

Global smoothness preservation and simultaneous approximation by multivariate discrete operators

George A. Anastassiou and Merve Kester

Dedicated to Professor Gheorghe Coman on the occasion of his 80th anniversary

Abstract. In this article we study the multivariate generalized discrete singular operators defined on \mathbb{R}^N , $N \geq 1$, regarding their simultaneous global smoothness preservation property with respect to L_p norm for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, by using higher order moduli of smoothness. Furthermore, we study their simultaneous approximation properties.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 26A15, 26D15, 41A17, 41A25, 41A28, 41A35, 41A80.

Keywords: Simultaneous global smoothness, simultaneous approximation with rates, multivariate generalized discrete singular operators, modulus of smoothness.

1. Background

In [1], Chapter 3, the author defined

$$\alpha_{j,r}^{[m]} := \begin{cases} (-1)^{r-j} \binom{r}{j} j^{-m}, & \text{if } j = 1, 2, \dots, r, \\ 1 - \sum_{j=1}^r (-1)^{r-j} \binom{r}{j} j^{-m}, & \text{if } j = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

for $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and

$$\delta_{k,r}^{[m]} := \sum_{j=1}^r \alpha_{j,r}^{[m]} j^k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (1.2)$$

See that

$$\sum_{j=0}^r \alpha_{j,r}^{[m]} = 1. \quad (1.3)$$

Additionally, in [1], the author used

Definition 1.1. Let $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $N \geq 1$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the m th modulus of smoothness for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, is given by

$$\omega_m(f; h)_p := \sup_{\|t\|_2 \leq h} \|\Delta_t^m(f)\|_{p,x}, \tag{1.4}$$

$h > 0$, where

$$\Delta_t^m f(x) := \sum_{j=0}^m (-1)^{m-j} \binom{m}{j} f(x + jt). \tag{1.5}$$

Denote

$$\omega_m(f; h)_\infty = \omega_m(f, h). \tag{1.6}$$

Above, $x, t \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

Additionally, in [4], the authors defined the following operators:

Let μ_{ξ_n} be a Borel measure on \mathbb{R}^N , $N \geq 1$, $0 < \xi_n \leq 1$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume that $\nu := (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_N)$, $x := (x_1, \dots, x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $f : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Borel measurable function.

i) When

$$\mu_{\xi_n}(\nu) = \frac{e^{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^N |\nu_i|}{\xi_n}}}{\sum_{\nu_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{\nu_N=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^N |\nu_i|}{\xi_n}}}, \tag{1.7}$$

they defined generalized multiple discrete Picard operators as:

$$\begin{aligned} &P_{r,n}^{*[m]}(f; x_1, \dots, x_N) \tag{1.8} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{\nu_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{\nu_N=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=0}^r \alpha_{j,r}^{[m]} f(x_1 + j\nu_1, \dots, x_N + j\nu_N) \right) e^{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^N |\nu_i|}{\xi_n}}}{\sum_{\nu_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{\nu_N=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^N |\nu_i|}{\xi_n}}}. \end{aligned}$$

ii) When

$$\mu_{\xi_n}(\nu) = \frac{e^{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \nu_i^2}{\xi_n}}}{\sum_{\nu_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{\nu_N=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \nu_i^2}{\xi_n}}}, \tag{1.9}$$

they defined generalized multiple discrete Gauss-Weierstrass operators as:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &W_{r,n}^{*[m]}(f; x_1, \dots, x_N) \tag{1.10} \\
 &= \frac{\sum_{\nu_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{\nu_N=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=0}^r \alpha_{j,r}^{[m]} f(x_1 + j\nu_1, \dots, x_N + j\nu_N) \right) e^{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \nu_i^2}{\xi_n}}}{\sum_{\nu_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{\nu_N=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \nu_i^2}{\xi_n}}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

iii) Let $\hat{\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\beta > \frac{1}{\hat{\alpha}}$. When

$$\mu_{\xi_n}(\nu) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^N (\nu_i^{2\hat{\alpha}} + \xi_n^{2\hat{\alpha}})^{-\beta}}{\sum_{\nu_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{\nu_N=-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^N (\nu_i^{2\hat{\alpha}} + \xi_n^{2\hat{\alpha}})^{-\beta}}, \tag{1.11}$$

they defined the generalized multiple discrete Poisson-Cauchy operators as:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &Q_{r,n}^{*[m]}(f; x_1, \dots, x_N) \tag{1.12} \\
 &= \frac{\sum_{\nu_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{\nu_N=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=0}^r \alpha_{j,r}^{[m]} f(x_1 + j\nu_1, \dots, x_N + j\nu_N) \right) \prod_{i=1}^N (\nu_i^{2\hat{\alpha}} + \xi_n^{2\hat{\alpha}})^{-\beta}}{\sum_{\nu_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{\nu_N=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{i=1}^N (\nu_i^{2\hat{\alpha}} + \xi_n^{2\hat{\alpha}})^{-\beta} \right)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

iv) When

$$\mu_{\xi_n}(\nu) = \frac{e^{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^N |\nu_i|}{\xi_n}}}{\left(1 + 2\xi_n e^{-\frac{1}{\xi_n}}\right)^N}, \tag{1.13}$$

they defined the generalized multiple discrete non-unitary Picard operators as:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &P_{r,n}^{[m]}(f; x_1, \dots, x_N) \tag{1.14} \\
 &= \frac{\sum_{\nu_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{\nu_N=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=0}^r \alpha_{j,r}^{[m]} f(x_1 + j\nu_1, \dots, x_N + j\nu_N) \right) e^{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^N |\nu_i|}{\xi_n}}}{\left(1 + 2\xi_n e^{-\frac{1}{\xi_n}}\right)^N}.
 \end{aligned}$$

v) When

$$\mu_{\xi_n}(\nu) = \frac{e^{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \nu_i^2}{\xi_n}}}{\left(\sqrt{\pi\xi_n} \left(1 - \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\xi_n}}\right)\right) + 1\right)^N}, \tag{1.15}$$

they defined the generalized multiple discrete non-unitary Gauss-Weierstrass operators as:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &W_{r,n}^{[m]}(f; x_1, \dots, x_N) \tag{1.16} \\
 &= \frac{\sum_{\nu_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{\nu_N=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=0}^r \alpha_{j,r}^{[m]} f(x_1 + j\nu_1, \dots, x_N + j\nu_N) \right) e^{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \nu_i^2}{\xi_n}}}{\left(\sqrt{\pi \xi_n} \left(1 - \operatorname{erf} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\xi_n}} \right) \right) + 1 \right)^N},
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\operatorname{erf}(x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^x e^{-t^2} dt$ with $\operatorname{erf}(\infty) = 1$.

Additionally, in [4], article they assumed that $0^0 = 1$.

In [4], for $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{N}$, the authors defined the sums

$$c_{\alpha,n,\tilde{j}} := \frac{\sum_{\nu_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{\nu_N=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{i=1}^N \nu_i^{\alpha_i} \right) e^{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^N |\nu_i|}{\xi_n}}}{\sum_{\nu_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{\nu_N=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^N |\nu_i|}{\xi_n}}}, \tag{1.17}$$

$$p_{\alpha,n,\tilde{j}} := \frac{\sum_{\nu_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{\nu_N=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{i=1}^N \nu_i^{\alpha_i} \right) e^{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \nu_i^2}{\xi_n}}}{\sum_{\nu_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{\nu_N=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \nu_i^2}{\xi_n}}}, \tag{1.18}$$

and for $\hat{\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\beta > \frac{\alpha_i+r+1}{2\hat{\alpha}}$, they introduced

$$q_{\alpha,n,\tilde{j}} := \frac{\sum_{\nu_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{\nu_N=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{i=1}^N \nu_i^{\alpha_i} (\nu_i^{2\hat{\alpha}} + \xi_n^{2\hat{\alpha}})^{-\beta} \right)}{\sum_{\nu_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{\nu_N=-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^N (\nu_i^{2\hat{\alpha}} + \xi_n^{2\hat{\alpha}})^{-\beta}}. \tag{1.19}$$

