

# On some evolution inclusions in non separable Banach spaces

Aurelian Cernea

*Dedicated to Professor Gheorghe Moroşanu on the occasion of his 70th anniversary.*

**Abstract.** We study a Cauchy problem of a class of nonconvex second-order integro-differential inclusions and a boundary value problem associated to a semi-linear evolution inclusion defined by nonlocal conditions in non-separable Banach spaces. The existence of mild solutions is established under Filippov type assumptions.

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010):** 34A60.

**Keywords:** Lusin measurable multifunctions, selection, mild solution.

## 1. Introduction

In this note we study two classes of evolution differential inclusions. First we consider the problem

$$x''(t) \in A(t)x(t) + \int_0^t K(t, s)F(s, x(s))ds, \quad x(0) = x_0, x'(0) = y_0, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $F : [0, T] \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$  is a set-valued map lipschitzian with respect to the second variable,  $X$  is a Banach space,  $\{A(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  is a family of linear closed operators from  $X$  into  $X$  that generates an evolution system of operators  $\{G(t, s)\}_{t, s \in [0, T]}$ ,  $\Delta = \{(t, s) \in [0, T] \times [0, T]; t \geq s\}$ ,  $K(\cdot, \cdot) : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous and  $x_0, y_0 \in X$ . The general framework of evolution operators  $\{A(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  that define problem (1.1) has been developed by Kozak ([19]) and improved by Henriquez ([17]).

Existence results and some qualitative properties of the mild solutions of problem (1.1) may be found in [14] in the case when  $X$  is a separable Banach space.

De Blasi and Pianigiani ([15]) obtained the existence of mild solutions for semi-linear differential inclusions on an arbitrary, not necessarily separable, Banach space  $X$ . Even if Filippov's ideas ([16]) are still present, the approach in [15] is fundamental

different: it consists in the construction of the measurable selections of the multifunction. This construction does not use classical selection theorems such as Kuratowski and Ryll-Nardzewski's ([20]) or Bressan and Colombo's ([7]).

The aim of this note is to obtain an existence result for problem (1.1) similar to the one in [15]. We will prove the existence of solutions for problem (1.1) in an arbitrary space  $X$  under Filippov-type assumptions on  $F$ .

In several recent papers ([2, 3, 5, 12, 13, 17, 18]) existence results and qualitative properties of mild solutions have been obtained for the following problem

$$x''(t) \in A(t)x(t) + F(t, x(t)), \quad x(0) = x_0, x'(0) = y_0, \quad (1.2)$$

with  $A(\cdot)$  and  $F(\cdot, \cdot)$  as above.

On one hand, the result in the present paper extends to the integro-differential framework (1.1) the result in [12] obtained for problem (1.2) and, on the other hand, this paper extends to second-order integro-differential inclusions a similar result in [10] obtained for a class of first-order integro-differential inclusions.

The second class of evolution inclusions that we are considering is

$$x' \in Ax + F(t, x) \quad a.e. \text{ } ([0, T]), \quad (1.3)$$

$$x(0) + \sum_{i=1}^m a_i x(t_i) = x_0, \quad (1.4)$$

where  $X$  is a real separable Banach space,  $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a_i \neq 0$ ,  $i = \overline{1, m}$ ,  $x_0 \in X$ ,  $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_m < T$ ,  $F : [0, T] \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$  is a set-valued map and  $A$  is the infinitesimal generator of a linear semigroup  $\{\mathcal{G}(t); t \geq 0\}$ .

The nonlocal condition (1.4) was used by Byszewski ([8, 9]). If  $a_i \neq 0$ ,  $i = \overline{1, m}$  the results can be applied in kinematics to determine the evolution  $t \rightarrow x(t)$  of the location of a physical object for which the positions  $x(0), x(t_1), \dots, x(t_m)$  are unknown but it is known the condition (1.4). Consequently, to describe some physical phenomena the nonlocal condition may be more useful than the standard initial condition  $x(0) = x_0$ . Obviously, when  $a_i = 0$ ,  $i = \overline{1, m}$ , one has the classical initial condition.

