Nonnegative solutions for a class of fourth order singular eigenvalue problems

Lydia Bouchal (b), Karima Mebarki (b) and Svetlin Georgiev Georgiev (b)

Abstract. In this paper, we discuss the existence of nonnegative solutions to a fourth order singular boundary value problem at two points. Our result is based on a recent Birkhoff-Kellogg type fixed point theorem developed on translates of a cone on a Banach space.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 47H10, 34B09, 34B16.

Keywords: Fixed point, fourth-order boundary value problem, positive solution, cone.

1. Introduction

In the present paper, we investigate the following fourth order singular differential equation with parameter

$$v^{(4)} = \lambda g(t) f(v(t)), \quad 0 < t < 1, \tag{1.1}$$

subject to the boundary conditions

$$v(0) = a_1, \quad v(1) = a_2, \quad v''(0) = a_3, \quad v''(1) = a_4,$$
 (1.2)

where $a_j \ge 0, j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, are given constants,

(H1). $f \in C([0,\infty)),$

$$0 < A_1 \le f(x) \le A_2 + \sum_{j=0}^k B_j x^j, \quad x \in [0, \infty),$$

 $A_2 \ge A_1 > 0$ and $B_j \ge 0, j \in \{0, \ldots, k\}, k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, are given constants.

Received 30 January 2023; Accepted 01 March 2023.

[©] Studia UBB MATHEMATICA. Published by Babeş-Bolyai University

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License.

(H2). $g: (0,1) \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is continuous and may be singular at t = 0 or/and t = 1, $g \neq 0$ on (0,1) and $\int_0^1 s(1-s)g(s)ds < \infty$.

Fourth order two-point boundary value problems (BVPs for short) have been received much attention by many authors due to their importance in physics. Usually, they are essential in describing a vast class of elastic deflections with several types of boundary conditions such as whose ends are simply-supported at 0 and 1 (v(0) = v(1) = v''(0) = v''(1) = 0). A great number of research has been devoted to investigate the existence of positive solutions to this class of problems, see [2, 1, 3, 4, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13] and the references therein. The authors in [2] discussed the existence, uniqueness and multiplicity of positive solutions to the following eigenvalue BVP by means of fixed point theorem and degree theory

$$v^{(4)} = \lambda f(t, (v(t))), \quad 0 < t < 1,$$
(1.3)

$$v(0) = v(1) = v''(0) = v''(1) = 0,$$
(1.4)

where $\lambda > 0$ is a constant and $f : [0,1] \times [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ is continuous. In [12] by applying a Krasnosel'skii fixed point theorem of cone expansion and compression the author obtained the existence and multiplicity results of equation (1.3) with boundary conditions v(0) = v(1) = v'(0) = v'(1) = 0. In the literature, there are few papers devoted to study fourth order singular eigenvalue problems. In the case when $a_j = 0$, $j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, the BVP (1.1)-(1.2) is investigated in [7] when $f \in \mathcal{C}([0,\infty)), f > 0$ on $[0,\infty), f$ is nondecreasing on $[0,\infty)$ and there exist $\delta > 0$, $m \geq 2$ such that $f(u) > \delta u^m, u \in [0,\infty)$, and $g \in \mathcal{C}(0,1), g > 0$ on (0,1) and $0 < \int_0^1 s(1-s)g(s)ds < \infty$. In [7], Feng and Ge used the method of upper and lower solutions and the fixed point index to discuss the existence of positive solutions.

Our main result is as follows where we do not require any monotonicity assumptions on f, and we do not assume that f is either superlinear or sublinear.

Theorem 1.1. Suppose that (H1) and (H2) hold. Then there is a $\lambda^* > 0$ such that the BVP (1.1)-(1.2) has at least one nonnegative solution for $\lambda = \lambda^*$.

Note that our main result, in the particular case $a_j = 0, j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, is valid in the case when f is decreasing on $[0, \infty)$, while the corresponding result in [7] is not valid. For instance, $f(x) = 1 + \frac{1}{1+x^2}, x \in [0, \infty)$, satisfies (H1) for $A_1 = 1$, $A_2 = 2, B_j = 0, j \in \{0, \ldots, k\}$, and f is decreasing on $[0, \infty)$, whereupon it does not satisfy the conditions in [7]. Also, the conditions for g in [7] are more restrictive than (H2). For instance, $g(t) = \frac{(\frac{1}{2}-t)^2}{t(1-t)}, t \in (0, 1)$, satisfies (H2) and does not satisfy the conditions in [7] because $g(\frac{1}{2}) = 0$. Thus, we can consider the particular case of our main result, $a_j = 0, j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, as a complementary result to the result in [7]. The approach used in this paper is to rewrite the (BVP) (1.1)-(1.2) into a perturbed integral equation of which we search for solutions in a suitable subset of a Banach space by means of recent fixed point theorem of Birkhoff-Kellogg type developed by Calamai and Infante in [5]. Note that this fixed point theorem has been applied very recently to discuss the solvability of fourth order retarded equations in [6]. The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give some auxiliary results needed for the proof of our main result. In Section 3, we prove our main result. In Section 4, we give an example.

