




Strongly nonlinear periodic parabolic equation in Orlicz spaces

Erriahi Elidrissi Ghita , Azroul Elhoussine  and Lamrani Alaoui Abdelilah 

Abstract. In this paper, we prove the existence of a weak solution to the following nonlinear periodic parabolic equations in Orlicz-spaces:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \operatorname{div}(a(x, t, \nabla u)) = f(x, t)$$

where $-\operatorname{div}(a(x, t, \nabla u))$ is a Leray-Lions operator defined on a subset of $W_0^{1,x}L_M(Q)$. The Δ_2 -condition is not assumed and the data f belongs to $W^{-1,x}E_{\overline{M}}(Q)$.

The Galerkin method and the fixed point argument are employed in the proof.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 35B10, 35A01, 35D30.

Keywords: The periodic solution, nonlinear parabolic equation, Galerkin method, Orlicz spaces, weak solutions.


1. Introduction

Let Ω be a bounded subset of \mathbb{R}^N , and let Q be the cylinder $\Omega \times (0, T)$ with some given $T > 0$. In this paper we deal with the following periodic parabolic boundary value problem:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \operatorname{div}(a(x, t, \nabla u)) = f(x, t) & \text{in } Q, \\ u(x, t) = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T), \\ u(x, 0) = u(x, T) & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

Received 26 August 2023; Accepted 05 February 2024.

© Studia UBB MATHEMATICA. Published by Babeş-Bolyai University

 This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License.

where A is a second-order operator in divergence form

$$A(u) = -\operatorname{div}(a(x, t, \nabla u)),$$

with the coefficient a satisfying Leray-Lions conditions related to some N-function. The study of nonlinear partial differential equations in Orlicz-spaces is motivated by numerous phenomena of physics, namely the problems related to non-Newtonian fluids of strongly inhomogeneous behavior with a high ability of increasing their viscosity under a different stimulus, like the shear rate, magnetic or electric field (see for examples [1], [10], [14], [15], [16] and [21]).

Consider first the case where a have polynomial growth with respect to u and ∇u . Therefore A is a bounded operator from $L^p(0, T, W^{1,p}(\Omega))$, $1 < p < \infty$, into its dual. In this setting, Brézis and Browder in cite16 proved the existence of problem (1) when $p > 2$ and the periodic condition is replaced by the initial one, and by Landes and Mustonen when $1 < p < 2$ [19].

Specifically, when we have the periodicity condition Boldrini and Crema in [4] studied the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta_p u = m(t)g(u) + h(x, t) & \text{in } Q_T; \\ u(x, t) = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T); \\ u(x, T) = u(x, 0) & \text{in } \Omega; \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

g is a continuous function such that $|g(v)| \leq a(|v|^s + 1)$, where s and a are positive constants. The existence of a solution to this problem is established under the condition $0 \leq s < p - 1$, and for $s = p - 1$ by using Schauder's fixed point theorem. Related topics can be found in [7], [8], [9]. However, when attempting to relax the restriction on a , we replace the space $L^p(0, T, W_0^{1,p})$ with an inhomogeneous Orlicz-Sobolev space $W_0^{1,x} L_M(Q)$, constructed from an Orlicz space L_M instead of L^p , where the N-function M is related to the actual growth of a . Several studies have explored this setting, considering $u(x, 0) = u_0$ and a depending on u and ∇u , see for instance, the works of Donaldson in [6] and Robert in [20], who proved the existence of a solution for a nonlinear parabolic problem under the Δ_2 condition, $u^2 \leq cM(ku)$, with c and k are positive constants, and A is monotone. Additionally, in cases where the Δ_2 condition is not assumed and under various assumptions, other authors have demonstrated the existence of solutions to diverse parabolic problems (see [2], [14], [17], [19]).

The objective of this paper is to establish the existence of a solution to problem (1.1) when f belongs to $W^{-1,x} E_M^-(Q)$, without assuming the Δ_2 condition. Moreover, we consider the periodicity condition instead of the initial one, which necessitates demonstrating the existence of the approximate problem once more. To achieve this, we assume that $u^2 \leq cM(ku)$ with c and k are positive constants.

We employ the Galerkin method due to Landes and Mustonen, along with the fixed point argument due to Schauder.

The paper is structured as follows: In Section 2, we provide a review of some preliminary concepts concerning Orlicz-Sobolev spaces, along with various inequalities and compactness results. Section 3 is dedicated to stating the assumptions and presenting the main result. In the fourth section, we prove the existence theorem. In the appendix we prove the existence of a solution to the approximate problem.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Orlicz-Sobolev Spaces-Notations and Properties

- let $M : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be an N-function, i.e continuous, convex, with $M(t) > 0$ for $t > 0$, $M(t)/t \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$ and $M(t)/t \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Equivalently, M admits the representation: $M(t) = \int_0^t m(\tau)d\tau$ where $m : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is non-decreasing, right continuous, with $m(0) = 0$, $m(t) > 0$ for $t > 0$ and $m(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

The N-function \bar{M} conjugate to M is defined by $\bar{M}(t) = \int_0^t \bar{m}(\tau)d\tau$ where $\bar{m} : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is given by $m(t) = \sup\{s : m(s) \leq t\}$.

The N-function M is said to satisfy a Δ_2 condition if, for some $k > 0$:

$$M(2t) \leq kM(t) \quad \forall t \geq 0$$

When this inequality holds only for $t \geq t_0 > 0$, M is said to satisfy the Δ_2 -condition near infinity.

- Let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^N . The Orlicz class $\mathcal{L}_M(\Omega)$ (resp. the Orlicz space $L_M(\Omega)$) is defined as the set of (equivalence classes of) real-valued measurable functions u on Ω such that $\int_{\Omega} M(u(x))dx < +\infty$ (resp. $\int_{\Omega} M(u(x)/\lambda)dx < +\infty$ for some $\lambda > 0$).

$L_M(\Omega)$ is a Banach space under the norm:

$$\|u\|_{M,\Omega} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_{\Omega} M \left(\frac{u(x)}{\lambda} \right) dx \leq 1 \right\}$$

and $\mathcal{L}_M(\Omega)$ is a convex subset of $L_M(\Omega)$. The closure in $L_M(\Omega)$ of the set of bounded measurable functions with compact support in $\bar{\Omega}$ is denoted by $E_M(\Omega)$.