Furthermore, they proved that

$$c_{\alpha,n,\tilde{j}}, p_{\alpha,n,\tilde{j}}, q_{\alpha,n,\tilde{j}} < \infty, \forall \xi_n \in (0, 1], \tag{1.20}$$

and for $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{N}$, as $\xi_n \rightarrow 0$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$, the authors showed that

$$c_{\alpha,n,\tilde{j}}, p_{\alpha,n,\tilde{j}}, \text{ and } q_{\alpha,n,\tilde{j}} \rightarrow 0. \tag{1.21}$$

In [4], they also proved

$$m_{\xi_n,P} = \prod_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{\sum_{\nu_i=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{|\nu_i|}{\xi_n}}}{1 + 2\xi_n e^{-\frac{1}{\xi_n}}} \right) \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } \xi_n \rightarrow 0^+, \tag{1.22}$$

and

$$m_{\xi_n, W} = \prod_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{\sum_{\nu_i=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\nu_i^2}{\xi}}}{1 + \sqrt{\pi\xi_n} \left(1 - \operatorname{erf} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\xi_n}} \right) \right)} \right) \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } \xi_n \rightarrow 0^+. \tag{1.23}$$

Moreover, in [4], the authors defined the following error quantities:

$$E_{n,P}^{[0]}(f; x) := P_{r,n}^{[0]}(f; x) - f(x), \tag{1.24}$$

$$E_{n,W}^{[0]}(f; x) := W_{r,n}^{[0]}(f; x) - f(x).$$

Furthermore, they introduced the errors ($n \in \mathbb{N}$):

$$\begin{aligned} & E_{n,P}^{[m]}(f; x) \tag{1.25} \\ & : = P_{r,n}^{[m]}(f; x) - f(x) - \sum_{\tilde{j}=1}^m \delta_{\tilde{j},r}^{[m]} \left(\sum_{\substack{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N \geq 0: \\ |\alpha|=\tilde{j}}} \frac{\tilde{c}_{\alpha,n,\tilde{j}} f_{\alpha}(x)}{\prod_{i=1}^N \alpha_i!} \right), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & E_{n,W}^{[m]}(f; x) \tag{1.26} \\ & : = W_{r,n}^{[m]}(f; x) - f(x) - \sum_{\tilde{j}=1}^m \delta_{\tilde{j},r}^{[m]} \left(\sum_{\substack{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N \geq 0: \\ |\alpha|=\tilde{j}}} \frac{\tilde{p}_{\alpha,n,\tilde{j}} f_{\alpha}(x)}{\prod_{i=1}^N \alpha_i!} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{c}_{\alpha,n,\tilde{j}} := \frac{\sum_{\nu_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{\nu_N=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{i=1}^N \nu_i^{\alpha_i} \right) e^{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^N |\nu_i|}{\xi_n}}}{\left(1 + 2\xi_n e^{-\frac{1}{\xi_n}} \right)^N} \tag{1.27}$$

and

$$\tilde{p}_{\alpha,n,\tilde{j}} := \frac{\sum_{\nu_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{\nu_N=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{i=1}^N \nu_i^{\alpha_i} \right) e^{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \nu_i^2}{\xi_n}}}{\left(\sqrt{\pi\xi_n} \left(1 - \operatorname{erf} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\xi_n}} \right) \right) + 1 \right)^N}. \tag{1.28}$$

In [4], the authors proved

Proposition 1.2. Let $\alpha := (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N)$, $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $i = 1, \dots, N \in \mathbb{N}$, $|\alpha| := \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i = m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, there exist $K_1, K_2, K_3 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & u_{P, \xi_n}^* \tag{1.29} \\
 &= \frac{\sum_{\nu_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{\nu_N=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{i=1}^N |\nu_i|^{\alpha_i} \right) \left(1 + \frac{\|\nu\|_2}{\xi_n} \right)^r e^{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^N |\nu_i|}{\xi_n}}}{\sum_{\nu_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{\nu_N=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^N |\nu_i|}{\xi_n}}} \\
 &\leq K_1 < \infty,
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & u_{W, \xi_n}^* \tag{1.30} \\
 &= \frac{\sum_{\nu_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{\nu_N=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{i=1}^N |\nu_i|^{\alpha_i} \right) \left(1 + \frac{\|\nu\|_2}{\xi_n} \right)^r e^{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \nu_i^2}{\xi_n}}}{\sum_{\nu_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{\nu_N=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \nu_i^2}{\xi_n}}} \\
 &\leq K_2 < \infty,
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & u_{Q, \xi_n}^* \tag{1.31} \\
 &= \frac{\sum_{\nu_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{\nu_N=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{i=1}^N |\nu_i|^{\alpha_i} \right) \left(1 + \frac{\|\nu\|_2}{\xi_n} \right)^r \left(\prod_{i=1}^N (\nu_i^{2\hat{\alpha}} + \xi_n^{2\hat{\alpha}})^{-\beta} \right)}{\sum_{\nu_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{\nu_N=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{i=1}^N (\nu_i^{2\hat{\alpha}} + \xi_n^{2\hat{\alpha}})^{-\beta} \right)} \\
 &\leq K_3 < \infty,
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $\xi_n \in (0, 1]$ where $\hat{\alpha}, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\beta > \max \left\{ \frac{1+r+\alpha_i}{2\hat{\alpha}}, \frac{r+2}{2\hat{\alpha}} \right\}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, N$, and $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_N)$.

Additionally, in [4], the authors defined

$$\Phi_{P, \xi_n}^* := \frac{\sum_{\nu_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{\nu_N=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{\|\nu\|_2}{\xi_n} \right)^r e^{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^N |\nu_i|}{\xi_n}}}{\sum_{\nu_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{\nu_N=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^N |\nu_i|}{\xi_n}}}, \tag{1.32}$$

$$\Phi_{W, \xi_n}^* := \frac{\sum_{\nu_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{\nu_N=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{\|\nu\|_2}{\xi_n} \right)^r e^{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \nu_i^2}{\xi_n}}}{\sum_{\nu_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{\nu_N=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \nu_i^2}{\xi_n}}}, \tag{1.33}$$

and

$$\Phi_{Q,\xi_n}^* := \frac{\sum_{\nu_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{\nu_N=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{\|\nu\|_2}{\xi_n}\right)^r \prod_{i=1}^N (\nu_i^{2\hat{\alpha}} + \xi_n^{2\hat{\alpha}})^{-\beta}}{\sum_{\nu_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{\nu_N=-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^N (\nu_i^{2\hat{\alpha}} + \xi_n^{2\hat{\alpha}})^{-\beta}}. \tag{1.34}$$

They also showed Φ_{P,ξ_n}^* , Φ_{W,ξ_n}^* , and Φ_{Q,ξ_n}^* are uniformly bounded for all $\xi_n \in (0, 1]$, where $\hat{\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}$, $\beta > \frac{r+2}{2\hat{\alpha}}$.