2. Auxiliary results

Let X be a real Banach space.

Definition 2.1. A mapping $F : \Omega \subset X \to X$ is said to be completely continuous if it is continuous and maps bounded sets into relatively compact sets.

Definition 2.2. A closed, convex set \mathcal{K} of X is said to be cone if

- 1. $\alpha x \in \mathcal{K}$ for any $\alpha \geq 0$ and for any $x \in \mathcal{K}$,
- 2. $x, -x \in \mathcal{K}$ implies x = 0.

For a given $y \in X$, we consider the translate of a cone \mathcal{K} , namely

$$\mathcal{K}_y = \mathcal{K} + y = \{x + y : x \in \mathcal{K}\}.$$

Given an open bounded subset D of X we denote $D_{\mathcal{K}_y} = D \cap \mathcal{K}_y$, an open subset of \mathcal{K}_y .

Theorem 2.3. [5, Corollary 2.4] Let (X, || ||) be a real Banach space, $\mathcal{K} \subset X$ be a cone, and $D \subset X$ be an open bounded set with $y \in D_{\mathcal{K}_y}$ and $\overline{D}_{\mathcal{K}_y} \neq \mathcal{K}_y$. Assume that $F: \overline{D}_{\mathcal{K}_y} \to \mathcal{K}$ is a completely continuous map and assume that

$$\inf_{x \in \partial D_{\mathcal{K}_y}} \|Fx\| > 0.$$

Then there exists $x^* \in \partial D_{\mathcal{K}_y}$ and $\lambda^* \in (0, \infty)$ such that

$$x^* = y + \lambda^* F(x^*).$$

Let

$$y_1(t) = \left(a_1 + \frac{a_4}{6}\right)(1-t) + a_2t + \frac{a_3}{6}(1-t)^3 + \frac{a_4}{6}(t^3-1) + \frac{a_3}{6}(t-1), \quad t \in [0,1].$$

We have

$$0 \le y_1(t) \le a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4, \quad t \in [0, 1],$$

and

$$\begin{array}{rcl} y_1'(t) &=& -a_1 - \frac{a_4}{6} + a_2 - \frac{1}{2}a_3(1-t)^2 + \frac{1}{2}a_4t^2 + \frac{a_3}{6}, & t \in [0,1], \\ y_1''(t) &=& a_3(1-t) + a_4t, & t \in [0,1]. \end{array}$$

Hence,

$$y_1(0) = a_1, \quad y_1(1) = a_2, \quad y_1''(0) = a_3, \quad y''(1) = a_4,$$

Set

$$y(t) = -y_1(t), \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

Now, consider the BVP

$$u^{(4)} = \lambda g(t) f(u(t) - y(t)), \quad 0 < t < 1,$$

$$u(0) = u(1) = u''(0) = u''(1) = 0,$$
(2.1)

where f and g satisfy (H1) and (H2), respectively.

Let $X = \mathcal{C}([0, 1])$ be endowed with the norm $||u|| = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} |u(t)|$. Define

$$\mathcal{K} = \{ u \in X : u(t) \ge 0, \quad t \in [0, 1] \}$$

Since $0 \leq \int_0^1 s(1-s)g(s)ds < \infty$, there exists a nonnegative constant C_0 such that

$$\int_0^1 s(1-s)g(s)ds = C_0.$$

Because $g \neq 0$ on (0, 1), there are $C_1 > 0$, $s_0 \in (0, 1)$ and $\epsilon > 0$ such that $s_0 - \epsilon$, $s_0 + \epsilon \in (0, 1)$ and

$$g(s) \ge C_1, \ s \in (s_0 - \epsilon, s_0 + \epsilon).$$

Define

$$G(t,s) = \begin{cases} t(1-s)\frac{2s-s^2-t^2}{6}, & 0 \le t \le s \le 1, \\ \\ s(1-t)\frac{2t-t^2-s^2}{6}, & 0 \le s \le t \le 1. \end{cases}$$

