

Integral characterizations for the (h, k) -splitting of skew-evolution semiflows

Claudia Luminița Mihiț and Mihail Megan

Abstract. The main aim of this paper is to give integral characterizations for a general concept of (h, k) -splitting for skew-evolution semiflows in Banach spaces. As consequences, criteria for the properties of (h, k) -dichotomy, nonuniform exponential splitting and exponential splitting are obtained.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 34D09, 40C10.

Keywords: Skew-evolution semiflows, (h, k) -splitting, (h, k) -dichotomy.

1. Introduction

The study of the asymptotic behaviours for dynamical systems represents a research area of large interest, with an impressive development in the last years.

An important starting point for the stability theory is due to E. A. Barbashin and R. Datko, who establish integral characterizations for the property of uniform exponential stability in [2], respectively [8].

Recently, P.V. Hai ([10]) obtains discrete and continuous characterizations for the concept of (uniform) exponential stability in terms of Banach sequence (function) spaces. Also, in [20] and [25] are proved generalizations of the results obtained by E. A. Barbashin and R. Datko.

Significant results in the field of exponential dichotomy of skew-product flows are obtained in [7], [11], [13], [14], [22] and for the case of nonlinear differential equations, we emphasize the contributions of S. Elaydi and O. Hajek ([9]).

In [18], respectively [24], the authors give necessary and sufficient conditions for exponential dichotomy with input-output techniques, using spaces of continuous and bounded functions, respectively Lebesgue spaces. Also, the property of (uniform) exponential dichotomy is studied in [23] through the Banach function spaces.

Different concepts of dichotomy of exponential type or more general, with different growth rates, are treated in [4], [5], [6], [12], [16], [19] and the references therein.

As application, we mention the robustness property studied by L. Barreira, J. Chu, C. Valls in [3] and by M. Lizana in [15].

The notion of exponential splitting is a extension of the exponential dichotomy and it is studied for difference equations in [1] and [17]. Important characterizations for various concepts of splitting with growth rates are given in [21].

In this paper we approach the concept of (h, k) -splitting as generalization of (h, k) -dichotomy for skew-evolution semiflows in Banach spaces. Integral conditions of Datko and Barbashin type are given, considering invariant and strongly invariant families of projectors.

Also, we emphasize the results for (h, k) -dichotomy, nonuniform exponential splitting and exponential splitting.

2. Preliminaries

We denote by X a metric space, V a Banach space and $\mathcal{B}(V)$ the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators on V . The norms on V , respectively $\mathcal{B}(V)$ will be denoted $\|\cdot\|$.

Also, we consider the sets

$$\Delta = \{(t, t_0) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2 : t \geq t_0\},$$

$$T = \{(t, s, t_0) \in \mathbb{R}_+^3 : t \geq s \geq t_0\}$$

and $Y = X \times V$.

Definition 2.1. A continuous map $\varphi : \Delta \times X \rightarrow X$ is said to be *evolution semiflow* on X if it satisfies the following relations:

$$(es_1) \varphi(s, s, x) = x, \text{ for all } (s, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times X;$$

$$(es_2) \varphi(t, s, \varphi(s, t_0, x)) = \varphi(t, t_0, x), \text{ for all } (t, s, t_0, x) \in T \times X.$$

Definition 2.2. We say that $\Phi : \Delta \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(V)$ is an *evolution cocycle* over the evolution semiflow φ if

$$(ec_1) \Phi(s, s, x) = I \text{ (the identity operator on } V), \text{ for all } (s, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times X;$$

$$(ec_2) \Phi(t, s, \varphi(s, t_0, x))\Phi(s, t_0, x) = \Phi(t, t_0, x), \text{ for all } (t, s, t_0, x) \in T \times X;$$

$$(ec_3) (t, s, x) \mapsto \Phi(t, s, x)v \text{ is continuous for every } v \in V.$$

Definition 2.3. If φ is an evolution semiflow on X and Φ is an evolution cocycle over φ , then the pair $C = (\Phi, \varphi)$ is called *skew-evolution semiflow*.

Example 2.4. Let X be a compact metric space, V a Banach space, φ an evolution semiflow on X and $A : X \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(V)$ a continuous map. If $\Phi(t, s, x)v$ is the solution of the equation

$$\dot{v}(t) = A(\varphi(t, s, x))v(t), \quad t \geq s \geq 0,$$

then $C = (\Phi, \varphi)$ is a skew-evolution semiflow.

Definition 2.5. We say that a continuous map $P : \mathbb{R}_+ \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(V)$ is a *family of projectors* on V if

$$P(s, x)^2 = P(s, x), \quad \text{for all } (s, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times X.$$

Remark 2.6. If $P : \mathbb{R}_+ \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(V)$ is a family of projectors for $C = (\Phi, \varphi)$, then $Q : \mathbb{R}_+ \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(V)$, $Q(t, x) = I - P(t, x)$ is also a family of projectors for C , called the *complementary family of projectors of P* .

Definition 2.7. A family of projectors $P : \mathbb{R}_+ \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(V)$ is called

(i) *invariant* for the skew-evolution semiflow $C = (\Phi, \varphi)$ if

$$P(t, \varphi(t, s, x))\Phi(t, s, x) = \Phi(t, s, x)P(s, x), \quad \text{for all } (t, s, x) \in \Delta \times X;$$

(ii) *strongly invariant* for the skew-evolution semiflow $C = (\Phi, \varphi)$ if it is invariant for C and for all $(t, s, x) \in \Delta \times X$, the map $\Phi(t, s, x)$ is an isomorphism from $\text{Range } Q(s, x)$ to $\text{Range } Q(t, \varphi(t, s, x))$.