On the other hand, in [5], the authors proved

Proposition 1.3. *Let $\nu := (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_N)$, $\alpha := (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $i = 1, \dots, N \in \mathbb{N}$, $|\alpha| := \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i = m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, and $p \geq 1$. Then,*

$$\begin{aligned} & S_{P^*,\xi_n}^{p,m} \tag{1.35} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{\nu_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{\nu_N=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{i=1}^N |\nu_i|^{\alpha_i}\right)^p \left(1 + \frac{\|\nu\|_2}{\xi_n}\right)^{rp} e^{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^N |\nu_i|}{\xi_n}}}{\sum_{\nu_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{\nu_N=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^N |\nu_i|}{\xi_n}}}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & S_{W^*,\xi_n}^{p,m} \tag{1.36} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{\nu_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{\nu_N=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{i=1}^N |\nu_i|^{\alpha_i}\right)^p \left(1 + \frac{\|\nu\|_2}{\xi_n}\right)^{rp} e^{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \nu_i^2}{\xi_n}}}{\sum_{\nu_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{\nu_N=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \nu_i^2}{\xi_n}}}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & S_{Q^*,\xi_n}^{p,m} \tag{1.37} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{\nu_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{\nu_N=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{i=1}^N |\nu_i|^{\alpha_i}\right)^p \left(1 + \frac{\|\nu\|_2}{\xi_n}\right)^{rp} \left(\prod_{i=1}^N (\nu_i^{2\hat{\alpha}} + \xi_n^{2\hat{\alpha}})^{-\beta}\right)}{\sum_{\nu_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{\nu_N=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{i=1}^N (\nu_i^{2\hat{\alpha}} + \xi_n^{2\hat{\alpha}})^{-\beta}\right)}, \end{aligned}$$

are uniformly bounded for all $\xi_n \in (0, 1]$ where $\hat{\alpha}, n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\beta > \max \left\{ \frac{1 + \lceil \alpha_i p \rceil + \lceil rp \rceil}{2\hat{\alpha}}, \frac{2 + \lceil rp \rceil}{2\hat{\alpha}} \right\}$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, N$, and $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_N)$.

Finally, in [5], when $p \geq 1$, they obtained the following inequalities for the error quantities $E_{n,P}^{[0]}(f; x)$, $E_{n,P}^{[0]}(f; x)$, and the errors $E_{n,P}^{[m]}(f; x)$, $E_{n,P}^{[m]}(f; x)$:

$$\left\| E_{n,P}^{[0]}(f) \right\|_p \leq m_{\xi_n,P} \left\| P_{r,n}^{*[0]}(f) - f \right\|_p + \|f\|_p |m_{\xi_n,P} - 1|. \tag{1.38}$$

$$\left\| E_{n,W}^{[0]}(f) \right\|_p \leq m_{\xi_n,W} \left\| W_{r,n}^{*[0]}(f) - f \right\|_p + \|f\|_p |m_{\xi_n,W} - 1|, \tag{1.39}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| E_{n,P}^{[m]}(f; x) \right\|_p &\leq m_{\xi_n,P} \left\| P_{r,n}^{*[m]}(f) - f - \sum_{\tilde{j}=1}^m \delta_{\tilde{j},r}^{[m]} \right. \\ &\quad \times \left(\sum_{\substack{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N \geq 0: \\ |\alpha| = \tilde{j}}} \frac{c_{\alpha,n,\tilde{j}} f_\alpha}{\prod_{i=1}^N \alpha_i!} \right) \left. \right\|_p \\ &\quad + \|f\|_p |m_{\xi_n,P} - 1|, \end{aligned} \tag{1.40}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| E_{n,W}^{[m]}(f) \right\|_p &\leq m_{\xi_n,W} \left\| W_{r,n}^{*[m]}(f) - f - \sum_{\tilde{j}=1}^m \delta_{\tilde{j},r}^{[m]} \right. \\ &\quad \times \left(\sum_{\substack{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N \geq 0: \\ |\alpha| = \tilde{j}}} \frac{p_{\alpha,n,\tilde{j}} f_\alpha}{\prod_{i=1}^N \alpha_i!} \right) \left. \right\|_p \\ &\quad + \|f\|_p |m_{\xi_n,W} - 1|. \end{aligned} \tag{1.41}$$

2. Main Results

We start with the general global smoothness preservation results for the operators $P_{r,n}^{*[m]}$, $W_{r,n}^{*[m]}$, and $Q_{r,n}^{*[m]}$, defined as in (1.8), (1.10), and (1.12).

Theorem 2.1. *Let $h > 0$, $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $N \geq 1$.*

i) Assume $\omega_{\tilde{m}}(f, h) < \infty$. Then

$$\omega_{\tilde{m}} \left(P_{r,n}^{*[m]} f, h \right) \leq \left(\sum_{j=0}^r \left| \alpha_{j,r}^{[\tilde{m}]} \right| \right) \omega_{\tilde{m}}(f, h), \tag{2.1}$$

$$\omega_{\tilde{m}} \left(W_{r,n}^{*[m]} f, h \right) \leq \left(\sum_{j=0}^r \left| \alpha_{j,r}^{[\tilde{m}]} \right| \right) \omega_{\tilde{m}}(f, h), \tag{2.2}$$

$$\omega_{\tilde{m}} \left(Q_{r,n}^{*[m]} f, h \right) \leq \left(\sum_{j=0}^r \left| \alpha_{j,r}^{[\tilde{m}]} \right| \right) \omega_{\tilde{m}}(f, h). \tag{2.3}$$

ii) Assume $f \in (C(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L_p(\mathbb{R}^N))$, $p \geq 1$. Then

$$\omega_{\tilde{m}} \left(P_{r,n}^{*[m]} f, h \right)_p \leq \left(\sum_{j=0}^r \left| \alpha_{j,r}^{[\tilde{m}]} \right| \right) \omega_{\tilde{m}}(f, h)_p, \tag{2.4}$$

$$\omega_{\bar{m}} \left(W_{r,n}^{* [m]} f, h \right)_p \leq \left(\sum_{j=0}^r \left| \alpha_{j,r}^{[\bar{m}]} \right| \right) \omega_{\bar{m}} (f, h)_p, \tag{2.5}$$

$$\omega_{\bar{m}} \left(Q_{r,n}^{* [m]} f, h \right)_p \leq \left(\sum_{j=0}^r \left| \alpha_{j,r}^{[\bar{m}]} \right| \right) \omega_{\bar{m}} (f, h)_p. \tag{2.6}$$

Proof. By [1], Chapter 3. □

Next, we give

Remark 2.2. Let $r = 1$, then we calculate that $\alpha_{0,1}^{[m]} = 0, \alpha_{1,1}^{[m]} = 1$. Now, denote

$$P_{1,n}^{* [m]} (f; x) := P_n^{* [m]} (f; x), \tag{2.7}$$

$$W_{1,n}^{* [m]} (f; x) := W_n^{* [m]} (f; x), \tag{2.8}$$

$$Q_{1,n}^{* [m]} (f; x) := Q_n^{* [m]} (f; x). \tag{2.9}$$

By Theorem 2.1 and Remark 2.2, we obtain

Theorem 2.3. Let $h > 0, f \in C(\mathbb{R}^N), N \geq 1$.

i) Assume $\omega_{\bar{m}}(f, h) < \infty$. Then

$$\omega_{\bar{m}} \left(P_n^{* [m]} f, h \right) \leq \omega_{\bar{m}} (f, h), \tag{2.10}$$

$$\omega_{\bar{m}} \left(W_n^{* [m]} f, h \right) \leq \omega_{\bar{m}} (f, h), \tag{2.11}$$

$$\omega_{\bar{m}} \left(Q_n^{* [m]} f, h \right) \leq \omega_{\bar{m}} (f, h). \tag{2.12}$$

ii) Assume $f \in (C(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L_p(\mathbb{R}^N)), p \geq 1$. Then

$$\omega_{\bar{m}} \left(P_n^{* [m]} f, h \right)_p \leq \omega_{\bar{m}} (f, h)_p, \tag{2.13}$$

$$\omega_{\bar{m}} \left(W_n^{* [m]} f, h \right)_p \leq \omega_{\bar{m}} (f, h)_p, \tag{2.14}$$

$$\omega_{\bar{m}} \left(Q_n^{* [m]} f, h \right)_p \leq \omega_{\bar{m}} (f, h)_p. \tag{2.15}$$

We present the our general global smoothness preservation results for the non-unitary operators $P_{r,n}^{[m]}$ and $W_{r,n}^{[m]}$ as follows

Theorem 2.4. Let $h > 0, f \in C(\mathbb{R}^N), N \geq 1$.

