  $0 \leq p < \infty$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| B[P](R \cdot) + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)B[P](r \cdot) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{\gamma}{2} \left\{ |(R^n + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)r^n)\Lambda| - |1 + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)\lambda_o| \right\} m \right\|_p \\ & \leq \frac{\|(R^n + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)r^n)\Lambda z + (1 + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta))\lambda_o\|_p}{\|1 + z\|_p} \|P\|_p, \end{aligned}$$

where  $m = \min_{|z|=1} |P(z)|$ ,  $B \in \mathcal{B}_n$ ,  $\phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)$  and  $\Lambda$  are defined in (1.10) and (1.14) respectively.

The result is sharp and equality holds for  $P(z) = az^n + b$ ,  $|a| = |b| \neq 0$ .

If we assume that  $\beta = 0$ , so that  $\phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta) = -\alpha$ , then we get from Theorem 2.2 the following:

**Corollary 2.2.** *Suppose  $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$  and  $P(z)$  does not vanish in  $|z| < 1$ . If  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  is such that  $|\alpha| \leq 1$ , then for every  $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|\gamma| \leq 1$ ,  $R > r \geq 1$  and  $0 \leq p < \infty$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|B[P](R \cdot) - \alpha B[P](r \cdot) + \frac{\gamma}{2} \left( |(R^n - \alpha r^n)\Lambda| - |1 - \alpha\lambda_o| \right) m\|_p \\ & \leq \frac{\|(R^n - \alpha r^n)\Lambda z + (1 - \alpha)\lambda_o\|_p}{\|1 + z\|_p} \|P\|_p. \end{aligned}$$

In particular if we let  $p \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| B[P](R \cdot) - \alpha B[P](r \cdot) + \frac{\gamma}{2} \left( |(R^n - \alpha r^n)\Lambda| - |1 - \alpha\lambda_o| \right) m \right| \\ & \leq \frac{\|(R^n - \alpha r^n)\Lambda z + (1 - \alpha)\lambda_o\|_\infty}{\|1 + z\|_\infty} \|P\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing argument of  $\gamma$  suitably we get

$$\begin{aligned} & |B[P](R \cdot) - \alpha B[P](r \cdot)| + |\gamma| \frac{\|(R^n - \alpha r^n)\Lambda| - |1 - \alpha\lambda_o| m}{2} \\ & \leq \frac{\|(R^n - \alpha r^n)\Lambda z + (1 - \alpha)\lambda_o\|_\infty}{\|1 + z\|_\infty} \|P\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

That is for  $|z| = 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & |B[P](R \cdot) - \alpha B[P](r \cdot)| \\ & \leq \frac{|(R^n - \alpha r^n)\Lambda| + |(1 - \alpha)\lambda_o|}{2} \|P\|_\infty - |\gamma| \frac{\|(R^n - \alpha r^n)\Lambda| - |1 - \alpha\lambda_o| m}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $|\gamma| \rightarrow 1$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & |B[P](R \cdot) - \alpha B[P](r \cdot)| \\ & \leq \frac{|(R^n - \alpha r^n)\Lambda| + |1 - \alpha||\lambda_o|}{2} \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)| \\ & \quad - \frac{(|(R^n - \alpha r^n)\Lambda| - |1 - \alpha||\lambda_o|)}{2} \min_{|z|=1} |P(z)|. \end{aligned}$$

A result of Shah and Liman [15] and the result of Aziz and Dawood [3] are special cases of Corollary 2.2, when  $\alpha = 0$

### 3. Lemmas

For the proofs of above theorems we need the following lemmas. The first lemma is due to Govil. et. al [9].