Existence of mild solutions of problem (1.3)-(1.4) has been obtained in [4, 6] for convex as well as nonconvex set-valued maps. All these results are based on some suitable theorems of fixed point theory. In our recent paper [11] it is shown that Filippov's ideas ([1, 16]) can be suitably adapted in order to prove the existence of solutions to problem (1.3)-(1.4) provided the Banach space  $X$  is separable.

The result that we established in non separable Banach spaces for problem (1.3)-(1.4) may be interpreted as extension of the result in [15] from Cauchy problems to boundary value problems defined by nonlocal conditions and as an extension of the result in [11] to non separable Banach spaces.

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we present the notations, definitions and preliminary results to be used in the sequel and in Section 3 we prove the main results.

## 2. Preliminaries

Consider  $X$ , an arbitrary real Banach space with norm  $|\cdot|$  and with the corresponding metric  $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  be the space of all bounded nonempty subsets of  $X$  endowed with the Hausdorff pseudometric

$$d_H(A, B) = \max\{d^*(A, B), d^*(B, A)\}, \quad d^*(A, B) = \sup_{a \in A} d(a, B),$$

where  $d(x, A) = \inf_{a \in A} |x - a|$ ,  $A \subset X, x \in X$ .

Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the  $\sigma$ -algebra of the (Lebesgue) measurable subsets of  $R$  and, for  $A \in \mathcal{L}$ , let  $\mu(A)$  be the Lebesgue measure of  $A$ .

Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $Y$  be a metric space. An open (resp., closed) ball in  $Y$  with center  $y$  and radius  $r$  is denoted by  $B_Y(y, r)$  (resp.,  $\overline{B}_Y(y, r)$ ). In what follows,  $B = B_X(0, 1)$ .

A multifunction  $F : Y \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$  with closed bounded nonempty values is said to be  $d_H$ -continuous at  $y_0 \in Y$  if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for any  $y \in B_Y(y_0, \delta)$  there is  $d_H(F(y), F(y_0)) \leq \varepsilon$ .  $F$  is called  $d_H$ -continuous if it is so at each point  $y_0 \in Y$ .

Let  $A \in \mathcal{L}$ , with  $\mu(A) < \infty$ . A multifunction  $F : Y \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$  with closed bounded nonempty values is said to be *Lusin measurable* if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a compact set  $K_\varepsilon \subset A$ , with  $\mu(A \setminus K_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$  such that  $F$  restricted to  $K_\varepsilon$  is  $d_H$ -continuous.

It is clear that if  $F, G : A \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$  and  $f : A \rightarrow X$  are Lusin measurable, then so are  $F$  restricted to  $B$  ( $B \subset A$  measurable),  $F+G$  and  $t \rightarrow d(f(t), F(t))$ . Moreover, the uniform limit of a sequence of Lusin measurable multifunctions is Lusin measurable, too.

Let  $I$  stand for the interval  $[0, T]$ ,  $T > 0$ ,  $C(I, X)$  is the Banach space of all continuous functions from  $I$  to  $X$  with the norm  $\|x\|_C = \sup_{t \in I} |x(t)|$  and  $L^1(I, X)$  is the Banach space of (Bochner) integrable functions  $u(\cdot) : I \rightarrow X$  endowed with the norm  $\|u\|_1 = \int_0^T |u(t)| dt$ . Denote by  $B(X)$  the Banach space of bounded linear operators from  $X$  into  $X$  with the norm  $\|N\| = \sup\{|N(y)|; |y| = 1\}$ .

In what follows  $\{A(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  is a family of linear closed operators from  $X$  into  $X$  that generates an evolution system of operators  $\{G(t, s)\}_{t, s \in I}$ . By hypothesis the domain of  $A(t)$ ,  $D(A(t))$  is dense in  $X$  and is independent of  $t$ .