i) Assume $\omega_{\bar{m}}(f, h) < \infty$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \omega_{\bar{m}} \left(P_{r,n}^{[m]} f, h \right) \tag{2.16} \\ & \leq \left(\frac{1 + 2e^{-1/\xi_n} (\xi_n + 1)}{1 + 2\xi_n e^{-1/\xi_n}} \right)^N \left(\sum_{j=0}^r \left| \alpha_{j,r}^{[\bar{m}]} \right| \right) \omega_{\bar{m}} (f, h), \end{aligned}$$

$$\omega_{\bar{m}} \left(W_{r,n}^{[m]} f, h \right) \tag{2.17}$$

$$\leq \left\{ 1 + \frac{2e^{-1/\xi_n}}{\sqrt{\pi\xi_n} \left[1 - \operatorname{erf} \left(\frac{1}{\xi_n} \right) \right] + 1} \right\}^N \left(\sum_{j=0}^r \left| \alpha_{j,r}^{[\bar{m}]} \right| \right) \omega_{\bar{m}} (f, h).$$

ii) Assume $f \in (C(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L_p(\mathbb{R}^N))$, $p \geq 1$. Then

$$\omega_{\bar{m}} \left(P_{r,n}^{[m]} f, h \right)_p \tag{2.18}$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{1 + 2e^{-1/\xi_n} (\xi_n + 1)}{1 + 2\xi_n e^{-1/\xi_n}} \right)^N \left(\sum_{j=0}^r \left| \alpha_{j,r}^{[\bar{m}]} \right| \right) \omega_{\bar{m}} (f, h)_p,$$

$$\omega_{\bar{m}} \left(W_{r,n}^{[m]} f, h \right)_p \tag{2.19}$$

$$\leq \left\{ 1 + \frac{2e^{-1/\xi_n}}{\sqrt{\pi\xi_n} \left[1 - \operatorname{erf} \left(\frac{1}{\xi_n} \right) \right] + 1} \right\}^N \left(\sum_{j=0}^r \left| \alpha_{j,r}^{[\bar{m}]} \right| \right) \omega_{\bar{m}} (f, h)_p.$$

Proof. We see that

$$P_{r,n}^{[m]} (f; x) = \lambda_1 (\xi_n) P_{r,n}^{*[m]} (f; x), \tag{2.20}$$

and

$$W_{r,n}^{[m]} (f; x) = \lambda_2 (\xi_n) W_{r,n}^{*[m]} (f; x), \tag{2.21}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 (\xi_n) & : = \frac{\sum_{\nu_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{\nu_N=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^N |\nu_i|}{\xi_n}}}{(1 + 2\xi_n e^{-1/\xi_n})^N} \\ & = \prod_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{\sum_{\nu_i=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{|\nu_i|}{\xi_n}}}{1 + 2\xi_n e^{-1/\xi_n}} \right), \end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_2 (\xi_n) & : = \frac{\sum_{\nu_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{\nu_N=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \nu_i^2}{\xi_n}}}{\left[\sqrt{\pi\xi_n} \left(1 - \operatorname{erf} \left(\frac{1}{\xi_n} \right) \right) + 1 \right]^N} \\ & = \prod_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{\sum_{\nu_i=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\nu_i^2}{\xi_n}}}{\sqrt{\pi\xi_n} \left[1 - \operatorname{erf} \left(\frac{1}{\xi_n} \right) \right] + 1} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

Additionally, in [2], the author showed that

$$\frac{\sum_{\nu_i=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{|\nu_i|}{\xi_n}}}{1 + 2\xi_n e^{-1/\xi_n}} \leq \frac{1 + 2e^{-\frac{1}{\xi}} (\xi + 1)}{1 + 2\xi e^{-\frac{1}{\xi}}}, \tag{2.24}$$

and

$$\frac{\sum_{\nu_i=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\nu_i^2}{\xi_n}}}{\sqrt{\pi\xi_n} \left[1 - \operatorname{erf} \left(\frac{1}{\xi_n} \right) \right] + 1} \leq 1 + \frac{2e^{-\frac{1}{\xi}}}{\sqrt{\pi\xi} \left(1 - \operatorname{erf} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\xi}} \right) \right) + 1}. \tag{2.25}$$

Thus, by (2.22), (2.23), (2.24), (2.25), and Theorem 2.1 the proof is complete. \square

Now, we demonstrate the following optimality result

Proposition 2.5. *Above inequalities (2.10)-(2.12) are sharp. The equalities are attained by any*

$$g_j(x) = x_j^m, \quad j = 1, \dots, N, \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_j, \dots, x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Proof. By [1], Chapter 3. \square

In [6], the authors observed

Theorem 2.6. *Let $f \in C^l(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $l, N \in \mathbb{N}$. Here μ_{ξ_n} is a Borel probability measure on \mathbb{R}^N , $\xi_n > 0$, $(\xi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ a bounded sequence. Let $\tilde{\beta} := (\tilde{\beta}_1, \dots, \tilde{\beta}_N)$, $\tilde{\beta}_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $i = 1, \dots, N$; $|\tilde{\beta}| := \sum_{i=1}^N \tilde{\beta}_i = l$. Here $f(x + \nu j)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^N$, is μ_{ξ_n} -integrable with respect to ν , for $j = 1, \dots, r$. There exist μ_{ξ_n} -integrable functions $h_{i_1, j}$, $h_{\tilde{\beta}_1, i_2, j}$, $h_{\tilde{\beta}_1, \tilde{\beta}_2, i_3, j}, \dots, h_{\tilde{\beta}_1, \tilde{\beta}_2, \dots, \tilde{\beta}_{N-1}, i_N, j} \geq 0$ ($j = 1, \dots, r$) on \mathbb{R}^N such that*

$$\left| \frac{\partial^{i_1} f(x + \nu j)}{\partial x_1^{i_1}} \right| \leq h_{i_1, j}(\nu), \quad i_1 = 1, \dots, \tilde{\beta}_1, \tag{2.26}$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial^{\tilde{\beta}_1 + i_2} f(x + \nu j)}{\partial x_2^{i_2} \partial x_1^{\tilde{\beta}_1}} \right| \leq h_{\tilde{\beta}_1, i_2, j}(\nu), \quad i_2 = 1, \dots, \tilde{\beta}_2,$$

\vdots

$$\left| \frac{\partial^{\tilde{\beta}_1 + \tilde{\beta}_2 + \dots + \tilde{\beta}_{N-1} + i_N} f(x + \nu j)}{\partial x_N^{i_N} \partial x_{N-1}^{\tilde{\beta}_{N-1}} \dots \partial x_2^{\tilde{\beta}_2} \partial x_1^{\tilde{\beta}_1}} \right| \leq h_{\tilde{\beta}_1, \tilde{\beta}_2, \dots, \tilde{\beta}_{N-1}, i_N, j}(\nu), \quad i_N = 1, \dots, \tilde{\beta}_N,$$

$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \nu \in \mathbb{Z}^N$.

i) When

$$\mu_{\xi_n}(\nu) = \frac{e^{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^N |\nu_i|}{\xi_n}}}{\sum_{\nu_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{\nu_N=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^N |\nu_i|}{\xi_n}}}, \tag{2.27}$$

then both of the next exist and

$$\left(P_{r,n}^{*[m]}(f; x)\right)_{\tilde{\beta}} = P_{r,n}^{*[m]}(f_{\tilde{\beta}}; x). \tag{2.28}$$

ii) When

$$\mu_{\xi_n}(\nu) = \frac{e^{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \nu_i^2}{\xi_n}}}{\sum_{\nu_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{\nu_N=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \nu_i^2}{\xi_n}}}, \tag{2.29}$$

then both of the next exist and

$$\left(W_{r,n}^{*[m]}(f; x)\right)_{\tilde{\beta}} = W_{r,n}^{*[m]}(f_{\tilde{\beta}}; x). \tag{2.30}$$

iii) Let $\hat{\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\beta > \frac{1}{\hat{\alpha}}$. When

$$\mu_{\xi_n}(\nu) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^N (\nu_i^{2\hat{\alpha}} + \xi_n^{2\hat{\alpha}})^{-\beta}}{\sum_{\nu_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{\nu_N=-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^N (\nu_i^{2\hat{\alpha}} + \xi_n^{2\hat{\alpha}})^{-\beta}}, \tag{2.31}$$

then both of the next exist and

$$\left(Q_{r,n}^{*[m]}(f; x)\right)_{\tilde{\beta}} = Q_{r,n}^{*[m]}(f_{\tilde{\beta}}; x). \tag{2.32}$$