**Lemma 3.1.** *If  $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$  and  $P(z)$  does not vanish in  $|z| < 1$ , then for every  $R > r \geq 1$  and  $|z| = 1$ ,*

$$|P(Rz)| \geq \left(\frac{R+1}{r+1}\right)^n |P(rz)|.$$

The next Lemma follows from a result of Marden [12, Corollary 18.3, p.65]

**Lemma 3.2.** *Suppose all the zeros of a polynomial  $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$  lie in  $|z| \leq 1$ , then all the zeros of the polynomial  $B[P](z)$  also lie in  $|z| \leq 1$ .*

We also need the following Lemma due to Wali. et. al [17]

**Lemma 3.3.** *Suppose  $F(z)$  and  $P(z)$  are polynomials of degree  $n$  and  $m$  ( $m \leq n$ ) respectively, such that on  $|z| = 1$ ,*

$$|P(z)| \leq |F(z)|.$$

*If all the zeros of  $F(z)$  are in  $|z| \leq 1$ , then for arbitrary complex numbers  $\alpha, \beta$  with  $|\alpha| \leq 1, |\beta| \leq 1, R \geq r \geq 1$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} & |B[P](Rz) + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)B[P](rz) + \lambda_1 \frac{n-m}{2} z \left\{ (P(Rz))' + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)(P(rz))' \right\} \\ & \quad + \lambda_2 \frac{n^2 - m^2}{8} z^2 \{ (P(Rz))'' + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)(P(rz))'' \} \\ & \leq |B[F](Rz)| + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)|B[F](rz)| \quad (1 \leq |z| < \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)$  is defined by (1.10) and  $B \in \mathcal{B}_n$ .

$\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2$  are such that  $\lambda_0 + C(n, 1)\lambda_1 z + C(n, 2)\lambda_2 z^2$  has all zeros in  $\text{Re}(z) \leq \frac{n}{4}$ , and  $v(z) = \lambda_0 + C(m, 1)\lambda_1 z + C(m, 2)\lambda_2 z^2$  has all zeros in  $\text{Re}(z) \leq \frac{m}{4}$ .

**Lemma 3.4.** *If  $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$  and  $P(z)$  does not vanish in  $|z| < 1$ , then for arbitrary real or complex numbers  $\alpha, \beta$  with  $|\alpha| \leq 1, |\beta| \leq 1, R > r \geq 1$  and  $|z| \geq 1$*

$$|B[P](R \cdot) + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)B[P](r \cdot)| \leq |B[P^*](R \cdot) + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)B[P^*](r \cdot)|$$

where  $P^*(z) := z^n \overline{P(\frac{1}{\bar{z}})}$ ,  $\phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)$  is defined by (1.10) and  $B \in \mathcal{B}_n$ .

**Proof of Lemma 3.4.** By hypothesis the polynomial  $P(z)$  of degree  $n$  does not vanish in  $|z| < 1$ , therefore all the zeros of polynomial  $P^*(z) = z^n \overline{P(\frac{1}{\bar{z}})}$  of degree  $n$  lie in

$|z| \leq 1$ . Since  $|P(z)| = |P^*(z)|$  for  $|z| = 1$ , therefore applying Lemma 3.3 with  $F(z)$  replaced by  $P^*(z)$ , we get the desired result.

Next, we describe a result of Arestov [2].

For  $\gamma = (\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  and  $P(z) := \sum_{j=0}^n a_j z^j \in \mathcal{P}_n$ , we define

$$C_\gamma P(z) := \sum_{j=0}^n \gamma_j a_j z^j.$$

The operator  $C_\gamma$  is said to be admissible if it preserves one of the following properties:

1.  $P(z)$  has all its zeros in  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq 1\}$ .
2.  $P(z)$  has all its zeros in  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \geq 1\}$ .

The result of Arestov may now be stated as follows:

**Lemma 3.5.** [2, Theorem 4]. *Let  $\phi(x) := \psi(\log x)$ , where  $\psi$  is a convex non decreasing function on  $R$ . Then for all  $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$  and each admissible operator  $C_\gamma$*

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \phi(|C_\gamma P(e^{i\theta})|) d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} \phi(C(\gamma, n)|P(e^{i\theta})|) d\theta$$

where  $C(\gamma, n) = \max(|\gamma_0|, |\gamma_n|)$ .

