

# New subclasses of bi-univalent functions connected with a $q$ -analogue of convolution based upon the Legendre polynomials

Sheza M. El-Deeb and Bassant M. El-Matary

**Abstract.** In this paper, we introduce new subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions connected with a  $q$ -analogue of convolution by using the Legendre polynomials. Furthermore, we find estimates on the first two Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients  $|a_2|$  and  $|a_3|$  for functions in these subclasses and obtain Fekete-Szegő problem for these subclasses.

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010):** 30C50, 30C45, 11B65, 47B38.

**Keywords:** Legendre polynomials, convolution,  $q$ -analogue of Pascal distribution,  $q$ -analogue of poisson operator, bi-univalent, coefficients bounds.

## 1. Introduction, Definitions and Preliminaries

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote the class of analytic functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad z \in \mathbb{E} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}, \quad (1.1)$$

and  $\mathcal{S}$  be the subclass of  $\mathcal{A}$  which are univalent functions in  $\mathbb{E}$ .


If  $h \in \mathcal{A}$  is given by

$$h(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k, \quad z \in \mathbb{E}, \quad (1.2)$$

---

Received 13 July 2020; Accepted 30 October 2020.

© Studia UBB MATHEMATICA. Published by Babeş-Bolyai University

 This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License.

then, the *Hadamard (or convolution) product* of  $f$  and  $h$  is defined by

$$(f * h)(z) := z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k, \quad z \in \mathbb{E}. \tag{1.3}$$

If  $f$  and  $F$  are analytic functions in  $\mathbb{E}$ , we say that  $f$  is *subordinate to*  $F$ , written  $f \prec F$ , if there exists a *Schwarz function*  $w$ , which is analytic in  $\mathbb{E}$ , with  $w(0) = 0$ , and,  $|w(z)| < 1$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{E}$ , such that  $f(z) = F(w(z))$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{E}$ . Furthermore, if the function  $F$  is univalent in  $\mathbb{E}$ , then we have the following equivalence (see [5] and [17]):

$$f(z) \prec F(z) \Leftrightarrow f(0) = F(0) \text{ and } f(\mathbb{E}) \subset F(\mathbb{E}).$$

In [23] Srivastava presented and motivated about brief expository overview of the classical  $q$ -analysis versus the so-called  $(p, q)$ -analysis with an obviously redundant additional parameter  $p$ . We also briefly consider several other families of such extensively and widely-investigated linear convolution operators as (for example) the Dziok–Srivastava, Srivastava–Wright and Srivastava–Attiya linear convolution operators, together with their extended and generalized versions. The theory of  $(p, q)$ -analysis has important role in many areas of mathematics and physics. Our usages here of the  $q$ -calculus and the fractional  $q$ -calculus in geometric function theory of complex analysis are believed to encourage and motivate significant further developments on these and other related topics (see [1, 14, 15, 21, 22, 26]).

Srivastava [23] made use of various operators of  $q$ -calculus and fractional  $q$ -calculus and recalling the definition and notations. The  $q$ -shifted factorial is defined for  $\lambda, q \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  as follows

$$(\lambda; q)_k = \begin{cases} 1 & k = 0, \\ (1 - \lambda)(1 - \lambda q) \dots (1 - \lambda q^{k-1}) & k \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

By using the  $q$ -gamma function  $\Gamma_q(z)$ , we get

$$(q^\lambda; q)_k = \frac{(1 - q)^k \Gamma_q(\lambda + k)}{\Gamma_q(\lambda)}, \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}_0),$$

where (see [13])

$$\Gamma_q(z) = (1 - q)^{1-z} \frac{(q; q)_\infty}{(q^z; q)_\infty}, \quad (|q| < 1).$$

Also, we note that

$$(\lambda; q)_\infty = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - \lambda q^k), \quad (|q| < 1),$$

and, the  $q$ -gamma function  $\Gamma_q(z)$  is known

$$\Gamma_q(z + 1) = [z]_q \Gamma_q(z),$$

where  $[k]_q$  denotes the basic  $q$ -number defined as follows

$$[k]_q := \begin{cases} \frac{1 - q^k}{1 - q}, & k \in \mathbb{C}, \\ 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} q^j, & k \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