The equality $E_M(\Omega) = L_M(\Omega)$ holds if and only if M satisfies the Δ_2 condition, for all t or for t large according to whether Ω has infinite measure or not.

The dual of $E_M(\Omega)$ can be identified with $L_{\bar{M}}(\Omega)$ by means of the pairing $\int_{\Omega} u(x)v(x)dx$, and the dual norm on $L_{\bar{M}}(\Omega)$ is equivalent to $\|\cdot\|_{\bar{M},\Omega}$.

The space $L_M(\Omega)$ is reflexive if and only if M and \bar{M} satisfy the Δ_2 condition (near infinity only if Ω has finite measure).

- We now turn to the Orlicz-Sobolev spaces. $W^1L_M(\Omega)$ (resp. $W^1E_M(\Omega)$) is the space of all functions u such that u and its distributional derivatives up to order 1 lie in $L_M(\Omega)$ (resp. $E_M(\Omega)$). It is a Banach space under the norm:

$$\|u\|_{1,M,\Omega} = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1} \|D^{\alpha}u\|_{M,\Omega}.$$

Thus $W^1L_M(\Omega)$ and $W^1E_M(\Omega)$ can be identified with subspace of the product of $(N + 1)$ copies of $L_M(\Omega)$. Denoting this product by ΠL_M , we will use the weak topologies $\sigma(\Pi L_M, \Pi E_{\bar{M}})$ and $\sigma(\Pi L_M, \Pi L_{\bar{M}})$.

The space $W^1_0E_M(\Omega)$ is defined as the (norm) closure of the Schwartz space $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ in $W^1E_M(\Omega)$ and the space $W^1_0L_M(\Omega)$ as the $\sigma(\Pi L_M, \Pi E_{\bar{M}})$ closure of $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ in $W^1L_M(\Omega)$.

- We say that u_n converges to u for the modular convergence in $W^1L_M(\Omega)$ if for some $\lambda > 0$

$$\int_{\Omega} M((D^\alpha u_n - D^\alpha u) / \lambda) dx \rightarrow 0 \text{ for all } |\alpha| \leq 1$$

This implies convergence for $\sigma(\Pi L_M, \Pi L_{\overline{M}})$. Note that, if $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L_M(\Omega)$ for the modular convergence and $v_n \rightarrow v$ in $L_M(\Omega)$ for the modular convergence, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} u_n v_n dx \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} u v dx \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

If M satisfies the Δ_2 -condition on \mathbb{R}^+ , then modular convergence coincides with norm convergence.

- Let $W^{-1}L_{\overline{M}}(\Omega)$ [resp. $W^{-1}E_{\overline{M}}(\Omega)$] denote the space of distributions on Ω which can be written as sums of derivatives of order at most 1 of functions in $L_{\overline{M}}(\Omega)$ [resp. $W^{-1}E_{\overline{M}}(\Omega)$]. It is a Banach space under the usual quotient norm.
- If the open set Ω has the segment property then the space $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ is dense in $W_0^1L_M(\Omega)$ for the modular convergence and thus for the topology $\sigma(\Pi L_M, \Pi L_{\overline{M}})$ (cf. [13], [19]). Consequently, the action of a distribution S in $W^{-1}L_{\overline{M}}(\Omega)$ on an element of $W_0^1L_M(\Omega)$ is well defined, it will be noted by $\langle S, u \rangle$.

2.2. The Inhomogeneous Orlicz-Sobolev

Let Ω be a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^N , $T > 0$ and set $Q = \Omega \times]0, T[$. Let M be an N -function. For each $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^N$, denote by D_x^α the distributional derivative on Q of order α with respect to the variable $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. The inhomogeneous Orlicz-Sobolev spaces of order 1 are defined as follows

$$W^{1,x}L_M(Q) = \{u \in L_M(Q) : D_x^\alpha u \in L_M(Q), \forall |\alpha| \leq 1\}$$

and

$$W^{1,x}E_M(Q) = \{u \in E_M(Q) : D_x^\alpha u \in E_M(Q), \forall |\alpha| \leq 1\}$$

The last space is a subspace of the former. Both are Banach spaces under the norm

$$\|u\| = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1} \|D_x^\alpha u\|_{M,Q}.$$

The space $W_0^{1,x}L_M(Q)$ is defined as the (norm) closure in $W^{1,x}L_M(Q)$ of $\mathcal{D}(Q)$ and we have.

$$W_0^{1,x}L_M(Q) = \overline{\mathcal{D}(Q)}^{\sigma(\Pi L_M, \Pi L_{\overline{M}})}.$$

We can easily show that they form a complementary system when Ω satisfies the segment property. These spaces are considered as subspaces of the product space $\Pi L_M(Q)$ which has $(N+1)$ copies. We shall also consider the weak topologies $\sigma(\Pi L_M, \Pi E_M)$ and $\sigma(\Pi L_M, \Pi L_M)$. If $u \in W^{1,x}L_M(Q)$, then the function: $t \mapsto u(t) = u(\cdot, t)$ is defined on $(0, T)$ with values in $W^1L_M(\Omega)$. If, further, $u \in W^{1,x}E_M(Q)$, then $u(\cdot, t)$ is $W^1E_M(\Omega)$ -valued and is strongly measurable.

Furthermore, the following continuous imbedding holds: $W^{1,x}E_M(Q) \subset L^1(0, T)$,

$W^1 E_M(\Omega)$. The space $W^{1,x} L_M(Q)$ is not in general separable; if $u \in W^{1,x} L_M(Q)$, we cannot conclude that the function $u(t)$ is measurable from $(0, T)$ into $W^{1,x} L_M(\Omega)$.

However the scalar function $t \mapsto \|D_x^\alpha u(t)\|_{M,\Omega}$ is in $L^1(0, T)$ for all $|\alpha| \leq 1$.

