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#### Abstract

In the present paper, authors study a Fekete-Szegö problem for a class of analytic functions defined by Carlson-Shaffer operator. Relevant connections of the results presented here with various known results are briefly indicated.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $A$ denote the class of functions $f$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $U=\{z: z \in C$ and $|z|<1\}$ and $S$ denote the subclass of $A$ that are univalent in $U$. Fekete and Szegö [10] proved a interesting result that the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}-\lambda a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq 1+2 \exp \left(\frac{-2 \lambda}{1-\lambda}\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for any normalized univalent function $f(z)$ of the form (1.1) in the open unit disk $U$ for $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$. This inequality is sharp for each $\lambda$.

The coefficient functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\lambda}(f)=a_{3}-\lambda a_{2}^{2}=\frac{1}{6}\left(f^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)-\frac{3 \lambda}{2}\left[f^{\prime \prime}(0)\right]^{2}\right) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

on normalized analytic functions $f$ in the unit disk represents various geometric quantities, for example, when $\lambda=1, \phi_{\lambda}(f)=a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}$, becomes $\frac{S_{f}(0)}{6}$, where $S_{f}$ denote the Schwarzian derivative $\left(f^{\prime \prime \prime} / f^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}-\left(f^{\prime \prime} / f^{\prime}\right)^{2} / 2$ of locally univalent functions $f$ in
$U$. The problem of maximising the absolute value of the functional $\phi_{\lambda}(f)$ is called the Fekete-Szegö problem.

The Fekete-Szegö problem is one of the interesting problems in Geometric Function Theory. This attracts many researchers (see the work of [1]-[5], [7]-[9], [12], [13], [16], [17], [20] and [3]) to study the Fekete-Szegö problem for the various classes of analytic univalent functions. Very recently, Bansal [4] introduced the class $R_{\gamma}^{\tau}(\phi)$ of functions in $f \in S$ for which

$$
1+\frac{1}{\tau}\left(f^{\prime}(z)+\gamma z f^{\prime \prime}(z)-1\right) \prec \phi(z), z \in U
$$

where $0 \leq \gamma<1, \tau \in C \backslash\{0\}, \phi(z)$ is an analytic function with positive real part on $U$ with $\phi(0)=0, \phi^{\prime}(0)>0$ which maps the unit like disk $U$ onto a starlike region with respect to 1 which is symmetric with respect to the real axis and $\prec$ denotes the subordination between analytic functions and studied the Fekete-Szegö problem for this class.

Now, by using the Carlson-Shaffer operator we introduce a new subclass $R_{\gamma}^{\tau}(\phi, a, c)$ for functions $f \in A$ and $0 \leq \gamma<1, \tau \in C \backslash\{0\}, a, c \in C,\{c \neq 0,-1,-2, \ldots\}$ satisfying the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{1}{\tau}\left((L(a, c) f(z))^{\prime}+\gamma z(L(a, c) f(z))^{\prime \prime}-1\right) \prec \phi(z) \quad(z \in U) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi(z)$ is defined the same as above and $L(a, c)$ denotes the Carlson-Shaffer operator introduced in [6] and defined in the following way:

$$
L(a, c) f(z)=f(z) * z h(a, c ; z)
$$

where

$$
h(a, c ; z)=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n}}{(c)_{n}} z^{n}
$$

$L(a, c)$ maps $A$ into itself. $L(c, c)$ is the identity and if $a \neq 0,-1,-2 \ldots$, then $L(a, c)$ has a continuous inverse $L(c, a)$ and is an one-to-one mapping of $A$ onto itself. $L(a, c)$ provides a convenient representation of differentiation and integration. If $g(z)=z f^{\prime}(z)$, then $g=L(2,1) f$ and $f=L(1,2) g$. If we set