In particular Lemma 3.5 applies with  $\phi : x \rightarrow x^p$  for every  $p \in (0, \infty)$  and  $\phi : x \rightarrow \log x$  as well. Therefore, we have for  $0 < p < \infty$ ,

$$\left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |C_\gamma P(e^{i\theta})|^p d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C(\gamma, n) \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^p d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}. \tag{3.1}$$

We also need the following lemma which is due to Aziz and Shah [5].

**Lemma 3.6.** *If  $A, B, C$  are non-negative real numbers such that  $B + C \leq A$ , then for every real number  $\eta$*

$$|(A - C) + e^{i\eta}(B + C)| \leq |A + e^{i\eta}B|.$$

### 4. Proofs of Theorems

*Proof of Theorem 2.1.* Since  $P(z)$  does not vanish in  $|z| < 1$  and  $P^*(z) = z^n \overline{P\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right)}$ , therefore by Lemma 3.4 we have

$$|B[P](Rz) + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)B[P](rz)| \leq |B[P^*](Rz) + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)[P^*](rz)|. \tag{4.1}$$

Also

$$P^*(Rz) + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)P^*(rz) = R^n z^n \overline{P\left(\frac{1}{R\bar{z}}\right)} + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)r^n z^n \overline{P\left(\frac{1}{r\bar{z}}\right)},$$

implies

$$B[P^*](Rz) + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)B[P^*](rz)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \lambda_0 \left[ \overline{R^n z^n P \left( \frac{1}{Rz} \right)} + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta) r^n z^n \overline{P \left( \frac{1}{rz} \right)} \right] + \lambda_1 \left( \frac{nz}{2} \right) \left[ n \overline{R^{n-1} z^{n-1} P \left( \frac{1}{Rz} \right)} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \overline{R^{n-1} z^{n-2} P' \left( \frac{1}{Rz} \right)} + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta) \left\{ n r^n z^{n-1} \overline{P \left( \frac{1}{rz} \right)} - r^{n-1} z^{n-2} \overline{P' \left( \frac{1}{rz} \right)} \right\} \right] \\
 &\quad + \lambda_2 \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{nz}{2} \right)^2 \left[ n(n-1) \overline{R^n z^{n-2} P \left( \frac{1}{Rz} \right)} - 2(n-1) \overline{R^{n-1} z^{n-3} P' \left( \frac{1}{Rz} \right)} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \overline{R^{n-2} z^{n-4} P'' \left( \frac{1}{Rz} \right)} + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta) \left\{ (n-1) r^n z^{n-2} \overline{P \left( \frac{1}{rz} \right)} \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. - 2(n-1) r^{n-1} z^{n-3} \overline{P' \left( \frac{1}{rz} \right)} + r^{n-2} z^{n-4} \overline{P'' \left( \frac{1}{rz} \right)} \right\} \right] \\
 &= \left( \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 \frac{n^2}{2} + \lambda_2 \frac{n^3(n-1)}{8} \right) \left[ \overline{R^n P \left( \frac{1}{Rz} \right)} + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta) r^n \overline{P \left( \frac{1}{rz} \right)} \right] z^n \\
 &\quad + \left( \lambda_1 \frac{n}{2} + \lambda_2 \frac{n^2(n-1)}{4} \right) \left[ - \overline{R^{n-1} P' \left( \frac{1}{Rz} \right)} - \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta) r^{n-1} \overline{P' \left( \frac{1}{rz} \right)} \right] z^{n-1} \\
 &\quad + \lambda_2 \frac{n^2}{8} \left[ \overline{R^{n-2} P'' \left( \frac{1}{Rz} \right)} + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta) r^{n-2} \overline{P'' \left( \frac{1}{rz} \right)} \right] z^{n-2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