Using the definition formula (1.4), we have the next two products:

(i) For any non negative integer  $k$ , the  $q$ -shifted factorial is given by

$$[k]_q! := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } k = 0, \\ \prod_{n=1}^k [n]_q, & \text{if } k \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

(ii) For any positive number  $r$ , the  $q$ -generalized Pochhammer symbol is defined by

$$[r]_{q,k} := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } k = 0, \\ \prod_{n=r}^{r+k-1} [n]_q, & \text{if } k \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

It is known in terms of the classical (Euler’s) gamma function  $\Gamma(z)$ , that

$$\Gamma_q(z) \rightarrow \Gamma(z) \quad \text{as } q \rightarrow 1^-.$$

Also, we observe that

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \left\{ \frac{(q^\lambda; q)_k}{(1-q)^k} \right\} = (\lambda)_k.$$

For  $0 < q < 1$ , the  $q$ -derivative operator for  $f * h$  is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} D_q(f * h)(z) &= D_q \left[ z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k \right] \\ &= \frac{(f * h)(z) - (f * h)(qz)}{z(1-q)} \\ &= 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k, q] a_k b_k z^{k-1}, \quad z \in \mathbb{E}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$[k, q] := \frac{1 - q^k}{1 - q} = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} q^j, \quad [0, q] := 0. \tag{1.5}$$

Using the definition formula (1.5), we will define the next two products:

(i) For any non negative integer  $k$ , the  $q$ -shifted factorial is given by

$$[k, q]! := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } k = 0, \\ \prod_{i=1}^k [i, q], & \text{if } k \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

(ii) For any positive number  $r$ , the  $q$ -generalized Pochhammer symbol is defined by

$$[r, q]_k := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } k = 0, \\ \prod_{i=1}^k [r + i - 1, q], & \text{if } k \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

For  $\lambda > -1$  and  $0 < q < 1$ , El-Deeb et al. [12] defined the linear operator  $\mathcal{H}_h^{\lambda,q} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  as follows

$$\mathcal{H}_h^{\lambda,q} f(z) * \mathcal{M}_{q,\lambda+1}(z) = z D_q(f * h)(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{E},$$

where the function  $\mathcal{M}_{q,\lambda+1}$  is given by

$$\mathcal{M}_{q,\lambda+1}(z) := z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{[\lambda + 1, q]_{k-1}}{[k - 1, q]!} z^k, \quad z \in \mathbb{E}.$$

A simple computation shows that

$$\mathcal{H}_h^{\lambda,q} f(z) := z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \phi_k a_k z^k, \quad (\lambda > -1, 0 < q < 1, z \in \mathbb{E}), \tag{1.6}$$

where

$$\phi_k = \frac{[k, q]!}{[\lambda + 1, q]_{k-1}} b_k. \tag{1.7}$$

**Remark 1.1.** [12] From the definition relation (1.6), we can easily verify that the next relations hold for all  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & [\lambda + 1, q] \mathcal{H}_h^{\lambda,q} f(z) = [\lambda, q] \mathcal{H}_h^{\lambda+1,q} f(z) + q^\lambda z D_q \left( \mathcal{H}_h^{\lambda+1,q} f(z) \right), \quad z \in \mathbb{E}; \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & \lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \mathcal{H}_h^{\lambda,q} f(z) = \mathcal{H}_h^{\lambda,1} f(z) := \mathcal{I}_h^\lambda f(z) \\ & = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{k!}{(\lambda + 1)_{k-1}} a_k b_k z^k, \quad z \in \mathbb{E}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.8}$$

