

On singular ϕ -Laplacian BVPs of nonlinear fractional differential equation

Bahia Temar, Ouiza Saifi and Smail Djebali

Abstract. This paper investigates the existence of multiple positive solutions for a class of ϕ -Laplacian boundary value problem with a nonlinear fractional differential equation and fractional boundary conditions. Multiple solutions are proved under slight conditions on a possibly degenerating source term. Approximation techniques together with the fixed point index theory on a cone of a Banach space are employed. Some illustrating examples of are also supplied.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 34A08, 34B15, 34B18, 47H10.

Keywords: Fractional differential equation, ϕ -Laplacian, fixed points index, cone, positive solution, multiple solutions.

1. Introduction

This paper deals with the existence of multiple positive solutions to the following nonlinear fractional differential equation with a ϕ -Laplacian operator and Riemann-Liouville derivatives:

$$\begin{cases} -D_{0+}^{\alpha}(\phi(-D_{0+}^{\beta}x(t))) = q(t)f(t, x(t), D_{0+}^{\gamma}x(t)), & 0 < t < 1, \\ x(0) = x'(0) = D_{0+}^{\beta-1}x(1) = D_{0+}^{\beta}x(0) = [D_{0+}^{\alpha-1}(\phi(-D_{0+}^{\beta}x(t)))]_{t=1} = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $\gamma > 0$, $\alpha \in (1, 2]$, $\beta \in (2, 3]$, $\beta - \gamma - 2 \geq 0$, and $D_{0+}^{\alpha}, D_{0+}^{\beta}, D_{0+}^{\gamma}$ are the standard Riemann-Liouville derivatives. The nonlinear term $f = f(t, x, y) : [0, 1] \times [0, +\infty) \times [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is continuous but may be singular at $x = 0$ and/or at $y = 0$ in a sense to be made precise. The function $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an increasing

Received 27 July; Accepted 13 December 2021.

The authors are grateful to Laboratoire "Théorie du Point Fixe et Applications", ENS-Kouba, Algiers and to the Direction Générale de la Recherche Scientifique et de Développement Technologique in Algeria for supporting this work.

© Studia UBB MATHEMATICA. Published by Babeş-Bolyai University

 This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License.

homeomorphism such that $\phi(0) = 0$. The sets \mathbb{R}^+ and $I = (0, +\infty)$ will stand for the nonnegative real numbers and the positive real numbers, respectively.

In the last couple of years, fractional boundary value problems (BVPs for short) have been the subject of intensive research works, see, e.g., [2, 12, 11, 15] and reference therein. They can thought of as extension of BVPs with ordinary differential equations (see [5, 6]). For the p -Laplacian $\varphi_p(s) = |s|^{p-2}s$ $p > 1$, the authors of [13] discussed the BVP

$$\begin{cases} D_{0+}^\beta (\varphi_p(D_{0+}^\alpha y(x))) = f(x, y(x)), 0 < x < 1, \\ y(0) = y'(0) = y(1) = D_{0+}^\alpha y(0) = 0, D_{0+}^\alpha y(1) = \lambda D_{0+}^\alpha y(\varepsilon), \end{cases}$$

where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha \in (2, 3]$, $\beta \in (1, 2]$, $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, $\lambda \in [0, +\infty)$, $D_{0+}^\alpha, D_{0+}^\beta$ are the standard Riemann-Liouville derivatives, and $f \in C([0, 1] \times [0, +\infty), [0, +\infty))$. They proved the existence of positive solutions by means of the Guo-Krasnosel'skii fixed point theorem. In [8], Lu *et al.* considered the BVP

$$\begin{cases} D_{0+}^\alpha (\varphi_p(D_{0+}^\beta u(t))) = f(t, u(t)), 0 < t < 1, \\ u(0) = u'(0) = u'(1) = 0, D_{0+}^\beta u(0) = D_{0+}^\beta u(1) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $\alpha \in (1, 2], \beta \in (2, 3]$, $D_{0+}^\alpha, D_{0+}^\beta$ are the standard Riemann-Liouville derivatives, and $f \in C([0, 1] \times [0, +\infty), [0, +\infty))$. Existence results are proved by combination of the Guo-Krasnosel'skii fixed point theorem, the Leggett-Williams fixed point theorem, and the method of upper and lower solutions. In [14], the authors considered the BVP

$$\begin{cases} -D_{0+}^{\alpha_1} (\varphi_p(D_{0+}^{\beta_1} u(t))) = f(t, u(t)), 0 < t < 1, \\ u(0) = u(1) = u'(0) = u'(1) = 0, D_{0+}^{\beta_1} u(0) = 0, D_{0+}^{\beta_1} u(1) = b D_{0+}^{\beta_1} u(\eta), \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

where $\alpha \in (1, 2], \beta \in (3, 4], \eta \in (0, 1), b \in (0, \eta^{\frac{1-\alpha}{p-1}})$, and $f \in C([0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^+)$. They established the existence of positive solutions by the upper and lower solutions method combined with the Schauder fixed point theorem. More recently, the existence of positive solutions is proved in [15] by fixed point theory. In [3], A. Boucenna and T. Moussaoui have used the Krasnoselskii fixed point theorem to establish the existence of positive solution on the half-line for the BVP:

$$\begin{cases} -D_{0+}^\alpha u(t) = a(t)g(u(t), D_{0+}^\beta u(t)), t > 0, \\ u(0) = D_{0+}^{\alpha-1} u(\infty) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

where $\alpha \in (1, 2], \beta > 0$, and $\alpha - \beta \geq 1$ and the nonlinear function g satisfies some growth assumptions.

This work discusses the existence and the multiplicity of positive solutions to Problem (1.1) where the function f depends on x and on the standard Riemann-Liouville derivative $D_{0+}^\gamma x$. The nonlinear term f may be singular point at $x = 0$ and/or $D_{0+}^\gamma x = 0$. ϕ is a homeomorphism. We will make use of the fixed point index theory on a suitable cone in some Banach space. Each existence result is illustrated by an example. In this section, we also recall some preliminary results we need in this paper. The first reminders concern the Riemann-Liouville fractional integral and the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivation. For more details, we refer to [7, 10, 9].

Definition 1.1. The Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of order $\alpha > 0$ of a function $u : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$I_{0^+}^\alpha u(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} u(s) ds$$

provided that the right-hand side is pointwise defined on $(0, 1)$. $\Gamma(\alpha)$ is the Euler gamma function $\Gamma(\alpha) = \int_0^{+\infty} t^{\alpha-1} e^{-t} dt$.

Definition 1.2. The Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative of order $\alpha > 0$ of a function $u : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$D_{0^+}^\alpha u(t) = \frac{d^n}{dt^n} I_{0^+}^{n-\alpha} u(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \frac{d^n}{dt^n} \int_0^t (t-s)^{n-\alpha-1} u(s) ds,$$

where n is the smallest integer greater than or equal to α , provided the right-hand side is pointwise defined on $(0, 1)$.

Lemma 1.3. Let $\alpha > 0$. Then for $u \in L(0, 1)$ and $D_{0^+}^\alpha u(t) \in L(0, 1)$, we have

$$I_{0^+}^\alpha D_{0^+}^\alpha u(t) = u(t) + c_1 t^{\alpha-1} + c_2 t^{\alpha-2} + \dots + c_n t^{\alpha-n},$$

where $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n \in (-\infty, +\infty)$, $n-1 < \alpha \leq n$.

For the theory and the computation of the fixed point index on cones in Banach spaces, we refer to [1, 2, 4]. An operator $A : E \rightarrow E$ is completely continuous if it is continuous and maps bounded sets into relatively compact sets. A nonempty subset \mathcal{P} of a Banach space E is called a cone if it is convex, closed and satisfies $\alpha x \in \mathcal{P}$ for all $x \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\alpha \geq 0$ and $x, -x \in \mathcal{P}$ implies that $x = 0$.

Lemma 1.4. Let Ω be a bounded open set in a real Banach space E , \mathcal{P} a cone of E and $A : \overline{\Omega} \cap \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ a completely continuous map. Suppose that $\lambda Ax \neq x, \forall x \in \partial\Omega \cap \mathcal{P}, \lambda \in (0, 1]$. Then $i(A, \Omega \cap \mathcal{P}) = 1$.

Lemma 1.5. Let Ω be a bounded open set in a real Banach space E , \mathcal{P} a cone of E and $A : \overline{\Omega} \cap \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ a completely continuous map. Suppose that $Ax \not\leq x, \forall x \in \partial\Omega \cap \mathcal{P}$. Then $i(A, \Omega \cap \mathcal{P}) = 0$.

The basic space to study Problem (1.1) is

$$E = \{x \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}) : D_{0^+}^\gamma x \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{R})\}.$$

E is a Banach space with the norm $\|x\| = \|x\|_1 + \|x\|_2$, where $\|x\|_1 = \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} |x(t)|$ and

$\|x\|_2 = \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} |D_{0^+}^\gamma x(t)|$. The following lemma is the fractional version of Ascoli-Arzelá

Theorem.

Lemma 1.6. Let $M \subseteq E$, then M is relatively compact in E if the following conditions hold:

- (a) M is bounded in E ,
- (b) the functions belonging to $\{x, x \in M\}$ and $\{z : z(t) = D_{0^+}^\gamma x(t), x \in M\}$ are equicontinuous, i.e., $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0, \forall t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$, and for all $x \in M$,

$$|t_1 - t_2| < \delta \Rightarrow |x(t_1) - x(t_2)| < \varepsilon \text{ and } |D_{0^+}^\gamma x(t_1) - D_{0^+}^\gamma x(t_2)| < \varepsilon.$$

The cases where f is either regular or singular are discussed separately in Section 3 and Section 4, respectively. Some technical lemmas are collected in the following section.