This gives,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(B[P^*](Rz))^* + \phi(R, r, \bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta})(B[P^*](rz))^* \\
 &= \left( \bar{\lambda}_0 + \bar{\lambda}_1 \frac{n^2}{2} + \bar{\lambda}_2 \frac{n^3(n-1)}{8} \right) \left\{ R^n P \left( \frac{z}{R} \right) + \phi(R, r, \bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}) r^n P \left( \frac{z}{r} \right) \right\} \\
 &\quad - \left( \bar{\lambda}_1 \frac{n}{2} + \bar{\lambda}_2 \frac{n^2(n-1)}{4} \right) \left\{ R^{n-1} P' \left( \frac{z}{R} \right) + \phi(R, r, \bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}) r^{n-1} z P' \left( \frac{z}{r} \right) \right\} z \\
 &\quad + \bar{\lambda}_2 \frac{n^2}{8} \left\{ R^{n-2} P'' \left( \frac{z}{R} \right) + \phi(R, r, \bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}) r^{n-2} P'' \left( \frac{z}{r} \right) \right\} z^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

This shows for  $|z| = 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &|B[P^*](Rz) + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta) B[P^*](rz)| \\
 &= |(B[P^*](Rz))^* + \phi(R, r, \bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta})(B[P^*](rz))^*|. \tag{4.2}
 \end{aligned}$$

Using (4.2) in (4.1), we get on  $|z| = 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &|B[P](Rz) + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta) B[P](rz)| \\
 &\leq |(B[P^*](Rz))^* + \phi(R, r, \bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta})(B[P^*](rz))^*|. \tag{4.3}
 \end{aligned}$$

Since all the zeros of  $P^*(z)$  lie in  $|z| < 1$ , therefore as shown earlier all the zeros of

$$P^*(Rz) + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta) P^*(rz)$$

lie in  $|z| < 1$  for all real or complex numbers  $\alpha, \beta$  with  $|\alpha| \leq 1, |\beta| \leq 1$  and  $R > r \geq 1$ . Hence by Lemma 3.2, all the zeros of

$$B[P^*](Rz) + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta) B[P^*](rz)$$

lie in  $|z| < 1$ . This shows that all the zeros of

$$(B[P^*](Rz))^* + \phi(R, r, \bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta})(B[P^*](rz))^*$$

lie in  $|z| > 1$ , and therefore

$$\frac{B[P](Rz) + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)(B[P](rz))}{(B[P^*](Rz))^* + \phi(R, r, \bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta})(B[P^*](rz))^*}$$

is analytic in  $|z| \leq 1$ . Hence by maximum modulus principle

$$\begin{aligned} &|B[P](Rz) + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)B[P](rz)| \\ &< |(B[P^*](Rz))^* + \phi(R, r, \bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta})(B[P^*](rz))^*| \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

for  $|z| < 1$ .

A direct application of Rouches theorem shows that

$$\begin{aligned} C_\gamma P(z) &= (B[P](Rz) + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)B[P](rz))e^{i\eta} \\ &\quad + (B[P^*](Rz))^* + \phi(R, r, \bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta})(B[P^*](rz))^* \\ &= \left\{ (R^n + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)r^n)\Lambda e^{i\eta} + (1 + \phi(R, r, \bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}))\bar{\lambda}_0 \right\} a_n z^n \\ &\quad + \dots + \left\{ (R^n + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)r^n)\bar{\Lambda} + e^{i\eta}(1 + \phi(R, r, \bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}))\lambda_0 \right\} a_0 \end{aligned}$$

does not vanish in  $|z| < 1$ . Therefore  $C_\gamma$  is an admissible operator. Applying Lemma 3.5, we have, for each  $p > 0$  and  $\eta$  real,  $R > r \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^{2\pi} \left| \left\{ B[P](Re^{i\theta}) + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)B[P](re^{i\theta}) \right\} e^{i\eta} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (B[P^*](Re^{i\theta}))^* + \phi(R, r, \bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta})(B[P^*](re^{i\theta}))^* \right|^p d\theta \\ &\leq |(R^n + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)r^n)\Lambda e^{i\eta} + (1 + \phi(R, r, \bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}))\bar{\lambda}_0|^p \int_0^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^p d\theta \\ &= |(R^n + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)r^n)\Lambda e^{i\eta} + (1 + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta))\lambda_0|^p \int_0^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^p d\theta \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Integrating both sides of (4.5) with respect to  $\eta$  from 0 to  $2\pi$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \left\{ B[P](Re^{i\theta}) + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)B[P](re^{i\theta}) \right\} e^{i\eta} + (B[P^*](Re^{i\theta}))^* \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \phi(R, r, \bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta})(B[P^*](re^{i\theta}))^* \right|^p d\theta d\eta \\ &\leq \int_0^{2\pi} |(R^n + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)r^n)\Lambda e^{i\eta} + (1 + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta))\lambda_0|^p d\eta \int_0^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^p d\theta. \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$