**Definition 2.1.** ([17, 19]) A family of bounded linear operators  $G(t, s) : X \rightarrow X$ ,  $(t, s) \in \Delta := \{(t, s) \in I \times I; s \leq t\}$  is called an evolution operator of the equation

$$x''(t) = A(t)x(t) \tag{2.1}$$

if

- i) For any  $x \in X$ , the map  $(t, s) \rightarrow G(t, s)x$  is continuously differentiable and
  - a)  $G(t, t) = 0, t \in I$ .
  - b) If  $t \in I, x \in X$  then  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} G(t, s)x|_{t=s} = x$  and  $\frac{\partial}{\partial s} G(t, s)x|_{t=s} = -x$ .
- ii) If  $(t, s) \in \Delta$ , then  $\frac{\partial}{\partial s} G(t, s)x \in D(A(t))$ , the map  $(t, s) \rightarrow G(t, s)x$  is of class  $C^2$  and
  - a)  $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} G(t, s)x \equiv A(t)G(t, s)x$ .

- b)  $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2}G(t, s)x \equiv G(t, s)A(t)x$ .  
 c)  $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial s \partial t}G(t, s)x|_{t=s} = 0$ .
- iii) If  $(t, s) \in \Delta$ , then there exist  $\frac{\partial^3}{\partial t^2 \partial s}G(t, s)x$ ,  $\frac{\partial^3}{\partial s^2 \partial t}G(t, s)x$  and
- a)  $\frac{\partial^3}{\partial t^2 \partial s}G(t, s)x \equiv A(t)\frac{\partial}{\partial s}G(t, s)x$  and the map  $(t, s) \rightarrow A(t)\frac{\partial}{\partial s}G(t, s)x$  is continuous.  
 b)  $\frac{\partial^3}{\partial s^2 \partial t}G(t, s)x \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial t}G(t, s)A(s)x$ .

As an example for equation (2.1) one may consider the problem (e.g., [19])

$$\frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial t^2}(t, \tau) = \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial \tau^2}(t, \tau) + a(t)\frac{\partial z}{\partial t}(t, \tau), \quad t \in [0, T], \tau \in [0, 2\pi],$$

$$z(t, 0) = z(t, \pi) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial z}{\partial \tau}(t, 0) = \frac{\partial z}{\partial \tau}(t, 2\pi), \quad t \in [0, T],$$

where  $a(\cdot) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous function. This problem is modeled in the space  $X = L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$  of  $2\pi$ -periodic 2-integrable functions from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $A_1 z = \frac{d^2 z(\tau)}{d\tau^2}$  with domain  $H^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$  the Sobolev space of  $2\pi$ -periodic functions whose derivatives belong to  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ . It is well known that  $A_1$  is the infinitesimal generator of strongly continuous cosine functions  $C(t)$  on  $X$ . Moreover,  $A_1$  has discrete spectrum; namely the spectrum of  $A_1$  consists of eigenvalues  $-n^2$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  with associated eigenvectors

$$z_n(\tau) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{in\tau}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The set  $z_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $X$ . In particular,

$$A_1 z = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} -n^2 \langle z, z_n \rangle z_n, \quad z \in D(A_1).$$

The cosine function is given by

$$C(t)z = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \cos(nt) \langle z, z_n \rangle z_n$$

with the associated sine function

$$S(t)z = t \langle z, z_0 \rangle z_0 + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^*} \frac{\sin(nt)}{n} \langle z, z_n \rangle z_n.$$

For  $t \in I$  define the operator  $A_2(t)z = a(t)\frac{dz(\tau)}{d\tau}$  with domain  $D(A_2(t)) = H^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ . Set  $A(t) = A_1 + A_2(t)$ . It has been proved in [19] that this family generates an evolution operator as in Definition 2.1.