Corollary 2.7. When $r = 1$, by the Theorem 2.6, we observe that

$$\left(P_n^{*[m]}(f; x)\right)_{\tilde{\beta}} = P_n^{*[m]}(f_{\tilde{\beta}}; x), \tag{2.33}$$

$$\left(W_n^{*[m]}(f; x)\right)_{\tilde{\beta}} = W_n^{*[m]}(f_{\tilde{\beta}}; x), \tag{2.34}$$

and

$$\left(Q_n^{*[m]}(f; x)\right)_{\tilde{\beta}} = Q_n^{*[m]}(f_{\tilde{\beta}}; x). \tag{2.35}$$

For the non-unitary operators $P_{r,n}^{[m]}$ and $W_{r,n}^{[m]}$ we have

Theorem 2.8. Let the assumption of Theorem 2.6 be true. Then we have

$$\left(P_{r,n}^{[m]}(f; x)\right)_{\tilde{\beta}} = P_{r,n}^{[m]}(f_{\tilde{\beta}}; x), \tag{2.36}$$

and

$$\left(W_{r,n}^{[m]}(f; x)\right)_{\tilde{\beta}} = W_{r,n}^{[m]}(f_{\tilde{\beta}}; x). \tag{2.37}$$

Proof. By (2.20), (2.21), and Theorem 2.6, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left(P_{r,n}^{[m]}(f; x)\right)_{\tilde{\beta}} &= \lambda_1(\xi_n) \left(P_{r,n}^{*[m]}(f; x)\right)_{\tilde{\beta}} \\ &= \lambda_1(\xi_n) P_{r,n}^{*[m]}(f_{\tilde{\beta}}; x) = P_{r,n}^{[m]}(f_{\tilde{\beta}}; x), \end{aligned} \tag{2.38}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left(W_{r,n}^{[m]}(f; x) \right)_{\tilde{\beta}} &= \lambda_2(\xi_n) \left(W_{r,n}^{*[m]}(f; x) \right)_{\tilde{\beta}} \\ &= \lambda_2(\xi_n) W_{r,n}^{*[m]}(f_{\tilde{\beta}}; x) = W_{r,n}^{[m]}(f_{\tilde{\beta}}; x). \end{aligned} \tag{2.39}$$

□

Next, we get

Theorem 2.9. *Let $h > 0$, $\gamma = 0, \tilde{\beta}$, and the assumptions of the Theorem 2.6 be true.*

i) *Assume $\omega_{\tilde{m}}(f_\gamma, h) < \infty$. Then*

$$\omega_{\tilde{m}} \left(\left(P_{r,n}^{*[m]} f \right)_\gamma, h \right) \leq \left(\sum_{j=0}^r \left| \alpha_{j,r}^{[\tilde{m}]} \right| \right) \omega_{\tilde{m}}(f_\gamma, h), \tag{2.40}$$

$$\omega_{\tilde{m}} \left(\left(W_{r,n}^{*[m]} f \right)_\gamma, h \right) \leq \left(\sum_{j=0}^r \left| \alpha_{j,r}^{[\tilde{m}]} \right| \right) \omega_{\tilde{m}}(f_\gamma, h), \tag{2.41}$$

$$\omega_{\tilde{m}} \left(\left(Q_{r,n}^{*[m]} f \right)_\gamma, h \right) \leq \left(\sum_{j=0}^r \left| \alpha_{j,r}^{[\tilde{m}]} \right| \right) \omega_{\tilde{m}}(f_\gamma, h). \tag{2.42}$$

ii) *Assume $f_\gamma \in (C(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L_p(\mathbb{R}^N))$, $p \geq 1$. Then*

$$\omega_{\tilde{m}} \left(\left(P_{r,n}^{*[m]} f \right)_\gamma, h \right)_p \leq \left(\sum_{j=0}^r \left| \alpha_{j,r}^{[\tilde{m}]} \right| \right) \omega_{\tilde{m}}(f_\gamma, h)_p, \tag{2.43}$$

$$\omega_{\tilde{m}} \left(\left(W_{r,n}^{*[m]} f \right)_\gamma, h \right)_p \leq \left(\sum_{j=0}^r \left| \alpha_{j,r}^{[\tilde{m}]} \right| \right) \omega_{\tilde{m}}(f_\gamma, h)_p, \tag{2.44}$$

$$\omega_{\tilde{m}} \left(\left(Q_{r,n}^{*[m]} f \right)_\gamma, h \right)_p \leq \left(\sum_{j=0}^r \left| \alpha_{j,r}^{[\tilde{m}]} \right| \right) \omega_{\tilde{m}}(f_\gamma, h)_p. \tag{2.45}$$

Proof. By Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.6. □

Additionally, as a quick result of Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 2.6, we have

Corollary 2.10. *Let $h > 0$, $\gamma = 0, \tilde{\beta}$, and the assumptions of the Theorem 2.6 be true.*

i) *Assume $\omega_{\tilde{m}}(f, h) < \infty$. Then*

$$\omega_{\tilde{m}} \left(\left(P_n^{*[m]} f \right)_\gamma, h \right) \leq \omega_{\tilde{m}}(f_\gamma, h), \tag{2.46}$$

$$\omega_{\tilde{m}} \left(\left(W_n^{*[m]} f \right)_\gamma, h \right) \leq \omega_{\tilde{m}}(f_\gamma, h), \tag{2.47}$$

$$\omega_{\tilde{m}} \left(\left(Q_n^{*[m]} f \right)_\gamma, h \right) \leq \omega_{\tilde{m}}(f_\gamma, h). \tag{2.48}$$

ii) Assume $f \in (C(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L_p(\mathbb{R}^N))$, $p \geq 1$. Then

$$\omega_{\bar{m}} \left(\left(P_n^* [^m] f \right)_\gamma, h \right)_p \leq \omega_{\bar{m}} (f_\gamma, h)_p, \tag{2.49}$$

$$\omega_{\bar{m}} \left(\left(W_n^* [^m] f \right)_\gamma, h \right)_p \leq \omega_{\bar{m}} (f_\gamma, h)_p, \tag{2.50}$$

$$\omega_{\bar{m}} \left(\left(Q_n^* [^m] f \right)_\gamma, h \right)_p \leq \omega_{\bar{m}} (f_\gamma, h)_p. \tag{2.51}$$

Additionally for the non-unitary operators, $P_{r,n}^{[m]}$ and $W_{r,n}^{[m]}$, we obtain

Theorem 2.11. Let $h > 0$, $\gamma = 0, \tilde{\beta}$, and the assumptions of the Theorem 2.6 be true.