$$
\phi(z)=\frac{1+A z}{1+B z}, \quad(-1 \leq B<A \leq 1 ; z \in U)
$$

in (1.4), we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
R_{\gamma}^{\tau}\left(\frac{1+A z}{1+B z}, a, c\right)=R_{\gamma}^{\tau}(A, B, a, c) \\
=\left\{f \in A:\left|\frac{(L(a, c) f(z))^{\prime}+\gamma z(L(a, c) f(z))^{\prime \prime}-1}{\tau(A-B)-B\left((L(a, c) f(z))^{\prime}+\gamma z(L(a, c) f(z))^{\prime \prime}-1\right)}\right|<1\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

which is again a new class.
By specializing parameters in the subclass $R_{\gamma}^{\tau}(A, B, a, c)$ we obtain the following known subclasses studied earlier by various authors.

1. $R_{\gamma}^{\tau}(A, B, a, a) \equiv R_{\gamma}^{\tau}(A, B)$ studied by Bansal [4].
2. $R_{\gamma}^{\tau}(1-2 \beta,-1, a, a) \equiv R_{\gamma}^{\tau}(\beta)$ for $0 \leq \beta<1$, studied by Swaminathan [21].
3. $R_{\gamma}^{\tau}(1-2 \beta,-1, a, a) \equiv R_{\gamma}^{\tau}(\beta)$ for $\tau=e^{i \eta} \cos \eta, 0 \leq \beta<1$, where $-\pi / 2<\eta<\pi / 2$ introduced by Ponnusamy and Rønning [19], (see also [18]).
4. $R_{1}^{\tau}(0,-1, a, a) \equiv R^{\tau}(\beta)$ for $\tau=e^{i \eta} \cos \eta$ was considered in [14].

To prove our main result, we shall require the following lemma.
Lemma 1.1. (see [11], [15]). If $p(z)=1+c_{1} z+c_{2} z^{2}+c_{3} z^{3}+\ldots \quad(z \in U)$ is a function with positive real part, then for any complex number $\mu$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|c_{2}-\mu c_{1}^{2}\right| \leq 2 \max \{1,|2 \mu-1|\} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the result is sharp for the functions given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=\frac{1+z^{2}}{1-z^{2}}, \quad p(z)=\frac{1+z}{1-z} \quad(z \in U) . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 2. Main results

