# Global solution for a diffusive epidemic model (HIV/AIDS) with an exponential behavior of source

El Hachemi Daddiouaissa

**Abstract.** We consider the question of global existence and uniform boundedness of nonnegative solutions of a system of reaction-diffusion equations with exponential nonlinearity, without any restriction on initial data, using maximum principle and Lyapunov function techniques.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 35K57, 35K45. Keywords: Reaction-diffusion systems, Lyapunov function, global solution.

## 1. Introduction

In this paper we consider the following reaction-diffusion system

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - a\Delta u = \Pi - f(u, v) - \alpha u \quad (x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+$$
(1.1)

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - b\Delta v = f(u, v) - \sigma\kappa(v) \quad (x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+$$
(1.2)

with the boundary conditions

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \eta} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial \eta} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+, \tag{1.3}$$

and the initial data

$$u(0,x) = u_0(x) \ge 0; \quad v(0,x) = v_0(x) \ge 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$
 (1.4)

where  $\Omega$  is a smooth open bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , with boundary  $\partial \Omega$  of class  $C^1$  and

Received 14 March 2021; Accepted 03 June 2021.

<sup>©</sup> Studia UBB MATHEMATICA. Published by Babeş-Bolyai University

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License.

 $\eta$  is the outer normal to  $\partial\Omega$ . The constants of diffusion a, b are positive and such that  $a \neq b$  and  $\Pi, \alpha, \sigma$  are positive constants,  $\kappa$  and f are nonnegative functions of class  $C^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and  $C^1(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+)$  respectively.

The reaction-diffusion system (1.1) - (1.4) arises in the study of physical, chemical, and various biological processes including population dynamics (especially AIDS, see C. Castillo-Chavez et al. [4], for further details see [6] [11] [17] [21] [22]).

The case  $\Pi = 0$ ,  $\alpha = 0$ ,  $\sigma = 0$  and f(u, v) = h(u)Q(v), with h(u) = u (for simplicity), has been studied by many authors. Alikakos [1] established the existence of global solutions when  $Q(v) \leq C(1 + |v|^{(n+2)/n})$ . Then Massuda [18] obtained a positive result for the case  $Q(v) \leq C(1 + |v|^{\alpha})$  with arbitrary  $\alpha > 0$ . The question when  $Q(v) = e^{\alpha v^{\beta}}$ ,  $0 < \beta < 1$ ,  $\alpha > 0$  was positively answered by Haraux and Youkana [13], using Lyapunov function techniques, see also Barabanova [2] for  $\beta = 1$ , with some conditions and later on by Kanel [16], using useful properties inherent to the Green function. For  $Q(v) = e^{\alpha v^{\beta}}$ ,  $\beta > 1$ , Rebiai [3] proved the global existence. The idea behind the Lyapunov functional stems from Zelenyak's article [23], which has also been used by Crandall et al. [5] for other purposes.

The case  $\Pi > 0$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\sigma > 0$  L. Melkemi et al. [19] established the existence of global solutions, when  $f(\xi, \tau) \leq \psi(\xi)\varphi(\tau)$  such that

$$\lim_{\tau \to +\infty} \frac{\ln(1 + \varphi(\tau))}{\tau} = 0.$$

For  $f(v) = e^{\alpha v^{\beta}}$ ,  $\beta > 1$ , Djebara et al [9] showed the global existence.

The goal of this work is to generalize the existing result in [7], where it is proved the existence of global solutions with following exponential nonlinearity

$$0 \le f(\xi, \tau) \le \varphi(\xi)(\tau+1)^{\lambda} e^{r\tau}, \tag{1.5}$$

with restriction on initial data

$$\max\left(\parallel u_0 \parallel_{\infty}, \frac{\Pi}{\alpha}\right) < \frac{\theta^2}{2-\theta} \quad \frac{8ab}{rn(a-b)^2}.$$
(1.6)

Hence, the main purpose of this paper is to give a positive answer, concerning the global existence and the uniform boundedness in time, of solutions of system (1.1) - (1.4), with out any restriction on initial data  $u_0$  and  $v_0$  and same exponential nonlinearity, i.e,

 $\begin{array}{ll} (\mathrm{S1}) & \forall \tau \geq 0, \ f(0,\tau) = 0, \\ (\mathrm{S2}) & \forall \xi \geq 0, \ \forall \tau \geq 0, \ 0 \leq f(\xi,\tau) \leq \varphi(\xi)(\tau+1)^{\lambda}e^{r\tau}, \\ (\mathrm{S3}) & \kappa(\tau) = \tau^{\mu}, \ \mu \geq 1, \end{array}$ 

where  $r, \lambda$  are positive constants, such that  $\lambda \geq 1$ ,  $\varphi$  is a nonnegative function of class  $C(\mathbb{R}^+)$ .