We have

$$0 \le G(t,s) \le \frac{1}{6} s(1-s) \le \frac{1}{6}, \quad 0 \le t, s \le 1,$$

Note that

$$\int_{0}^{1} G(s_{0} + \epsilon, s)g(s)ds \geq \int_{s_{0}-\epsilon}^{s_{0}+\epsilon} G(s_{0} + \epsilon, s)g(s)ds$$

$$\geq C_{1}\int_{s_{0}-\epsilon}^{s_{0}+\epsilon} G(s_{0} + \epsilon, s)ds$$

$$= C_{1}\int_{s_{0}-\epsilon}^{s_{0}+\epsilon} s(1-s_{0}-\epsilon)\frac{2(s_{0}+\epsilon)-(s_{0}+\epsilon)^{2}-s^{2}}{6}ds$$

$$\geq \frac{2}{3}C_{1}\epsilon(s_{0}-\epsilon)^{2}(1-s_{0}-\epsilon)^{2}$$

$$> 0.$$

For $u \in X$, define the operator

$$Tu(t) = \int_0^1 G(t,s)g(s)f(u(s) - y(s))ds, \quad t \in [0,1].$$

In [7], it is proved that any fixed point $u \in X$ of the operator λT is a solution to the BVP (2.1). Fix $C_2 > a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4$ arbitrarily. Define

$$D = \{ u \in X : ||u|| < C_2 \}.$$

We have that D is an open bounded set in $X, y \in D$ and $D_{\mathcal{K}_y} = D \cap \mathcal{K}_y \neq \mathcal{K}_y$. Note that for any $u \in \overline{D}_{\mathcal{K}_y}$, we have

$$u(t) = y(t) + z(t), t \in [0, 1],$$

for some $z \in \mathcal{K}$, and so $u(t) - y(t) = z(t) \ge 0, t \in [0, 1]$, and

$$f(u(t) - y(t)) \leq \left(A_2 + \sum_{j=0}^k B_j (u(t) - y(t))^j\right)$$

$$\leq \left(A_2 + \sum_{j=0}^k B_j 2^j \left(|u(t)|^j + |y_1(t)|^j\right)\right)$$

$$\leq \left(A_2 + \sum_{j=0}^k B_j 2^j \left(C_2^j + (a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4)^j\right)\right), \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

2.1. Proof of the main result

Since $f \in \mathcal{C}([0,\infty))$ and $g \in \mathcal{C}(0,1)$, we have that $T: D_{\mathcal{K}_y} \to \mathcal{K}$ is a continuous operator. Next, for $u \in \overline{D}_{\mathcal{K}_y}$, we have

$$Tu(t) = \int_0^1 G(t,s)g(s)f(u(s) - y(s))ds$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{6} \left(A_2 + \sum_{j=0}^k B_j 2^j \left(C_2^j + (a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4)^j \right) \right) \int_0^1 s(1-s)g(s) ds$$

$$= \frac{1}{6} C_0 \left(A_2 + \sum_{j=0}^k B_j 2^j \left(C_2^j + (a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4)^j \right) \right), \quad t \in [0,1],$$

whereupon

$$||Tu|| \le C_0 \left(A_2 + \sum_{j=0}^k B_j 2^j \left(C_2^j + (a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4)^j \right) \right).$$

Then, $T(\overline{D}_{\mathcal{K}_y})$ is uniformly bounded. Moreover, for $u \in \overline{D}_{\mathcal{K}_y}$ and $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1], t_1 < t_2$, the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem guarantees that

$$\begin{aligned} |Tu(t_1) - Tu(t_2)| \\ &\leq \int_0^1 |G(t_1, s) - G(t_2, s)| g(s) f(u(s) - y(s)) ds \, ds \\ &\leq \left(A_2 + \sum_{j=0}^k B_j 2^j \left(C_2^j + (a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4)^j \right) \right) \int_0^1 g(s) |G(t_1, s) - G(t_2, s)| ds \\ &\to 0, \quad t_1 \to t_2, \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $T(\overline{D}_{\mathcal{K}_y})$ is equicontinuous. According to the Arzelà-Ascoli compactness criterion, we conclude that the operator $T: \overline{D}_{\mathcal{K}_y} \to \mathcal{K}$ is completely continuous.

909

Observe that, for $u \in \partial D_{\mathcal{K}_{y}}$,

$$\max_{t \in [0,1]} |Tu(t)| \ge Tu(s_0 + \epsilon) = \int_0^1 G(s_0 + \epsilon, s)g(s)f(u(s) - y(s))ds$$
$$\ge A_1 \int_0^1 G(s_0 + \epsilon, s)g(s)ds$$
$$\ge \frac{2}{3}A_1C_1\epsilon(s_0 - \epsilon)^2(1 - s_0 - \epsilon)^2$$
$$> 0.$$

Consequently

$$\inf_{u \in \partial D_{\mathcal{K}_y}} \|Tu\| \ge \frac{2}{3} A_1 C_1 \epsilon (s_0 - \epsilon)^2 (1 - s_0 - \epsilon)^2 > 0.$$

Now, applying Theorem 2.3, we conclude that there are $\lambda^* \in (0, \infty)$ and $u^* \in \partial D_{\mathcal{K}_y}$ such that

$$u^{*}(t) = y(t) + \lambda^{*} \int_{0}^{1} G(t,s)g(s)f(u^{*}(s) - y(s))ds, \quad t \in [0,1].$$