Our main result is contained in the following theorem.
Theorem 2.1. Let $\phi(z)=1+B_{1} z+B_{2} z^{2}+B_{3} z^{3}+\ldots$, where $\phi(z) \in A$ with $\phi^{\prime}(0)>0$. If $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to $R_{\gamma}^{\tau}(\phi, a, c)(0 \leq \gamma \leq 1, \tau \in C \backslash\{0\}, a, c \in C$, $\{c \neq 0,-1,-2, \ldots\},. z \in U)$, then for any complex number $\mu$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{B_{1}|\tau| c(c+1)}{3 a(a+1)(1+2 \gamma)} \max \left\{1,\left|\frac{B_{2}}{B_{1}}-\frac{3 \mu \tau B_{1} c(a+1)(1+2 \gamma)}{4 a(c+1)(1+\gamma)^{2}}\right|\right\} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result is sharp.
Proof. If $f(z) \in R_{\gamma}^{\tau}(\phi, a, c)$, then there exists a Schwarz function $w(z)$ analytic in $U$ with $w(0)=0$ and $|w(z)|<1$ in $U$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{1}{\tau}\left((L(a, c) f(z))^{\prime}+\gamma z(L(a, c) f(z))^{\prime \prime}-1\right)=\phi(w(z)), \quad(z \in U) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the function $p_{1}(z)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{1}(z)=\frac{1+w(z)}{1-w(z)}=1+c_{1} z+c_{2} z^{2}+\ldots \ldots \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $w(z)$ is a Schwarz function, we see that $\operatorname{Re}\left\{p_{1}(z)\right\}>0$ and $p_{1}(0)=1$.
Define the function $p(z)$ by,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=1+\frac{1}{\tau}\left((L(a, c) f(z))^{\prime}+\gamma z(L(a, c) f(z))^{\prime \prime}-1\right)=1+b_{1} z+b_{2} z^{2}+\ldots \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of $(2.2),(2.3),(2.4)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& p(z)=\phi\left(\frac{p_{1}(z)-1}{p_{1}(z)+1}\right)=\phi\left(\frac{c_{1} z+c_{2} z^{2}+\ldots .}{2+c_{1} z+c_{2} z^{2}+\ldots . .}\right) \\
& =\phi\left(\frac{1}{2} c_{1} z+\frac{1}{2}\left(c_{2}-\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2}\right) z^{2}+\ldots . .\right)  \tag{2.5}\\
& =1+B_{1} \frac{1}{2} c_{1} z+B_{1} \frac{1}{2}\left(c_{2}-\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2}\right) z^{2}+B_{2} \frac{1}{4} c_{1}^{2} z^{2}+\ldots
\end{align*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{1}=\frac{1}{2} B_{1} c_{1} ; \quad b_{2}=\frac{1}{2} B_{1}\left(c_{2}-\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} B_{2} c_{1}^{2} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.4), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2}=\frac{\tau B_{1} c_{1} c}{4 a(1+\gamma)} ; \quad a_{3}=\frac{\tau c(c+1)}{6 a(a+1)(1+2 \gamma)}\left[B_{1}\left(c_{2}-\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} B_{2} c_{1}^{2}\right] . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}=\frac{B_{1} \tau c(c+1)}{6 a(a+1)(1+2 \gamma)}\left(c_{2}-\nu c_{1}^{2}\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu=\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{B_{2}}{B_{1}}+\frac{3 \tau \mu B_{1} c(a+1)(1+2 \gamma)}{4 a(c+1)(1+\gamma)^{2}}\right) . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our result now is followed by an application of Lemma 1.1. Also, by the application of Lemma 1.1 equality in (2.1) is obtained when

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{1}(z)=\frac{1+z^{2}}{1-z^{2}} \text { or } p_{1}(z)=\frac{1+z}{1-z} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

but

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=1+\frac{1}{\tau}\left((L(a, c) f(z))^{\prime}+\gamma z(L(a, c) f(z))^{\prime}-1\right)=\phi\left(\frac{p_{1}(z)-1}{p_{1}(z)+1}\right) . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting value of $p_{1}(z)$ we get the desired results. Thus the proof of Theorem 2.1 is established.

For the class $R_{\gamma}^{\tau}(A, B, a, c)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(z)=\frac{1+A z}{1+B z}=(1+A z)(1+B z)^{-1}=1+(A-B) z-\left(A B-B^{2}\right) z^{2}+\ldots \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, putting $B_{1}=A-B$ and $B_{2}=-B(A-B)$ in Theorem 2.1, we get the following corollary.
Corollary 2.2. If $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to $R_{\gamma}^{\tau}(A, B, a, c)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{(A-B)|\tau| c(c+1)}{3 a(a+1)(1+2 \gamma)} \max \left\{1,\left|B+\frac{3 \tau \mu c(a+1)(A-B)(1+2 \gamma)}{4 a(c+1)(1+\gamma)^{2}}\right|\right\} . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we put $a=c$ in Theorem 2.1, then we obtain the following result of Bansal [4].
Corollary 2.3. Let $\phi(z)=1+B_{1} z+B_{2} z^{2}+B_{3} z^{3}+\ldots$, where $\phi(z) \in A$ with $\phi^{\prime}(0)>0$. If $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to $R_{\gamma}^{\tau}(\phi)(0 \leq \gamma \leq 1, \tau \in C \backslash\{0\}, z \in U)$ then for any complex number $\mu$

$$
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{B_{1}|\tau|}{3(1+2 \gamma)} \max \left\{1,\left|\frac{B_{2}}{B_{1}}-\frac{3 \mu \tau B_{1}(1+2 \gamma)}{4(1+\gamma)^{2}}\right|\right\} .
$$

This result is sharp.
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