Remark 2.8. An example of an invariant family of projectors for a skew-evolution semiflow which is not strongly invariant is given in [21].

Proposition 2.9. *If $P : \mathbb{R}_+ \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(V)$ is a strongly invariant family of projectors for $C = (\Phi, \varphi)$, then there exists an isomorphism $\Psi : \Delta \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(V)$ from $\text{Range } Q(t, \varphi(t, s, x))$ to $\text{Range } Q(s, x)$, such that:*

- (Ψ_1) $\Phi(t, s, x)\Psi(t, s, x)Q(t, \varphi(t, s, x)) = Q(t, \varphi(t, s, x));$
 - (Ψ_2) $\Psi(t, s, x)\Phi(t, s, x)Q(s, x) = Q(s, x);$
 - (Ψ_3) $\Psi(t, s, x)Q(t, \varphi(t, s, x)) = Q(s, x)\Psi(t, s, x)Q(t, \varphi(t, s, x));$
 - (Ψ_4) $\Psi(t, t_0, x)Q(t, \varphi(t, t_0, x)) = \Psi(s, t_0, x)\Psi(t, s, \varphi(s, t_0, x))Q(t, \varphi(t, t_0, x)),$
- for all $(t, s, t_0, x) \in T \times X$.

Proof. See [21], Proposition 2. □

Throughout this paper, we will consider two nondecreasing functions $h, k : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$ with $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} h(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} k(t) = +\infty$ (*growth rates*).

Let $C = (\Phi, \varphi)$ be a skew-evolution semiflow and $P : \mathbb{R}_+ \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(V)$ an invariant family of projectors for C .

Definition 2.10. The pair (C, P) admits a *(h, k)-splitting* if there exist two constants $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha < \beta$ and a nondecreasing map $N : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$ such that

- (hs_1) $h(s)^\alpha \|\Phi(t, t_0, x_0)P(t_0, x_0)v_0\| \leq N(s)h(t)^\alpha \|\Phi(s, t_0, x_0)P(t_0, x_0)v_0\|;$
- (ks_1) $k(t)^\beta \|\Phi(s, t_0, x_0)Q(t_0, x_0)v_0\| \leq N(t)k(s)^\beta \|\Phi(t, t_0, x_0)Q(t_0, x_0)v_0\|,$

for all $(t, s, t_0, x_0, v_0) \in T \times Y$, where Q is the complementary family of projectors of P .

The constants α and β are called *splitting constants*.
As particular cases, we have:

- (i) if the map N is constant, then we have the property of *uniform (h, k)-splitting*;
- (ii) if $\alpha < 0 < \beta$, then we obtain the notion of *(h, k)-dichotomy*;
- (iii) if $h(t) = k(t) = e^t$, $t \geq 0$, then we recover the concept of *nonuniform exponential splitting*;

(iv) if $h(t) = k(t) = e^t$ and $N(t) = Se^{\varepsilon t}$, with $t \geq 0$, $S \geq 1$ and $\varepsilon \geq 0$, then we obtain the concept of *exponential splitting*.

Remark 2.11. The pair (C, P) is (h, k) -dichotomic if and only if there are $a, b > 0$ and a nondecreasing mapping $N : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$ with

$$\begin{aligned} (hd_1) \quad & h(t)^a \|\Phi(t, t_0, x_0)P(t_0, x_0)v_0\| \leq N(s)h(s)^a \|\Phi(s, t_0, x_0)P(t_0, x_0)v_0\|; \\ (kd_1) \quad & k(t)^b \|\Phi(s, t_0, x_0)Q(t_0, x_0)v_0\| \leq N(t)k(s)^b \|\Phi(t, t_0, x_0)Q(t_0, x_0)v_0\|, \end{aligned}$$

for all $(t, s, t_0, x_0, v_0) \in T \times Y$.

Example 2.12. Let $P : \mathbb{R}_+ \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(V)$ be a constant family of projectors on V and $Q = I - P$.

Let $h, k : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$ be two growth rates and let $\alpha < \beta$ be two real constants.

For every two nondecreasing functions $u, v : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$ with

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} u(t) = \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{t \geq 0} v(t) = \beta$$

we define $\Phi : \Delta \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(V)$ by

$$\Phi(t, s, x) = \frac{u(s)}{u(t)} \left(\frac{h(t)}{h(s)} \right)^\alpha P(s, x) + \frac{v(t)}{v(s)} \left(\frac{k(t)}{k(s)} \right)^\beta Q(s, x),$$

which is an evolution cocycle over every evolution semiflow on X with

$$\Phi(t, s, x_1) = \Phi(t, s, x_2), \quad \text{for all } (t, s, x_1), (t, s, x_2) \in \Delta \times X,$$

$$\Phi(t, t_0, x_0)P(t_0, x_0) = \frac{u(t_0)}{u(t)} \left(\frac{h(t)}{h(t_0)} \right)^\alpha P(t_0, x_0), \quad \text{for all } (t, t_0, x_0) \in \Delta \times X,$$