2. Fixed point setting

Consider the boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} -D_{0+}^{\alpha}u(t) = v(t), & 0 < t < 1, \\ u(0) = D_{0+}^{\alpha-1}u(1) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

It is easy to verify

Lemma 2.1. *If $v \in C([0, 1])$, then Problem (2.1) has the unique solution*

$$u(t) = \int_0^1 H(t, s)v(s)ds,$$

where

$$H(t, s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \begin{cases} t^{\alpha-1} - (t-s)^{\alpha-1}, & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \\ t^{\alpha-1}, & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

If we set $\phi(-D_{0+}^{\beta}x(t)) = u(t)$, then $-D_{0+}^{\beta}x(t) = \phi^{-1}(u)(t)$. Thus the BVP

$$\begin{cases} -D_{0+}^{\alpha}(\phi(-D_{0+}^{\beta}x(t))) = v(t), & 0 < t < 1 \\ x(0) = x'(0) = D_{0+}^{\beta-1}x(1) = D_{0+}^{\beta}x(0) = [D^{\alpha-1}(\phi(-D_{0+}^{\beta}x(t)))]_{t=1} = 0 \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} -D_{0+}^{\beta}x(t) = \phi^{-1}\left(\int_0^1 H(t, s)v(s)ds\right), & t \in (0, 1) \\ x(0) = x'(0) = D_{0+}^{\beta-1}x(1) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2.2. *Given $v \in C[0, 1]$, Problem (2.2) has the unique solution*

$$x(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s)\phi^{-1}\left(\int_0^1 H(s, \tau)v(\tau)d\tau\right)ds,$$

where

$$G(t, s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \begin{cases} t^{\beta-1} - (t-s)^{\beta-1}, & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \\ t^{\beta-1}, & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

A direct computation yields

$$D_{0+}^{\gamma}G(t, s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta-\gamma)} \begin{cases} t^{\beta-\gamma-1} - (t-s)^{\beta-\gamma-1}, & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \\ t^{\beta-\gamma-1}, & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. By Lemma 1.3,

$$I_{0+}^{\alpha}D_{0+}^{\alpha}u(t) = -I_{0+}^{\alpha}v(t).$$

Then

$$u(t) = -I_{0+}^{\alpha}v(t) + c_1t^{\alpha-1} + c_2t^{\alpha-2}, \quad c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The boundary conditions $u(0) = D_{0+}^{\alpha-1}u(1) = 0$ imply $c_1 = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 v(s)ds$ and $c_2 = 0$. Hence the solution u of Problem (2.1) is

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} v(s)ds + \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 v(s)ds \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t [t^{\alpha-1} - (t-s)^{\alpha-1}] v(s)ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_t^1 t^{\alpha-1} v(s)ds \\ &= \int_0^1 H(t,s)v(s)ds. \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$I_{0+}^\beta D_{0+}^\beta x(t) = I_{0+}^\beta \phi^{-1} \left(\int_0^1 H(t,s)v(s)ds \right).$$

Then

$$x(t) = -I_{0+}^\beta \phi^{-1} \left(\int_0^1 H(t,s)v(s)ds \right) + c_1 t^{\beta-1} + c_2 t^{\beta-2} + c_3 t^{\beta-3},$$

for some $c_1, c_2, c_3 \in \mathbb{R}$. By the boundary conditions $x(0) = x'(0) = D_{0+}^{\beta-1}x(1) = 0$, we have

$$c_1 = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^1 \phi^{-1} \left(\int_0^1 H(s,\tau)v(\tau)d\tau \right) ds, \quad c_2 = c_3 = 0.$$

Finally, the explicit solution x of Problem (2.2) is

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} \phi^{-1} \left(\int_0^1 H(s,\tau)v(\tau)d\tau \right) ds \\ &\quad + \frac{t^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^1 \phi^{-1} \left(\int_0^1 H(s,\tau)v(\tau)d\tau \right) ds \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^t [t^{\beta-1} - (t-s)^{\beta-1}] \phi^{-1} \left(\int_0^1 H(s,\tau)v(\tau)d\tau \right) ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_t^1 t^{\beta-1} \phi^{-1} \left(\int_0^1 H(s,\tau)v(\tau)d\tau \right) ds \\ &= \int_0^1 G(t,s) \phi^{-1} \left(\int_0^1 H(s,\tau)v(\tau)d\tau \right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.3. *The function $H(t,s), G(t,s), D_{0+}^\gamma G(t,s)$ enjoys the properties*

- (a₁) $H, G, D_{0+}^\gamma G$ are continuous on $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$,
- (a₂) $H(t,s) \leq \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}, \forall (t,s) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$,
- (a₃) $G(t,s) \leq \frac{t^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)}, \forall (t,s) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$,
- (a₄) $D_{0+}^\gamma G(t,s) \leq \frac{t^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta-\gamma)} \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta-\gamma)}, \forall (t,s) \in (0, 1) \times (0, 1)$,
- (a₅) $\frac{(\beta-1)\rho(t)s}{\Gamma(\beta)} \leq G(t,s) \leq \frac{(\beta-1)s}{\Gamma(\beta)}, \forall (t,s) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$,
- (a₆) $G(t,s) \geq \rho(t) \sup_{t \in [0,1]} G(t,s), \forall (t,s) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$,
- (a₇) $\frac{(\beta-1)\rho(t)s}{\Gamma(\beta-\gamma)} \leq D_{0+}^\gamma G(t,s) \leq \frac{(\beta-1)s}{\Gamma(\beta-\gamma)}, \forall (t,s) \in (0, 1) \times (0, 1)$,
- (a₈) $D_{0+}^\gamma G(t,s) \geq \rho(t) \sup_{t \in [0,1]} D_{0+}^\gamma G(t,s), \forall (t,s) \in (0, 1) \times (0, 1)$,
- (a₉) $G(t,s) \geq \frac{\Gamma(\beta-\gamma)}{\Gamma(\beta)} \rho(t) \sup_{t \in [0,1]} D_{0+}^\gamma G(t,s), \forall (t,s) \in (0, 1) \times (0, 1)$,
- (a₁₀) $D_{0+}^\gamma G(t,s) \geq \frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\beta-\gamma)} \rho(t) \sup_{t \in [0,1]} G(t,s), \forall (t,s) \in (0, 1) \times (0, 1)$,

where $\rho(t) = \frac{1}{\beta-1} t^{\beta-1} (1-t)^{\beta-1}$.

Proof. Let $(t, s) \in (0, 1) \times (0, 1)$.

(a₅) If $s \leq t$, then

$$\begin{aligned} t^{\beta-1} - (t-s)^{\beta-1} &= (\beta-1) \int_{t-s}^t z^{\beta-2} dz \\ &\leq (\beta-1)(t-t+s) = (\beta-1)s \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} t^{\beta-1} - (t-s)^{\beta-1} &\geq t^{\beta-1}(1-s)^{\beta-1} - (t-s)^{\beta-1} \\ &= t^{\beta-2}(1-s)^{\beta-2}(t-ts) - (t-s)^{\beta-2}(t-s) \\ &\geq t^{\beta-2}(1-s)^{\beta-2}(t-ts) - (t-ts)^{\beta-2}(t-s) \\ &\geq t^{\beta-2}(1-s)^{\beta-2}[(t-ts) - (t-s)] \\ &\geq t^{\beta-2}(1-s)^{\beta-2}s(1-t) \\ &\geq t^{\beta-1}(1-t)^{\beta-1}s. \end{aligned}$$

If $t \leq s$, then

$$t^{\beta-1} = (\beta-1) \int_0^t z^{\beta-2} dz \leq (\beta-1)s$$

and

$$t^{\beta-1} \geq t^{\beta-1}(1-t)^{\beta-1}s.$$

Hence

$$\frac{t^{\beta-1}(1-t)^{\beta-1}s}{\Gamma(\beta)} \leq G(t, s) \leq \frac{(\beta-1)s}{\Gamma(\beta)}, \quad \forall (t, s) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1].$$

(a₇) For $s \leq t$

$$\begin{aligned} t^{\beta-\gamma-1} - (t-s)^{\beta-\gamma-1} &= (\beta-\gamma-1) \int_{t-s}^t z^{\beta-\gamma-2} dz \\ &\leq (\beta-\gamma-1)(t-t+s) \\ &= (\beta-\gamma-1)s \leq (\beta-1)s \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} t^{\beta-\gamma-1} - (t-s)^{\beta-\gamma-1} &\geq t^{\beta-\gamma-1}(1-s)^{\beta-\gamma-1} - (t-s)^{\beta-\gamma-1} \\ &= t^{\beta-\gamma-2}(1-s)^{\beta-\gamma-2}(t-ts) - (t-s)^{\beta-\gamma-2}(t-s) \\ &\geq t^{\beta-\gamma-2}(1-s)^{\beta-\gamma-2}(t-ts) - (t-ts)^{\beta-\gamma-2}(t-s) \\ &\geq t^{\beta-\gamma-2}(1-s)^{\beta-\gamma-2}[(t-ts) - (t-s)] \\ &\geq t^{\beta-\gamma-2}(1-s)^{\beta-\gamma-2}s(1-t) \\ &\geq t^{\beta-1}(1-t)^{\beta-1}s. \end{aligned}$$

If $t \leq s$, then

$$t^{\beta-\gamma-1} = (\beta-\gamma-1) \int_0^t z^{\beta-\gamma-2} dz \leq (\beta-1)s$$

and

$$t^{\beta-\gamma-1} \geq t^{\beta-1}(1-t)^{\beta-1}s.$$

Hence

$$\frac{t^{\beta-1}(1-t)^{\beta-1}s}{\Gamma(\beta-\gamma)} \leq D_{0+}^{\gamma} G(t, s) \leq \frac{(\beta-1)s}{\Gamma(\beta-\gamma)}.$$