For this end we use maximum principle and Lyapunov function techniques, and an idea inspired from [8].

# 2. Existence of local solutions

The usual norms in spaces  $L^p(\Omega)$ ,  $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  and  $C(\overline{\Omega})$  are respectively denoted by

$$|| u ||_{p}^{p} = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{p} dx, \quad || u ||_{\infty} = \max_{x \in \Omega} |u(x)|.$$

Concerning a local existence, we can conclude directly from the theory of abstract semilinear equations (see A. Friedman [10], D. Henry [14], A. Pazy [20]), that for nonnegative functions  $u_0$  and  $v_0$  in  $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ , there exists a unique local nonnegative solution (u, v) of system (1.1) - (1.4) in  $C(\overline{\Omega})$  on  $]0, T^*[$ , where  $T^*$  is the eventual blowing-up time.

### 3. Existence of global solutions

Using the comparison principle, one obtains

$$0 \le u(t,x) \le \max\left( \parallel u_0 \parallel_{\infty}, \frac{\Pi}{\alpha} \right) = M, \tag{3.1}$$

from which it remains to establish the uniform boundedness of v.

According to the results of [12], it is enough to show that

$$\| f(u,v) - \sigma\kappa(v) \|_p \le C \tag{3.2}$$

(where C is a nonnegative constant independent of t) for some  $p > \frac{n}{2}$ . To reach this goal, let us start with this preliminaries results.

We consider the following reaction-diffusion system:

$$\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial t} - a_1 \Delta u_1 = 1 - h(u_1, u_2) - u_1 \quad (x, t) \in \Omega_1 \times \mathbb{R}_+$$
(3.3)

$$\frac{\partial u_2}{\partial t} - (2 - \sqrt{3})a_1 \Delta u_2 = h(u_1, u_2) - \delta u_2 \quad (x, t) \in \Omega_1 \times \mathbb{R}_+$$
(3.4)

$$\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial \eta} = \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial \eta} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega_1 \times \mathbb{R}_+, \tag{3.5}$$

$$u_1(0,x) = u_{1,0}(x) \ge 0; \quad u_2(0,x) = u_{2,0}(x) \ge 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega_1,$$
(3.6)

where  $\Omega_1$  is a smooth open bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , with boundary  $\partial \Omega_1$  of class  $C^1$ and  $\eta$  is the outer normal to  $\partial \Omega_1$  and  $a_1 > 0$  is the diffusion constant,  $\delta$  is a positive constant and  $||u_{1,0}||_{\infty} = \frac{1}{2}$ , h is differentiable nonnegative function such that: (A1)  $\forall \tau > 0$ ,  $h(0, \tau) = 0$ .

(A2) 
$$\forall \xi \ge 0, \ \forall \tau \ge 0, \ 0 \le h(\xi, \tau) = \xi \varphi(\tau) \le \xi(\tau + \alpha_1) e^{\frac{1}{16}\tau}$$

where  $\varphi$  is differentiable nonnegative function and

$$\alpha_1 = \max\left(\frac{48}{5}, \left(\frac{3}{2}\frac{M}{|\Omega_1|}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}\right). \tag{3.7}$$

Using the maximum principle, we obtain

$$0 \le u_1(t, x) \le 1. \tag{3.8}$$

To establish the boundness of  $u_2$ , we use the results of [14, 15], where it is enough to show that

$$\| h(u_1, u_2) - \delta u_2 \|_4 \le C, \tag{3.9}$$

where C is a nonnegative constant independent of t. For this end we need the following

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $\phi$  be a nonnegative function of class  $C(\mathbb{R}^+)$ , such that

$$\lim_{\tau \to +\infty} \frac{\phi(\tau)}{\tau} = 0$$

and let A be positive constant. Then there exists  $\Pi_2 > 0$ , such that

$$\left[\frac{\phi(\tau)}{\tau} - A\right] \tau h_1(\tau) \le \Pi_2,\tag{3.10}$$

for all  $\tau > 0$ ;  $h_1$  is a nonnegative function of class  $C(\mathbb{R}^+)$ .