Furthermore, $W_0^{1,x} E_M(Q) = W_0^{1,x} L_M(Q) \cap \Pi E_M$. Poincare's inequality also holds in $W_0^{1,x} L_M(Q)$ and then there is a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $u \in W_0^{1,x} L_M(Q)$ one has

$$\sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1} \|D_x^\alpha u\|_{M,Q} \leq C \sum_{|\alpha|=1} \|D_x^\alpha u\|_{M,Q}$$

thus both sides of the last inequality are equivalent norms on $W_0^{1,x} L_M(Q)$. We have then the following complementary system

$$\begin{pmatrix} W_0^{1,x} L_M(Q) & F \\ W_0^{1,x} E_M(Q) & F_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

F being the dual space of $W_0^{1,x} E_M(Q)$. It is also, up to an isomorphism, the quotient of $\Pi L_{\overline{M}}$ by the polar set $W_0^{1,x} E_M(Q)^\perp$, and will be denoted by $F = W^{-1,x} L_{\overline{M}}(Q)$ and it is shown that

$$W^{-1,x} L_M(Q) = \left\{ f = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1} D_x^\alpha f_\alpha : f_\alpha \in L_{\overline{M}}(Q) \right\}$$

This space will be equipped with the usual quotient norm:

$$\|f\| = \inf \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1} \|f_\alpha\|_{\overline{M},Q}$$

where the infimum is taken on all possible decompositions

$$f = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1} D_x^\alpha f_\alpha, f_\alpha \in L_{\overline{M}}(Q)$$

The space F_0 is then given by

$$F_0 = \left\{ f = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1} D_x^\alpha f_\alpha : f_\alpha \in E_{\overline{M}}(Q) \right\}$$

and is denoted by $F_0 = W^{-1,x} E_{\overline{M}}(Q)$.

2.3. Some inequalities

Lemma 2.1. [17] *Let M be an N -function, we have the following inequality:*

$$st \leq M(s) + \overline{M}(t)$$

called Young inequality.

Lemma 2.2. [17] *The generalized Holder inequality*

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} u(x)v(x)dx \right| \leq 2\|u\|_M \|v\|_{\overline{M}}$$

hold for any pair function $u \in L_M(\Omega)$ and $v \in L_{\overline{M}}(\Omega)$.

Proof. The proof of this inequalities is detailed in [17] (see pages 18 for the first one 111 for the second). \square

2.4. Approximation theorem and trace result

Let Ω is an open subset of \mathbb{R}^N with the segment property and I is a sub-interval of \mathbb{R} (both possibly unbounded) and $Q = \Omega \times I$. It is easy to see that Q also satisfies the segment property.

Definition 2.3. [12] We say that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $W^{-1,x}L_{\overline{M}}(Q) + L^2(Q)$ for the modular convergence if we can write

$$\begin{aligned} u_n &= \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1} D_x^\alpha u_n^\alpha + u_n^0 \\ u &= \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1} D_x^\alpha u^\alpha + u^0 \end{aligned}$$

with $u_n^\alpha \rightarrow u^\alpha$ in $L_{\overline{M}}(Q)$ for the modular convergence for all $|\alpha| \leq 1$ and $u_n^0 \rightarrow u^0$ strongly in $L^2(Q)$.

This implies, in particular, that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $W^{-1,x}L_{\overline{M}}(Q) + L^2(Q)$ for the weak topology $\sigma(\Pi L_M + L^2, \Pi L_M \cap L^2)$ in the sense that $\langle u_n, v \rangle \rightarrow \langle u, v \rangle$ for all $v \in W_0^{1,x}L_M(Q) \cap L^2(Q)$, where here and throughout the paper, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ means either the pairing between $W_0^{1,x}L_M(Q)$ and $W^{-1,x}L_{\overline{M}}(Q)$, or the pairing between $W_0^{1,x}L_M(Q) \cap L^2(Q)$ and $W^{-1,x}L_{\overline{M}}(Q) + L^2(Q)$. Indeed,

$$\langle u_n, v \rangle = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1} (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_Q u_n^\alpha D_x^\alpha v \, dx \, dt + \int_Q u_n^0 v \, dx \, dt$$

and since for all $|\alpha| \leq 1$, $u_n^\alpha \rightarrow u^\alpha$ in $L_{\overline{M}}(Q)$ for the modular convergence, and so for $\sigma(L_{\overline{M}}, L_M)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1} (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_Q u_n^\alpha D_x^\alpha v \, dx \, dt + \int_Q u_n^0 v \, dx \, dt \\ &\rightarrow \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1} (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_Q u^\alpha D_x^\alpha v \, dx \, dt + \int_Q u^0 v \, dx \, dt = \langle u, v \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if $v_n \rightarrow v$ in $W_0^{1,x}L_M(Q) \cap L^2(Q)$ for the modular convergence (i.e. $v_n \rightarrow v$ in $W_0^{1,x}L_M(Q)$ for the modular convergence and in $L^2(Q)$ strong), we have $\langle u_n, v_n \rangle \rightarrow \langle u, v \rangle$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 2.4. [12] *If $u \in W^{1,x}L_M(\Omega) \cap L^2(\Omega)$ (respectively $W_0^{1,x}L_M(\Omega) \cap L^2(\Omega)$) and $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \in W^{-1,x}L_{\overline{M}}(Q) + L^2(Q)$, then there exists a sequence (v_j) in $\mathcal{D}(\overline{Q})$ (respectively $\mathcal{D}(I, \mathcal{D}(\Omega))$) such that*

$$\begin{aligned} v_j &\rightarrow u \text{ in } W^{1,x}L_M(\Omega) \cap L^2(\Omega) \\ \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial t} &\rightarrow \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \text{ in } W^{-1,x}L_{\overline{M}}(Q) + L^2(Q) \end{aligned}$$

for the modular convergence.

Remark 2.5. If in the statement of theorem (2.4), one considers $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$ instead of Q we have $\mathcal{D}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R})$ is dense in

$$\{u \in W_0^{1,x}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}) : \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \in W^{-1,x}L_{\overline{M}}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}) + L^2(\Omega \times \mathbb{R})\}$$

for the modular convergence.

A first application of Theorem (2.4) is the following trace result generalizing a classical result which states that if u belongs to $L^2(a, b; H_0^1(\Omega))$ and $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$ belongs to $L^2(a, b; H^{-1}(\Omega))$, then u is in $C(a, b; L^2(\Omega))$.