(a₉) By (a₅) and (a₇),

$$\begin{aligned} G(t, s) &\geq \frac{t^{\beta-1}(1-t)^{\beta-1}s}{\Gamma(\beta)} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\beta-\gamma)t^{\beta-1}(1-t)^{\beta-1}}{(\beta-1)\Gamma(\beta)} \frac{(\beta-1)s}{\Gamma(\beta-\gamma)} \\ &\geq \frac{\Gamma(\beta-\gamma)t^{\beta-1}(1-t)^{\beta-1}}{(\beta-1)\Gamma(\beta)} \sup_{t \in [0,1]} D_{0+}^\gamma G(t, s). \end{aligned}$$

(a₁₀) By (a₅) and (a₇)

$$\begin{aligned} D_{0+}^\gamma G(t, s) &\geq \frac{t^{\beta-1}(1-t)^{\beta-1}s}{\Gamma(\beta-\gamma)} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\beta)t^{\beta-1}(1-t)^{\beta-1}}{(\beta-1)\Gamma(\beta)} \frac{(\beta-1)s}{\Gamma(\beta)} \\ &\geq \frac{\Gamma(\beta)t^{\beta-1}(1-t)^{\beta-1}}{(\beta-1)\Gamma(\beta-\gamma)} \sup_{t \in [0,1]} G(t, s). \end{aligned}$$

□

Define the cone \mathcal{P}

$$P = \{x \in E : x(t) \geq \lambda_1 \rho(t) \|x\|, D_{0+}^\gamma x(t) \geq \lambda_2 \rho(t) \|x\|, \forall t \in [0, 1]\},$$

where

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{2(\beta-1)} \max \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(\beta-\gamma)}{\Gamma(\beta)}, 1 \right\}$$

and

$$\lambda_2 = \frac{1}{2(\beta-1)} \max \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\beta-\gamma)}, 1 \right\}.$$

Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ with $0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2$ be such that

$$t^{\alpha_2} \phi(x) \leq \phi(tx) \leq t^{\alpha_1} \phi(x); \quad \forall t \in [0, 1], \forall x \geq 0. \tag{2.3}$$

Then

$$t^{\frac{1}{\alpha_1}} \phi^{-1}(x) \leq \phi^{-1}(tx) \leq t^{\frac{1}{\alpha_2}} \phi^{-1}(x); \quad \forall t \in [0, 1], \forall x \geq 0. \tag{2.4}$$

Let

$$\rho_1(x) = \begin{cases} x^{\frac{1}{\alpha_1}}, & x \leq 1 \\ x^{\frac{1}{\alpha_2}}, & x \geq 1 \end{cases} \tag{2.5}$$

$$\rho_2(x) = \begin{cases} x^{\frac{1}{\alpha_2}}, & x \leq 1 \\ x^{\frac{1}{\alpha_1}}, & x \geq 1. \end{cases} \tag{2.6}$$

From Equation (2.4), we get

$$\rho_1(t) \phi^{-1}(x) \leq \phi^{-1}(tx) \leq \rho_2(t) \phi^{-1}(x); \quad \forall t \geq 0, \forall x \geq 0. \tag{2.7}$$

By Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, Problem 1.1 is equivalent to the nonlinear integral equation

$$x(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s) \phi^{-1} \left(\int_0^1 H(s, \tau) q(\tau) f(\tau, x(\tau), D_{0+}^\gamma x(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds. \tag{2.8}$$

Thus the fixed point operator is the operator $A : E \rightarrow C([0, 1])$ given by

$$A(x)(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s) \phi^{-1} \left(\int_0^1 H(s, \tau) q(\tau) f(\tau, x(\tau), D_{0+}^\gamma x(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds,$$

where $x \in \mathcal{P}$. The fractional derivative is

$$D_{0^+}^\gamma A(x)(t) = \int_0^1 D_{0^+}^\gamma G(t, s) \phi^{-1} \left(\int_0^1 H(s, \tau) q(\tau) f(\tau, x(\tau), D_{0^+}^\gamma x(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds.$$

Lemma 2.3 will help in investigating the properties of the fixed point operator. Existence of fixed points will be investigated in the next two sections.

3. Regular nonlinear term

Suppose that $f : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is continuous such that $f(t_0, 0, 0) \neq 0$ for some $t_0 \in (0, 1]$. Let the hypotheses

(\mathcal{H}_1) There exist $m \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^+)$ and a nondecreasing function in each argument $g \in C(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^+)$ such that

$$f(t, x, y) \leq m(t)g(x, y), \quad \forall t \in [0, 1], \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

(\mathcal{H}_2)

$$\sup_{c>0} \frac{c}{[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta-\gamma)}] \phi^{-1} \left(\frac{g(c,c)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 q(\tau) m(\tau) d\tau \right)} > 1.$$

(\mathcal{H}_3) There exist a, b ($0 < a < b < 1$) such that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(t, x, y)}{\phi(x)} = +\infty, \quad \text{uniformly in } t \in [a, b] \text{ and } y \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

Proposition 3.1. *Suppose (\mathcal{H}_1). Then the operator A maps \mathcal{P} into \mathcal{P} and it is completely continuous.*

Proof.

(1) $A(\mathcal{P}) \subset \mathcal{P}$. $A(x)(t) \geq 0, D_{0^+}^\gamma A(x)(t) \geq 0 \quad \forall t \in [0, 1]$ and by Lemma 2.3(a_6)

$$\begin{aligned} A(x)(t) &= \int_0^1 G(t, s) \phi^{-1} \left(\int_0^1 H(s, \tau) q(\tau) f(\tau, x(\tau), D_{0^+}^\gamma x(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \\ &\geq \rho(t) \\ &\quad \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} \int_0^1 G(t, s) \phi^{-1} \left(\int_0^1 H(s, \tau) q(\tau) f(\tau, x(\tau), D_{0^+}^\gamma x(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \\ &\geq \rho(t) \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} Ax(t) \\ &\geq \rho(t) \|Ax\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.3(a_9),

$$\begin{aligned} A(x)(t) &= \int_0^1 G(t, s) \phi^{-1} \left(\int_0^1 H(s, \tau) q(\tau) f(\tau, x(\tau), D_{0^+}^\gamma x(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \\ &\geq \frac{\Gamma(\beta-\gamma)}{\Gamma(\beta)} \rho(t) \\ &\quad \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} \int_0^1 D_{0^+}^\gamma G(t, s) \phi^{-1} \left(\int_0^1 H(s, \tau) q(\tau) f(\tau, x(\tau), D_{0^+}^\gamma x(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \\ &\geq \frac{\Gamma(\beta-\gamma)}{\Gamma(\beta)} \rho(t) \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} D_{0^+}^\gamma Ax(t) \\ &\geq \frac{\Gamma(\beta-\gamma)}{\Gamma(\beta)} \rho(t) \|Ax\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} A(x) &= \frac{1}{2}(A(x) + A(x)) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}(\rho(t)\|Ax\|_1 + \frac{\Gamma(\beta-\gamma)}{\Gamma(\beta)}\rho(t)\|Ax\|_2) \\ &\geq \lambda_1\rho(t)\|Ax\|. \end{aligned}$$

Also by Lemma 2.3(a₁₀),

$$\begin{aligned} D_{0+}^\gamma A(x)(t) &= \int_0^1 D_{0+}^\gamma G(t, s)\phi^{-1} \left(\int_0^1 H(s, \tau)q(\tau)f(\tau, x(\tau), D_{0+}^\gamma x(\tau))d\tau \right) ds \\ &\geq \frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\beta-\gamma)}\rho(t) \int_0^1 \sup_{t \in [0,1]} G(t, s) \\ &\quad \phi^{-1} \left(\int_0^1 H(s, \tau)q(\tau)f(\tau, x(\tau), D_{0+}^\gamma x(\tau))d\tau \right) ds \\ &\geq \frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\beta-\gamma)}\rho(t) \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \int_0^1 G(t, s) \\ &\quad \phi^{-1} \left(\int_0^1 H(s, \tau)q(\tau)f(\tau, x(\tau), D_{0+}^\gamma x(\tau))d\tau \right) ds \\ &\geq \frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\beta-\gamma)}\rho(t) \sup_{t \in [0,1]} Ax(t) \\ &\geq \frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\beta-\gamma)}\rho(t)\|Ax\|_1 \end{aligned}$$

and Lemma 2.3(a₈) implies

$$\begin{aligned} D_{0+}^\gamma A(x)(t) &= \int_0^1 D_{0+}^\gamma G(t, s)\phi^{-1} \left(\int_0^1 H(s, \tau)q(\tau)f(\tau, x(\tau), D_{0+}^\gamma x(\tau))d\tau \right) ds \\ &\geq \rho(t) \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \int_0^1 D_{0+}^\gamma G(t, s) \\ &\quad \phi^{-1} \left(\int_0^1 H(s, \tau)q(\tau)f(\tau, x(\tau), D_{0+}^\gamma x(\tau))d\tau \right) ds \\ &\geq \rho(t) \sup_{t \in [0,1]} D_{0+}^\gamma Ax(t) \\ &\geq \rho(t)\|Ax\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} D_{0+}^\gamma A(x) &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\beta-\gamma)}\rho(t)\|Ax\|_1 + \rho(t)\|Ax\|_2 \right) \\ &\geq \lambda_2\rho(t)\|Ax\|, \end{aligned}$$

proving the claim.

(2) Let $D \subset E$ be a bounded set. Then there exists $r > 0$ such that $\forall x \in D, \|x\| \leq r$. By (\mathcal{H}_1) and the properties (a₂), (a₃), (a₄) of Lemma 2.3, we have the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \|A(x)\|_1 &= \left\| \int_0^1 G(t, s)\phi^{-1} \left(\int_0^1 H(s, \tau)q(\tau)f(\tau, x(\tau), D_{0+}^\gamma x(\tau))d\tau \right) ds \right\|_1 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^1 \phi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 q(\tau)f(\tau, x(\tau), D_{0+}^\gamma x(\tau))d\tau \right) ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \phi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 q(\tau)m(\tau)g(x(\tau), D_{0+}^\gamma x(\tau))d\tau \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \phi^{-1} \left(\frac{g(r,r)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 q(\tau)(m(\tau))d\tau \right) < +\infty \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|A(x)\|_2 &= \left\| \int_0^1 G(t, s)\phi^{-1} \left(\int_0^1 H(s, \tau)q(\tau)f(\tau, x(\tau), D_{0+}^\gamma x(\tau))d\tau \right) ds \right\|_2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta-\gamma)} \phi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 q(\tau)m(\tau)g(x(\tau), D_{0+}^\gamma x(\tau))d\tau \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta-\gamma)} \phi^{-1} \left(\frac{g(r,r)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 q(\tau)m(\tau)d\tau \right) < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\|A(x)\| < [\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta-\gamma)}]\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{g(r,r)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 q(\tau)m(\tau)d\tau \right)$, that is $A(D)$ is uniformly bounded.