Proof. Since

$$\lim_{\tau \to +\infty} \frac{\phi(\tau)}{\tau} = 0,$$

there exists  $\tau_0 > 0$ , such that for all  $\tau > \tau_0$ , we have

$$\left[\frac{\phi(\tau)}{\tau} - A\right]\tau h_1(\tau) \le 0.$$

Now if  $\tau$  is in the compact interval  $[0, \tau_0]$ , then the continuous function

$$[\phi(\tau) - A\tau]h_1(\tau)$$

is bounded.

**Lemma 3.2.** Assume that (A1) and (A2) hold and let  $(u_1, u_2)$  be a solution of (3.3)-(3.6) on  $]0, T^*[$ , with arbitrary  $u_{2,0}$ . Let

$$G_1(t) = \int_{\Omega_1} \left(\frac{1}{\frac{3}{2} - u_1}\right) (u_2 + \alpha_1)^4 e^{\frac{1}{4}u_2} dx.$$
(3.11)

Then there exist a positive constant  $\Pi_1$  such that

$$\frac{dG_1}{dt}(t) \le -\sigma_1 G_1(t) + \Pi_1, \tag{3.12}$$

where  $\sigma_1$  is a positive constant.

Proof. We put 
$$q(u_1) = \left(\frac{1}{\frac{3}{2}-u_1}\right)$$
, so that  

$$G_1(t) = \int_{\Omega_1} q(u_1)(u_2 + \alpha_1)^4 e^{\frac{1}{4}u_2} dx$$

Differentiating  $G_1$  with respect to t and a simple use of Green's formula gives

$$G_1'(t) = I_1 + J_1,$$

888

where

$$\begin{split} I_{1} &= -a_{1} \int_{\Omega_{1}} q''(u_{1})(u_{2} + \alpha_{1})^{4} e^{\frac{1}{4}u_{2}} |\nabla u_{1}|^{2} dx \\ &- (3 - \sqrt{3})a_{1} \int_{\Omega_{1}} q'(u)[4 + \frac{1}{4}(u_{2} + \alpha_{1})](u_{2} + \alpha_{1})^{3} e^{\frac{1}{4}u_{2}} \nabla u_{1} \nabla u_{2} dx \\ &- (2 - \sqrt{3})a_{1} \int_{\Omega_{1}} q(u)[12 + 2(u_{2} + \alpha_{1}) + \frac{1}{16}(u_{2} + \alpha_{1})^{2}](u_{2} + \alpha_{1})^{2} e^{\frac{1}{4}u_{2}} |\nabla u_{2}|^{2} dx, \\ J_{1} &= \int_{\Omega_{1}} q'(u_{1})(u_{2} + \alpha_{1})^{4} e^{\frac{1}{4}u_{2}} dx - \int_{\Omega_{1}} q'(u_{1})u_{1}(u_{2} + \alpha_{1})^{4} e^{\frac{1}{4}u_{2}} dx \\ &+ \int_{\Omega_{1}} \left( q(u_{1})[4 + \frac{1}{4}(u_{2} + \alpha_{1})] - q'(u_{1})(u_{2} + \alpha_{1}) \right)(u_{2} + \alpha_{1})^{3} h(u_{1}, u_{2}) e^{\frac{1}{4}u_{2}} dx \\ &- \int_{\Omega_{1}} \delta[4 + \frac{1}{4}(u_{2} + \alpha_{1})]u_{2}(u_{2} + \alpha_{1})^{3} e^{\frac{1}{4}u_{2}} dx. \end{split}$$

 $I_1$  involves a quadratic form with respect to  $\nabla u_1$  and  $\nabla u_2$ , which is nonnegative if

$$(3 - \sqrt{3})^2 [4 + \frac{1}{4}(u_2 + \alpha_1)]^2 - 8(2 - \sqrt{3})[12 + 2(u_2 + \alpha_1) + \frac{1}{16}(u_2 + \alpha_1)^2]$$
  