$$\Phi(t, t_0, x_0)Q(t_0, x_0) = \frac{v(t)}{v(t_0)} \left(\frac{k(t)}{k(t_0)} \right)^\beta Q(t_0, x_0), \quad \text{for all } (t, t_0, x_0) \in \Delta \times X.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} h(s)^\alpha \|\Phi(t, t_0, x_0)P(t_0, x_0)v_0\| &= \frac{u(t_0)}{u(t)} \left(\frac{h(s)}{h(t_0)} \right)^\alpha h(t)^\alpha \|P(t_0, x_0)v_0\| \leq \\ &\leq u(s)h(t)^\alpha \|\Phi(s, t_0, x_0)P(t_0, x_0)v_0\| \leq N(s)h(t)^\alpha \|\Phi(s, t_0, x_0)P(t_0, x_0)v_0\| \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} k(t)^\beta \|\Phi(s, t_0, x_0)Q(t_0, x_0)v_0\| &= \frac{v(s)}{v(t)} k(s)^\beta \|\Phi(t, t_0, x_0)Q(t_0, x_0)v_0\| \leq \\ &\leq v(t)k(s)^\beta \|\Phi(t, t_0, x_0)Q(t_0, x_0)v_0\| \leq N(t)k(s)^\beta \|\Phi(t, t_0, x_0)Q(t_0, x_0)v_0\|, \end{aligned}$$

for all $(t, s, t_0, x_0, v_0) \in T \times Y$, where $N(t) = u(t) + v(t)$, for every $t \geq 0$.

Finally, we obtain that (C, P) has a (h, k) -splitting, with the splitting constants α and β .

If we suppose that (C, P) is (h, k) -dichotomic, then it results that there exist $\gamma > 0$ and a nondecreasing function $N : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$ such that

$$h(t)^\gamma \|\Phi(t, t_0, x_0)P(t_0, x_0)v_0\| \leq N(s)h(s)^\gamma \|\Phi(s, t_0, x_0)P(t_0, x_0)v_0\|,$$

for all $(t, s, t_0) \in T$ and all $(x_0, v_0) \in Y$.

From here, for $s = t_0 = 0$ we deduce

$$u(0)h(t)^{\alpha+\gamma} \leq N(0)h(0)^{\alpha+\gamma}u(t) \leq \alpha N(0)h(0)^{\alpha+\gamma}$$

and for $t \rightarrow +\infty$ we obtain a contradiction.

Remark 2.13. The previous example shows that for every two growth rates h, k and all two real constants $\alpha < \beta$ there is a skew-evolution semiflow which admits a (h, k) -splitting with the splitting constants α, β and which is not (h, k) -dichotomic.

Remark 2.14. The pair (C, P) has a (h, k) -splitting if and only if there exist $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha < \beta$ and nondecreasing map $N : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (hs'_1) \quad & h(t_0)^\alpha \|\Phi(t, t_0, x_0)P(t_0, x_0)v_0\| \leq N(t_0)h(t)^\alpha \|P(t_0, x_0)v_0\|; \\ (ks'_1) \quad & k(t)^\beta \|Q(t_0, x_0)v_0\| \leq N(t)k(t_0)^\beta \|\Phi(t, t_0, x_0)Q(t_0, x_0)v_0\|, \end{aligned}$$

for all $(t, t_0, x_0, v_0) \in \Delta \times Y$.

Definition 2.15. We say that (C, P) has a (h, k) -growth if there exist two constants $\omega_1, \omega_2 > 0$ and nondecreasing map $M : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (hg_1) \quad & h(s)^{\omega_1} \|\Phi(t, t_0, x_0)P(t_0, x_0)v_0\| \leq M(t_0)h(t)^{\omega_1} \|\Phi(s, t_0, x_0)P(t_0, x_0)v_0\|; \\ (kg_1) \quad & k(s)^{\omega_2} \|\Phi(s, t_0, x_0)Q(t_0, x_0)v_0\| \leq M(t)k(t)^{\omega_2} \|\Phi(t, t_0, x_0)Q(t_0, x_0)v_0\|, \end{aligned}$$

for all $(t, s, t_0, x_0, v_0) \in T \times Y$.

In particular,

- (neg) for $h(t) = k(t) = e^t, t \geq 0$, we have the property of *nonuniform exponential growth*;
- (eg) for $h(t) = k(t) = e^t$ and $M(t) = Ge^{\gamma t}, t \geq 0, G \geq 1$ and $\gamma \geq 0$, we obtain the notion of *exponential growth*.

Proposition 2.16. Let $P : \mathbb{R}_+ \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(V)$ be a strongly invariant family of projectors for $C = (\Phi, \varphi)$. Then (C, P) admits a (h, k) -splitting if and only if there exist two real constants $\alpha < \beta$ and a nondecreasing mapping $N : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (hs_1) \quad & h(s)^\alpha \|\Phi(t, t_0, x_0)P(t_0, x_0)v_0\| \leq N(s)h(t)^\alpha \|\Phi(s, t_0, x_0)P(t_0, x_0)v_0\|; \\ (ks''_1) \quad & k(s)^\beta \|\Psi(t, t_0, x_0)Q(t, \varphi(t, t_0, x_0))v_0\| \leq \\ & \leq N(s)k(t_0)^\beta \|\Psi(t, s, \varphi(s, t_0, x_0))Q(t, \varphi(t, t_0, x_0))v_0\|, \end{aligned}$$

for all $(t, s, t_0, x_0, v_0) \in T \times Y$.