(3) $A(D)$ is equicontinuous. For $t, t' \in [0, 1]$ ($t < t'$), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |A(x)(t) - A(x)(t')| \\ & \leq \int_0^1 |G(t, s) - G(t', s)|\phi^{-1} \left(\int_0^1 H(s, \tau)q(\tau)f(\tau, x(\tau), D_{0+}^\gamma x(\tau))d\tau \right) ds \\ & \leq \int_0^1 |G(t, s) - G(t', s)|\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{g(r,r)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 q(\tau)m(\tau)d\tau \right) ds \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & |D_{0+}^\gamma A(x)(t) - D_{0+}^\gamma A(x)(t')| \\ & \leq \int_0^1 |D_{0+}^\gamma G(t, s) - D_{0+}^\gamma G(t', s)|\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{g(r,r)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 q(\tau)m(\tau)d\tau \right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$|Ax(t) - Ax(t')| < \varepsilon \text{ and } |D_{0+}^\gamma A(x)(t) - D_{0+}^\gamma A(x)(t')| < \varepsilon,$$

for all $t, t' \in [0, 1]$ and $|t - t'| < \delta$, proving that $A(D)$ is relatively compact.

(4) A is continuous: Let some sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 0} \subset \mathcal{P}$ be such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} x_n = x_0$.

Then there exists $r > 0$ such that $\|x_n\| \leq r, \forall n \geq 0$. By (\mathcal{H}_1) , for all $t \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |Ax_n(t) - Ax_0(t)| \\ & = \left| \int_0^1 G(t, s)[\phi^{-1}(\int_0^1 H(s, \tau)q(\tau)f(\tau, x_n(\tau), D_{0+}^\gamma x_n(\tau))d\tau)ds \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \phi^{-1}(\int_0^1 H(s, \tau)q(\tau)f(\tau, x_0(\tau), D_{0+}^\gamma x_0(\tau))d\tau)]ds \right| \\ & \leq \frac{2}{\Gamma(\beta)}\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{g(r,r)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 q(\tau)m(\tau)d\tau \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|D_{0+}^\gamma Ax_n(t) - D_{0+}^\gamma Ax_0(t)| \leq \frac{2}{\Gamma(\beta - \gamma)}\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{g(r, r)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 q(\tau)m(\tau)d\tau \right).$$

With the Lebegeg Dominated convergence theorem, we conclude that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|Ax_n - Ax_0\| = 0,$$

i.e., A is continuous. □

We state and prove our first existence result

Theorem 3.2. *Under Assumptions $(\mathcal{H}_1) - (\mathcal{H}_2)$ hold, BVP (1.1) has at least one positive solution.*

Proof. From Condition (\mathcal{H}_2) , there exists $R > 0$ such that

$$\frac{R}{\left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta-\gamma)} \right] \phi^{-1} \left(\frac{g(R,R)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 q(\tau)m(\tau)d\tau \right)} > 1. \tag{3.1}$$

Let $\Omega_1 = \{x \in E; \|x\| \leq R\}$. To prove that $x \neq \lambda Ax$ for all $x \in \partial\Omega_1 \cap \mathcal{P}$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1]$, suppose by contradiction that there exist $x_0 \in \partial\Omega_1 \cap \mathcal{P}$ and $\lambda_0 \in (0, 1]$ such

that $x_0 = \lambda_0 Ax_0$. By (\mathcal{H}_1) and the properties $(a_2), (a_3)$ and (a_4) of Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R &= \|x_0\| \\ &= \|\lambda_0 Ax_0\| \\ &\leq \|Ax_0\|_1 + \|Ax_0\|_2 \\ &\leq \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \int_0^1 G(t,s) \phi^{-1} \left(\int_0^1 H(s,\tau) q(\tau) f(\tau, x_0(\tau), D_{0+}^\gamma x_0(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \\ &\quad + \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \int_0^1 D_{0+}^\gamma G(t,s) \phi^{-1} \left(\int_0^1 H(s,\tau) q(\tau) f(\tau, x_0(\tau), D_{0+}^\gamma x_0(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \phi^{-1} \left(\frac{g(R,R)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 q(\tau) m(\tau) d\tau \right) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta-\gamma)} \phi^{-1} \left(\frac{g(R,R)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 q(\tau) m(\tau) d\tau \right) \\ &\leq \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta-\gamma)} \right] \phi^{-1} \left(\frac{g(R,R)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 q(\tau) m(\tau) d\tau \right), \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts (3.1). Lemma 1.4 implies that

$$i(A, \Omega_1 \cap \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}) = 1.$$

Then there exists $x_0 \in \Omega_1 \cap \mathcal{P}$ such that $Ax_0 = x_0$. Since

$$f(t_0, 0, 0) \neq 0 \text{ and } x_0(t) \geq \lambda_1 \rho(t) \|x_0\|,$$

x_0 is a positive solution of Problem (1.1). □

Example 3.3. Consider the BVP

$$\begin{cases} -D_{0+}^{\frac{3}{2}} \left(-D_{0+}^{\frac{5}{2}} x(t) \right)^{\frac{5}{3}} = \frac{3}{25} t^{\frac{1}{4}} (1 + \cos(\frac{\pi}{4} t^{\frac{5}{4}})) (x + D_{0+}^{\frac{1}{6}} x + 1)^{\frac{5}{3}}, t \in (0, 1) \\ x(0) = x'(0) = D_{0+}^{\frac{3}{2}} x(1) = D_{0+}^{\frac{5}{2}} x(0) = [D_{0+}^{\frac{1}{2}} (\phi(-D_{0+}^{\frac{5}{2}} x(t)))]_{t=1} = 0, \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$f(t, x, y) = (1 + \cos(\frac{\pi}{4} t^{\frac{5}{4}})) (x + y + 1)^{\frac{5}{3}}, \quad q(t) = \frac{3}{25} t^{\frac{1}{4}} \text{ and } \phi(t) = t^{\frac{5}{3}}.$$

Then ϕ is an increasing homeomorphism such that $\phi(0) = 0$. For

$$g(x, y) = \frac{3}{25} (x + y + 1)^{\frac{5}{3}} \text{ and } m(t) = 1 + \cos(\frac{\pi}{4} t^{\frac{5}{4}}),$$

Assumption (\mathcal{H}_2)

$$\sup_{c>0} \frac{c}{\left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta-\gamma)} \right] \phi^{-1} \left(\frac{g(c,c)}{\Gamma(\alpha)}, \int_0^1 q(\tau) m(\tau) d\tau \right)} \geq 1.013 > 1$$

is satisfied and then all conditions of Theorem 3.2 hold. Therefore Problem (3.2) has at least one positive solution.

The existence of positive solutions is given by

Theorem 3.4. Assume that $(\mathcal{H}_1) - (\mathcal{H}_3)$ hold and suppose that there exist $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, 0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2$, such that

$$t^{\alpha_2} \phi(x) \leq \phi(tx) \leq t^{\alpha_1} \phi(x), \quad \forall t \in [0, 1], \quad \forall x \geq 0.$$

Then Problem (1.1) has at least two positive solutions.

Proof. Choose R as in the proof of Theorem 3.2. Then

$$i(A, \Omega_1 \cap \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}) = 1 \tag{3.3}$$

and there exist $x_0 \in \Omega_1$ solution of Problem (1.1). Let $0 < a < b < 1$ be as in (\mathcal{H}_3) and

$$a_0 = \min_{(t,s) \in [a,b]^2} G(t, s) > 0, \quad b_0 = \min_{(t,s) \in [a,b]^2} H(t, s) > 0,$$

$$c = \lambda_1 \min_{t \in [a,b]} \rho(t) > 0, \quad N > 1 + \frac{1}{c^{\alpha_2} (b-a)^{\alpha_2} a_0^{\alpha_2} b_0 \int_a^b q(\tau) d\tau}.$$

By (\mathcal{H}_3) , there exists $R' > \lambda_1 R$ such that

$$f(t, x, y) > N\phi(x), \quad \forall t \in [a, b], \quad \forall x > R', \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

Define the open ball $\Omega_2 = \left\{ x \in E : \|x\| \leq \frac{R'}{c} \right\}$.