i) Assume $\omega_{\bar{m}} (f_\gamma, h) < \infty$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \omega_{\bar{m}} \left(\left(P_{r,n}^{[m]} f \right)_\gamma, h \right) \tag{2.52} \\ & \leq \left(\frac{1 + 2e^{-1/\xi_n} (\xi_n + 1)}{1 + 2\xi_n e^{-1/\xi_n}} \right)^N \left(\sum_{j=0}^r \left| \alpha_{j,r}^{[\bar{m}]} \right| \right) \omega_{\bar{m}} (f_\gamma, h), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \omega_{\bar{m}} \left(\left(W_{r,n}^{[m]} f \right)_\gamma, h \right) \tag{2.53} \\ & \leq \left\{ 1 + \frac{2e^{-1/\xi_n}}{\sqrt{\pi\xi_n} \left[1 - \operatorname{erf} \left(\frac{1}{\xi_n} \right) \right] + 1} \right\}^N \left(\sum_{j=0}^r \left| \alpha_{j,r}^{[\bar{m}]} \right| \right) \omega_{\bar{m}} (f_\gamma, h). \end{aligned}$$

ii) Assume $f_\gamma \in (C(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L_p(\mathbb{R}^N))$, $p \geq 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \omega_{\bar{m}} \left(\left(P_{r,n}^{[m]} f \right)_\gamma, h \right)_p \tag{2.54} \\ & \leq \left(\frac{1 + 2e^{-1/\xi_n} (\xi_n + 1)}{1 + 2\xi_n e^{-1/\xi_n}} \right)^N \left(\sum_{j=0}^r \left| \alpha_{j,r}^{[\bar{m}]} \right| \right) \omega_{\bar{m}} (f_\gamma, h)_p, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \omega_{\bar{m}} \left(\left(W_{r,n}^{[m]} f \right)_\gamma, h \right)_p \tag{2.55} \\ & \leq \left\{ 1 + \frac{2e^{-1/\xi_n}}{\sqrt{\pi\xi_n} \left[1 - \operatorname{erf} \left(\frac{1}{\xi_n} \right) \right] + 1} \right\}^N \left(\sum_{j=0}^r \left| \alpha_{j,r}^{[\bar{m}]} \right| \right) \omega_{\bar{m}} (f_\gamma, h)_p. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Theorem 2.4 and Theorem 2.8. □

Now we show our simultaneous approximation results.

We start with

Theorem 2.12. *Let $f \in C^{m+l}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $m, l \in \mathbb{N}$, $N \geq 1$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Let the assumptions of Theorem 2.6 is true and $\gamma = 0, \tilde{\beta}$. Assume $\|f_{\gamma+\alpha}\|_\infty < \infty$. Then for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, we have*

i)

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left(P_{r,n}^* [m] f \right)_\gamma - f_\gamma - \sum_{\tilde{j}=1}^m \delta_{\tilde{j},r}^{[m]} \left(\sum_{\substack{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N \geq 0: \\ |\alpha| = \tilde{j}}} \frac{c_{\alpha,n,\tilde{j}} f_{\gamma+\alpha}}{\prod_{i=1}^N \alpha_i!} \right) \right\|_\infty \\ & \leq \sum_{\substack{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N \geq 0 \\ |\alpha|=m}} \frac{(\omega_r(f_{\gamma+\alpha}, \xi_n))}{\left(\prod_{i=1}^N \alpha_i! \right)} u_{P,\xi_n}^*, \end{aligned} \tag{2.56}$$

for $\xi_n \in (0, 1]$.

ii)

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left(W_{r,n}^* [m] f \right)_\gamma - f_\gamma - \sum_{\tilde{j}=1}^m \delta_{\tilde{j},r}^{[m]} \left(\sum_{\substack{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N \geq 0: \\ |\alpha| = \tilde{j}}} \frac{p_{\alpha,n,\tilde{j}} f_{\gamma+\alpha}}{\prod_{i=1}^N \alpha_i!} \right) \right\|_\infty \\ & \leq \sum_{\substack{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N \geq 0 \\ |\alpha|=m}} \frac{(\omega_r(f_{\gamma+\alpha}, \xi_n))}{\left(\prod_{i=1}^N \alpha_i! \right)} u_{W,\xi_n}^*, \end{aligned} \tag{2.57}$$

for $\xi_n \in (0, 1]$.

iii)

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left(Q_{r,n}^* [m] f \right)_\gamma - f_\gamma - \sum_{\tilde{j}=1}^m \delta_{\tilde{j},r}^{[m]} \left(\sum_{\substack{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N \geq 0: \\ |\alpha| = \tilde{j}}} \frac{q_{\alpha,n,\tilde{j}} f_{\gamma+\alpha}}{\prod_{i=1}^N \alpha_i!} \right) \right\|_\infty \\ & \leq \sum_{\substack{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N \geq 0 \\ |\alpha|=m}} \frac{(\omega_r(f_{\gamma+\alpha}, \xi_n))}{\left(\prod_{i=1}^N \alpha_i! \right)} u_{Q,\xi_n}^*, \end{aligned} \tag{2.58}$$

for $\xi_n \in (0, 1]$, and $\hat{\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}$, $\beta > \max \left\{ \frac{1+r+\alpha_i}{2\hat{\alpha}}, \frac{r+2}{2\hat{\alpha}} \right\}$.

Proof. By [4] and Theorem 2.6. □

Next, when $m = 0$, we obtain

Theorem 2.13. *Let $f \in C_B^l(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $N \geq 1$. Let the assumptions of Theorem 2.6 is true and $\gamma = 0, \tilde{\beta}$. Then for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, we have*

i)

$$\left\| \left(P_{r,n}^{*[0]} f \right)_\gamma - f_\gamma \right\|_\infty \leq \Phi_{P,\xi_n}^* \omega_r(f_\gamma, \xi_n), \tag{2.59}$$

for $\xi_n \in (0, 1]$.

ii)

$$\left\| \left(W_{r,n}^{*[0]} f \right)_\gamma - f_\gamma \right\|_\infty \leq \Phi_{W,\xi_n}^* \omega_r(f_\gamma, \xi_n), \tag{2.60}$$

for $\xi_n \in (0, 1]$.

iii)

$$\left\| \left(Q_{r,n}^{*[0]} f \right)_\gamma - f_\gamma \right\|_\infty \leq \Phi_{Q,\xi_n}^* \omega_r(f_\gamma, \xi_n), \tag{2.61}$$

for $\xi_n \in (0, 1]$, and $\hat{\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}$, $\beta > \frac{r+2}{2\hat{\alpha}}$.

Proof. By [4] and Theorem 2.6. □

For the non-unitary cases we have

Theorem 2.14. *Let $f \in C^{m+l}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $m, l \in \mathbb{N}$, $N \geq 1$. Let the assumptions of Theorem 2.6 is true and $\gamma = 0, \tilde{\beta}$. Assume $\|f_{\gamma+\alpha}\|_\infty < \infty$. Then for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, we have*

i)

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left(E_{n,P}^{[m]}(f) \right)_\gamma \right\|_\infty \tag{2.62} \\ & \leq m_{\xi_n,P} \sum_{\substack{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N \geq 0 \\ |\alpha|=m}} \frac{\omega_r(f_{\alpha+\gamma}, \xi_n)}{\left(\prod_{i=1}^N \alpha_i! \right)} u_{P,\xi_n}^* \\ & \quad + \|f_\gamma\|_\infty |m_{\xi_n,P} - 1|, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left(E_{n,W}^{[m]}(f) \right)_\gamma \right\|_\infty \tag{2.63} \\ & \leq m_{\xi_n,W} \sum_{\substack{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N \geq 0 \\ |\alpha|=m}} \frac{\omega_r(f_{\alpha+\gamma}, \xi_n)}{\left(\prod_{i=1}^N \alpha_i! \right)} u_{W,\xi_n}^* \\ & \quad + \|f_\gamma\|_\infty |m_{\xi_n,W} - 1|. \end{aligned}$$

ii) *Let $f \in C_B^l(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $N \geq 1$. Let the assumptions of Theorem 2.6 is true and $\gamma = 0, \tilde{\beta}$. Then for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left(E_{n,P}^{[0]}(f) \right)_\gamma \right\|_\infty \tag{2.64} \\ & \leq m_{\xi_n,P} \Phi_{P,\xi_n}^* \omega_r(f_\gamma, \xi_n) + \|f_\gamma\|_\infty |m_{\xi_n,P} - 1|, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left(E_{n,W}^{[0]}(f; x) \right)_\gamma \right\|_\infty \\ & \leq m_{\xi_n, W} \Phi_{W, \xi_n}^* \omega_r(f_\gamma, \xi_n) + \|f_\gamma\|_\infty |m_{\xi_n, W} - 1|. \end{aligned} \tag{2.65}$$

Proof. By [4], (1.38) – (1.41), and by the equalities $\left(E_{n,P}^{[m]}(f, x) \right)_\gamma = E_{n,P}^{[m]}(f_\gamma, x)$ and $\left(E_{n,W}^{[m]}(f, x) \right)_\gamma = E_{n,W}^{[m]}(f_\gamma, x)$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. □