Lemma 2.6. [12] *Let $a < b \in \mathbb{R}$ and let Ω be a bounded subset of \mathbb{R}^N with the segment property, then*

$$\{u \in W_0^{1,x}L_M(\Omega \times (a, b)) \cap L^2(\Omega \times (a, b)); \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \in W^{-1,x}L_{\overline{M}}(\Omega \times (a, b)) + L^2(\Omega \times (a, b))\}$$

is a subset of $C([a, b], L^2(\Omega))$.

3. Existence result

3.1. Assumption and statement of main result

Let Ω be a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^N ($N \geq 2$) with the segment property, and Q be the cylinder $\Omega \times (0, T)$ with some given $T > 0$. Let M be an N-function. Consider the second order operator $A : D(A) \subset W_0^{1,x}L_M(Q) \rightarrow W^{-1,x}L_{\overline{M}}(Q)$ of the form:

$$A(u) = -div(a(x, t, \nabla u))$$

where $a : \Omega \times (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ are a Carateodory function satisfying for almost every $(x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T)$ and all $\xi \neq \xi^* \in \mathbb{R}^N$ we have the following assumptions:

$$|a(x, t, \xi)| \leq \beta(h_1(x, t) + \overline{M}^{-1}M(\delta|\xi|)) ; \quad (3.1)$$

$$[a(x, t, \xi) - a(x, t, \xi^*)][\xi - \xi^*] > 0 ; \quad (3.2)$$

$$a(x, t, \xi)\xi \geq \alpha M\left(\frac{|\xi|}{\lambda}\right) ; \quad (3.3)$$

$$f \in W^{-1,x}E_{\overline{M}}(Q) ; \quad (3.4)$$

where $h_1 \in L^1(Q)$, and $\beta, \delta, \alpha, \lambda > 0$.

and suppose that there exist $s' > 0$ and c, k two positive constant such that for all $s \geq s'$:

$$s^2 \leq cM(ks) \quad (3.5)$$

We shall prove the following existence theorem

Theorem 3.1. *Assume that (3.1)-(3.5) hold true then there exist a unique solution $u \in D(A) \cap W_0^{1,x}L_M(Q) \cap C(0, T, L^2(\Omega))$ of (1.1) in the following sense:*

$$\left\langle \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, \varphi \right\rangle_Q + \int_Q a(x, t, \nabla u) \nabla \varphi dx dt = \langle f, \varphi \rangle_Q ; \quad (3.6)$$

for every $\varphi \in W_0^{1,x}L_M(Q) \cap L^2(Q)$ with $\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t} \in W^{-1,x}L_{\overline{M}}(Q) + L^2(Q)$. where here $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ means for either the pairing between $W_0^{1,x}L_M(Q)$ and $W^{-1,x}L_{\overline{M}}(Q)$, or between $W_0^{1,x}L_M(Q) \cap L^2(Q)$ and $W^{-1,x}L_{\overline{M}}(Q) + L^2(Q)$.

Integrating by part and using the periodicity condition equation (3.6) can be written as:

$$-\int_Q \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t} u dx dt + \int_Q a(x, t, \nabla u) \nabla\varphi dx dt = \langle f, \varphi \rangle_Q \quad (3.7)$$

Remark 3.2. Note that all term in (3.7) are well defined, and by the trace result of lemma (2.6) we have that $u \in C([0, T], L^2(\Omega))$ wish make sense of the periodicity condition.

4. The proof of the main result

The proof of theorem (3.1) is divided into five steps:

Proof. Step 1: Firstly we have to prove that the solution u is unique. For that we suppose that there exist another solution v of problem (1.1) then v satisfy also (3.6), then by taking $\varphi = u(t) - v(t)$ we can easily see that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_Q (u(t) - v(t))^2 dx + \int_Q (a(x, t, \nabla u) - a(x, t, \nabla v)) (\nabla u - \nabla v) dx dt = 0 \quad (4.1)$$

Using periodicity condition and (3.2) we get $\nabla u = \nabla v$, then we have by (4.1) that $u(t) = v(t)$ for almost every $t \in (0, T)$, finally we deduce that $u = v$.

Step 2: Approximate problem: As in [12] we will use Galerkin method due to Landes and Mustonen [19]. For that we choose a sequence $\{w_1, w_2, w_3, \dots\}$ in $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ such that $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} V_n$ with

$$V_n = \text{span}\{w_1, w_2, w_3, \dots\}$$

is dense in $H_0^m(\Omega)$ with m large enough such that $H_0^m(\Omega)$ is continuously embedded in $C^1(\Omega)$. For any $v \in H_0^m(\Omega)$, there exists a sequence $(v_k) \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} V_n$ such that $v_k \rightarrow v$ in $H_0^m(\Omega)$ and in $C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ too.

We denote further $\mathcal{V}_n = C([0, T], V_n)$. We have that the closure of $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{V}_n$ with respect to the norm:

$$\|v\|_{C^{1,0}(Q)} = \sup_{|\alpha| \leq 1} \{|D_x^{|\alpha|} v(x, t)| : (x, t) \in Q\}$$

contains $\mathcal{D}(Q)$, for more detail see [11] and [18].

This implies that, for any $f \in W^{-1,x}E_{\overline{M}}(Q)$, there exists a sequence $(f_k) \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{V}_n$ such that $f_k \rightarrow f$ strongly in $W^{-1,x}E_{\overline{M}}(Q)$. Indeed, let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Writing

$$f = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1} D_x^\alpha f^\alpha$$

for all $|\alpha| \leq 1$, there exists $g^\alpha \in \mathcal{D}(Q)$ such that, $\|f^\alpha - g^\alpha\|_{\overline{M},Q} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2N+2}$. Moreover, by setting $g = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1} D_x^\alpha g^\alpha$, we see that for any $g \in \mathcal{D}(Q)$, and so there exists $\varphi \in \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \mathcal{V}_n$ such that $\|g - \varphi\|_{\infty,Q} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2meas(Q)}$. We deduce then

$$\|f^\alpha - g^\alpha\|_{W^{-1,x}E_{\overline{M}}(Q)} \leq \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1} \|f^\alpha - g^\alpha\|_{\overline{M},Q} + \|g - \varphi\|_{\infty,Q}$$

Now, let us consider the following approximate problem:

$$\begin{cases} u_n \in \mathcal{V}_n; \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t} \in L^1(0, T, V_n); \\ u_n(x, 0) = u_n(x, T); \\ \text{and for all } \varphi \in \mathcal{V}_n \\ \int_Q \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t} \varphi dxdt + \int_Q a(x, t, \nabla u_n) \nabla \varphi dxdt = \int_Q f_n \varphi dxdt \end{cases} \quad (4.2)$$

See the appendix for the prove of the existence of $u_n \in \mathcal{V}_n$.