Proof. See [21], Proposition 3. □

Similarly, we obtain

Remark 2.17. Let $P : \mathbb{R}_+ \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(V)$ be a strongly invariant family of projectors for $C = (\Phi, \varphi)$. Then (C, P) has a (h, k) -growth if and only if there exist $\omega_1, \omega_2 > 0$ and nondecreasing function $M : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$ with

$$\begin{aligned} (hg_1) \quad & h(s)^{\omega_1} \|\Phi(t, t_0, x_0)P(t_0, x_0)v_0\| \leq M(t_0)h(t)^{\omega_1} \|\Phi(s, t_0, x_0)P(t_0, x_0)v_0\|; \\ (kg'_1) \quad & k(t_0)^{\omega_2} \|\Psi(t, t_0, x_0)Q(t, \varphi(t, t_0, x_0))v_0\| \leq \\ & \leq M(s)k(s)^{\omega_2} \|\Psi(t, s, \varphi(s, t_0, x_0))Q(t, \varphi(t, t_0, x_0))v_0\|, \end{aligned}$$

for all $(t, s, t_0, x_0, v_0) \in T \times Y$.

3. The main results

In this section we will denote with \mathcal{H}_1 the set of the growth rates $h : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$ with

$$\int_0^{+\infty} h(s)^c ds < +\infty, \quad \text{for all } c < 0.$$

Also, \mathcal{K}_1 represents the set of the growth rates $k : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$, with the property that there exists a constant $K \geq 1$ such that

$$\int_0^t k(s)^c ds \leq K k(t)^c, \quad \text{for all } c > 0, t \geq 0.$$

By \mathcal{H} we denote the set of the growth rates $h : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$ with the property that there exists $H \geq 1$ such that

$$h(t)^c \leq H h(s)^c, \quad \text{for all } (t, s) \in \Delta, t \leq s + 1, c \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Remark 3.1. If we denote by $e(t) = e^t, t \geq 0$, then $e \in \mathcal{H}_1 \cap \mathcal{K}_1 \cap \mathcal{H}$.

We consider $C = (\Phi, \varphi)$ a skew-evolution semiflow, $P : \mathbb{R}_+ \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(V)$ an invariant family of projectors for C .

A first characterization for the (h, k) -splitting property is given by

Theorem 3.2. *Let (C, P) be a pair with (h, k) -growth, where $h \in \mathcal{H}_1 \cap \mathcal{H}$ and $k \in \mathcal{K}_1 \cap \mathcal{H}$. Then (C, P) admits a (h, k) -splitting if and only if there exist $d_1, d_2 \in \mathbb{R}, d_1 < d_2$ and a nondecreasing mapping $D : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$ such that the following assertions hold:*

$$(Dhs_1) \quad \int_s^{+\infty} \frac{\|\Phi(\tau, t_0, x_0)P(t_0, x_0)v_0\|}{h(\tau)^{d_1}} d\tau \leq \frac{D(s)}{h(s)^{d_1}} \|\Phi(s, t_0, x_0)P(t_0, x_0)v_0\|,$$

for all $(s, t_0, x_0, v_0) \in \Delta \times Y$;

$$(Dks_1) \quad \int_{t_0}^t \frac{\|\Phi(\tau, t_0, x_0)Q(t_0, x_0)v_0\|}{k(\tau)^{d_2}} d\tau \leq \frac{D(t)}{k(t)^{d_2}} \|\Phi(t, t_0, x_0)Q(t_0, x_0)v_0\|,$$

for all $(t, t_0, x_0, v_0) \in \Delta \times Y$.

Proof. Necessity. It is a simple verification for $\alpha < d_1 < d_2 < \beta$ and

$$D(s) = N(s)[K + Hh(s)^{d_1 - \alpha}],$$

where $H = \int_0^{+\infty} h(\tau)^{\alpha - d_1} d\tau$.

Sufficiency. We show that the relations from Definition 2.10 are verified.

(hs_1) *Case 1:* Let $t \geq s + 1, (s, t_0) \in \Delta$ and $(x_0, v_0) \in Y$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & h(s)^{d_1} \|\Phi(t, t_0, x_0)P(t_0, x_0)v_0\| \leq \\ & \leq h(s)^{d_1} M(t_0) \int_{t-1}^t \left(\frac{h(t)}{h(\tau)}\right)^{\omega_1} \|\Phi(\tau, t_0, x_0)P(t_0, x_0)v_0\| d\tau = \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= M(t_0)h(s)^{d_1}h(t)^{d_1} \int_{t-1}^t \left(\frac{h(t)}{h(\tau)}\right)^{\omega_1-d_1} \frac{\|\Phi(\tau, t_0, x_0)P(t_0, x_0)v_0\|}{h(\tau)^{d_1}} d\tau \leq \\
&\leq HM(s)h(s)^{d_1}h(t)^{d_1} \int_s^{+\infty} \frac{\|\Phi(\tau, t_0, x_0)P(t_0, x_0)v_0\|}{h(\tau)^{d_1}} d\tau \leq \\
&\leq N(s)h(t)^{d_1}\|\Phi(s, t_0, x_0)P(t_0, x_0)v_0\|, \quad \text{for all } t \geq s + 1, \quad s \geq t_0, (x_0, v_0) \in Y,
\end{aligned}$$

where $N(s) = HM(s)D(s)$, $s \geq 0$.