=  $[-2[4 + \frac{1}{4}(u_2 + \alpha_1)]^2 + 32](2 - \sqrt{3}) = [1 - [1 + \frac{1}{16}(u_2 + \alpha_1)]^2]32(2 - \sqrt{3}) \le 0.$ 

Concerning the second term  $J_1$ , we can observe that

$$J_{1} \leq \int_{\Omega_{1}} \left(2 - \frac{1}{4}\delta u_{2}\right) \frac{1}{\frac{3}{2} - u_{1}} (u_{2} + \alpha_{1})^{4} e^{\frac{1}{4}u_{2}} dx + \int_{\Omega_{1}} \left(\left[4 + \frac{1}{4}(u_{2} + \alpha_{1})\right] - \frac{1}{\frac{3}{2} - u_{1}}(u_{2} + \alpha_{1})\right) \frac{1}{\frac{3}{2} - u_{1}} (u_{2} + \alpha_{1})^{3} h(u_{1}, u_{2}) e^{\frac{1}{4}u_{2}} dx.$$

Now we introduce a positive constant  $\sigma_1$ , such that

$$J_{1} \leq \int_{\Omega_{1}} -\sigma_{1} \frac{1}{\frac{3}{2} - u_{1}} (u_{2} + \alpha_{1})^{4} e^{\frac{1}{4}u_{2}} + \left(\frac{2 + \sigma_{1}}{u_{2}} - \frac{1}{4}\delta\right) \frac{1}{\frac{3}{2} - u_{1}} u_{2} (u_{2} + \alpha_{1})^{4} e^{\frac{1}{4}u_{2}} dx + \int_{\Omega_{1}} (4 - \frac{5}{12}\alpha_{1}) \frac{1}{\frac{3}{2} - u_{1}} (u_{2} + \alpha_{1})^{3} h(u_{1}, u_{2}) e^{\frac{1}{4}u_{2}} dx.$$

using the Lemma 3.1 and the choice in the formula 3.7, let us get

$$J_1 \le -\sigma_1 G_1(t) + \Pi_2 |\Omega_1|.$$

It follows that

$$\frac{dG_1(t)}{dt} \le -\sigma_1 G_1(t) + \Pi_1,$$

where  $\Pi_1 = \Pi_2 |\Omega_1|$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** Under the assumptions (A1) and (A2), the solutions of (3.3) - (3.6) are global and uniformly bounded on  $[0, +\infty[$ .

*Proof.* Multiplying (3.12) by  $e^{\sigma_1 t}$  and integrating the inequality on (0, t), it implies the existence of a positive constant  $C_3 > 0$  independent of t such that

$$G_1(t) \le C_3.$$
 (3.13)

Then we have

$$\int_{\Omega_1} h^4(u_1, u_2) dx \le \frac{3}{2} G_1(t) \le \frac{3}{2} C_3.$$
(3.14)

**Remark 3.4.** From the choice (3.7) we have for all  $t \ge 0$ 

$$G_1(t) \ge \int_{\Omega_1} \frac{2}{3} \alpha_1^4 dx \ge M.$$
 (3.15)

### 3.1. Main result

Now, we will state the main result

**Theorem 3.5.** Under the assumptions (S1) - (S3), the solutions of (1.1)-(1.4) are global and uniformly bounded on  $[0, +\infty[$ .

The key result needed to prove the Theorem 3.5 is the following

**Proposition 3.6.** Assume that (S1)-(S3) hold and let (u, v) be a solution of (1.1)-(1.4) on  $]0, T^*[$ , with arbitrary  $v_0$  and  $u_0$ . Let

$$G(t) = \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{M}{(2-\theta)M - u} \right)^{\beta} (v+\omega)^{\gamma p} e^{prv} dx + G_1(\psi(t)), \qquad (3.16)$$

where  $\omega, \beta, \gamma$  and  $\theta$  are positive constants such that  $\omega \geq 1, \theta < 1$  and

$$\beta = \theta \frac{4ab}{(a-b)^2}, \quad \gamma = \max\left(\lambda, \mu, \frac{(\beta+1)(2-\theta)Mr}{\beta\theta(1-\theta)}\right)$$
(3.17)

and

$$\psi(t) = \int_0^t \int_\Omega f(u, v) g(u) (v + \omega)^{\gamma p} e^{prv} dx ds.$$
(3.18)

Then, there exist p > n/2 and positive constant  $\Gamma$  such that

$$\frac{dG}{dt} \le -sG + \Gamma, \tag{3.19}$$

where s is a positive constant.