Step 3: a priori estimates

Let us prove that:

$$\|u_n\|_{W_0^{1,x}L_M(Q)} \leq C \quad ; \quad \int_Q a(x, t, \nabla u_n) \nabla u_n dx \leq C' \quad (4.3)$$

and

$$a(x, t, \nabla u_n) \text{ is bounded in } (L_{\overline{M}}(Q))^N \quad (4.4)$$

where here C, C' are a positives constants not depending on n.

Proof. Taking u_n as a test function in (4.2), then using periodicity condition and young inequality we have

$$\int_Q a(x, t, \nabla u_n) \nabla u_n dxdt \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon} \|f_n\|_{\overline{M},Q} + \epsilon \|u_n\|_{M,Q}.$$

By using (3.2) and applying Poincare inequality there exist $C_1 > 0$ such that

$$\alpha \int_Q M\left(\frac{|\nabla u_n|}{\lambda}\right) dxdt \leq \|f_n\|_{\overline{M},Q} + \epsilon C_1 \int_Q M\left(\frac{|\nabla u_n|}{\lambda}\right) dxdt.$$

By a choice of ϵ and the fact that $\|f_n\|_{\overline{M},Q} \leq C$ we obtain

$$\int_Q M\left(\frac{|\nabla u_n|}{\lambda}\right) dxdt \leq C. \quad (4.5)$$

This implies that (u_n) is bounded in $W_0^{1,x}L_M(Q)$ and so in $L^2(Q)$. By using (3.1) and (4.5) we can conclude that there exist a constant $C' > 0$ such that

$$\int_Q a(x, t, \nabla u_n) \nabla u_n dxdt \leq C'; \quad (4.6)$$

To prove that $a(x, t, \nabla u_n)$ is bounded in $(L_{\overline{M}}(Q))^N$, let $\varphi \in (E_{\overline{M}}(Q))^N$ with $\|\varphi\|_{M,Q} = 1$. By (3.2) we have

$$\int_Q (a(x, t, \nabla u_n) - a(x, t, \varphi)) (\nabla u_n, \varphi) dxdt > 0$$

which gives

$$\int_Q a(x, t, \nabla u_n) \varphi < \int_Q a(x, t, \nabla u_n) \nabla u_n dxdt - \int_Q a(x, t, \varphi) (\nabla u_n - \varphi) dxdt$$

Using (3.1) and (4.3) we can easily see that

$$\int_Q a(x, t, \nabla u_n) \varphi < C$$

and so $a(x, t, \nabla u_n)$ is bounded in $(L_{\overline{M}}(Q))^N$.

Thus for a subsequence still denote u_n and for some $h \in (L_{\overline{M}}(Q))^N$:

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u \text{ weakly in } W_0^{1,x} L_M(Q) \text{ for } \sigma(\Pi L_M, \Pi E_{\overline{M}}), \quad (4.7)$$

and weakly in $L^2(Q)$.

$$a(x, t, \nabla u_n) \rightharpoonup h \text{ weakly in } (L_{\overline{M}}(Q))^N \text{ for } \sigma(\Pi L_{\overline{M}}, \Pi E_{\overline{M}}) \quad (4.8)$$

□

Step 4: Almost everywhere convergence of the gradient.

For all $\varphi \in C^1(0, T, \mathcal{D}(\Omega))$, we get by (4.2) and (4.8) that

$$- \int_Q u \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} + \int_Q h \nabla \varphi dxdt = \int_Q f \nabla \varphi dxdt. \quad (4.9)$$

We can see by taking φ arbitrary in $\mathcal{D}(Q)$ that $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \in W^{-1,x} L_{\overline{M}}(Q)$, then by theorem (2.4) there exist a subsequence denote $v_k \in \mathcal{D}(Q)$ such that:

$$v_k \rightarrow u \text{ in } W_0^{1,x} L_M(Q) \cap L^2(Q) \text{ and } \frac{\partial v_k}{\partial t} \rightarrow \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \text{ in } W^{-1,x} L_{\overline{M}}(Q) + L^2(Q)$$

for the modular convergence, then by lemma (2.6), we have $v_k \rightarrow u$ in $C([0, T], L^2(\Omega))$ and so $u \in C([0, T], L^2(\Omega))$.

From (4.2), (3.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_Q a(x, t, \nabla u_n) \nabla u_n - h \nabla v_k dxdt \\ & \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(- \int_Q \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t} u_n dxdt \right) + \int_Q \frac{\partial v_k}{\partial t} u dxdt \\ & \quad + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_Q (f_n u_n dxdt - \int_Q f_n v_k) dxdt \\ & = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_Q \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t} (v_k - u_n) dxdt \right) + \int_Q f (u - v_k) dxdt \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_Q \frac{\partial v_k}{\partial t} u dxdt &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} - \int_Q \frac{\partial v_k}{\partial t} u_n dxdt \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} - \int_Q \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t} v_k dxdt + \int_{\Omega} [u_n(t) v_k(t)]_0^T dx \end{aligned}$$

then the periodicity condition imply

$$-\int_Q \frac{\partial v_k}{\partial t} u dxdt = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} -\int_Q \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t} v_k dxdt.$$

For the first term in the right hand sand we have

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_Q \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t} (v_k - u_n) dxdt &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_Q (u_n(t) - v_k(t))^2 dxdt \right) \\ &+ \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_Q \frac{\partial v_k}{\partial t} (v_k - u_n) dxdt \\ &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} [u_n(t) - v_k(t)]_0^T dx \right) \\ &+ \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_Q \frac{\partial v_k}{\partial t} (v_k - u_n) dxdt \end{aligned}$$

the fact that $\frac{\partial v_k}{\partial t} \in E_{\overline{M}}(Q)$ and $v_k \rightarrow u$ gives