To show that $Ax \not\leq x$ for all $x \in \partial\Omega_2 \cap \mathcal{P}$, suppose on the contrary that there exists $x_0 \in \partial\Omega_2 \cap \mathcal{P}$ such that $Ax_0 \leq x_0$. Since $x_0 \in \mathcal{P}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} x_0(t) &\geq Ax_0(t) \\ &= \int_0^1 G(t, s) \phi^{-1} \left(\int_0^1 H(s, \tau) q(\tau) f(\tau, x_0(\tau), D_{0+}^\gamma x_0(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \\ &\geq \int_a^b G(t, s) \phi^{-1} \left(\int_a^b H(s, \tau) q(\tau) f(\tau, x_0(\tau), D_{0+}^\gamma x_0(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \\ &= (b-a) a_0 \phi^{-1} \left(b_0 \int_a^b q(\tau) N\phi(x_0(\tau)) d\tau \right) \\ &= (b-a) a_0 \phi^{-1} \left(b_0 N\phi(R') \int_a^b q(\tau) d\tau \right) \\ &= (b-a) a_0 \phi^{-1} \left([b_0 N \int_a^b q(\tau) d\tau] \phi(R') \right) \\ &\geq (b-a) a_0 \rho_1 \left(b_0 N \int_a^b q(\tau) d\tau \right) R' \\ &\geq (b-a) a_0 b_0^{\frac{1}{\alpha_2}} N^{\frac{1}{\alpha_2}} \left(\int_a^b q(\tau) d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha_2}} R' \\ &> \frac{R'}{c}, \end{aligned}$$

contradicting $\|x_0\| = \frac{R'}{c}$. By Lemma 1.5, we conclude that

$$i(A, \Omega_2 \cap \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}) = 0. \tag{3.4}$$

(3.3) and (3.4) imply

$$i(A, (\Omega_2 \setminus \Omega_1) \cap \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}) = -1. \tag{3.5}$$

Then A has a second fixed point $y_0 \in (\Omega_2 \setminus \Omega_1) \cap \mathcal{P}$. Moreover $y_0 \geq \lambda_1 \rho(t)R$ and $R \leq \|y_0\| < \frac{R'}{c}$. Then x_0 and y_0 are two positive solutions of Problem (1.1). \square

Example 3.5. Consider the BVP

$$\begin{cases} -D_{0+}^{\frac{3}{2}} \left(-D_{0+}^{\frac{1}{4}} x(t) \right)^p = (2\delta t)(x + (D_{0+}^{\frac{1}{4}} x) + 1), \quad t \in (0, 1), \\ x(0) = x'(0) = D_{0+}^{\frac{9}{4}} x(1) = D_{0+}^{\frac{1}{4}} x(0) = [D_{0+}^{\frac{1}{2}} (\phi(-D_{0+}^{\frac{1}{4}} x(t)))]_{t=1} = 0, \end{cases} \tag{3.6}$$

where $f(t, x, y) = (2\delta\sqrt{t})(x + y + 1)$, $q(t) = \sqrt{t}$, $\delta > 0$, and $\phi(t) = t^p$, ($p = \frac{a}{b}$ are such that $0 < a < b$ and $(b - a)$ is an even number. ϕ is an increasing homeomorphism such that $\phi(0) = 0$ and there exist $\alpha_1 = p^2, \alpha_2 = p$

$$t^p \phi(x) \leq \phi(tx) \leq t^{p^2} \phi(x), \quad \forall t \in [0, 1], \forall x \geq 0.$$

For $g(x, y) = x + y + 1$ and $m(t) = 2\delta\sqrt{t}$, Assumption (\mathcal{H}_2)

$$\sup_{c>0} \frac{c}{\left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta-\gamma)}\right] \phi^{-1} \left(\frac{g(c,c)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 q(\tau)m(\tau)d\tau \right)} \geq \sup_{c>0} \frac{0.72\left(\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} c}{(\delta(2c+1))^{\frac{1}{p}}}$$

and (\mathcal{H}_3)

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(t, x, y)}{\phi(x)} &= \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{(2\delta\sqrt{t})(x + y + 1)}{x^p} \\ &\geq \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} 2\delta\sqrt{a}x^{1-p} = +\infty, \quad \forall t \in [a, b], \forall y \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

are satisfied for $\delta < \left(\sup_{c>0} \frac{0.72\left(\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} c}{(\delta(2c+1))^{\frac{1}{p}}} \right)^p$. Finally all hypotheses of Theorem 3.2 are fulfilled. Hence Problem (3.6) has at least two positive solutions.

4. Degenerating nonlinear term

First suppose that f may have a singular point at $x = 0$ only. More precisely $f : [0, 1] \times I \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is continuous. Assume that

(\mathcal{H}'_1) There exist $m \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^+)$, $\psi \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^+)$ and $g, h, \in C(I, I)$ such that h is a decreasing function and $\psi, \frac{g}{h}$ are increasing functions with

$$f(t, x, y) \leq m(t)g(x)\psi(y), \quad \forall t \in [0, 1], \forall x \in I, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^+$$

and for each $c > 0$,

$$\int_0^1 q(\tau)m(\tau)h(c\rho(\tau))d\tau < +\infty,$$

(\mathcal{H}'_2)

$$\sup_{c>0} \frac{c}{\left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta-\gamma)}\right] \phi^{-1} \left(\frac{g(c)\psi(c)}{\Gamma(\alpha)h(c)} \int_0^1 q(\tau)m(\tau)h(\lambda_1\rho(\tau)c)d\tau \right)} > 1.$$

(\mathcal{H}'_3) There exist a, b ($0 < a < b < 1$) such that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(t, x, y)}{\phi(x)} = +\infty, \quad \text{uniformly in } t \in [a, b] \text{ and } y \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

(\mathcal{H}'_4) For any $c > 0$, there exist $\psi_c \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^+)$ and an interval $J \subset [0, 1]$ such that $\psi_c(t) > 0$ in J and

$$f(t, x, y) \geq \psi_c(t), \quad \forall t \in [0, 1], \forall x \in (0, c], \forall y \in [0, c].$$

Given $f \in C([0, 1] \times I \times \mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^+)$, define the sequence of functions $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1}$

$$f_n(t, x, y) = f(t, \max\{\frac{1}{n}, x\}, y), \quad n \in \{1, 2, \dots\},$$

and for $x \in \mathcal{P}$, define the sequences of operators

$$A_n(x)(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s)\phi^{-1} \left(\int_0^1 H(s, \tau)q(\tau)f_n(\tau, x(\tau), D_{0+}^\gamma x(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds.$$

Then

$$D_{0+}^\gamma A_n(x)(t) = \int_0^1 D_{0+}^\gamma G(t, s)\phi^{-1} \left(\int_0^1 H(s, \tau)q(\tau)f_n(\tau, x(\tau), D_{0+}^\gamma x(\tau))d\tau \right) ds.$$

The proof of the following result is the same as that of the operator A in Proposition 3.1. We omit it.

Proposition 4.1. *Suppose (\mathcal{H}'_1) holds. Then for each $n \geq 1$, the operator A_n maps \mathcal{P} into \mathcal{P} and it is completely continuous.*

As in the regular case, we prove two theorems: one of the existence of a single solution and one of a pair of solutions.

Theorem 4.2. *Suppose $(\mathcal{H}'_1), (\mathcal{H}'_2), (\mathcal{H}'_4)$ hold. Then Problem (1.1) has at least one positive solution.*

Proof. **(1) Construction of a sequence $(x_n)_n$ of approximating fixed points.**

By condition (\mathcal{H}'_2) , there exists $R > 0$ such that

$$\frac{R}{\left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta-\gamma)} \right] \phi^{-1} \left(\frac{g(R)\psi(R)}{\Gamma(\alpha)h(R)} \int_0^1 q(\tau)m(\tau)h(\lambda_1\rho(\tau)R)d\tau \right)} > 1. \tag{4.1}$$

Let $\Omega_1 = \{x \in E : \|x\| < R\}$. Then $x \neq \lambda A_n(x)$ for any $x \in \partial\Omega_1 \cap \mathcal{P}, \lambda \in (0, 1]$ and $n \geq n_0 \geq \frac{1}{R}$. Otherwise there exist $n_1 \geq n_0, x_1 \in \partial\Omega_1 \cap \mathcal{P}$ and $\lambda_0 \in (0, 1]$ such that $x_1 = \lambda_0 A_{n_1} x_1$. Since $x_1 \in \partial\Omega_1 \cap \mathcal{P}$, we have $x_1(t) \geq \lambda_1 \rho(t) \|x_1\| = \lambda_1 \rho(t) R$, then

$$\begin{aligned} R &= \|x_1\| \\ &= \|\lambda_0 A_{n_1} x_1\| \\ &\leq \|A_{n_1} x_1\|_1 + \|A x_1\|_2 \\ &\leq \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \int_0^1 G(t, s)\phi^{-1} \left(\int_0^1 H(s, \tau)q(\tau)f_{n_1}(\tau, x_1(\tau), D_{0+}^\gamma x_1(\tau))d\tau \right) ds \\ &\quad + \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \int_0^1 D_{0+}^\gamma G(t, s)\phi^{-1} \left(\int_0^1 H(s, \tau)q(\tau)f_{n_1}(\tau, x_1(\tau), D_{0+}^\gamma x_1(\tau))d\tau \right) ds \\ &\leq \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta-\gamma)} \right] \phi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 q(\tau)m(\tau)g(\max\{\frac{1}{n_1}, x_1(\tau)\})\psi(D_{0+}^\gamma x_1(\tau))d\tau \right) \\ &\leq \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta-\gamma)} \right] \phi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 q(\tau)m(\tau)h(\max\{\frac{1}{n_1}, x_1(\tau)\}) \frac{g(\max\{\frac{1}{n_1}, x_1(\tau)\})}{h(\max\{\frac{1}{n_1}, x_1(\tau)\})} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \psi(D_{0+}^\gamma x_1(\tau))d\tau \right) \\ &\leq \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta-\gamma)} \right] \phi^{-1} \left(\frac{g(R)\psi(R)}{h(R)\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 q(\tau)m(\tau)h(\lambda_1\rho(\tau)R)d\tau \right) \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction to (4.1). By Lemma 1.4, we deduce that

$$i(A_n, \Omega_1 \cap \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}) = 1, \text{ for all } n \in \{n_0, n_0 + 1, \dots\}. \tag{4.2}$$

Hence there exists an $x_n \in \Omega_1 \cap \mathcal{P}$ such that $A_n x_n = x_n, \forall n \geq n_0$.

(2) The sequence $(x_n)_n$ is relatively compact.