Now, we give our L_p results. We begin with

Theorem 2.15. *Let $f \in C^{m+l}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $m, l \in \mathbb{N}$, $N \geq 1$, $\gamma = 0, \tilde{\beta}$, $f_{\gamma+\alpha} \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $|\alpha| = m$, $p, q > 1 : \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, and $0 < \xi_n \leq 1$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let the assumptions of Theorem 2.6 be true. Then*

i)

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left(P_{r,n}^{* [m]} f \right)_\gamma - f_\gamma - \sum_{\tilde{j}=1}^m \delta_{\tilde{j},r}^{[m]} \left(\sum_{\substack{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N \geq 0: \\ |\alpha| = \tilde{j}}} \frac{c_{\alpha, n, \tilde{j}} f_{\gamma+\alpha}}{\prod_{i=1}^N \alpha_i!} \right) \right\|_p \\ & \leq \left(\frac{m}{(q(m-1) + 1)^{\frac{1}{q}}} \right) \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^N \alpha_i!} \right) \left(S_{P^*, \xi_n}^{p,m} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \omega_r(f_{\gamma+\alpha}, \xi_n)_p. \end{aligned} \tag{2.66}$$

ii)

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left(W_{r,n}^{* [m]} f \right)_\gamma - f_\gamma - \sum_{\tilde{j}=1}^m \delta_{\tilde{j},r}^{[m]} \left(\sum_{\substack{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N \geq 0: \\ |\alpha| = \tilde{j}}} \frac{p_{\alpha, n, \tilde{j}} f_{\gamma+\alpha}}{\prod_{i=1}^N \alpha_i!} \right) \right\|_p \\ & \leq \left(\frac{m}{(q(m-1) + 1)^{\frac{1}{q}}} \right) \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^N \alpha_i!} \right) \left(S_{W^*, \xi_n}^{p,m} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \omega_r(f_{\gamma+\alpha}, \xi_n)_p. \end{aligned} \tag{2.67}$$

iii)

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left(Q_{r,n}^{* [m]} f \right)_\gamma - f_\gamma - \sum_{\tilde{j}=1}^m \delta_{\tilde{j},r}^{[m]} \left(\sum_{\substack{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N \geq 0: \\ |\alpha| = \tilde{j}}} \frac{q_{\alpha, n, \tilde{j}} f_{\gamma+\alpha}}{\prod_{i=1}^N \alpha_i!} \right) \right\|_p \\ & \leq \left(\frac{m}{(q(m-1) + 1)^{\frac{1}{q}}} \right) \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^N \alpha_i!} \right) \left(S_{Q^*, \xi_n}^{p,m} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \omega_r(f_{\gamma+\alpha}, \xi_n)_p, \end{aligned} \tag{2.68}$$

where $\hat{\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}$, $\beta > \max \left\{ \frac{1 + [\alpha_i p] + [rp]}{2\hat{\alpha}}, \frac{2 + [rp]}{2\hat{\alpha}} \right\}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, N$.

Proof. By [5] and Theorem 2.6. □

Next, we present our results for the case of $m = 0$ and $p > 1$.

Theorem 2.16. *Let $f \in C^l(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $N \geq 1$, $\gamma = 0, \tilde{\beta}, f_\gamma \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $p, q > 1 : \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, and $0 < \xi_n \leq 1$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let the assumptions of Theorem 2.6 be true. Then*

i)

$$\left\| \left(P_{r,n}^{* [0]} f \right)_\gamma - f_\gamma \right\|_p \leq \left(S_{P^*, \xi_n}^{p,0} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \omega_r(f_\gamma, \xi_n)_p. \tag{2.69}$$

ii)

$$\left\| \left(W_{r,n}^{* [0]} f \right)_\gamma - f_\gamma \right\|_p \leq \left(S_{W^*, \xi_n}^{p,0} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \omega_r(f_\gamma, \xi_n)_p. \tag{2.70}$$

iii)

$$\left\| \left(Q_{r,n}^{* [0]} f \right)_\gamma - f_\gamma \right\|_p \leq \left(S_{Q^*, \xi_n}^{p,0} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \omega_r(f_\gamma, \xi_n)_p, \tag{2.71}$$

where $\hat{\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}$, $\beta > \frac{2 + [rp]}{2\hat{\alpha}}$.

Proof. By [5] and Theorem 2.6. □

For the case of $m = 0$ and $p = 1$, we have

Theorem 2.17. *Let $f \in C^l(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $N \geq 1$, $\gamma = 0, \tilde{\beta}, f_\gamma \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, and $0 < \xi_n \leq 1$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let the assumptions of Theorem 2.6 be true.*

i)

$$\left\| \left(P_{r,n}^{* [0]} f \right)_\gamma - f_\gamma \right\|_1 \leq S_{P^*, \xi_n}^{1,0} \omega_r(f_\gamma, \xi_n)_1. \tag{2.72}$$

ii)

$$\left\| \left(W_{r,n}^{* [0]} f \right)_\gamma - f_\gamma \right\|_1 \leq S_{W^*, \xi_n}^{1,0} \omega_r(f_\gamma, \xi_n)_1. \tag{2.73}$$

iii)

$$\left\| \left(Q_{r,n}^{* [0]} f \right)_\gamma - f_\gamma \right\|_1 \leq S_{Q^*, \xi_n}^{1,0} \omega_r(f_\gamma, \xi_n)_1, \tag{2.74}$$

where $\hat{\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}$, $\beta > \frac{2+r}{2\hat{\alpha}}$.

Proof. By [5] and Theorem 2.6. □

Next, we give the case of $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p = 1$ as

Theorem 2.18. *Let $f \in C^{m+l}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $m, l \in \mathbb{N}$, $N \geq 1$, $\gamma = 0, \tilde{\beta}, f_{\gamma+\alpha} \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $|\alpha| = m$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and $0 < \xi_n \leq 1$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let the assumptions of Theorem 2.6 be true. Then*

i)

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left(P_{r,n}^* [m] f \right)_\gamma - f_\gamma - \sum_{\tilde{j}=1}^m \delta_{\tilde{j},r}^{[m]} \left(\sum_{\substack{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N \geq 0: \\ |\alpha|=j}} \frac{c_{\alpha,n,\tilde{j}} f_{\gamma+\alpha}}{\prod_{i=1}^N \alpha_i!} \right) \right\|_1 \quad (2.75) \\ & \leq \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^N \alpha_i!} \right) S_{P^*, \xi_n}^{1,m} \omega_r (f_{\gamma+\alpha}, \xi_n)_1. \end{aligned}$$

ii)

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left(W_{r,n}^* [m] f \right)_\gamma - f_\gamma - \sum_{\tilde{j}=1}^m \delta_{\tilde{j},r}^{[m]} \left(\sum_{\substack{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N \geq 0: \\ |\alpha|=j}} \frac{p_{\alpha,n,\tilde{j}} f_{\gamma+\alpha}}{\prod_{i=1}^N \alpha_i!} \right) \right\|_1 \quad (2.76) \\ & \leq \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^N \alpha_i!} \right) S_{W^*, \xi_n}^{1,m} \omega_r (f_{\gamma+\alpha}, \xi_n)_1. \end{aligned}$$

iii)

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left(Q_{r,n}^* [m] f \right)_\gamma - f_\gamma - \sum_{\tilde{j}=1}^m \delta_{\tilde{j},r}^{[m]} \left(\sum_{\substack{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N \geq 0: \\ |\alpha|=j}} \frac{q_{\alpha,n,\tilde{j}} f_{\gamma+\alpha}}{\prod_{i=1}^N \alpha_i!} \right) \right\|_1 \quad (2.77) \\ & \leq \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^N \alpha_i!} \right) S_{Q^*, \xi_n}^{1,m} \omega_r (f_{\gamma+\alpha}, \xi_n)_1, \end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}$, $\beta > \max \left\{ \frac{1+\alpha_i+r}{2\hat{\alpha}}, \frac{2+r}{2\hat{\alpha}} \right\}$ for all i .