Now it can be easily verified that for any real number  $t$ , and  $s \geq 1$ ,

$$|s + e^{it}| \geq |1 + e^{it}|.$$

This implies for each  $p > 0$

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |s + e^{it}|^p dt \geq \int_0^{2\pi} |1 + e^{it}|^p dt. \tag{4.7}$$

If  $B[P](Re^{i\theta}) + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)B[P](re^{i\theta}) \neq 0$ , then we take

$$s = \frac{|(B[P^*](Re^{i\theta}))^* + \phi(R, r, \bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta})(B[P^*](re^{i\theta}))^*|}{|B[P](Re^{i\theta}) + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)B[P](re^{i\theta})|}$$

so that by (4.3),  $s \geq 1$ . Using (4.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \left\{ B[P](Re^{i\theta}) + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)B[P](re^{i\theta}) \right\} e^{i\eta} \right. \\ & + (B[P^*](Re^{i\theta}))^* + \phi(R, r, \bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta})(B[P^*](re^{i\theta}))^* \Big|^p d\eta \\ & = |B[P](Re^{i\theta}) + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)B[P](re^{i\theta})|^p \int_0^{2\pi} |e^{i\eta}|^p \\ & + \frac{(B[P^*](Re^{i\theta}))^* + \phi(R, r, \bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta})(B[P^*](re^{i\theta}))^*}{B[P](Re^{i\theta}) + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)B[P](re^{i\theta})} \Big|^p d\eta \\ & = |B[P](Re^{i\theta}) + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)B[P](re^{i\theta})|^p \int_0^{2\pi} |e^{i\eta}|^p \\ & + \left| \frac{(B[P^*](Re^{i\theta}))^* + \phi(R, r, \bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta})(B[P^*](re^{i\theta}))^*}{B[P](Re^{i\theta}) + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)B[P](re^{i\theta})} \right|^p d\eta \\ & \geq |B[P](Re^{i\theta}) + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)B[P](re^{i\theta})|^p \int_0^{2\pi} |1 + e^{i\eta}|^p d\eta. \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

For  $B[P](Re^{i\theta}) + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)B[P](re^{i\theta}) = 0$ , this inequality is trivially true.

Using (4.7) in (4.8), we conclude that for each  $p > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{2\pi} |B[P](Re^{i\theta}) + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)B[P](re^{i\theta})|^p d\theta \int_0^{2\pi} |1 + e^{i\eta}|^p d\eta \\ & \leq \int_0^{2\pi} |(R^n + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)r^n)\Lambda e^{i\eta} + (1 + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta))\lambda_0|^p d\eta \int_0^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^p d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

From this the conclusion of Theorem 2.1 follows immediately.

*Proof of Theorem 2.2.* By hypothesis  $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$  does not vanish in  $|z| < 1$ .

Let  $m = \min_{|z|=1} |P(z)|$ , then  $|P(z)| \geq m$  for  $|z| = 1$ . If  $m = 0$ , then the result follows from Theorem 2.1. We assume that  $m > 0$ , that is,  $P(z)$  has no zero on  $|z| = 1$ .