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_Q \frac{\partial v_k}{\partial t} (v_k - u_n) dxdt = 0.$$

By periodicity condition we have

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_Q \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t} (v_k - u_n) dxdt = 0.$$

Then we obtain

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_Q a(x, t, \nabla u_n) \nabla u_n dxdt = \int_Q h \nabla v_k dxdt + \int_Q f(u - v_k) dxdt$$

Having in mind that v_k converge strongly to u in $W_0^{1,x} L_M(Q)$ for the modular convergence, we can pass to the limit sup in k , to deduce

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_Q a(x, t, \nabla u_n) \nabla u_n = \int_Q h \nabla v dxdt. \quad (4.10)$$

Fix a real number $r > 0$ and any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by χ_k^r and χ^r the characteristic functions of $Q_k^r = \{(x, t) \in Q : |\nabla v_k| \leq r\}$ and $Q^r = \{(x, t) \in Q : |\nabla u| \leq r\}$, respectively. We also denote by $\varepsilon(n, k, s)$ all quantities (possibly different) such that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon(n, k, s) = 0,$$

and this will be the order in which the parameters we use will tend to infinity, that is, first n , then k , and finally s . Similarly, we will write only $\varepsilon(n)$, or $\varepsilon(n, k), \dots$ to mean that the limits are only on the specified parameters.

Taking $s \geq r$ one has

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &\leq \int_{Q^r} (a(x, t, \nabla u_n) - a(x, t, \nabla u)) (\nabla u_n - \nabla u) dxdt \\
 &\leq \int_{Q^s} (a(x, t, \nabla u_n) - a(x, t, \nabla u)) (\nabla u_n - \nabla u) dxdt \\
 &= \int_{Q^s} (a(x, t, \nabla u_n) - a(x, t, \nabla u \chi^s)) (\nabla u_n - \nabla u \chi^s) dxdt \\
 &\leq \int_Q (a(x, t, \nabla u_n) - a(x, t, \nabla u \chi^s)) (\nabla u_n - \nabla u \chi^s) dxdt.
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_Q [a(x, t, \nabla u_n) - a(x, t, \nabla u \chi^s)] [\nabla u_n - \nabla u \chi^s] dxdt \\
 &= \int_Q [a(x, t, \nabla u_n) - a(x, t, \nabla v_k \chi_k^s)] \\
 &\quad \times [\nabla u_n - \nabla v_k \chi_k^s] dxdt \\
 &+ \int_Q a(x, t, \nabla v_k \chi_k^s) [\nabla u_n - \nabla v_k \chi_k^s] dxdt \\
 &+ \int_Q a(x, t, \nabla u_n) [\nabla v_k \chi_k^s - \nabla u \chi^s] dxdt \\
 &+ \int_Q a(x, t, \nabla u \chi^s) [\nabla u \chi^s - \nabla u_n] dxdt \\
 &= I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4.
 \end{aligned}$$

We shall go to the limit in all integrals I_i (for $i=1, 2, 3, 4$) as first n , then k , and finally s tend to infinity.

Starting with I_2 and letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, since $\nabla u_n \rightharpoonup \nabla u$ in $L_{\overline{M}}(Q)^N$ by Lebesgue theorem we get that

$$I_2 = \int_Q a(x, t, \nabla v_k \chi_k^s) [\nabla u - \nabla v_k \chi_k^s] dxdt + \varepsilon(n).$$

Letting then $k \rightarrow \infty$ this imply

$$I_2 = \int_{\{|\nabla u| > s\}} a(x, t, 0) \nabla u dxdt + \varepsilon(n, k).$$

Finally we deduce when s tends to infinity that

$$I_2 = \varepsilon(n, k, s). \tag{4.11}$$

For I_3 we have by letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using (4.8) that

$$I_3 = \int_Q h(\nabla v_k \chi_k^s - \nabla u \chi^s) dxdt$$

and so, by letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ in the integral of the last side and using the fact that $\nabla v_k \chi_k^s \rightarrow \nabla u \chi^s$ strongly in $(E_M(Q))^N$, we deduce that $I_2 = \varepsilon(n, k)$. For the fourth term I_4 , we have, by letting $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$I_4 = - \int_{\{|\nabla u| > s\}} a(x, t, 0) \nabla u dx dt + \varepsilon(n),$$

and since the first term of the last side tends to zero as $s \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain $I_4 = \varepsilon(n, k, s)$. We have then proved that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_Q [a(x, t, \nabla u_n) - a(x, t, \nabla u \chi^s)] [\nabla u_n - \nabla u \chi^s] dx dt \\ &= \int_Q [a(x, t, \nabla u_n) - a(x, t, \nabla v_k \chi_k^s)] [\nabla u_n - \nabla v_k \chi_k^s] dx dt \\ & \quad + \varepsilon(n, k, s). \end{aligned}$$

Finally we can deduce that

$$0 \leq \int_{Q^r} (a(x, t, \nabla u_n) - a(x, t, \nabla u)) (\nabla u_n - \nabla u) dx dt \quad (4.12)$$

$$\leq \int_Q [a(x, t, \nabla u_n) - a(x, t, \nabla v_k \chi_k^s)] [\nabla u_n - \nabla v_k \chi_k^s] dx dt + \varepsilon(n, k, s)$$

we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_Q [a(x, t, \nabla u_n) - a(x, t, \nabla v_k \chi_k^s)] [\nabla u_n - \nabla v_k \chi_k^s] dx dt = \int_Q a(x, t, \nabla u_n) \nabla u_n dx dt \\ & \quad - \int_Q (a(x, t, \nabla u_n) - a(x, t, \nabla v_k \chi_k^s)) \nabla v_k \chi_k^s dx dt \\ & \quad = J_1 + J_2 + J_3. \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

First all we have by using (4.10) that

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_1 = \int_Q h \nabla u dx dt. \quad (4.14)$$