**Definition 2.2.** A continuous mapping  $x(\cdot) \in C(I, X)$  is called a mild solution of problem (1.1) if there exists a (Bochner) integrable function  $f(\cdot) \in L^1(I, X)$  such that

$$f(t) \in F(t, x(t)) \quad a.e. (I), \quad (2.2)$$

$$x(t) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial s}G(t, 0)x_0 + G(t, 0)y_0 + \int_0^t G(t, s) \int_0^s K(s, \tau)f(\tau)d\tau, \quad t \in I. \quad (2.3)$$

We shall call  $(x(\cdot), f(\cdot))$  a *trajectory-selection pair* of (1.1) if  $f(\cdot)$  verifies (2.2) and  $x(\cdot)$  is defined by (2.3).

We note that condition (2.3) can be rewritten as

$$(2.4) \quad x(t) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial s}G(t, 0)x_0 + G(t, 0)y_0 + \int_0^t U(t, s)f(s)ds \quad \forall t \in I,$$

where  $U(t, s) = \int_s^t G(t, \tau)K(\tau, s)d\tau$ .

**Hypothesis H1.** i) There exists an evolution operator  $\{G(t, s)\}_{t, s \in I}$  associated to the family  $\{A(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ .

ii) There exist  $M, M_0 \geq 0$  such that  $|G(t, s)|_{B(X)} \leq M, |\frac{\partial}{\partial s}G(t, s)| \leq M_0$ , for all  $(t, s) \in \Delta$ .

iii)  $K(\cdot, \cdot) : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous.

**Hypothesis H2.** i)  $A$  is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous and compact semigroup  $\{\mathcal{G}(t); t \geq 0\}$  in  $X$ .

ii) There exists an operator  $C : X \rightarrow X$  defined by

$$C = [I + \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \mathcal{G}(t_i)]^{-1}.$$

Let  $m_0 \geq 0$  be such that  $|\mathcal{G}(t)| \leq m_0 \quad \forall t \in I$ .

According to [4] if we assume that  $\sum_{i=1}^m |a_i| < \frac{1}{m_0}$  then there exists  $C$  as in Hypothesis H2 ii).

**Definition 2.3.** A continuous mapping  $x(\cdot) \in C(I, X)$  is called a mild solution of problem (1.3)-(1.4) if there exists a (Bochner) integrable function  $f(\cdot) \in L^1(I, X)$  such that

$$f(t) \in F(t, x(t)) \quad a.e. (I) \quad (2.5)$$

$$x(t) = \mathcal{G}(t)Cx_0 - \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \mathcal{G}(t)C \int_0^{t_i} \mathcal{G}(t_i - u)f(u)du + \int_0^t \mathcal{G}(t - u)f(u)du, t \in I. \quad (2.6)$$

**Remark 2.4.** If we denote

$$H(t, s) = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \mathcal{G}(t)C \mathcal{G}(t_i - s) \chi_{[0, t_i]}(s) + \mathcal{G}(t - s) \chi_{[0, t]}(s),$$

where  $\chi_S(\cdot)$  is the characteristic function of the set  $S$ , then the solution  $x(\cdot)$  in Definition 2.3 may be written as

$$x(t) = \mathcal{G}(t)Cx_0 - \int_0^T H(t, s)f(s)ds. \quad (2.7)$$

Obviously,

$$|H(t, s)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^m |a_i| m_0^2 \|C\| + m_0 =: m \quad \forall t, s \in I.$$

In what follows  $X$  is a real Banach space and we assume the following hypotheses.

**Hypothesis H3.** i)  $F(.,.) : I \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$  has nonempty closed bounded values and for any  $x \in X$   $F(.,x)$  is Lusin measurable on  $I$ .

ii) There exists  $l(.) \in L^1(I, (0, \infty))$  such that,  $\forall t \in I$

$$d_H(F(t, x_1), F(t, x_2)) \leq l(t)|x_1 - x_2|, \quad \forall x_1, x_2 \in X.$$

iii) There exists  $q(.) \in L^1(I, (0, \infty))$  such that  $\forall t \in I$  we have

$$F(t, 0) \subset q(t)B.$$

$$\text{Denote } L = \int_0^T l(s)ds.$$

The technical results summarized in the following lemma are essential in the proof of our results. For the proof, we refer the reader to [15].