This gives for  $|\delta| < 1$ ,  $|\delta m z^n| < |P(z)|$  on  $|z| = 1$ . Since  $P(z)$  has no zero in  $|z| < 1$ , therefore  $F(z) = P(z) + \delta m z^n$  has no zero in  $|z| < 1$ . If  $F^*(z) = z^n F\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right)$ , then  $F^*(z) = P^*(z) + m\bar{\delta}$  and by Lemma 3.4 we have for arbitrary complex numbers  $\alpha, \beta$  with  $|\alpha| \leq 1, |\beta| \leq 1, R \geq r \geq 1$ ,

$$|B[F](Rz) + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)B[F](rz)| \leq |B[F^*](Rz) + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)B[F^*](rz)|.$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned} &|B[P(Rz) + \delta m R^n z^n] + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)B[P(rz) + \delta m r^n z^n]| \\ &\leq |B[P^*(Rz) + \bar{\delta} m] + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)B[P^*(rz) + \bar{\delta} m]|. \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

Since  $P(z)$  and  $z^n$  are of same degree and in this case  $B$  is linear, therefore

$$B[P(Rz) + \delta m R^n z^n] = B[P](Rz) + \delta m B[E_n](Rz),$$

where  $E_n(z) = z^n$ . Also it can be easily verified that

$$B[P^*(Rz) + \bar{\delta} m] = B[P^*](Rz) + \bar{\delta} m \lambda_o \quad \text{for } |z| = 1.$$

Therefore we have from inequality (4.9)

$$\begin{aligned} &|B[P](Rz) + \delta m B[E_n](Rz) + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)\{B[P](rz) + \delta m B[E_n](rz)\}| \\ &\leq |B[P^*](Rz) + \bar{\delta} m \lambda_o + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)\{B[P^*](rz) + \bar{\delta} m \lambda_o\}|, \quad |z| = 1. \end{aligned}$$

That is

$$\begin{aligned} &|B[P](Rz) + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)B[P](rz) + \delta m\{B[E_n](Rz) + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)B[E_n](rz)\}| \\ &\leq |B[P^*](Rz) + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)B[P^*](rz) + m\bar{\delta}\lambda_o(1 + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta))|, \quad |z| = 1. \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

Choosing the argument of  $\delta$  suitably on the left hand side of (4.10) and using triangle inequality on the right hand side, we get for  $|z| = 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &|B[P](Rz) + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)B[P](rz)| + |\delta|m|B[E_n](Rz) + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)B[E_n](rz)| \\ &\leq |B[P^*](Rz) + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)B[P^*](rz)| + |\delta|m|\lambda_o||1 + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)|. \end{aligned}$$

Equivalently

$$\begin{aligned} &|B[P](Rz) + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)B[P](rz)| \\ &\leq |B[P^*](Rz) + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)B[P^*](rz)| \\ &- m|\delta|\{|B[E_n](Rz) + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)B[E_n](rz)| - |\lambda_o||1 + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)|\}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

Since  $|B[E_n](Rz)| = R^n|\Lambda|$  for  $|z| = 1$ , therefore we have from (4.11) after letting  $|\delta| \rightarrow 1$

$$\begin{aligned} &|B[P](Rz) + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)B[P](rz)| \\ &+ \left\{ \frac{|R^n\Lambda + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)r^n\Lambda| - |1 + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)||\lambda_o|}{2} \right\} m \\ &\leq |B[P^*](Rz) + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)B[P^*](rz)| \\ &- \left\{ \frac{|R^n\Lambda + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)r^n\Lambda| - |1 + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)||\lambda_o|}{2} \right\} m. \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

If we take

$$\begin{aligned} L &= |B[P^*](Rz) + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)B[P^*](rz)| \\ M &= |B[P](Rz) + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)B[P](rz)| \\ N &= \left\{ \frac{|R^n + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)r^n\|\Lambda| - |1 + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)\|\lambda_o|}{2} \right\} m, \end{aligned}$$

so that  $M + N \leq L - N \leq L$ , we get by using Lemma 3.5 for arbitrary complex numbers  $\alpha, \beta$  with  $|\alpha| \leq 1, |\beta| \leq 1, R \geq r \geq 1$  and  $|z| = 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| |B[P^*](Rz) + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)B[P^*](rz)| \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \left\{ \frac{|R^n + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)r^n\|\Lambda| - |1 + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)\|\lambda_o|}{2} \right\} m \right. \\ & \quad \left. + e^{i\eta} \left[ |B[P](Rz) + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)B[P](rz)| \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + \left\{ \frac{|R^n + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)r^n\|\Lambda| - |1 + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)\|\lambda_o|}{2} \right\} m \right] \right| \\ & \leq \left| |B[P](Rz) + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)B[P](rz)| + e^{i\eta} |B[P^*](Rz) + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)B[P^*](rz)| \right| \\ & = \left| |B[P](Rz) + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)B[P](rz)| + e^{i\eta} |(B[P^*](Rz))^* + \phi(R, r, \bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta})(B[P^*](rz))^*| \right| \end{aligned}$$