For J_2 , letting first $n \rightarrow \infty$ then k , and using Lebesgue theorem hence $\nabla v_k \chi_k^s \rightarrow \nabla u \chi^s$ strongly in $(E_M(Q))^N$ we get

$$J_2 = - \int_Q (h - a(x, t, \nabla u \chi^s)) \nabla u \chi^s dx dt + \varepsilon(n, k).$$

We can easily see that

$$J_2 = - \int_{Q^s} (h - a(x, t, \nabla u)) \nabla u dx dt + \varepsilon(n, k). \quad (4.15)$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ on J_3 we have

$$J_3 = - \int_{Q^s} a(x, t, \nabla u) \nabla u dx dt - \int_{\{|\nabla u| > s\}} a(x, t, 0) \nabla u dx dt. \quad (4.16)$$

Finally by combining (4.13), (4.14), (4.15), (4.16) we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Q [a(x, t, \nabla u_n) - a(x, t, \nabla v_k \chi_k^s)] [\nabla u_n - \nabla v_k \chi_k^s] dxdt &= \quad (4.17) \\ &= - \int_{\{|\nabla u| > s\}} a(x, t, 0) \nabla u dxdt + \varepsilon(n, k) \end{aligned}$$

So, when s tend to infinity (4.12) and (4.17) gives

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{Q^r} (a(x, t, \nabla u_n) - a(x, t, \nabla u)) (\nabla u_n - \nabla u) dxdt = 0$$

and thus, as in the elliptic case see [3], we deduce that, for a subsequence still denoted by u_n ,

$$\nabla u_n \rightarrow \nabla u \quad \text{a.e. in } Q \quad (4.18)$$

Since $a(x, t, \cdot)$ is continuous then

$$a(x, t, \nabla u_n) \rightarrow a(x, t, \nabla u) \quad \text{a.e in } Q$$

If we take in consideration that $a(x, t, \nabla u_n)$ is bounded in $(L_{\overline{M}}(Q))^N$ we have by lemma (4.4) of [19] that

$$a(x, t, \nabla u_n) \rightharpoonup a(x, t, \nabla u) \quad \text{weakly in } (L_{\overline{M}}(Q))^N.$$

Therefore, we get for all $\varphi \in C^1([0, T], \mathcal{D}(\Omega))$,

$$- \int_Q u \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} dxdt + \int_Q a(x, t, \nabla u) \nabla \varphi dxdt = \langle f, \varphi \rangle_Q \quad (4.19)$$

Step 5: Passage to the limit

Going back to the approximating equations (4.2), then we obtain in the sense of distribution when n tend to infinity that

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \text{div}(a(x, t, \nabla u)) = f(x, t) \quad \text{and} \quad u(x, t) = 0$$

Furthermore, by the fact that $\frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t} \rightarrow \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$ in $W^{-1, x} L_{\overline{M}}(Q) + L^2(Q)$ for the modular convergence and we have already that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $W_0^{1, x} L_M(Q) \cap L^2(Q)$ for the modular convergence, then by lemma (2.6) we get $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $C([0, T], L^2(\Omega))$, so using the periodicity condition, since

$$\langle \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, u \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t}, u_n \rangle = \frac{1}{2} [u_n(T)^2 - u_n(0)^2] = 0$$

we deduce finally

$$u(x, 0) = u(x, T) \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

Then the proof of theorem (3.1) is completed.

5. Appendix

let us consider the following approximate problem:

$$\begin{cases} u_n \in \mathcal{V}_n; \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t} \in L^1(0, T, V_n); \\ u_n(x, 0) = u_n(x, T); \\ \text{and for all } \varphi \in \mathcal{V}_n \\ \int_Q \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t} \varphi dxdt + \int_Q a(x, t, \nabla u_n) \nabla \varphi dxdt = \int_Q f_n \varphi dxdt \end{cases} \quad (5.1)$$

we will use the point fixed theorem due to Leray-Schauder to prove the existence of solution, for that let us consider the following initial boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t} + A(u_n) = f_n \\ u_n(x, t) = 0 \\ u_n(0) = u_{0n} \end{cases} \quad (5.2)$$

where u_{0n} in V_n . And let $\overline{B}_n(0, R)$ be a closed ball in the space V_n with the norm $\|\cdot\|$. We define the Poincaré operator by

$$\begin{aligned} P : \overline{B}_n(0, R) &\rightarrow \overline{B}_n(0, R) \\ u_{0n} &\mapsto u_n(T) \end{aligned}$$

We have to prove that P is continuous and relatively compact (i.e find the existence of a constant $R > 0$ such that $\|u_{0n}\| \leq R \rightarrow \|u_n(T)\| \leq R$.

let consider $\varphi = u_n$ in (4.2) we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t} u_n dx + \int_{\Omega} a(x, t, \nabla u_n) \nabla u_n dx = \int_{\Omega} f_n u_n dx.$$

Using Hölder inequality to the term in the left hand side we get

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t} u_n dx + \int_{\Omega} a(x, t, \nabla u_n) \nabla u_n dx \leq 2 \|f_n\|_{\overline{M}, \Omega} \|u_n\|_{M, \Omega}.$$

Then we can easily see that for $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist a constant $c(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} (u_n(t))^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} a(x, t, \nabla u_n) \nabla u_n dx \leq C(\varepsilon) \|f_n\|_{\overline{M}, \Omega}^2 + \varepsilon \|u_n\|_{M, \Omega}^2$$

Using (3.2) we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} (u_n(t))^2 dx + \alpha \int_{\Omega} M \left(\frac{|\nabla u_n|}{\lambda} \right) dx \leq C(\varepsilon) \|f_n\|_{\overline{M}, \Omega}^2 + \varepsilon \|u_n\|_{M, \Omega}^2.$$

By lemma 5.7 of [19] there exist two positive constants δ, λ such that

$$\int_Q M(v) dxdt \leq \delta \int_Q M(\lambda |\nabla v|) dxdt \quad \text{for all } v \in W_0^{1,x} L_M(Q).$$

Then for $c_1 > 0$ we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} (u_n(t))^2 dx + \alpha c_1 \int_{\Omega} M(|u_n|) dx \leq C(\varepsilon) \|f_n\|_{\overline{M}, \Omega}^2 + \varepsilon \|u_n\|_{M, \Omega}^2$$

Using now (3.5), and by the choice of ε we can easily see that there exist $c_2 > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} (u_n(t))^2 dx + c_2 \|u_n\|^2 \leq C(\varepsilon) \|f_n\|_{\overline{M}, \Omega}$$

Multiplying by $e^{c_2 t}$ and integrating by part we obtain

$$e^{c_2 T} \|u_n(T)\|^2 \leq 2 \|f_n\|_{\overline{M}, Q} + R^2$$

we choice R such that $R^2 > \frac{2e^{-c_2 T}}{1-e^{-c_2 T}}$ we deduce the existence of $R > 0$.