It's very important to state this lemma, before proving this proposition,

**Lemma 3.7.** For all  $\tau \geq 0$  we have

$$\left[\frac{\Pi\beta}{(1-\theta)M} - \sigma p\kappa(\tau)(\frac{\gamma}{\tau+\omega}+r)\right](\tau+\omega)^{\gamma p}e^{pr\tau} \le -s(\tau+\omega)^{\gamma p}e^{pr\tau} + B_1, \quad (3.20)$$

where  $B_1$  and s are positive constants.

*Proof.* Let us put

$$\begin{split} \xi &= \frac{\Pi\beta}{(1-\theta)M} + s \\ \frac{\Pi\beta}{(1-\theta)M} (\tau+\omega)^{p\gamma} e^{pr\tau} - \sigma p\kappa(\tau) [\gamma(\tau+\omega)^{\gamma p-1} + r(\tau+\omega)^{\gamma p}] e^{pr\tau} \\ &= \left(\frac{\Pi\beta}{(1-\theta)M} - \xi\right) (\tau+\omega)^{p\gamma} e^{pr\tau} + \left(\frac{\xi}{\kappa(\tau)} - \sigma rp\right) \kappa(\tau) (\tau+\omega)^{\gamma p} e^{pr\tau}, \\ \text{ing Lemma 3.1 we can conclude the result.} \end{split}$$

then, using Lemma 3.1 we can conclude the result.

*Proof.* (of Proposition 3.2). Let

$$g(u) = \left(\frac{M}{(2-\theta)M-u}\right)^{\beta},$$

so that

$$G(t) = \int_{\Omega} g(u)(v+\omega)^{\gamma p} e^{prv} dx + G_1(\psi(t)).$$

Differentiating G with respect to t and a simple use of Green's formula gives

$$G'(t) = I + J_t$$

where

$$\begin{split} I &= -a \int_{\Omega} g''(u)(v+\omega)^{\gamma p} e^{prv} |\nabla u|^2 dx \\ &- (a+b) \int_{\Omega} g'(u) [\gamma p(v+\omega)^{\gamma p-1} + pr(v+\omega)^{\gamma p}] e^{prv} \nabla u \nabla v dx \\ &- b \int_{\Omega} g(u) [\gamma p(\gamma p-1)(v+\omega)^{\gamma p-2} + 2\gamma p^2 r(v+\omega)^{\gamma p-1} + p^2 r^2 (v+\omega)^{\gamma p}] e^{prv} |\nabla v|^2 dx, \\ J &= \int_{\Omega} \Pi g'(u)(v+\omega)^{\gamma p} e^{prv} dx - \int_{\Omega} \alpha g'(u) u(v+\omega)^{\gamma p} e^{prv} dx \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} \left( g(u) [\gamma p(v+\omega)^{\gamma p-1} + rp(v+\omega)^{\gamma p}] - g'(u)(v+\omega)^{\gamma p} \right) f(u,v) e^{prv} dx \\ &- \int_{\Omega} \sigma [\gamma p(v+\omega)^{\gamma p-1} + rp(v+\omega)^{\gamma p}] \kappa(v) g(u) e^{prv} dx + \psi'(t) G'_1(\psi(t)). \end{split}$$

We can see that I involves a quadratic form with respect to  $\nabla u$  and  $\nabla v$ , which is nonnegative if

$$\begin{split} \delta &= \left( p(a+b)g'(u)[\gamma(v+\omega)^{\gamma p-1} + r(v+\omega)^{\gamma p}] \right)^2 \\ &- 4ab\gamma p(\gamma p-1)g''(u)g(u)(v+\omega)^{2\gamma p-2} \\ &- 4abg''(u)g(u)(v+\omega)^{\gamma p}[2\gamma p^2 r(v+\omega)^{\gamma p-1} + p^2 r^2 (v+\omega)^{\gamma p}] \le 0. \end{split}$$