**Remark 1.2.** By taking special cases  $b_k$  in the operator  $\mathcal{H}_h^{\lambda,q}$ , we obtain

(i) Taking  $b_k = \frac{(-1)^{k-1} \Gamma(v+1)}{4^{k-1} (k-1)! \Gamma(k+v)}$  ( $v > 0$ ), we get the operator  $\mathcal{N}_{v,q}^\lambda$  studied by El-Deeb and Bulboaca [8] and El-Deeb [7], as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_{v,q}^\lambda f(z) &= z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1} \Gamma(v+1)}{4^{k-1} (k-1)! \Gamma(k+v)} \cdot \frac{[k, q]!}{[\lambda + 1, q]_{k-1}} a_k z^k, \quad z \in \mathbb{E}, \\ &= z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \psi_k a_k z^k, \quad (v > 0, \lambda > -1, 0 < q < 1), \end{aligned} \tag{1.9}$$

where

$$\psi_k = \frac{[k, q]!}{[\lambda + 1, q]_{k-1}} \frac{(-1)^{k-1} \Gamma(v+1)}{4^{k-1} (k-1)! \Gamma(k+v)}; \tag{1.10}$$

(ii) Taking  $b_k = \left(\frac{n+1}{n+k}\right)^\delta$  ( $\delta > 0, n \geq 0$ ), we find the operator  $\mathcal{N}_{n,1,q}^{\lambda,\delta} = \mathcal{M}_{n,q}^{\lambda,\delta}$  studied by El-Deeb and Bulboaca [9] and Srivastava and El-Deeb [24] as follows:

$$\mathcal{M}_{n,q}^{\lambda,\delta} f(z) := z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{n+1}{n+k}\right)^\delta \cdot \frac{[k, q]!}{[\lambda + 1, q]_{k-1}} a_k z^k, \quad z \in \mathbb{E}; \tag{1.11}$$

(iii) Taking  $b_k = 1$ , we have the operator  $\mathfrak{J}_q^\lambda$  studied by Arif et al. [2] and Srivastava et al. [27] as follows:

$$\mathfrak{J}_q^\lambda f(z) := z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{[k, q]!}{[\lambda + 1, q]_{k-1}} a_k z^k, \quad z \in \mathbb{E}; \tag{1.12}$$

(iv) Taking  $b_k = \frac{m^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} e^{-m}$  ( $m > 0$ ) (see [19]), we get a  $q$ -analogue of poisson operator  $\mathcal{I}_q^{\lambda,m}$  studied by El-Deeb et al. [12] as follows:

$$\mathcal{I}_q^{\lambda,m} f(z) := z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{m^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} e^{-m} \cdot \frac{[k, q]!}{[\lambda + 1, q]_{k-1}} a_k z^k, \quad z \in \mathbb{E}; \tag{1.13}$$

(v) Taking  $b_k = \left[ \frac{1+\ell+\delta(k-1)}{1+\ell} \right]^m$  ( $m \in \mathbb{Z}, \ell \geq 0, \delta \geq 0$ ) (see [20]), we get a  $q$ -analogue of Prajapat operator  $\mathcal{J}_{q,\ell,\delta}^{\lambda,m}$  as follows:

$$\mathcal{J}_{q,\ell,\delta}^{\lambda,m} f(z) := z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{1+\ell+\delta(k-1)}{1+\ell} \right]^m \cdot \frac{[k, q]!}{[\lambda + 1, q]_{k-1}} a_k z^k, \quad z \in \mathbb{E}; \tag{1.14}$$

(vi) Taking  $b_k = \binom{k+m-2}{m-1} \theta^{k-1} (1-\theta)^m$  ( $m \geq 1, 0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ ) (see [10, 11]), we get a  $q$ -analogue of Pascal distribution series  $\Psi_{q,\theta}^{\lambda,m}$  defined by Srivastava and El-deeb [25] as follows:

$$\Psi_{q,\theta}^{\lambda,m} f(z) := z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \binom{k+m-2}{m-1} \theta^{k-1} (1-\theta)^m \cdot \frac{[k, q]!}{[\lambda + 1, q]_{k-1}} a_k z^k, \quad z \in \mathbb{E}. \tag{1.15}$$