Case 2: Let $t \in [s, s + 1]$, $s \geq t_0$ and $(x_0, v_0) \in Y$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&h(s)^{d_1}\|\Phi(t, t_0, x_0)P(t_0, x_0)v_0\| \leq \\
&\leq M(t_0) \left(\frac{h(t)}{h(s)}\right)^{\omega_1-d_1} h(t)^{d_1}\|\Phi(s, t_0, x_0)P(t_0, x_0)v_0\| \leq \\
&\leq N(s)h(t)^{d_1}\|\Phi(s, t_0, x_0)P(t_0, x_0)v_0\|,
\end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in [s, s + 1]$, $s \geq t_0$, $(x_0, v_0) \in Y$.

Then, we obtain that (hs_1) is verified for all $(t, s, t_0, x_0, v_0) \in T \times Y$.

(ks₁) Case 1: We consider $(t, s, t_0) \in T$, $t \geq s + 1$, $(x_0, v_0) \in Y$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_s^{s+1} k(t)^{d_2}\|\Phi(s, t_0, x_0)Q(t_0, x_0)v_0\| d\tau \leq \\
&\leq k(t)^{d_2} \int_s^{s+1} M(\tau) \left(\frac{k(\tau)}{k(s)}\right)^{\omega_2} \|\Phi(\tau, t_0, x_0)Q(t_0, x_0)v_0\| d\tau \leq \\
&\leq M(t)k(t)^{d_2}k(s)^{d_2} \int_s^{s+1} \left(\frac{k(\tau)}{k(s)}\right)^{\omega_2+d_2} \frac{\|\Phi(\tau, t_0, x_0)Q(t_0, x_0)v_0\|}{k(\tau)^{d_2}} d\tau \leq \\
&\leq HM(t)k(s)^{d_2}k(t)^{d_2} \int_{t_0}^t \frac{\|\Phi(\tau, t_0, x_0)Q(t_0, x_0)v_0\|}{k(\tau)^{d_2}} d\tau \leq \\
&\leq N(t)k(s)^{d_2}\|\Phi(t, t_0, x_0)Q(t_0, x_0)v_0\|.
\end{aligned}$$

We obtain

$$k(t)^{d_2}\|\Phi(s, t_0, x_0)Q(t_0, x_0)v_0\| \leq N(t)k(s)^{d_2}\|\Phi(t, t_0, x_0)Q(t_0, x_0)v_0\|,$$

for all $t \geq s + 1$, $s \geq t_0$, $(x_0, v_0) \in Y$.

Case 2: Let $t \in [s, s + 1]$, $s \geq t_0$ and $(x_0, v_0) \in Y$. We deduce the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
&k(t)^{d_2}\|\Phi(s, t_0, x_0)Q(t_0, x_0)v_0\| \leq \\
&\leq M(t) \left(\frac{k(t)}{k(s)}\right)^{\omega_2} k(t)^{d_2}\|\Phi(t, t_0, x_0)Q(t_0, x_0)v_0\| = \\
&= M(t) \left(\frac{k(t)}{k(s)}\right)^{\omega_2+d_2} k(s)^{d_2}\|\Phi(t, t_0, x_0)Q(t_0, x_0)v_0\| \leq
\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq N(t)k(s)^{d_2} \|\Phi(t, t_0, x_0)Q(t_0, x_0)v_0\|.$$

Thus, the condition (ks_1) holds for all $(t, s, t_0, x_0, v_0) \in T \times Y$.

In conclusion, the pair (C, P) has a (h, k) -splitting. □

As consequences, we obtain

Corollary 3.3. *Let (C, P) be a pair with (h, k) -growth, where $h \in \mathcal{H}_1 \cap \mathcal{H}$ and $k \in \mathcal{K}_1 \cap \mathcal{H}$. Then (C, P) is (h, k) -dichotomic if and only if then there exist $d_1 < 0 < d_2$ and a nondecreasing function $D : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$ such that:*

$$(Dhd_1) \quad \int_s^{+\infty} \frac{\|\Phi(\tau, t_0, x_0)P(t_0, x_0)v_0\|}{h(\tau)^{d_1}} d\tau \leq \frac{D(s)}{h(s)^{d_1}} \|\Phi(s, t_0, x_0)P(t_0, x_0)v_0\|,$$

for all $(s, t_0, x_0, v_0) \in \Delta \times Y$;

$$(Dkd_1) \quad \int_{t_0}^t \frac{\|\Phi(\tau, t_0, x_0)Q(t_0, x_0)v_0\|}{k(\tau)^{d_2}} d\tau \leq \frac{D(t)}{k(t)^{d_2}} \|\Phi(t, t_0, x_0)Q(t_0, x_0)v_0\|,$$

for all $(t, t_0, x_0, v_0) \in \Delta \times Y$.

Corollary 3.4. *We consider (C, P) a pair with nonuniform exponential growth. Then (C, P) has a nonuniform exponential splitting if and only if there are two constants $d_1, d_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, $d_1 < d_2$ and a nondecreasing map $D : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$ with:*

$$(Dnes_1) \quad \int_s^{+\infty} e^{-\tau d_1} \|\Phi(\tau, t_0, x_0)P(t_0, x_0)v_0\| d\tau \leq$$

$$\leq D(s)e^{-sd_1} \|\Phi(s, t_0, x_0)P(t_0, x_0)v_0\|,$$

for all $(s, t_0, x_0, v_0) \in \Delta \times Y$;

$$(Dnes_2) \quad \int_{t_0}^t e^{-\tau d_2} \|\Phi(\tau, t_0, x_0)Q(t_0, x_0)v_0\| d\tau \leq$$

$$\leq D(t)e^{-td_2} \|\Phi(t, t_0, x_0)Q(t_0, x_0)v_0\|,$$

for all $(t, t_0, x_0, v_0) \in \Delta \times Y$.