**Lemma 2.5.** i) Let  $F_i : I \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$ ,  $i=1,2$  be two Lusin measurable multifunctions and let  $\varepsilon_i > 0$ ,  $i=1,2$  be such that

$$H_1(t) := (F_1(t) + \varepsilon_1 B) \cap (F_2(t) + \varepsilon_2 B) \neq \emptyset, \quad \forall t \in I.$$

Then the multifunction  $H_1 : I \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$  has a Lusin measurable selection  $h : I \rightarrow X$ .

ii) Assume that Hypothesis H3 is satisfied. Then for any continuous  $x(.) : I \rightarrow X$ ,  $u(.) : I \rightarrow X$  measurable and any  $\varepsilon > 0$  one has

a) the multifunction  $t \rightarrow F(t, x(t))$  is Lusin measurable on  $I$ .

b) the multifunction  $H_2 : I \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$  defined by

$$H_2(t) := (F(t, x(t)) + \varepsilon B) \cap B_X(u(t), d(u(t), F(t, x(t))) + \varepsilon)$$

has a Lusin measurable selection  $g : I \rightarrow X$ .

### 3. The results

Set  $n(t) = \int_0^t l(u)du$ ,  $t \in I$ , denote  $K_0 := \sup_{(t,s) \in \Delta} |K(t, s)|$  and note that

$$|U(t, s)| \leq MK_0(t - s) \leq MK_0T.$$

**Theorem 3.1.** We assume that Hypotheses H1 and H3 are satisfied. Then, for every  $x_0, y_0 \in X$ , Cauchy problem (1.1) has a mild solution  $x(.) \in C(I, X)$ .

*Proof.* Let us first note that if  $z(.) : I \rightarrow X$  is continuous, then every Lusin measurable selection  $u : I \rightarrow X$  of the multifunction  $t \rightarrow F(t, z(t)) + B$  is Bochner integrable on  $I$ . More precisely, for any  $t \in I$ , there holds

$$\begin{aligned} |u(t)| &\leq d_H(F(t, z(t)) + B, 0) \leq d_H(F(t, z(t)), F(t, 0)) + d_H(F(t, 0), 0) + 1 \\ &\leq l(t)|z(t)| + q(t) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ ,  $\varepsilon_n = \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{n+2}}$ .

Consider  $f_0(.) : I \rightarrow X$ , an arbitrary Lusin measurable, Bochner integrable function, and define

$$x_0(t) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial s}G(t, 0)x_0 + G(t, 0)y_0 + \int_0^t U(t, s)f_0(s)ds, \quad t \in I.$$

Since  $x_0(\cdot)$  is continuous, by Lemma 2.5 ii) there exists a Lusin measurable function  $f_1(\cdot) : I \rightarrow X$  which, for  $t \in I$ , satisfies

$$f_1(t) \in (F(t, x_0(t)) + \varepsilon_1 B) \cap B(f_0(t), d(f_0(t), F(t, x_0(t))) + \varepsilon_1)$$

Obviously,  $f_1(\cdot)$  is Bochner integrable on  $I$ . Define  $x_1(\cdot) : I \rightarrow X$  by

$$x_1(t) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial s}G(t, 0)x_0 + G(t, 0)y_0 + \int_0^t U(t, s)f_1(s)ds, \quad t \in I.$$

By induction, we construct a sequence  $x_n : I \rightarrow X$ ,  $n \geq 2$  given by

$$x_n(t) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial s}G(t, 0)x_0 + G(t, 0)y_0 + \int_0^t U(t, s)f_n(s)ds, \quad t \in I, \quad (3.1)$$

where  $f_n(\cdot) : I \rightarrow X$  is a Lusin measurable function which, for  $t \in I$ , satisfies:

$$f_n(t) \in (F(t, x_{n-1}(t)) + \varepsilon_n B) \cap B(f_{n-1}(t), d(f_{n-1}(t), F(t, x_{n-1}(t))) + \varepsilon_n). \quad (3.2)$$

At the same time, as we saw at the beginning of the proof,  $f_n(\cdot)$  is also Bochner integrable.