(a) Since $\|x_n\| < R$, by (\mathcal{H}'_4) there exists $\psi_R \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^+)$ such that

$$f_n(t, x_n(t), D_{0+}^\gamma x_n(t)) \geq \psi_R(t), \quad \forall t \in [0, 1].$$

Then, by Lemma 2.3(a₅),

$$\begin{aligned} x_n(t) &= A_n x_n(t) \\ &= \int_0^1 G(t, s) \phi^{-1} \left(\int_0^1 H(s, \tau) q(\tau) f_n(\tau, x_n(\tau), D_{0+}^\gamma x_n(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \\ &\geq \int_0^1 G(t, s) \phi^{-1} \left(\int_0^1 H(s, \tau) q(\tau) \psi_R(\tau) d\tau \right) ds \\ &\geq \frac{\rho(t)(\beta-1)}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^1 s \phi^{-1} \left(\int_0^1 H(s, \tau) q(\tau) \psi_R(\tau) d\tau \right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$c^* = \frac{(\beta-1)}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^1 s \phi^{-1} \left(\int_0^1 H(s, \tau) q(\tau) \psi_R(\tau) d\tau \right) ds > 0.$$

Then

$$x_n(t) \geq c^* \rho(t), \quad \forall t \in [0, 1], \forall n \geq n_0.$$

(b) For any $t, t' \in [0, 1]$ ($t > t'$),

$$\begin{aligned} &|x_n(t) - x_n(t')| \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \left| G(t, s) - G(t', s) \right| \\ &\quad \phi^{-1} \left(\int_0^1 H(s, \tau) q(\tau) f_n(\tau, x_n(\tau), D_{0+}^\gamma x_n(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \left| G(t, s) - G(t', s) \right| \phi^{-1} \left(\frac{g(R)\psi(R)}{\Gamma(\alpha)h(R)} \int_0^1 q(\tau) m(\tau) h(c^* \rho(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} &|D_{0+}^\gamma x_n(t) - D_{0+}^\gamma x_n(t')| \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \left| D_{0+}^\gamma G(t, s) - D_{0+}^\gamma G(t', s) \right| \\ &\quad \phi^{-1} \left(\int_0^1 H(s, \tau) q(\tau) f_n(\tau, x_n(\tau), D_{0+}^\gamma x_n(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \left| D_{0+}^\gamma G(t, s) - D_{0+}^\gamma G(t', s) \right| \phi^{-1} \left(\frac{g(R)\psi(R)}{\Gamma(\alpha)h(R)} \int_0^1 q(\tau) m(\tau) h(c^* \rho(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since G and $D_{0+}^\gamma G$ are continuous, by Lemma 1.6 $(x_n)_n$ is relatively compact in E . Then there exists a subsequence $(x_{n_k})_{k \geq 1}$ such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} x_{n_k} = x_0$. Since $x_{n_k}(t) \geq c^* \rho(t) \forall k \geq 1, \forall t \in [0, 1]$, we have $x_0(t) \geq c^* \rho(t), \quad \forall t \in [0, 1]$. Since f is continuous, by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} &x_0(t) \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} x_{n_k}(t) \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^1 G(t, s) \phi^{-1} \left(\int_0^1 H(s, \tau) q(\tau) f_{n_k}(\tau, x_{n_k}(\tau), D_{0+}^\gamma x_{n_k}(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^1 G(t, s) \\ &\quad \phi^{-1} \left(\int_0^1 H(s, \tau) q(\tau) f(\tau, \max\{\frac{1}{n_k}, x_{n_k}(\tau)\}, D_{0+}^\gamma x_{n_k}(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \\ &= \int_0^1 G(t, s) \phi^{-1} \left(\int_0^1 H(s, \tau) q(\tau) f(\tau, \max\{0, x_0(\tau)\}, D_{0+}^\gamma x_0(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \\ &= \int_0^1 G(t, s) \phi^{-1} \left(\int_0^1 H(s, \tau) q(\tau) f(\tau, x_0(\tau), D_{0+}^\gamma x_0(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore x_0 is a positive solution of Problem (1.1). □

Example 4.3. Consider the BVP

$$\begin{cases} -D_{0+}^{\frac{7}{4}} \left(-D_{0+}^{\frac{9}{4}} x(t) \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} = \delta t^{\frac{5}{4}} \frac{e^{2x}}{x} Ch(D_{0+}^{\frac{1}{5}} x), & t \in (0, 1) \\ x(0) = x'(0) = D_{0+}^{\frac{5}{4}} x(1) = D_{0+}^{\frac{9}{4}} x(0) = [D_{0+}^{\frac{3}{4}} (\phi(-D_{0+}^{\frac{9}{4}} x(t)))]_{t=1} = 0, \end{cases} \quad (4.3)$$

where

$$f(t, x, y) = \delta \frac{e^{2x+t}}{x} Ch(y), \quad (\delta > 0), \quad q(t) = t^{\frac{5}{4}} e^{-t} \text{ and } \phi(t) = t^{\frac{1}{3}}.$$

Hence ϕ is an increasing homeomorphism and $\phi(0) = 0$. We check the conditions of Theorem 4.2.

(\mathcal{H}'_1) Let $m(t) = \delta e^t$, $g(x) = \frac{e^x}{x}$, $\psi(y) = Ch(y)$, $h(y) = \frac{1}{y}$. Then $\frac{g(x)}{h(x)} = e^x$ and ψ are increasing,

$$f(t, x, y) \leq m(t)g(x)\psi(y), \quad \forall t \in [0, 1], \forall x \in I, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^+,$$

and for any $c > 0$

$$\int_0^1 m(\tau)q(\tau)h(c\rho(\tau))d\tau = \frac{16}{45c} < +\infty.$$

(\mathcal{H}'_2)

$$\sup_{c>0} \frac{c}{[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta-\gamma)}]\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{g(c)\psi(c)}{\Gamma(\alpha)h(c)} \int_0^1 q(\tau)m(\tau)h(\lambda_1\rho(\tau)c)d\tau \right)} \geq \sup_{c>0} \frac{81c^4}{(\delta e^c Ch(c))^3}.$$

(\mathcal{H}'_4) For every $c > 0$, there exists $\psi_c = \frac{\delta e^t}{c}$ such that

$$f(t, x, y) \geq \psi_c(t), \quad \forall t \in [0, 1], \forall x \in (0, c], y \in [0, c].$$

Let $0 < \delta \leq \left(\sup_{c>0} \frac{81c^4}{(e^c Ch(c))^3} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}}$. Then Problem (4.3) has at least one positive solution.

The existence of two positive solutions is given by

Theorem 4.4. Let $(\mathcal{H}'_1) - (\mathcal{H}'_4)$ and suppose that there exist α_1, α_2 with $0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2$ such that

$$t^{\alpha_2}\phi(x) \leq \phi(tx) \leq t^{\alpha_1}\phi(x), \quad \forall t \in [0, 1], \forall x \geq 0.$$

Then Problem (1.1) has at least two positive solutions.

Proof. With R the same as in the proof of Theorem 4.2, we get

$$i(A_n, \Omega_1 \cap \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}) = 1, \quad \text{for all } n \in \{n_0, n_1, \dots\}. \quad (4.4)$$

Then for every $n \in \{n_0, n_1, \dots\}$, there exists a solution x_n of Problem (1.1) in Ω_1 . Let $0 < a < b < 1$ be as in (\mathcal{H}'_3) and a_0, b_0, c as in the proof of Theorem 3.4. Choose

$$N > 1 + \frac{1}{c^{\alpha_2}(b-a)^{\alpha_2} a_0^{\alpha_2} b_0 \int_a^b q(\tau)d\tau}.$$

By (\mathcal{H}'_3) , there exists a positive constant $R' > \max\{1, \lambda_1 R\}$ such that

$$f(t, x, y) > N\phi(x), \quad \forall t \in [a, b], \forall x \geq R', \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

Consider the open ball $\Omega_2 = \left\{ x \in E : \|x\| \leq \frac{R'}{c} \right\}$. Then $A_n x \not\leq x$ for all $x \in \partial\Omega_2 \cap \mathcal{P}$ and $n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$. Otherwise there exist $n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ and $x_0 \in \partial\Omega_2 \cap \mathcal{P}$ such that $A_n x_0 \leq x_0$. Since $x_0 \in \partial\Omega_2 \cap \mathcal{P}$,

$$\begin{aligned} x_0(t) &\geq A_n x_0(t) \\ &= \int_0^1 G(t, s) \phi^{-1} \left(\int_0^1 H(s, \tau) q(\tau) f_n(\tau, x_0(\tau), D_{0+}^{\gamma} x_0(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \\ &\geq \int_a^b G(t, s) \phi^{-1} \left(\int_a^b H(s, \tau) q(\tau) f(\tau, \max\{\frac{1}{n}, x_0(\tau)\}, D_{0+}^{\gamma} x_0(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \\ &= (b-a) a_0 \phi^{-1} \left(b_0 \int_a^b q(\tau) N \phi(x_0(\tau)) d\tau \right) \\ &= (b-a) a_0 \phi^{-1} \left(b_0 N \phi(R') \int_a^b q(\tau) d\tau \right) \\ &= (b-a) a_0 \phi^{-1} \left([b_0 N \int_a^b q(\tau) d\tau] \phi(R') \right) \\ &\geq (b-a) a_0 \rho_1 \left(b_0 N \int_a^b q(\tau) d\tau \right) R' \\ &\geq (b-a) a_0 b_0^{\frac{1}{\alpha_2}} N^{\frac{1}{\alpha_2}} \left(\int_a^b q(\tau) d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha_2}} R' \\ &> \frac{R'}{c}, \end{aligned}$$

contradicting $\|x_0\| = \frac{R'}{c}$. Finally, Lemma 1.5 entails

$$i(A_n, \Omega_2 \cap \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}) = 0, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^* \tag{4.5}$$

whereas (4.4) and (4.5) imply

$$i(A_n, (\Omega_2 \setminus \Omega_1) \cap \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}) = -1, \quad \forall n \geq n_0. \tag{4.6}$$

Then A_n has a second fixed point $y_n \in (\Omega_2 \setminus \Omega_1) \cap \mathcal{P}$, $\forall n \geq n_0$.