This gives for each  $p > 0$  and  $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{2\pi} |G(\theta) + e^{i\eta}H(\theta)|^p d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} \left| |B[P](Re^{i\theta}) + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)B[P](re^{i\theta})| \right. \\ & \quad \left. + e^{i\eta} |(B[P^*](Re^{i\theta}))^* + \phi(R, r, \bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta})(B[P^*](re^{i\theta}))^*| \right|^p d\theta, \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} H(\theta) &:= |B[P](Re^{i\theta}) + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)B[P](re^{i\theta})| \\ &+ \left\{ \frac{|R^n + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)r^n\|\Lambda| - |1 + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)\|\lambda_o|}{2} \right\} m \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} G(\theta) &:= |B[P^*](Re^{i\theta}) + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)B[P^*](re^{i\theta})| \\ &- \left\{ \frac{|R^n + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)r^n\|\Lambda| - |1 + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)\|\lambda_o|}{2} \right\} m. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating both sides of (4.13) with respect to  $\eta$  from 0 to  $2\pi$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |G(\theta) + e^{i\eta}H(\theta)|^p d\theta d\eta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| |B[P](Re^{i\theta}) + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)B[P](re^{i\theta})| \right. \\ & \quad \left. + e^{i\eta} |(B[P^*](Re^{i\theta}))^* + \phi(R, r, \bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta})(B[P^*](re^{i\theta}))^*| \right|^p d\theta d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

This gives using inequality (4.5), for every real  $\theta, 0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$  and  $\eta, 0 \leq \eta < 2\pi$

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |G(\theta) + e^{i\eta}H(\theta)|^p d\theta d\eta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} |(R^n + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)r^n)\Lambda e^{i\eta} + (1 + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta))\lambda_o|^p d\eta \int_0^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^p d\theta. \tag{4.14}$$

Now, if  $H(\theta) \neq 0$ , then from (4.12),

$$t = \frac{|G(\theta)|}{|H(\theta)|} \geq 1$$

and we have by using (4.7),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\pi} |G(\theta) + e^{i\eta}H(\theta)|^p d\eta &= |H(\theta)|^p \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{G(\theta)}{H(\theta)} + e^{i\eta} \right|^p d\eta \\ &= |H(\theta)|^p \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \left| \frac{G(\theta)}{H(\theta)} \right| + e^{i\eta} \right|^p d\eta \geq |H(\theta)|^p \int_0^{2\pi} |1 + e^{i\eta}|^p d\eta. \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

Clearly inequality (4.15) is trivial in case  $H(\theta) = 0$ . Substituting for  $H(\theta)$  and  $G(\theta)$  and then integrating the two sides of (4.15) with respect to  $\theta$  and using (4.14), we get for every  $R > r \geq 1$  and  $p > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^{2\pi} |B[P](Re^{i\theta}) + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)B[P](re^{i\theta})| \\ &\quad - \frac{|R^n + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)r^n\|\Lambda\| - |\lambda_o\|1 + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)|}{2} m \Big|^p d\theta \\ &\leq \frac{\int_0^{2\pi} |(R^n + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)r^n)e^{i\eta} + (1 + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta))\lambda_o|^p d\eta}{\int_0^{2\pi} |1 + e^{i\eta}|^p d\eta} \int_0^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^p d\theta. \end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