From (3.2), for  $n \geq 2$  and  $t \in I$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |f_n(t) - f_{n-1}(t)| &\leq d(f_{n-1}(t), F(t, x_{n-1}(t))) + \varepsilon_n \\ &\leq d(f_{n-1}(t), F(t, x_{n-2}(t))) + d_H(F(t, x_{n-2}(t)), F(t, x_{n-1}(t))) + \varepsilon_n \\ &\leq \varepsilon_{n-1} + l(t)|x_{n-1}(t) - x_{n-2}(t)| + \varepsilon_n. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\varepsilon_{n-1} + \varepsilon_n < \varepsilon_{n-2}$ , for  $n \geq 2$ , we deduce that

$$|f_n(t) - f_{n-1}(t)| \leq \varepsilon_{n-2} + l(t)|x_{n-1}(t) - x_{n-2}(t)|. \quad (3.3)$$

Denote  $p_0(t) := d(f_0(t), F(t, x_0(t))), t \in I$ . We next prove by recurrence, that for  $n \geq 2$  and  $t \in I$

$$\begin{aligned} |x_n(t) - x_{n-1}(t)| &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \int_0^t \varepsilon_{n-2-k} \frac{(MK_0T)^{k+1}(n(t) - n(u))^k}{k!} du \\ &\quad + \varepsilon_0 \int_0^t \frac{(MK_0T)^n (n(t) - n(u))^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} du \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \frac{(MK_0T)^n (n(t) - n(u))^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} p_0(u) du. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

We start with  $n = 2$ . In view of (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3), for  $t \in I$ , there is

$$\begin{aligned} |x_2(t) - x_1(t)| &\leq \int_0^t |U(t, s)| \cdot |f_2(s) - f_1(s)| ds \\ &\leq \int_0^t MK_0T[\varepsilon_0 + l(s)|x_1(s) - x_0(s)|] ds \\ &\leq \varepsilon_0 MK_0Tt + \int_0^t \left[ MK_0Tl(s) \int_0^s |U(s, r)| \cdot |f_1(r) - f_0(r)| dr \right] ds \\ &\leq \varepsilon_0 MK_0Tt + \int_0^t \left[ (MK_0T)^2 l(s) \int_0^s (p_0(u) + \varepsilon_1) du \right] ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \varepsilon_0 MK_0 T t + \int_0^t \left[ (MK_0 T)^2 (p_0(u) + \varepsilon_1) \int_u^t l(s) ds \right] du \\
&= \varepsilon_0 MK_0 T t + \int_0^t (MK_0 T)^2 (n(t) - n(s)) [p_0(s) + \varepsilon_0] ds,
\end{aligned}$$

i.e, (3.4) is verified for  $n = 2$ .