**Definition 1.3.** Let  $P_k(x)$  be the Legendre polynomials of the first kind of order  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  for which, the recurrence formula is

$$P_{k+1}(x) = \frac{2k+1}{k+1} x P_k(x) - \frac{k}{k+1} P_{k-1}(x), \tag{1.16}$$

with

$$P_0(x) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad P_1(x) = x$$

For  $|x| < 1$ . The generating function for Legendre Polynomials is given by (see [16])

$$G(x, z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-2xz+z^2}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k(x) z^k.$$

The Koebe one quarter theorem (see [6]) proves that the image of  $\mathbb{E}$  under every univalent function  $f \in \mathcal{S}$  contains a disk of radius  $\frac{1}{4}$ . Therefore, every function  $f \in \mathcal{S}$  has an inverse  $f^{-1}$  satisfied

$$f^{-1}(f(z)) = z \quad (z \in \mathbb{E})$$

and

$$f(f^{-1}(w)) = w \quad \left( |w| < r_0(f); r_0(f) \geq \frac{1}{4} \right),$$

where

$$f^{-1}(w) = w - a_2 w^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3) w^3 - (5a_2^3 - 5a_2 a_3 + a_4) w^4 + \dots \tag{1.17}$$

A function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  is said to be bi-univalent in  $\mathbb{E}$  if both  $f(z)$  and  $f^{-1}(z)$  are univalent in  $\mathbb{E}$ . Let  $\Sigma$  denote the class of bi-univalent functions in  $\mathbb{E}$  given by (1.1). For a brief history and interesting examples in the class  $\Sigma$  (see [3]). Brannan and Taha [4] (see also [28]) introduced certain subclasses of the bi-univalent functions class  $\Sigma$  similar to the familiar subclasses  $S^*(\beta)$  and  $\mathcal{K}(\beta)$  of starlike and convex functions

of order  $\beta$  ( $0 \leq \beta < 1$ ), respectively (see [3]). Thus, following Brannan and Taha [4] a function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  is said to be in the class  $S_{\Sigma}^*(\beta)$  of strongly bi-starlike functions of order  $\beta$  ( $0 < \beta \leq 1$ ) if each of the following conditions is satisfied:

$$f \in \Sigma \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \arg \left( \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) \right| < \frac{\beta\pi}{2} \quad (0 < \beta \leq 1; z \in \mathbb{E}) \tag{1.18}$$

and

$$\left| \arg \left( \frac{zg'(w)}{g(w)} \right) \right| < \frac{\beta\pi}{2} \quad (0 < \beta \leq 1; w \in \mathbb{E}), \tag{1.19}$$

where  $h$  is the extension of  $f^{-1}$  to  $\mathbb{E}$  is given by (1.17). The classes  $S_{\Sigma}^*(\beta)$  and  $\mathcal{K}_{\Sigma}(\beta)$  of bi-starlike functions of order  $\beta$  and bi-convex functions of order  $\beta$  ( $0 < \beta \leq 1$ ), corresponding to the function classes  $S^*(\beta)$  and  $\mathcal{K}(\beta)$ , were also introduced analogously. For each of the function classes  $S_{\Sigma}^*(\beta)$  and  $\mathcal{K}_{\Sigma}(\beta)$ , they found non-sharp estimates on the first two Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients  $|a_2|$  and  $|a_3|$  (for details, see [4] and [28]).

The object of the present paper is to introduce new classes of the function class  $\Sigma$  involving the  $q$ -analogue of convolution based upon the Legendre polynomials previously defined classes, and find estimates on the coefficients  $|a_2|$ , and  $|a_3|$  for functions in these new subclasses of the function class  $\Sigma$ .