Corollary 3.5. *If (C, P) is a pair with exponential growth, then it admits an exponential splitting if and only if there exists some real constants $d_1 < d_2$, $D \geq 1$ and $\delta \geq 0$ such*

that:

$$\begin{aligned}
(Des_1) \quad & \int_s^{+\infty} e^{-\tau d_1} \|\Phi(\tau, t_0, x_0)P(t_0, x_0)v_0\| d\tau \leq \\
& \leq De^{(\delta-d_1)s} \|\Phi(s, t_0, x_0)P(t_0, x_0)v_0\|, \\
& \text{for all } (s, t_0, x_0, v_0) \in \Delta \times Y; \\
(Des_2) \quad & \int_{t_0}^t e^{-\tau d_2} \|\Phi(\tau, t_0, x_0)Q(t_0, x_0)v_0\| d\tau \leq \\
& \leq De^{(\delta-d_2)t} \|\Phi(t, t_0, x_0)Q(t_0, x_0)v_0\|, \\
& \text{for all } (t, t_0, x_0, v_0) \in \Delta \times Y.
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.6. The results given by Theorem 3.2, Corollary 3.3, Corollary 3.4 and Corollary 3.5 are characterizations of Datko-type for the splitting concepts studied in this paper.

Further, $C = (\Phi, \varphi)$ represents a skew-evolution semiflow and $P : \mathbb{R}_+ \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(V)$ a strongly invariant family of projectors for C .

In this context, we obtain the following characterization for (h, k) -splitting:

Theorem 3.7. *Let (C, P) be a pair with (h, k) -growth, where $h \in \mathcal{H}_1 \cap \mathcal{H}$ and $k \in \mathcal{K}_1 \cap \mathcal{H}$. Then (C, P) admits a (h, k) -splitting if and only if there exist $d_1, d_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, $d_1 < d_2$ and a nondecreasing map $D : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$ such that the following inequalities are verified:*

$$\begin{aligned}
(Dhs_1) \quad & \int_s^{+\infty} \frac{\|\Phi(\tau, t_0, x_0)P(t_0, x_0)v_0\|}{h(\tau)^{d_1}} d\tau \leq \frac{D(s)}{h(s)^{d_1}} \|\Phi(s, t_0, x_0)P(t_0, x_0)v_0\|, \\
& \text{for all } (s, t_0, x_0, v_0) \in \Delta \times Y; \\
(Dks'_1) \quad & \int_{t_0}^s \frac{\|\Psi(t, \tau, \varphi(\tau, t_0, x_0))Q(t, \varphi(t, t_0, x_0))v_0\|}{k(\tau)^{d_2}} d\tau \leq \\
& \leq \frac{D(s)}{k(s)^{d_2}} \|\Psi(t, s, \varphi(s, t_0, x_0))Q(t, \varphi(t, t_0, x_0))v_0\|, \\
& \text{for all } (t, s, t_0, x_0, v_0) \in T \times Y.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Necessity. It results from Proposition 2.16, for $\alpha < d_1 < d_2 < \beta$ and

$$D(s) = N(s)[K + Hh(s)^{d_1-\alpha}],$$

where $H = \int_0^{+\infty} h(\tau)^{\alpha-d_1} d\tau$.

Sufficiency. We prove that the inequalities (hs_1) and (ks''_1) from Proposition 2.16 hold.

In a similar manner with the proof of Theorem 3.2 we obtain

$$h(s)^{d_1} \|\Phi(t, t_0, x_0)P(t_0, x_0)v_0\| \leq N(s)h(t)^{d_1} \|\Phi(s, t_0, x_0)P(t_0, x_0)v_0\|,$$

for all $(t, s, t_0, x_0, v_0) \in T \times Y$, where $N(s) = HM(s)D(s)$, $s \geq 0$.

Thus, we consider $(t, s, t_0) \in T$, $s \geq t_0 + 1$, $(x_0, v_0) \in Y$ and it results that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & k(s)^{d_2} \|\Psi(t, t_0, x_0)Q(t, \varphi(t, t_0, x_0)v_0)\| = \\
 & = k(s)^{d_2} \int_{t_0}^{t_0+1} \|\Psi(\tau, t_0, x_0)\Psi(t, \tau, \varphi(\tau, t_0, x_0))Q(t, \varphi(t, t_0, x_0))v_0\| d\tau \leq \\
 & \leq k(s)^{d_2} \int_{t_0}^{t_0+1} M(\tau) \left(\frac{k(\tau)}{k(t_0)}\right)^{\omega_2} \|\Psi(t, \tau, \varphi(\tau, t_0, x_0))Q(t, \varphi(t, t_0, x_0))v_0\| d\tau \leq \\
 & \leq M(s)k(s)^{d_2} k(t_0)^{d_2} \int_{t_0}^{t_0+1} \left(\frac{k(\tau)}{k(t_0)}\right)^{\omega_2+d_2} \frac{\|\Psi(t, \tau, \varphi(\tau, t_0, x_0))Q(t, \varphi(t, t_0, x_0))v_0\|}{k(\tau)^{d_2}} d\tau \leq \\
 & \leq HM(s)k(s)^{d_2} k(t_0)^{d_2} \int_{t_0}^s \frac{\|\Psi(t, \tau, \varphi(\tau, t_0, x_0))Q(t, \varphi(t, t_0, x_0))v_0\|}{k(\tau)^{d_2}} d\tau \leq \\
 & \leq N(s)k(t_0)^{d_2} \|\Psi(t, s, \varphi(s, t_0, x_0))Q(t, \varphi(t, t_0, x_0))v_0\|.
 \end{aligned}$$