Indeed

$$\delta = [(p\gamma)^2 (a+b)^2 \beta^2 - 4ab\beta(\beta+1)p\gamma(p\gamma-1)] \frac{g(u)^2 (v+\omega)^{2p\gamma-2}}{((2-\theta)M-u)^2} + [(a+b)^2 \beta^2 - 4ab\beta(\beta+1)] \frac{rp^2 g(u)^2 (v+\omega)^{2p\gamma-1}}{((2-\theta)M-u)^2} [2\gamma + r(v+\omega)],$$

the choice of  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  gives

$$\delta \leq [\beta + 1 - p\gamma(1 - \theta)] \frac{4ab\beta p\gamma g(u)^2 (v + \omega)^{2p\gamma - 2}}{((2 - \theta)M - u)^2} + 4ab(\theta - 1) \frac{rp\beta g(u)^2 (v + \omega)^{2p\gamma - 1}}{((2 - \theta)M - u)^2} [2 + (rp)(v + \omega)] \leq 0,$$

it follows that

 $I \leq 0.$ 

Concerning the second term J, we use (3.12), we can observe that

$$J \leq \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{\Pi\beta}{(1-\theta)M} - \sigma p\kappa(v) [\frac{\gamma}{v+\omega} + r] \right) g(u)(v+\omega)^{p\gamma} e^{prv} dx + \int_{\Omega} \left( p[\frac{\gamma}{v+\omega} + r] - \frac{\beta}{(2-\theta)M-u} \right) f(u,v) g(u)(v+\omega)^{\gamma p} e^{prv} dx + \psi'(t) \left( -\sigma_1 G_1(\psi(t)) + \Pi_1 \right).$$

Using Lemma 3.7 and by choosing  $\sigma_1 = \frac{1}{M}(rp + \Pi_1)$ , we get

$$J \leq \int_{\Omega} [-s(v+\omega)^{p\gamma} e^{prv} + B_1]g(u)dx + \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{p\gamma}{v+\omega} - \frac{\theta}{2-\theta}\frac{4ab}{(a-b)^2M}\right) f(u,v)g(u)(v+\omega)^{\gamma p} e^{prv}dx.$$

Since f is continuous function, applying the Lemma 3.1, it follows that there exist a positive constant  $N_1$  such that

$$J \leq \int_{\Omega} [-s(v+\omega)^{p\gamma} e^{prv} + B_1]g(u)dx$$
$$+ N_1 \int_{\Omega} g(u)dx.$$

In addition

$$g(u) \le \left(\frac{1}{1-\theta}\right)^{\beta},$$

then

$$J \leq -sG(t) + (|\Omega| B_1 + N_1) \left(\frac{1}{1-\theta}\right)^{\beta} + sC_3,$$

it follows that

$$\frac{dG}{dt} \le -sG + \Gamma,$$

where  $\Gamma = (|\Omega| B_1 + N_1) \left(\frac{1}{1-\theta}\right)^{\beta} + sC_3.$ 

*Proof.* (of Theorem 3.5)

Multiplying (3.19) by  $e^{st}$  and integrating the inequality, it implies the existence of a positive constant  $C_1 > 0$  independent of t such that

$$G(t) \le C_1.$$

Since

$$g(u) \ge \left(\frac{1}{2-\theta}\right)^{\beta},$$
$$\int_{\Omega} (v+\omega)^{\gamma p} e^{prv} dx \le (2-\theta)^{\beta} G(t) \le C_1 (2-\theta)^{\beta}.$$

Since  $\omega \geq 1$  and (3.17) we have also,

$$\int_{\Omega} (v+1)^{\lambda p} e^{prv} dx \le \int_{\Omega} (v+\omega)^{\gamma p} e^{prv} dx \le C_1 (2-\theta)^{\beta},$$
$$\int_{\Omega} v^{\mu p} dx \le \int_{\Omega} (v+\omega)^{\gamma p} dx \le C_1 (2-\theta)^{\beta}.$$

We put

$$A = \max_{0 \le \xi \le M} \varphi(\xi),$$

according to (S1) - (S3), we have

$$\int_{\Omega} f(u,v)^p dx \le \int_{\Omega} A^p (v+1)^{\lambda p} e^{prv} dx \le A^p C_1 (2-\theta)^{\beta} = A^p H^p,$$

we conclude

$$\|f(u,v) - \sigma\kappa(v)\|_p \le \|f(u,v)\|_p + \|\sigma\kappa(v)\|_p \le H(A+\sigma).$$