**Definition 1.4.** Let  $\eta \neq 0$  be a complex number and  $f(z)$  given by (1.1) and  $h(z)$  given by (1.2), then  $f(z)$  is said to be in the class  $\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}^{q,\lambda}(\eta, \alpha, h, x)$  if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$f \in \Sigma, \\ 1 + \frac{1}{\eta} \left( \frac{\alpha z D_q \left( D_q \left( \mathcal{H}_h^{\lambda,q} f(z) \right) \right) + \alpha D_q \left( \mathcal{H}_h^{\lambda,q} f(z) \right) + 1 - \alpha}{D_q \left( \mathcal{H}_h^{\lambda,q} f(z) \right)} - 1 \right) \prec G(x, z), \tag{1.20}$$

and

$$1 + \frac{1}{\eta} \left( \frac{\alpha w D_q \left( D_q \left( \mathcal{H}_h^{\lambda,q} g(w) \right) \right) + \alpha D_q \left( \mathcal{H}_h^{\lambda,q} g(w) \right) + 1 - \alpha}{D_q \left( \mathcal{H}_h^{\lambda,q} g(w) \right)} - 1 \right) \prec G(x, w), \tag{1.21}$$

with  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\lambda > -1$ ;  $0 < q < 1$ ;  $\eta \in \mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ , where the function  $g = f^{-1}$  is given by (1.17).

**Remark 1.5.** (i) For  $q \rightarrow 1^-$  we obtain that  $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}^{q,\lambda}(\eta, \alpha, h, x) =: \mathcal{N}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda}(\eta, \alpha, h, x)$ , where  $\mathcal{N}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda}(\eta, \alpha, h, x)$  represents the functions  $f \in \Sigma$  that satisfies (1.20) and (1.21) for  $\mathcal{H}_h^{\lambda,q}$  replaced with  $\mathcal{I}_h^{\lambda}$  (see (1.8)).

(ii) For  $b_k = \frac{(-1)^{k-1} \Gamma(v+1)}{4^{k-1} (k-1)! \Gamma(k+v)}$  ( $v > 0$ ), we obtain the class  $\mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}^{q,\lambda}(\eta, \alpha, v, x)$ , that represents the functions  $f \in \Sigma$  that satisfies (1.20) and (1.21) for  $\mathcal{H}_h^{\lambda,q}$  replaced with  $\mathcal{N}_{v,q}^{\lambda}$  (see (1.9)).

(iii) For  $b_k = \left(\frac{n+1}{n+k}\right)^\delta$  ( $\delta > 0, n \geq 0$ ), we obtain the class  $\mathcal{I}_\Sigma^{q,\lambda}(\eta, \alpha, \delta, n, x)$ , that represents the functions  $f \in \Sigma$  that satisfies (1.20) and (1.21) for  $\mathcal{H}_h^{\lambda,q}$  replaced with  $\mathcal{M}_{n,q}^{\lambda,\delta}$  (see (1.11)).

(iv) For  $b_k = \frac{m^{k-1}}{(k-1)!}e^{-m}$  ( $m > 0$ ) we obtain the class  $\mathcal{P}_\Sigma^{q,\lambda}(\eta, \alpha, m, x)$ , that represents the functions  $f \in \Sigma$  that satisfies (1.20) and (1.21) for  $\mathcal{H}_h^{\lambda,q}$  replaced with  $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda,m}^q$  (see (1.13)).

(v) For  $b_k = \left[\frac{1+\ell+\delta(k-1)}{1+\ell}\right]^m$  ( $m \in \mathbb{Z}, \ell \geq 0, \delta \geq 0$ ), we obtain the class  $\mathcal{B}_\Sigma^{q,\lambda}(\eta, \alpha, m, \ell, \delta, x)$ , that represents the functions  $f \in \Sigma$  that satisfies (1.20) and (1.21) for  $\mathcal{H}_h^{\lambda,q}$  replaced with  $\mathcal{J}_{q,\ell,\delta}^{\lambda,m}$  (see (1.14)).

(vi) For  $b_k = \binom{k+m-2}{m-1} \theta^{k-1} (1-\theta)^m$  ( $m \geq 1, 0 < \theta < 1$ ), we obtain the class  $\Psi_\Sigma^{q,\lambda}(\eta, \alpha, m, \theta, x)$ , that represents the functions  $f \in \Sigma$  that satisfies (1.20) and (1.21) for  $\mathcal{H}_h^{\lambda,q}$  replaced with  $\Psi_{q,\theta}^{\lambda,m}$  (see (1.15)).