For $t \geq s$, $s \in [t_0, t_0 + 1)$, $(x_0, v_0) \in Y$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & k(s)^{d_2} \|\Psi(t, t_0, x_0)Q(t, \varphi(t, t_0, x_0))v_0\| \leq \\
 & \leq k(s)^{d_2} M(s) \left(\frac{k(s)}{k(t_0)}\right)^{\omega_2} \|\Psi(t, s, \varphi(s, t_0, x_0))Q(t, \varphi(t, t_0, x_0))v_0\| \leq \\
 & \leq M(s)k(t_0)^{d_2} \left(\frac{k(s)}{k(t_0)}\right)^{\omega_2+d_2} \|\Psi(t, s, \varphi(s, t_0, x_0))Q(t, \varphi(t, t_0, x_0))v_0\| \leq \\
 & \leq N(s)k(t_0)^{d_2} \|\Psi(t, s, \varphi(s, t_0, x_0))Q(t, \varphi(t, t_0, x_0))v_0\|.
 \end{aligned}$$

We deduce that (ks'_1) is verified, for all $(t, s, t_0) \in T$, $(x_0, v_0) \in Y$.

Using Proposition 2.16, it follows that (C, P) admits a (h, k) -splitting. □

In particular, we emphasize the following consequences:

Corollary 3.8. *Let (C, P) be a pair with (h, k) -growth, where $h \in \mathcal{H}_1 \cap \mathcal{H}$ and $k \in \mathcal{K}_1 \cap \mathcal{H}$. Then (C, P) is (h, k) -dichotomic if and only if there exist two constants $d_1 < 0 < d_2$*

and a nondecreasing map $D : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$ with:

$$(Dhd_1) \quad \int_s^{+\infty} \frac{\|\Phi(\tau, t_0, x_0)P(t_0, x_0)v_0\|}{h(\tau)^{d_1}} d\tau \leq \frac{D(s)}{h(s)^{d_1}} \|\Phi(s, t_0, x_0)P(t_0, x_0)v_0\|,$$

for all $(s, t_0, x_0, v_0) \in \Delta \times Y$;

$$(Dkd'_1) \quad \int_{t_0}^s \frac{\|\Psi(t, \tau, \varphi(\tau, t_0, x_0))Q(t, \varphi(t, t_0, x_0))v_0\|}{k(\tau)^{d_2}} d\tau \leq \frac{D(s)}{k(s)^{d_2}} \|\Psi(t, s, \varphi(s, t_0, x_0))Q(t, \varphi(t, t_0, x_0))v_0\|,$$

for all $(t, s, t_0, x_0, v_0) \in T \times Y$.

Corollary 3.9. *Let (C, P) be with nonuniform exponential growth. Then (C, P) has a nonuniform exponential splitting if and only if exist two real constants $d_1 < d_2$ and a nondecreasing function $D : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$ such that:*

$$(Dnes_1) \quad \int_s^{+\infty} e^{-\tau d_1} \|\Phi(\tau, t_0, x_0)P(t_0, x_0)v_0\| d\tau \leq D(s)e^{-s d_1} \|\Phi(s, t_0, x_0)P(t_0, x_0)v_0\|,$$

for all $(s, t_0, x_0, v_0) \in \Delta \times Y$;

$$(Dnes'_2) \quad \int_{t_0}^s e^{-\tau d_2} \|\Psi(t, \tau, \varphi(\tau, t_0, x_0))Q(t, \varphi(t, t_0, x_0))v_0\| d\tau \leq D(s)e^{-s d_2} \|\Psi(t, s, \varphi(s, t_0, x_0))Q(t, \varphi(t, t_0, x_0))v_0\|,$$

for all $(t, s, t_0, x_0, v_0) \in T \times Y$.

Corollary 3.10. *If (C, P) has an exponential growth, then it admits an exponential splitting if and only if there exist $d_1, d_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, $d_1 < d_2$, $D \geq 1$ and $\delta \geq 0$ such that:*

$$(Des_1) \quad \int_s^{+\infty} e^{-\tau d_1} \|\Phi(\tau, t_0, x_0)P(t_0, x_0)v_0\| d\tau \leq D e^{(\delta - d_1)s} \|\Phi(s, t_0, x_0)P(t_0, x_0)v_0\|,$$

for all $(s, t_0, x_0, v_0) \in \Delta \times Y$;

$$(Des'_2) \quad \int_{t_0}^s e^{-\tau d_2} \|\Psi(t, \tau, \varphi(\tau, t_0, x_0))Q(t, \varphi(t, t_0, x_0))v_0\| d\tau \leq D e^{(\delta - d_2)s} \|\Psi(t, s, \varphi(s, t_0, x_0))Q(t, \varphi(t, t_0, x_0))v_0\|,$$

for all $(t, s, t_0, x_0, v_0) \in T \times Y$.