In addition $y_n(t) \geq \lambda_1 \rho(t) R$, $\forall t \in [0, 1]$ and $\|y_n\| < \frac{R'}{c}$. As above, we can show that $(y_n)_{n \geq n_0}$ has a subsequence $(y_{n_j})_{j \geq 1}$ such that $\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} y_{n_j} = y_0$ and y_0 is a solution of Problem (1.1). Finally $R \leq \|y_0\| < \frac{R'}{c}$, i.e., x_0 and y_0 are two positives solutions of Problem (1.1). \square

Example 4.5. Consider the BVP

$$\begin{cases} -D_{0+}^{\frac{7}{4}} \left(-D_{0+}^{\frac{9}{4}} x(t) \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} = \delta t^{\frac{5}{4}} \frac{e^{2x} Ch(D_{0+}^{\frac{6}{5}} x)}{x}, & 0 < t < 1 \\ x(0) = x'(0) = D_{0+}^{\frac{3}{4}} x(1) = D_{0+}^{\frac{9}{4}} x(0) = [D_{0+}^{\frac{3}{4}} (\phi(-D_{0+}^{\frac{9}{4}} x(t)))]_{t=1} = 0, \end{cases} \tag{4.7}$$

where $f(t, x, y) = \delta \frac{e^{2x+t} Ch(y)}{x}$, ($\delta > 0$), $q(t) = t^{\frac{5}{4}} e^{-t}$. $\phi(t) = t^{\frac{1}{3}}$. Hence ϕ is an increasing homeomorphism, $\phi(0) = 0$, and there exist $\alpha_1 = \frac{1}{4}$, $\alpha_2 = 2$ such that

$$t^2 \phi(x) \leq \phi(tx) \leq t^{\frac{1}{4}} \phi(x), \quad \forall t \in [0, 1], \quad \forall x \geq 0.$$

(\mathcal{H}'_3)

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(t, x, y)}{\phi(x)} \geq \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\delta e^{2x}}{x^{\frac{4}{3}}} = +\infty, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad \forall y \geq 0.$$

Choosing $\delta \leq \sup_{c > 0} \left(\frac{81c^4}{(e^c Ch(c))^3} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}}$, all conditions of Theorem 4.4 are fulfilled and Problem (4.7) has at least two positive solutions.

In the last part of this work, the nonlinear function f may be degenerating at both $x = 0$ and $y = 0$. More precisely $f : [0, 1] \times I \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ satisfies Assumption (\mathcal{H}_1'') , i.e., there exist $m \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^+)$ and $g, h, \psi, l \in C(I, I)$ such that h, l are decreasing functions and $\frac{\psi}{l}, \frac{g}{h}$ are increasing functions and satisfies

$$f(t, x, y) \leq m(t)g(x)\psi(y), \quad \forall t \in [0, 1], \forall x, y \in I,$$

and for any $c, c' > 0$,

$$\int_0^1 q(\tau)m(\tau)h(c\rho(\tau))l(c'\rho(\tau))d\tau < +\infty.$$

Assumption (\mathcal{H}_2'') is

$$\sup_{c>0} \frac{c}{[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta-\gamma)}]\phi^{-1}\left(\frac{g(c)\psi(c)}{\Gamma(\alpha)h(c)l(c)} \int_0^1 q(\tau)m(\tau)h(\lambda_1\rho(\tau)c)l(\lambda_2\rho(\tau)c)d\tau\right)} > 1.$$

Regarding Assumption (\mathcal{H}_3'') , there exist a, b ($0 < a < b < 1$) such that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(t, x, y)}{\phi(x)} = +\infty, \quad \text{uniformly in } t \in [a, b] \text{ and } y > 0.$$

As for Assumption (\mathcal{H}_4'') , we have that for any $c > 0$, there exist $\psi_c \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^+)$ and an interval $J \subset (0, 1]$ such that $\psi_c(t) > 0$, in J and

$$f(t, x, y) \geq \psi_c(t), \quad \forall t \in [0, 1], \forall x, y \in (0, c].$$

For $f \in C([0, 1] \times I \times I, \mathbb{R}^+)$, define the sequence $(f_n)_{n \geq 1}$ by

$$f_n(t, x, y) = f(t, \max\{\frac{1}{n}, x\}, \max\{\frac{1}{n}, y\}), \quad n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$$

and for $x \in \mathcal{P}$, define the sequence of operators

$$A_n(x)(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s)\phi^{-1}\left(\int_0^1 H(s, \tau)q(\tau)f_n(\tau, x(\tau), D_{0+}^\gamma x(\tau))d\tau\right)ds.$$

Then

$$D_{0+}^\gamma A_n(x)(t) = \int_0^1 D_{0+}^\gamma G(t, s)\phi^{-1}\left(\int_0^1 H_2(s, \tau)q(\tau)f_n(\tau, x(\tau), D_{0+}^\gamma x(\tau))d\tau\right)ds.$$

As for Proposition 3.1, we can prove

Proposition 4.6. *Suppose (\mathcal{H}_1'') holds then, for each $n \geq 1$, the operator A_n sends \mathcal{P} into \mathcal{P} and is completely continuous.*

As in the previous cases, we prove the existence of one solution and then two solutions. The first result is

Theorem 4.7. *Assume that $(\mathcal{H}_1''), (\mathcal{H}_2''), (\mathcal{H}_4'')$ hold. Then Problem (1.1) has at least one positive solution.*

Proof. From the condition (\mathcal{H}_2'') , there exists $R > 0$ such that

$$\frac{R}{[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta-\gamma)}]\phi^{-1}\left(\frac{g(R)\psi(R)}{\Gamma(\alpha)h(R)l(R)} \int_0^1 q(\tau)m(\tau)h(\lambda_1\rho(\tau)R)l(\lambda_2\rho(\tau)R)d\tau\right)} > 1. \quad (4.8)$$

Let $\Omega_1 = \{x \in E : \|x\| < R\}$. We claim that $x \neq \lambda A_n(x)$, for any $x \in \partial\Omega_1 \cap \mathcal{P}$, $\lambda \in (0, 1]$ and $n \geq n_0 \geq \frac{1}{R}$. On the contrary, there exist $n_1 \geq n_0$, $x_1 \in \partial\Omega_1 \cap \mathcal{P}$ and $\lambda_0 \in (0, 1]$ such that $x_1 = \lambda_0 A_{n_1} x_1$. Since $x_1 \in \partial\Omega_1 \cap \mathcal{P}$, then

$$x_1(t) \geq \lambda_1 \rho(t) \|x_1\| = \lambda_1 \rho(t) R, \quad \forall t \in [0, 1]$$

and

$$D_{0+}^\gamma x_1(t) \geq \lambda_2 \rho(t) \|x_1\| = \lambda_2 \rho(t) R, \quad \forall t \in [0, 1].$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} R &= \|x_1\| \\ &= \|\lambda_0 A_{n_1} x_1\| \\ &\leq \|A_{n_1} x_1\|_1 + \|A x_1\|_2 \\ &\leq \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta-\gamma)} \right] \\ &\quad \phi^{-1} \left(\int_0^1 H(s, \tau) q(\tau) f(\tau, \max\{\frac{1}{n}, x_1(\tau)\}, \max\{\frac{1}{n}, D_{0+}^\gamma x_1(\tau)\}) d\tau \right) \\ &\leq \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta-\gamma)} \right] \\ &\quad \phi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 q(\tau) m(\tau) g(\max\{\frac{1}{n_1}, x_1(\tau)\}) \psi(\max\{\frac{1}{n}, D_{0+}^\gamma x_1(\tau)\}) d\tau \right) \\ &\leq \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta-\gamma)} \right] \phi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 q(\tau) m(\tau) h(\max\{\frac{1}{n_1}, x_1(\tau)\}) \frac{g(\max\{\frac{1}{n_1}, x_1(\tau)\})}{h(\max\{\frac{1}{n_1}, x_1(\tau)\})} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{\psi(\max\{\frac{1}{n}, D_{0+}^\gamma x_1(\tau)\})}{l(\max\{\frac{1}{n}, D_{0+}^\gamma x_1(\tau)\})} l(\max\{\frac{1}{n}, D_{0+}^\gamma x_1(\tau)\}) d\tau \right) \\ &\leq \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta-\gamma)} \right] \phi^{-1} \left(\frac{g(R)\psi(R)}{h(R)l(R)\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 q(\tau) m(\tau) h(\lambda_1 \rho(\tau) R) l(\lambda_2 \rho(\tau) R) d\tau \right) \end{aligned}$$

which is a contraction to (4.8). By Lemma 1.4, we deduce that

$$i(A_n, \Omega_1 \cap \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}) = 1, \quad \text{for all } n \in \{n_0, n_0 + 1, \dots\}. \tag{4.9}$$

Then there exists $x_n \in \Omega_1 \cap \mathcal{P}$ such that $A_n x_n = x_n$; $\forall n \geq n_0$. As in the proof of Theorem 4.2(2), (x_n) is proven to be relatively compact in E and thus there exists a subsequence $(x_{n_k})_{k \geq 1}$ such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} x_{n_k} = x_0$, where x_0 is a positive solution of Problem (1.1). □

Example 4.8. Consider the BVP

$$\begin{cases} -D_{0+}^{\frac{11}{6}} \phi \left(-D_{0+}^{\frac{11}{5}} x(t) \right) = \delta t^{\frac{12}{5}} (1-t)^{\frac{12}{5}} e^{-t} \frac{e^{\frac{x+D_{0+}^{\frac{1}{2}} x}{x D_{0+}^{\frac{1}{2}} x}}}{x D_{0+}^{\frac{1}{2}} x}, & t \in (0, 1) \\ x(0) = x'(0) = D_{0+}^{\frac{5}{4}} x(1) = D_{0+}^{\frac{11}{5}} x(0) = [D_{0+}^{\frac{5}{6}} (\phi(-D_{0+}^{\frac{11}{5}} x(t)))]_{t=1} = 0, \end{cases} \tag{4.10}$$

where

$$f(t, x, y) = \delta t^{\frac{5}{4}} e^{-t} \frac{e^{x+y}}{xy}, \quad (\delta > 0), \quad q(t) = t^{\frac{12}{5}} (1-t)^{\frac{12}{5}} \text{ and } \phi(t) = t^3 + t.$$

Hence ϕ is an increasing homeomorphism such that $\phi(0) = 0$.