The following Lemma will be needed later.

**Lemma 1.6.** [18, p. 172] *If  $w(z) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty p_k z^k$  is a Schwarz function for  $z \in E$ , then*

$$|p_1| \leq 1, \quad |p_k| \leq 1 - |p_1|^2, \quad k \geq 1.$$

## 2. Coefficient bounds for the function class $\mathcal{F}_\Sigma^{q,\lambda}(\eta, \alpha, h, x)$

Unless otherwise mentioned, we shall assume in the reminder of this paper that  $\alpha \geq 0, \lambda > -1, 0 < q < 1, \eta \in \mathbb{C}^*, x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $h$  is given by (1.2), the powers are understood as principle values.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let the function  $f$  given by (1.1) belongs to the class  $\mathcal{F}_\Sigma^{q,\lambda}(\eta, \alpha, h, x)$ , then*

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{|\eta||x|\sqrt{x}}{\sqrt{\left|(\alpha(2+q)-1)(1+q+q^2)\eta x^2 \phi_3 - [\eta x^2 + \frac{(2\alpha-1)}{2}(3x^2-1)](2\alpha-1)(1+q)^2 \phi_2^2\right|}},$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{|\eta||x|}{(\alpha(2+q)-1)(1+q+q^2)\phi_3} + \frac{|\eta|^2 x^2}{(1+q)^2(2\alpha-1)^2 \phi_2^2},$$

where  $\phi_k, k \in \{2, 3\}$ , are given by (1.7).

*Proof.* Since  $f \in \mathcal{F}_\Sigma^{q,\lambda}(\eta, \alpha, h, x)$ . Then there exist two analytic functions  $R$  and  $S$  in  $\mathbb{E}$  with  $R(0) = S(0) = 0$ , and  $|R(z)| < 1, |S(w)| < 1$  for all  $z, w \in \mathbb{E}$  given by

$$R(z) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty r_k z^k \quad \text{and} \quad S(w) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty s_k w^k, \quad z, w \in \Delta,$$

from Lemma 1.6 we have

$$|r_k| \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad |s_k| \leq 1, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{2.1}$$

In view of (1.20) and (1.21), we get

$$\frac{\alpha z D_q \left( D_q \left( \mathcal{H}_h^{\lambda,q} f(z) \right) \right) + \alpha D_q \left( \mathcal{H}_h^{\lambda,q} f(z) \right) + 1 - \alpha}{D_q \left( \mathcal{H}_h^{\lambda,q} f(z) \right)} - 1 = \eta(G(x, R(z)) - 1), \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$\frac{\alpha w D_q \left( D_q \left( \mathcal{H}_h^{\lambda,q} g(w) \right) \right) + \alpha D_q \left( \mathcal{H}_h^{\lambda,q} g(w) \right) + 1 - \alpha}{D_q \left( \mathcal{H}_h^{\lambda,q} g(w) \right)} - 1 = \eta(G(x, S(w)) - 1). \tag{2.3}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\alpha z D_q \left( D_q \left( \mathcal{H}_h^{\lambda,q} f(z) \right) \right) + \alpha D_q \left( \mathcal{H}_h^{\lambda,q} f(z) \right) + 1 - \alpha}{D_q \left( \mathcal{H}_h^{\lambda,q} f(z) \right)} - 1 \\ &= (1 + q) (2\alpha - 1) \phi_2 a_2 z \\ &+ [(\alpha(2 + q) - 1) (1 + q + q^2) \phi_3 a_3 - (2\alpha - 1) (1 + q)^2 \phi_2^2 a_2^2] z^2 + \dots, \\ & \frac{\alpha w D_q \left( D_q \left( \mathcal{H}_h^{\lambda,q} g(w) \right) \right) + w D_q \left( \mathcal{H}_h^{\lambda,q} g(w) \right) + 1 - \alpha}{D_q \left( \mathcal{H}_h^{\lambda,q} g(w) \right)} - 1 \\ &= -(1 + q) (2\alpha - 1) \phi_2 a_2 w \\ &+ [(\alpha(2 + q) - 1) (1 + q + q^2) \phi_3 (2a_2^2 - a_3) - (2\alpha - 1) (1 + q)^2 \phi_2^2 a_2^2] w^2 + \dots, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(G(x, R(z)) - 1) &= \eta P_1(x) r_1 z + (P_1(x) r_2 + P_2(x) r_1^2) \eta z^2 + \dots, \\ \eta(G(x, S(w)) - 1) &= \eta P_1(x) s_1 w + (P_1(x) s_2 + P_2(x) s_1^2) \eta w^2 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Next, equating the corresponding coefficients of  $z$  and  $w$  in (2.2) and (2.3), we get