Using again (3.3) and (3.4), we conclude

$$\begin{aligned}
|x_{n+1}(t) - x_n(t)| &\leq \int_0^t |U(t, s)| \cdot |f_{n+1}(s) - f_n(s)| ds \\
&\leq \int_0^t MK_0 T [\varepsilon_{n-1} + l(s) |x_n(s) - x_{n-1}(s)|] ds \\
&\leq \varepsilon_{n-1} MK_0 T t + \int_0^t l(s) \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \int_0^s \varepsilon_{n-2-k} \frac{(MK_0 T)^{k+2} (n(s) - n(u))^k}{k!} du \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_0^s \frac{(MK_0 T)^{n+1} (n(s) - n(u))^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} (p_0(u) + \varepsilon_0) du \right] ds \\
&= \varepsilon_{n-1} MK_0 T t + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \varepsilon_{n-2-k} \int_0^t \left[ \int_0^s \frac{(MK_0 T)^{k+2} (n(s) - n(u))^k}{k!} l(s) du \right] ds \\
&\quad + \int_0^t l(s) \left( \int_0^s \frac{(MK_0 T)^{n+1} (n(s) - n(u))^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} l(s) [p_0(u) + \varepsilon_0] du \right) ds \\
&= \varepsilon_{n-1} MK_0 T t + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \varepsilon_{n-2-k} \int_0^t \left( \int_u^t \frac{(MK_0 T)^{k+2} (n(s) - n(u))^k}{k!} l(s) ds \right) du \\
&\quad + \int_0^t \left( \int_u^t \frac{(MK_0 T)^{n+1} (n(s) - n(u))^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} l(s) ds \right) [p_0(u) + \varepsilon_0] du \\
&= \varepsilon_{n-1} MK_0 T t + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \varepsilon_{n-2-k} \int_0^t \frac{(MK_0 T)^{k+2} (n(s) - n(u))^{k+1}}{(k+1)!} du \\
&\quad + \int_0^t \frac{(MK_0 T)^{n+1} (n(s) - n(u))^n}{n!} [p_0(u) + \varepsilon_0] du \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{n-1-k} \cdot \int_0^t \frac{(MK_0 T)^{k+1} (n(s) - n(u))^k}{k!} du \\
&\quad + \int_0^t \frac{(MK_0 T)^{n+1} (n(s) - n(u))^n}{n!} [p_0(u) + \varepsilon_0] du
\end{aligned}$$

and statement (3.8) it is true for  $n + 1$ .

From (3.8) it follows that for  $n \geq 2$  and  $t \in I$

$$|x_n(t) - x_{n-1}(t)| \leq a_n, \quad (3.5)$$

where

$$a_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \varepsilon_{n-2-k} \frac{(MK_0 T)^{k+1} n(T)^k}{k!} + \frac{(MK_0 T)^n n(T)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \left[ \int_0^1 p_0(u) du + \varepsilon_0 \right],$$



Obviously, the series whose  $n$ -th term is  $a_n$  converges. So, from (3.5) we infer that  $x_n(\cdot)$  converges to a continuous function,  $x(\cdot) : I \rightarrow X$ , uniformly on  $I$ .

On the other hand, in view of (3.3) there is

$$|f_n(t) - f_{n-1}(t)| \leq \varepsilon_{n-2} + l(t)a_{n-1}, \quad t \in I, n \geq 3$$

which implies that the sequence  $f_n(\cdot)$  converges to a Lusin measurable function  $f(\cdot) : I \rightarrow X$ .

Since  $x_n(\cdot)$  is bounded and

$$|f_n(t)| \leq l(t)|x_{n-1}(t)| + q(t) + 1,$$

we infer that  $f(\cdot)$  is also Bochner integrable.

Passing with  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (3.1) and using the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we obtain

$$x(t) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial s}G(t, 0)x_0 + G(t, 0)y_0 + \int_0^t U(t, s)f(s)ds, \quad t \in I.$$

On the other hand, from (3.2) we get

$$f_n(t) \in F(t, x_n(t)) + \varepsilon_n B, \quad t \in I, n \geq 1$$

and letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we obtain

$$f(t) \in F(t, x(t)), \quad t \in I,$$

which completes the proof. □

**Theorem 3.2.** *Assume that Hypotheses H2 and H3 are satisfied and  $mL < 1$ .*

*Then, for every  $x_0 \in X$  problem (1.3)-(1.4) has a solution  $x(\cdot) : I \rightarrow X$ .*

*Proof.* The proof follows the same pattern as in the proof of Theorem 3.1. This time

$$x_n(t) = \mathcal{G}(t)Cx_0 - \int_0^T H(t, s)f_n(s)ds, \quad \forall t \in I,$$

with  $f_n(\cdot)$  as before and

$$|x_n(t) - x_{n-1}(t)| \leq \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} \varepsilon_{n-2-j} m^{j+1} L^j T + m^n L^{n-1} \int_0^T (p_0(s) + \varepsilon_0) ds$$

for  $n \geq 2$  and  $t \in I$ . The estimate in (3.5) becomes

$$|x_n(t) - x_{n-1}(t)| \leq a_n,$$

where

$$a_n = \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} \varepsilon_{n-2-j} m^{j+1} L^j T + m^n L^{n-1} \int_0^T (p_0(s) + \varepsilon_0) ds$$