Let

$$v^*(t) = u^*(t) - y(t), \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

Then

$$v^{*}(0) = u^{*}(0) - y(0) = a_{1},$$

$$v^{*}(1) = u^{*}(1) - y(1) = a_{2},$$

$$v^{*''}(0) = u^{*''}(0) - y''(0) = a_{3},$$

$$v^{*''}(1) = u^{*''}(1) - y''(1) = a_{4}$$

and

$$v^*(t) = \lambda \int_0^1 G(t,s)g(s)f(v^*(s))ds, \quad t \in [0,1],$$

whereupon

$$v^{*(4)}(t) = \lambda g(t) f(v^*(t)), \quad 0 < t < 1.$$

Since $u^* \in \partial D_{\mathcal{K}_y}$, we have that $u^*(t) = y(t) + z^*(t)$, $t \in [0, 1]$, for some $z^* \in \mathcal{K}$, and then

$$v^*(t) = u^*(t) - y(t) = z^*(t) + y(t) - y(t) = z^*(t) \ge 0, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

3. An example

Consider the BVP

$$u^{(4)} = \lambda \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}-t\right)^2}{t(1-t)} \left(1 + \frac{1}{1+(u(t))^2}\right), \quad t \in (0,1),$$

$$u(0) = 0, \quad u(1) = 1, \quad u''(0) = \frac{1}{2}, \quad u''(1) = 1.$$
(3.1)

Here

$$f(x) = 1 + \frac{1}{1+x^2}, \quad x \in [0,\infty), \quad g(t) = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2} - t\right)^2}{t(1-t)}, \quad t \in (0,1),$$

and

$$a_0 = 0, \quad a_1 = 1, \quad a_2 = \frac{1}{2}, \quad a_3 = 1$$

By our main result, it follows that the BVP (3.1) has at least one nonnegative solution.

References

- Bai, Z., The method of lower and upper solutions for a bending of an elastic beam equation, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 248(2000), no. 1, 195-202.
- Bai, Z., Wang, H., On positive solutions of some nonlinear fourth-order beam equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 270(2002), no. 2, 357-368.
- [3] Benslimane, S., Georgiev, S.G., Mebarki, K., Multiple nonnegative solutions for a of class fourth-order BVPs via a new topological approach, Adv. Theory Nonlinear Anal. Appl., 6(2022), no. 3, 390-404.
- [4] Bouchal, L., Georgiev, S.G., Mebarki, K., On the existence of solutions for a class of nonlinear fully fourth order differential system, Proyectiones, 43(2024), no. 3, 613-629.
- [5] Calamai, A., Infante, G., An affine Birkhoff-Kellogg-type result in cones with applications to functional differential equations, Math. Methods Appl. Sci., (2022), 1-9.
- [6] Calamai, A., Infante, G., On fourth order retarded equations with functional boundary conditions: A unified approach, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. - S, Early access January 2023.
- [7] Feng, M., Ge, W., Existence of positive solutions for singular eigenvalue problems, Electron. J. Differential Equations, 2006(2006), no. 105, 1-9.
- [8] Han, G., Xu, Z., Multiple solutions of some nonlinear fourth-order beam equations, Nonlinear Anal., 68(2008), no. 12, 3646-3656.
- [9] Liu, B., Positive solutions of fourth-order two point boundary value problems, Appl. Math. Comput., 148(2004), no. 2, 407-420.
- [10] Ma, R., Wang, H., On the existence of positive solutions of fourth-order ordinary differential equations, Appl. Anal., 59(1995), no. 1-4, 225-231.
- Pang, C., Dong, W., Wei, Z., Multiple solutions for fourth-order boundary value problem, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 314(2006), no. 2, 464-476.
- [12] Yao, Q., Positive solutions for eigenvalue problems of fourth-order elastic beam equations, Appl. Math. Lett., 17(2004), no. 2, 237-243.
- [13] Zhu, Y., Weng, P., Multiple positive solutions for a fourth-order boundary value problem, Bol. Soc. Parana. Mat., 21(2003), no. 1-2, 9-19.

911

Lydia Bouchal D Laboratory of Applied Mathematics, Faculty of Exact Sciences, University of Bejaia, 06000 Bejaia, Algeria e-mail: lydia.bouchal@univ-bejaia.dz

Karima Mebarki 🝺 Laboratory of Applied Mathematics, Faculty of Exact Sciences, University of Bejaia, 06000 Bejaia, Algeria e-mail: karima.mebarki@univ-bejaia.dz

Svetlin Georgiev Georgiev^(b) Department of Differential Equations, Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics, University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria e-mail: svetlingeorgiev1@gmail.com