By the preliminary remarks (introduction of section 3), we conclude that the solution of (1.1)-(1.4) is global and uniformly bounded on  $[0, +\infty[\times\Omega.$ 

### References

- Alikakos, N., L<sup>p</sup>-Bounds of solutions of reaction-diffusion equations, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 4(1979), 827-868.
- [2] Barabanova, A., On the global existence of solutions of reaction-diffusion equation with exponential nonlinearity, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 122(1994), 827-831.
- [3] Benachour, S., Rebiai, B., Global classical solutions for reaction-diffusion systems with nonlinearities of exponential growth, J. Evol. Equ., 10(2010), no. 3, 511-527.
- [4] Castillo-Chavez, C., Cooke, K., Huang, W., Levin, S.A., On the role of long incubation periods in the dynamics of acquires immunodeficiency syndrome, AIDS, J. Math. Biol., 27(1989), 373-398.
- [5] Crandall, M., Pazy, A., Tartar, L., Global existence and boundedness in reaction-diffusion systems, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 18(1987), 744-761.
- [6] Cussler, E.L., Diffusion, Cambridge University Press, Second Edition, 1997.
- [7] Daddiouaissa, E.H., Existence of global solutions for a system of reaction-diffusion equations with exponential nonlinearity, Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ., 73(2009), 1-7.
- [8] Daddiouaissa, E.H., Global solution for a diffusive epidemic model (HIV/AIDS) with a strong exponential source, Jour. Abstr. Differ. Equ. Appl., 9(2018), no. 1, 30-40.
- [9] Djebara, L., Abdelmalek, S., Bendoukha, S., Global existence and asymptotic behavior of solutions for some coupled systems via Lyapunov functional, Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B., 39B(6)(2019), 1538-1550.
- [10] Friedman, A., Partial Differential Equation of Parabolic Type, Prentice Hall, 1964.

- [11] Hamaya, Y., On the asymptotic behavior of a diffusive epidemic model (AIDS), Nonlinear Anal., 36(1999), 685-696.
- [12] Haraux, A., Kirane, M., Estimation C<sup>1</sup> pour des problemes paraboliques semi-lineaires, Ann. Fac Sci. Toulouse Math., 5(1983), 265-280.
- [13] Haraux, A., Youkana, A., On a result of K. Masuda concerning reaction-diffusion equations, Tohoku Math. J., 40(1988), 159-163.
- [14] Henry, D., Geometric Theory of Semilinear Parabolic Equations. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 840, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1981.
- [15] Hollis, S.L., Martin, R.H., Pierre, M., Global existence and boundedness in reactiondiffusion systems, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 18(1987), no. 3, 744-761.
- [16] Kanel, J.I., On global initial boundary-value problems for reaction-diffusion systems with balance conditions, Nonlinear Anal., 37(1999), 971-995.
- [17] Kirane, M., Global bounds and asymptotics for a system of reaction-diffusion equations, J. Math Anal. Appl., 138(1989), 1172-1189.
- [18] Masuda, K., On the global existence and asymptotic behaviour of reaction-diffusion equations, Hokkaido Math. J., 12(1983), 360-370.
- [19] Melkemi, L., Mokrane, A.Z., Youkana, A., Boundedness and large-time behavior results for a diffusive epidemic model, J. Appl. Math., (2007), 1-15.
- [20] Pazy, A., Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations, Springer Verlag, New York, 1983.
- [21] Webb, G.F., A reaction-diffusion model for a deterministic diffusive epidemic, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 84(1981), 150-161.
- [22] Zeidler, E., Nonlinear Functional Analysis and its Applications, Tome II/b, Springer Verlag, 1990.
- [23] Zelenyak, T.I., Stabilization of solutions to boundary value problems for second order parabolic equations in one space variable, Differ. Uravn. Protsessy Upr., 4(1968), 34-45.

El Hachemi Daddiouaissa

Faculty of New Technologies of Information and Communication,

Kasdi Merbah University, UKM,

Route de Ghardaia, BP.511,

Ouargla 30000, Algeria

e-mail: dmhbsdj@gmail.com