Proof. By [5] and Theorem 2.6. □

Finally, we give our L_p results for the error quantities $E_{n,P}^{[0]}(f; x)$, $E_{n,P}^{[0]}(f; x)$, and the errors $E_{n,P}^{[m]}(f; x)$, $E_{n,P}^{[m]}(f; x)$. We begin with

Theorem 2.19. *Let $f \in C^{m+l}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $m, l \in \mathbb{N}$, $N \geq 1$, $\gamma = 0, \tilde{\beta}$, $f_{\gamma+\alpha} \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $|\alpha| = m$, $p, q > 1 : \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, and $0 < \xi_n \leq 1$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let the assumptions of Theorem*

2.6 be true. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left(E_{n,P}^{[m]}(f) \right)_\gamma \right\|_p \tag{2.78} \\ & \leq m_{\xi_n,P} \left(\frac{m \left(S_{P^*,\xi_n}^{p,m} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \omega_r \left(f_{\alpha+\gamma}, \xi_n \right)_p}{(q(m-1)+1)^{\frac{1}{q}}} \right) \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^N \alpha_i!} \\ & \quad + \|f_\gamma\|_p |m_{\xi_n,P} - 1|, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left(E_{n,W}^{[m]}(f) \right)_\gamma \right\|_p \tag{2.79} \\ & \leq m_{\xi_n,W} \left(\frac{m \left(S_{W^*,\xi_n}^{p,m} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \omega_r \left(f_{\alpha+\gamma}, \xi_n \right)_p}{(q(m-1)+1)^{\frac{1}{q}}} \right) \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^N \alpha_i!} \\ & \quad + \|f_\gamma\|_p |m_{\xi_n,W} - 1|. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By [5], (1.40), (1.41), and by the equalities $\left(E_{n,P}^{[m]}(f, x) \right)_\gamma = E_{n,P}^{[m]}(f_\gamma, x)$ and $\left(E_{n,W}^{[m]}(f, x) \right)_\gamma = E_{n,W}^{[m]}(f_\gamma, x)$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. □

Next, we present the following results for the case of $m = 0$ and $p > 1$ as

Theorem 2.20. *Let $f \in C^l(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $N \geq 1$, $\gamma = 0, \tilde{\beta}$, $f_\gamma \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $p, q > 1 : \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, and $0 < \xi_n \leq 1$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let the assumptions of Theorem 2.6 be true. Then*

$$\left\| \left(E_{n,P}^{[0]}(f) \right)_\gamma \right\|_p \leq m_{\xi_n,P} \left(S_{P^*,\xi_n}^{p,0} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \omega_r \left(f_\gamma, \xi_n \right)_p + \|f_\gamma\|_p |m_{\xi_n,P} - 1|, \tag{2.80}$$

and

$$\left\| \left(E_{n,W}^{[0]}(f) \right)_\gamma \right\|_p \leq m_{\xi_n,W} \left(S_{W^*,\xi_n}^{p,0} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \omega_r \left(f_\gamma, \xi_n \right)_p + \|f_\gamma\|_p |m_{\xi_n,W} - 1|. \tag{2.81}$$

Proof. By [5], (1.38), (1.39), and by the equalities $\left(E_{n,P}^{[m]}(f, x) \right)_\gamma = E_{n,P}^{[m]}(f_\gamma, x)$ and $\left(E_{n,W}^{[m]}(f, x) \right)_\gamma = E_{n,W}^{[m]}(f_\gamma, x)$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. □

For the case of $m = 0$ and $p = 1$, we obtain

Theorem 2.21. *Let $f \in C^l(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $N \geq 1$, $\gamma = 0, \tilde{\beta}$, $f_\gamma \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and $0 < \xi_n \leq 1$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let the assumptions of Theorem 2.6 be true. Then*

$$\left\| \left(E_{n,P}^{[0]}(f) \right)_\gamma \right\|_1 \leq m_{\xi_n,P} S_{P^*,\xi_n}^{1,0} \omega_r \left(f_\gamma, \xi_n \right)_1 + \|f_\gamma\|_1 |m_{\xi_n,P} - 1|, \tag{2.82}$$

and

$$\left\| \left(E_{n,W}^{[0]}(f) \right)_\gamma \right\|_1 \leq m_{\xi_n,W} S_{W^*,\xi_n}^{1,0} \omega_r(f_\gamma, \xi_n)_1 + \|f_\gamma\|_1 |m_{\xi_n,W} - 1|. \tag{2.83}$$

Proof. By [5], (1.38), (1.39), and by the equalities $\left(E_{n,P}^{[m]}(f, x) \right)_\gamma = E_{n,P}^{[m]}(f_\gamma, x)$ and $\left(E_{n,W}^{[m]}(f, x) \right)_\gamma = E_{n,W}^{[m]}(f_\gamma, x)$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. □

Our final result is for the case of $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p = 1$

Theorem 2.22. *Let $f \in C^{m+l}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $m, l \in \mathbb{N}$, $N \geq 1$, $\gamma = 0, \tilde{\beta}$, $f_{\gamma+\alpha} \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $|\alpha| = m$, and $0 < \xi_n \leq 1$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let the assumptions of Theorem 2.6 be true. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left(E_{n,P}^{[m]}(f) \right)_\gamma \right\|_1 &\leq m_{\xi_n,P} \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^N \alpha_i!} \right) S_{P^*,\xi_n}^{1,m} \omega_r(f_{\alpha+\gamma}, \xi_n)_1 \\ &+ \|f_\gamma\|_1 |m_{\xi_n,P} - 1|, \end{aligned} \tag{2.84}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left(E_{n,W}^{[m]}(f) \right)_\gamma \right\|_1 &\leq m_{\xi_n,W} \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^N \alpha_i!} \right) S_{W^*,\xi_n}^{1,m} \omega_r(f_{\alpha+\gamma}, \xi_n)_1 \\ &+ \|f_\gamma\|_1 |m_{\xi_n,W} - 1|. \end{aligned} \tag{2.85}$$

Proof. By [5], (1.40), (1.41), and by the equalities $\left(E_{n,P}^{[m]}(f, x) \right)_\gamma = E_{n,P}^{[m]}(f_\gamma, x)$ and $\left(E_{n,W}^{[m]}(f, x) \right)_\gamma = E_{n,W}^{[m]}(f_\gamma, x)$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. □

References

- [1] Anastassiou, G.A., *Approximation by Multivariate Singular Integrals*, Briefs in Mathematics, Springer, New York, 2011.
- [2] Anastassiou, G.A., *On Discrete Gauss-Weierstrass and Picard Operators*, Panamer. Math. J., **23**(2013), no. 2, 79-86.
- [3] Anastassiou, G.A., Kester, M., *Global Smoothness and Approximation by Generalized Discrete Singular Operators*, Rev. Anal. Numer. Theor. Approx., **43**(2014), no. 2, 113-140.
- [4] Anastassiou, G.A., Kester, M., *Approximation with Rates by Multivariate Generalized Discrete Singular Operators*, AMAT 2015 Proceedings, Springer, NY, 2016, Accepted for publication.
- [5] Anastassiou, G.A., Kester, M., *L_p Approximation with Rates by Multivariate Generalized Discrete Singular Operators*, Applied Mathematics and Computation, **265**(2015), 652-666.

- [6] Anastassiou, G.A., Kester, M., *Voronovskaya Type Asymptotic Expansions for Multivariate Generalized Discrete Singular Operators*, AMAT 2015 Proceedings, Springer, NY, 2016, Accepted for publication.

George A. Anastassiou
Department of Mathematical Sciences
The University of Memphis
Memphis, TN 38152, U.S.A.
e-mail: ganastss@memphis.edu

Merve Kester
Department of Mathematical Sciences
The University of Memphis
Memphis, TN 38152, U.S.A.
e-mail: mkester@memphis.edu