Now we pass to prove the continuity of P , for that we consider u_{0n} and ν_{0n} two sequences in $\overline{B}_n(0, R)$, by taking $\varphi = u_n - \nu_n$ such that u_n and ν_n satisfy (4.2) we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_Q (u_n(t) - \nu_n(t))^2 dx dt + \int_Q (a(x, t, \nabla u_n) - a(x, t, \nabla \nu_n)) (\nabla u_n - \nabla \nu_n) dx = 0$$

then using (3.2), we can write


$$\|u_n(T) - \nu_n(T)\|^2 \leq \|u_{0n} - \nu_{0n}\|^2.$$

Finally we deduce the continuity of P , hence by the point fixed argument there exist u_n solution of (4.2) satisfy $u_n(T) = u_n(0)$. \square


References

- [1] Agarwal, R.P., Alghamdi, A.M., Gala, S., Ragusa, M.A., *On the regularity criterion one velocity component for the micropolar fluid equations*, Math. Model. Anal., **28**(2)(2023), 271-284.
- [2] Azroul, E., Redwane, H., Rhoudaf, M., *Existence of a renormalized solution for a class of nonlinear parabolic equations in Orlicz spaces*, Port. Math., **66**(1)(2009), 29-63.
- [3] Benkirane, A., Elmahi, A., *Almost everywhere convergence of the gradients of solutions to elliptic equations in Orlicz spaces and application*, Nonlinear Anal, Theory Methods Appl., **28**(1997), 1769-1784.
- [4] Boldrini, J.L., Crema, J., *On forced periodic solutions of superlinear quasiparabolic problems*, Electron. J. Differential Equations, **14**(1998), 1-18.
- [5] Brézis, H., Browder, F.E., *Strongly nonlinear parabolic initial boundary value problems*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., **76**(1979), 38-40.
- [6] Donaldson, T., *Inhomogeneous Orlicz-Sobolev spaces and nonlinear parabolic initial boundary value problems*, J. Differential Equations, **16**(1974), 201-256.
- [7] El Hachimi, A., Lamrani Alaoui, A., *Existence of stable periodic solutions for quasilinear parabolic problems in the presence of well-ordered lower and upper-solutions*, Electron. J. Differential Equations, **9**(2002), 117-126.
- [8] El Hachimi, A., Lamrani Alaoui, A., *Time periodic solutions to a nonhomogeneous Dirichlet periodic problem*, Appl. Math. E-Notes, **8**(2008), 1-8.
- [9] El Hachimi, A., Lamrani Alaoui, A., *Periodic solutions of nonlinear parabolic equations with measure data and polynomial growth in $|\nabla u|$* , Recent Developments in Nonlinear Analysis, (2010).
- [10] El-Houari, H., Chadli, L.S., Moussa, H., *On a class of Schrodinger system Problem in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces*, J. Funct. Spaces, **2022**(2022).

- [11] Elmahi, A., *Strongly nonlinear parabolic initial-boundary value problems in Orlicz spaces*, Electron. J. Differ. Equ. Conf., **9**(2002), 203-220.
- [12] Elmahi, A., Meskine, D., *Parabolic initial-boundary value problems in Orlicz spaces*, Ann. Polon. Math., **85**(2005), 99-119.
- [13] Gossez, J.-P., *Some approximation properties in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces*, Studia Math., **74**(1982), 17-24.
- [14] Gwiazda, Swierczewska-Gwiazda, P., Wóblewska-Kamińska, A., *Generalized Stokes system in Orlicz space*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., **32**(6)(2012), 2125-2146.
- [15] Gwiazda, Wittbold, P., Wróblewska-Kamińska, P., Zimmermann, A., *Renormalized solutions of nonlinear elliptic problems in generalized Orlicz spaces*, J. Differential Equations, **253**(2012), 635-666.
- [16] Gwiazda, Wittbold, P., Wróblewska-Kamińska, P., Zimmermann, A., *Renormalized solutions to nonlinear parabolic problems in generalized musielak orlicz spaces*, Nonlinear Anal., **129**(2015), 1-36.
- [17] Krasnosel'skii, M., Rutickii, Y., *Convex Functions and Orlicz Spaces*, P. Noordhoff Groningen, 1969.
- [18] Landes, R., *On Galerkin's method in the existence theory of quasilinear elliptic equations*, J. Funct., **39**(1980), 123-148.
- [19] Landes, R., Mustonen, V., *A strongly nonlinear parabolic initial boundary value problem*, Ark. Mat., **25**(1987) 29-40.
- [20] Robert, J., *Équations d'évolution; paraboliques fortement non linéaires*, Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa-Classe di Scienze, **1.3-4**(1974), 247-259.
- [21] Yee, T.L., Cheung, K.L., Ho, K.P., *Integral operators on local Orlicz-Morrey spaces*, Filomat, **36**(4)(2022), 1231-1243.

Erriahi Elidrissi Ghita 
Faculty of Sciences "Dhar El Mahraz",
Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah University,
Department of Mathematics and Computer Science,
B.P. 1769-Atlas Fez, Morocco
e-mail: ghita.idrissi.s6@gmail.com

Azroul Elhoussine 
Faculty of Sciences "Dhar El Mahraz",
Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah University,
Department of Mathematics and Computer Science,
B.P. 1769-Atlas Fez, Morocco
e-mail: elhoussine.azroul@gmail.com

Lamrani Alaoui Abdelilah 
Faculty of Sciences "Dhar El Mahraz",
Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah University,
Department of Mathematics and Computer Science,
B.P. 1769-Atlas Fez, Morocco
e-mail: lamranii@gmail.com