Now, since we have for every  $\gamma$ , with  $|\gamma| < 1$

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| B[P](Re^{i\theta}) + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)B[P](re^{i\theta}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + m\gamma \frac{|R^n + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)r^n\|\Lambda\| - |\lambda_o\|1 + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)|}{2} \right| \\ &\leq |B[P](Re^{i\theta}) + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)B[P](re^{i\theta})| \\ &+ m|\gamma| \left| \frac{|R^n + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)r^n\|\Lambda\| - |\lambda_o\|1 + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)|}{2} \right| \\ &\leq |B[P](Re^{i\theta}) + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)B[P](re^{i\theta})| \end{aligned}$$

$$+m \left| \frac{|R^n + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)r^n|\Lambda| - |\lambda_o||1 + \phi(R, r, \alpha, \beta)|}{2} \right|. \quad (4.17)$$

Therefore using (4.17) in (4.16) the desired result follows.

## References

- [1] Ankeny, N.C., Rivlin, T.J., *On a Theorem of S. Bernstein*, Pacific J. Math., **5**(1955), 849–852.
- [2] Arestöv, V.V., *On integral inequalities for trigonometric polynomials and their derivatives*, Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR. Ser. Mat., **45**(1981), 3–22 (in Russian); Math. USSR-Izv., **18**(1982), 1–17 (in English).
- [3] Aziz, A., Dawood, Q.M., *Inequalities for a polynomial and its derivative*, J. Approx. Theory, **54**(1988), 306–313.
- [4] Aziz, A., Rather, N.A., *Some compact generalizations of Zygmund-type inequalities for polynomials*, Nonlinear Studies, **6**(1999), 241–255.
- [5] Aziz, A., Shah, W.M.,  *$L^p$  inequalities for polynomials with restricted zeros*, Glasnik Matematički, **57**(2002), 73–81.
- [6] Bernstein, S., *Sur la limitation des dérivées des polynomes*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, **190**(1930), 338–340.
- [7] Boas Jr., R.P., Rahman, Q.I.,  *$L^p$  inequalities for polynomials and entire functions*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., **11**(1962), 34–39.
- [8] de Bruijn, N.G., *Inequalities concerning polynomials in the complex domain*, Neder. Akad. Wetensch. Proc., **50**(1947), 1265–1272.
- [9] Govil, N.K., Liman, A., Shah, W.M., *Some inequalities concerning derivative and maximum modulus of polynomials*, Aust. J. Math. Anal. Appl., **8**(2011), 1–8.
- [10] Hardy, G.H., *The mean value of the modulus of an analytic function*, Proc. London. Math. Soc., **14**(1915), 269–277.
- [11] Lax, P.D., *Proof of a conjecture of P. Erdős on the derivative of a polynomial*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **50**(1944), 509–513.
- [12] Marden, M., *Geometry of polynomials*, Second Ed., Math. Surveys, no. 3, Amer. Math. Pro., R.I., 1996.
- [13] Rahman, Q.I., Schmeisser, G.,  *$L^p$  inequalities for polynomials*, J. Approx. Theory, **53**(1988), 26–32.
- [14] Rahman, Q.I., Schmeisser, G., *Analytic Theory of Polynomials*, Oxford Univ. Press, 2002.
- [15] Shah, W.M., Liman, A., *An operator preserving inequalities between polynomials*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math., **9**(2009), 1–12.
- [16] Shah, W.M., Liman, A., *Integral estimates for the family of B-operators*, Operators and Matrices, **5**(2011), 79–87.
- [17] Wali, S.L., Shah, W.M., Liman, A., *Inequalities Concerning B-Operators*, Probl. Anal. Issues Anal. **23**(5)(2016), 55–72.
- [18] Zygmund, A., *A remark on conjugate series*, Proc. London Math. Soc., **34**(2)(1932), 392–400.

Shah Lubna Wali

Department of Mathematics, Central University of Kashmir

Srinagar, Kashmir, India

e-mail: [shahlw@yahoo.co.in](mailto:shahlw@yahoo.co.in)

Abdul Liman

Department of Mathematics, National Institute of Technology

Srinagar, India

e-mail: [abliman@rediffmail.com](mailto:abliman@rediffmail.com)