(\mathcal{H}_1'') Let $m(t) = 1, g(x) = \frac{e^x}{x}, \psi(y) = \frac{e^y}{y}, h(y) = \frac{1}{y}, l(y) = \frac{1}{y}$. Then

$$f(t, x, y) \leq m(t)g(x)\psi(y), \quad \forall t \in [0, 1], \forall x, y \in I,$$

and for any $c, c' > 0$

$$\int_0^1 m(\tau)q(\tau)h(c\rho(\tau))l(c'\rho(\tau))d\tau = \frac{35}{36cc'} < +\infty.$$

(\mathcal{H}_2'')

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{c>0} \frac{c}{[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta-\gamma)}]\phi^{-1}\left(\frac{g(c)\psi(c)}{\Gamma(\alpha)h(c)l(c)} \int_0^1 q(\tau)m(\tau)h(\lambda_1\rho(\tau)c)l(\lambda_2\rho(\tau)c)d\tau\right)} \\ & \geq \sup_{c>0} \frac{0.94c}{\phi^{-1}\left(\delta\frac{e^{2c}}{c^2}\right)} \\ & \geq \frac{1}{\delta^{\frac{1}{4}}} \sup_{c>0} \frac{0.94c}{\phi^{-1}\left(\frac{e^{2c}}{c^2}\right)}. \end{aligned}$$

(\mathcal{H}_4'') For any $c > 0$ there exists $\psi_c = \frac{t^{\frac{12}{5}}(1-t)^{\frac{12}{5}}}{c^2}$ such that

$$f(t, x, y) \geq \psi_c(t), \quad \forall t \in [0, 1], \forall x \in (0, c], y \in (0, c].$$

For $\delta \leq \left(\sup_{c>0} \frac{0.94c}{\phi^{-1}\left(\frac{e^{2c}}{c^2}\right)}\right)^4$, all conditions of Theorem 4.7 hold. Then Problem (4.10) has at least one positive solution.

The last result of this work concerns the existence of two positive solutions. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 4.4 and is omitted.

Theorem 4.9. Assume that (\mathcal{H}_1'') – (\mathcal{H}_4'') hold and there exist α_1, α_2 with $0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2$ such that

$$t^{\alpha_2}\phi(x) \leq \phi(tx) \leq t^{\alpha_1}\phi(x), \quad \forall t \in [0, 1], \forall x \geq 0.$$

Then Problem (1.1) has at least two positive solutions.

Example 4.10. Let the BVP

$$\begin{cases} -D_{0^+}^{\frac{11}{6}}\phi\left(-D_{0^+}^{\frac{11}{5}}x(t)\right) = \delta t^{\frac{12}{5}}(1-t)^{\frac{12}{5}}e^{-t} \frac{e^{x+D_{0^+}^{\frac{1}{2}}x}}{xD_{0^+}^{\frac{1}{2}}x}, \quad t \in (0, 1) \\ x(0) = x'(0) = D_{0^+}^{\frac{5}{4}}x(1) = D_{0^+}^{\frac{11}{5}}x(0) = [D_{0^+}^{\frac{5}{6}}(\phi(-D_{0^+}^{\frac{11}{5}}x(t)))]_{t=1} = 0, \end{cases} \tag{4.11}$$

where

$$f(t, x, y) = \delta t^{\frac{5}{4}}e^{-t} \frac{e^{x+y}}{xy}, \quad (\delta > 0), \quad q(t) = t^{\frac{12}{5}}(1-t)^{\frac{12}{5}} \text{ and } \phi(t) = t^3 + t.$$

Hence ϕ is an increasing homeomorphism such that $\phi(0) = 0$. Moreover there exist $\alpha_1 = 1, \alpha_2 = 4$ such that

$$t^4\phi(x) \leq \phi(tx) \leq t\phi(x), \quad \forall t \in [0, 1], \forall x \geq 0.$$

Assumption (\mathcal{H}_3''') reads

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(t, x, y)}{\phi(x)} \geq \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\delta a^{\frac{5}{4}}e^{-b}e^x}{(x+x^3)x} = +\infty, \quad \forall t \in [a, b], \forall y > 0.$$

If we choose δ such that $\delta \leq \left(\sup_{c>0} \frac{0.94c}{\phi\left(\frac{e^{2c}}{c^2}\right)-1}\right)^4$, all conditions of Theorem 4.9 hold. Consequently Problem (4.11) has at least two positive solutions.

Remark 4.11. The same results can be obtained in case the nonlinear function f has a singular point at $y = 0$ but not at $x = 0$. The corresponding assumptions are (\mathcal{H}'_1) There exist $m \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^+)$, $\psi \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^+)$ and $g, l, \in C(I, I)$ such that l is a decreasing function and $g, \frac{\psi}{l}$ are increasing functions with

$$f(t, x, y) \leq m(t)g(x)\psi(y), \quad \forall t \in [0, 1], \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^+, \forall y \in I$$

and for each $c > 0$,

$$\int_0^1 q(\tau)m(\tau)l(c\rho(\tau))d\tau < +\infty,$$

(\mathcal{H}''_2)

$$\sup_{c>0} \frac{c}{\left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta-\gamma)}\right]\phi^{-1}\left(\frac{g(c)\psi(c)}{\Gamma(\alpha)l(c)} \int_0^1 q(\tau)m(\tau)h(\lambda_2\rho(\tau)c)d\tau\right)} > 1.$$

(\mathcal{H}'''_3) There exist a, b ($0 < a < b < 1$) such that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(t, x, y)}{\phi(x)} = +\infty, \quad \text{uniformly in } t \in [a, b] \text{ and } y > 0.$$

(\mathcal{H}''''_4) For any $c > 0$ there exists $\psi_c \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^+)$ and there exists an interval $J \subset (0, 1]$ such that $\psi_c(t) > 0$, in J and

$$f(t, x, y) \geq \psi_c(t), \quad \forall t \in [0, 1], \forall \forall x \in [0, c], y \in (0, c]$$

Acknowledgements. The authors thank the referee for his/her valuable comments and suggestions which helped improving the paper.

References

- [1] Agarwal, R.P., Meehan, M., O'Regan, D., *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, Vol. **141**, Cambridge University Press, 2001.
- [2] Bai, Z., Lü, H., *Positive solutions for boundary value problem of nonlinear fractional differential equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **311**(2005), no. 2, 495-505.
- [3] Boucenna, A., Moussaoui, T., *Existence of positive solutions for a fractional boundary value problem with lower-order fractional derivative dependence on the half-line*, Discuss. Math. Differ. Incl. Control Optim., **34**(2014), no. 2, 169-189.
- [4] Deimling, K., *Nonlinear Functional Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1985.
- [5] Djebali, S., Saifi, O., *Positive solutions for singular ϕ -Laplacian BVPs on the positive half-line*, EJTDE, **56**(2009) 1-24.
- [6] Djebali, S., Saifi, O., *Multiple positive solutions for singular ϕ -Laplacian BVPs with derivative depending nonlinearity on $[0, +\infty)$* , Dynamic Systems and Applications, **21**(2012), 93-120.
- [7] Kilbas, A.A., Srivastava, H.M., Trujillo, J.J., *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, **204**, Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam, 2006.
- [8] Lu, H., Han, Z., Sun, S., Liu, J., *Existence on positive solution for boundary value problems of nonlinear fractional differential equations with π Laplacian*, Adv. Difference Equ., **2013**, 2013:30, 16 pp.

- [9] Podlubny, I., *Fractional differential equations. An introduction to fractional derivatives, fractional differential equations, to methods of their solution and some of their applications*, Mathematics in Science and Engineering, **198**, Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, CA, 1999.
- [10] Samko, S.G., Kilbas, A.A., Marichev, O.I., *Fractional Integrals and Derivatives, Theory and Applications*, Edited and with a foreword by S. M. Nikol'skiĭ, Translated from the 1987 Russian original, Revised by the authors, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Yverdon, 1993.
- [11] Su, X., Zhang, S., *Unbounded solutions to a boundary value problem of fractional order on the half-line*, Comput. Math. Appl., **61**(2011), no. 4, 1079-1087.
- [12] Temar, B., Saifi, O., Djebali, S., *A system of nonlinear fractional BVPs with ϕ -Laplacian operators and nonlocal conditions*, Proyecciones Journal of Mathematics, **40**(2021), no. 2, 447-479.
- [13] Tian, Y., Wei, Y., Sun, S., *Multiplicity for fractional differential equations with p -Laplacian*, Bound. Value Probl. 2018, Paper No. 127, 14 pp.
- [14] Xu, J.F., Dong, W., *Existence and uniqueness of positive solutions for a fractional boundary value problem with p -Laplacian operator*, (Chinese), Acta Math. Sinica (Chin. Ser.), **59**(2016), no. 3, 385-396.
- [15] Yan, F., Zuo, M., Hao, X., *Positive solution for a fractional singular boundary value problem with p -Laplacian operator*, Boundary Value Problems, vol **2018**, Art. ID, 51, 2018, 10 pp.

Bahia Temar

Département du Tronc Commun, Faculty of Technology,
University Yahia Fares of Medea, Algeria
e-mail: temarbahia@gmail.com

Ouiza Saifi

Department of Economics,
Faculty of Economic and Management Sciences,
Algiers University 3, Algiers, Algeria
e-mail: saifi_rayen@hotmail.com

Smaïl Djebali

Department of Mathematics, College of Sciences,
Imam Mohammad Ibn Saud Islamic University (IMSIU),
PB 90950, Riyadh 11623, Saudi Arabia
e-mail: djebali@hotmail.com