Remark 3.11. Theorem 3.7, Corollary 3.8, Corollary 3.9 and Corollary 3.10 are characterizations of Barbashin-type for the splitting concepts considered in this paper.

References

- [1] Aulbach, B., Kalkbrenner, J., *Exponential forward splitting for noninvertible difference equations*, Comput. Math. Appl., **42**(2001), 743-754.
- [2] Barbashin, E.A., *Introduction to Stability Theory*, Nauka, Moscow, 1967.
- [3] Barreira, L., Chu, J., Valls, C., *Robustness of nonuniform dichotomies with different growth rates*, Sao Paulo J. Math. Sci., **2**(2011), 203-231.
- [4] Barreira, L., Valls, C., *Nonuniform exponential dichotomies and admissibility*, Discrete and Contin. Dyn. Syst. Series B., **30**(2011), no. 1, 39-53.
- [5] Bento, A.J.G., Lupa, N., Megan, M., Silva, C., *Integral conditions for nonuniform μ -dichotomy on the half-line*, accepted for publication in Discrete and Contin. Dyn. Syst.-Series B.
- [6] Bento, A.J.G., Silva, C., *Nonuniform dichotomic behavior: Lipschitz invariant manifolds for ODEs*, Bull. Sci. Math., **138**(2014), no. 1, 89-109.
- [7] Chow, S.N., Leiva, H., *Existence and roughness of the exponential dichotomy for skew-product semiflow in Banach spaces*, J. Differential Equation, **120**(1995), 429-477.
- [8] Datko, R., *Uniform Asymptotic Stability of Evolutionary Processes in Banach Space*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., **3**(1972), 428-445.
- [9] Elaydi, S., Hajek, O., *Exponential dichotomy and trichotomy of nonlinear differential equations*, Differential Integral Equations, **3**(1990), 1201-1204.
- [10] Hai, P.V., *Continuous and discrete characterizations for the uniform exponential stability of linear skew-evolution semiflows*, Nonlinear Anal., **72**(2010), 4390-4396.
- [11] Huy, N.T., *Existence and robustness of exponential dichotomy of linear skew-product semiflows over semiflows*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **333**(2007), 731-752.
- [12] Kovacs, M.I., Babuția, M.G., Megan, M., *On (h, k) -dichotomy in Banach spaces*, Bull. Științ. Univ. Politeh. Timiș. Ser. Mat. Fiz., **59**(2014), 19-27.
- [13] Latushkin, Y., Montgomery-Smith, S., Randolph, T., *Evolutionary semigroups and dichotomy of linear skew-product flows on locally compact spaces with Banach fibers*, J. Differential Equations, **125**(1996), 73-116.
- [14] Latushkin, Y., Schnaubelt, R., *Evolution semigroups, translation algebras and exponential dichotomy of cocycles*, J. Differential Equations, **159**(1999), 321-369.
- [15] Lizana, M., *Roughness of (μ_1, μ_2) -dichotomies under small perturbations in L^∞* , Divulg. Mat., **6**(1998), 133-138.
- [16] Megan, M., *On (h, k) -dichotomy of evolution operators in Banach spaces*, Dynam. Systems Appl., **5**(1996), 189-196.
- [17] Megan, M., Popa, I.L., *Exponential splitting for nonautonomous linear discrete-time systems in Banach spaces*, J. Computat. Appl. Math., **312**(2017), 181-191.
- [18] Megan, M., Sasu, A.L., Sasu, B., *Uniform exponential dichotomy and admissibility for linear skew-product semiflows*, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., **153**(2004), 185-195.
- [19] Megan, M., Stoica, C., Buliga, L., *On asymptotic behaviours for linear skew-evolution semiflows in Banach spaces*, Carpathian J. Math., **23**(2007), 117-125.
- [20] Megan, M., Stoica, C., *Exponential instability of skew-evolution semiflows in Banach spaces*, Stud. Univ. Babeș-Bolyai Math., **53**(2008), no. 1, 17-24.
- [21] Mihiț, C.L., Borlea, D., Megan, M., *On some concepts of (h, k) -splitting for skew-evolution semiflows in Banach spaces*, to appear in Ann. Acad. Rom. Sci. Ser. Math. Appl.

- [22] Sacker, R.J., Sell, G.R., *Dichotomies for linear evolutionary equations in Banach spaces*, J. Differential Equations, **113**(1994), 17-67.
- [23] Sasu, A.L., Sasu, B., *Translation invariant spaces and asymptotic properties of variational equations*, Abstr. Appl. Anal., (2011), Art. ID 539026, 1-36.
- [24] Sasu, A.L., Sasu, B., *Integral equations in the study of the asymptotic behavior of skew-product flows*, Asymptot. Anal., **68**(2010), 135-153.
- [25] Stoica, C., Megan, M., *On uniform exponential stability for skew-evolution semiflows on Banach spaces*, Nonlinear Anal., **72**(2010), no. 3-4, 1305-1313.

Claudia Luminița Mihiț
West University of Timișoara
Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science
Department of Mathematics
V. Pârvan Blv. 4, 300223 Timișoara, Romania
e-mail: mihit.claudia@yahoo.com

Mihail Megan
Academy of Romanian Scientists
Independenței 54, 050094 Bucharest, Romania
West University of Timișoara
Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science
Department of Mathematics
V. Pârvan Blv. 4, 300223 Timișoara, Romania
e-mail: mihail.megan@e-uvvt.ro