Taking into account the fact that  $mL < 1$ , we deduce that the series whose  $n$ -th term is  $a_n$  is convergent. □

## References

- [1] Aubin, J.P., Frankowska, H., *Set-valued Analysis*, Birkhauser, 1990.
- [2] Baliki, A., Benchohra, M., Graef, J.R., *Global existence and stability of second order functional evolution equations with infinite delay*, Electronic J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equations, **2016**(2016), no. 23, 1-10.
- [3] Baliki, A., Benchohra, M., Nieto, J.J., *Qualitative analysis of second-order functional evolution equations*, Dynamic Syst. Appl., **24**(2015), 559-572.
- [4] Benchohra, M., Gatsori, E.P., Ntouyas, S.K., *Controllability results for semilinear evolution inclusions with nonlocal conditions*, J. Optim. Theory Appl., **118**(2003), 493-513.
- [5] Benchohra, M., Medjadj, I., *Global existence results for second order neutral functional differential equations with state-dependent delay*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin., **57**(2016), 169-183.
- [6] Benchohra, M., Ntouyas, S.K., *Existence of mild solutions of semilinear evolution inclusions with nonlocal conditions*, Georgian Math. J., **7**(2000), 221-230.
- [7] Bressan, A., Colombo, G., *Extensions and selections of maps with decomposable values*, Studia Math., **90**(1988), 69-86.
- [8] Byszewski, L., *Application of properties of the right-hand sides of evolution equations to an investigation of nonlocal evolution problems*, Nonlin. Anal., **33**(1998), 413-426.
- [9] Byszewski, L., *Existence and uniqueness of a classical solution to a functional-differential abstract nonlocal Cauchy problem*, J. Appl. Math. Stochastic Anal., **12**(1999), 91-97.
- [10] Cernea, A., *On an evolution inclusion in non separable Banach spaces*, Opuscula Math., **29**(2009), 131-138.
- [11] Cernea, A., *A note on a semilinear evolution inclusion with nonlocal conditions*, ROMAI J., **12**(2016), 33-38.
- [12] Cernea, A., *A note on the solutions of a second-order evolution inclusion in non separable Banach spaces*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin., **58**(2017), 307-314.
- [13] Cernea, A., *Some remarks on the solutions of a second-order evolution inclusion*, Dynamic Syst. Appl., **27**(2018), 319-330.
- [14] Cernea, A., *On the mild solutions of a class of second-order integro-differential inclusions*, J. Nonlinear Variational Anal., **3**(2020), 247-256.
- [15] De Blasi F.S., Pianigiani, G., *Evolution inclusions in non separable Banach spaces*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin., **40**(1999), 227-250.
- [16] Filippov, A.F., *Classical solutions of differential equations with multivalued right-hand side*, SIAM J. Control Optim., **5**(1967), 609-621.
- [17] Henriquez, H.R., *Existence of solutions of nonautonomous second order functional differential equations with infinite delay*, Nonlinear Anal., **74**(2011), 3333-3352.
- [18] Henriquez, H.R., Poblete, V., Pozo, J.C., *Mild solutions of non-autonomous second order problems with nonlocal initial conditions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **412**(2014), 1064-1083.
- [19] Kozak, M., *A fundamental solution of a second-order differential equation in a Banach space*, Univ. Iagel. Acta. Math., **32**(1995), 275-289.
- [20] Kuratowski, K., Ryll-Nardzewski, C., *A general theorem on selectors*, Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci. Math. Astron. Phys., **13**(1965), 397-403.

Aurelian Cernea  
University of Bucharest,  
Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Sciences  
14, Academiei Street,  
010014 Bucharest,  
Academy of Romanian Scientists,  
Splaiul Independenței 54,  
050094 Bucharest, Romania  
e-mail: [acernea@fmi.unibuc.ro](mailto:acernea@fmi.unibuc.ro)