$$(1 + q) (2\alpha - 1) \phi_2 a_2 = \eta P_1(x) r_1, \tag{2.4}$$

$$(\alpha(2 + q) - 1) (1 + q + q^2) \phi_3 a_3 - (2\alpha - 1) (1 + q)^2 \phi_2^2 a_2^2 = \eta P_1(x) r_2 + \eta P_2(x) r_1^2 \tag{2.5}$$

$$-(1 + q) (2\alpha - 1) \phi_2 a_2 = \eta P_1(x) s_1, \tag{2.6}$$

$$(\alpha(2 + q) - 1) (1 + q + q^2) \phi_3 (2a_2^2 - a_3) - (2\alpha - 1) (1 + q)^2 \phi_2^2 a_2^2 = \eta P_1(x) s_2 + \eta P_2(x) s_1^2. \tag{2.7}$$

From (2.4) and (2.6), we have

$$r_1 = -s_1 \tag{2.8}$$

By squaring (2.4) and (2.6), then adding the new relations we get

$$2(1 + q)^2 (2\alpha - 1)^2 a_2^2 \phi_2^2 = \eta^2 P_1^2(x) (r_1^2 + s_1^2). \tag{2.9}$$

If we add (2.5) and (2.7) we obtain

$$2[(\alpha(2 + q) - 1) (1 + q + q^2) \phi_3 - (2\alpha - 1) (1 + q)^2 \phi_2^2] a_2^2 = \eta P_1(x) (r_2 + s_2) + \eta P_2(x) (r_1^2 + s_1^2).$$



We can rewrite (2.9) as

$$r_1^2 + s_1^2 = \frac{2(1+q)^2(2\alpha-1)^2}{\eta^2 P_1^2(x)} a_2^2 \phi_2^2.$$

From above equation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 2[(\alpha(2+q)-1)(1+q+q^2)\eta P_1^2(x)\phi_3 - [\eta P_1^2(x) + (2\alpha-1)P_2(x)](2\alpha-1)(1+q)^2\phi_2^2]a_2^2 \\ = \eta^2 P_1^3(x)(r_2 + s_2), \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$a_2^2 = \frac{\eta^2 P_1^3(x)(r_2 + s_2)}{2[(\alpha(2+q)-1)(1+q+q^2)\eta P_1^2(x)\phi_3 - (\eta P_1^2(x) + (2\alpha-1)P_2(x))(2\alpha-1)(1+q)^2\phi_2^2]}. \tag{2.10}$$

Then taking the absolute value to the above equation and from (1.16) and (2.1), we obtain

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{|\eta||x|\sqrt{x}}{\sqrt{[(\alpha(2+q)-1)(1+q+q^2)\eta x^2\phi_3 - [\eta P_1^2(x) + \frac{(2\alpha-1)}{2}(3x^2-1)](2\alpha-1)(1+q)^2\phi_2^2]}},$$

which gives the bound for  $|a_2|$  as we asserted in our theorem. To find the bound for  $|a_3|$ . Using (2.5) from (2.7), we have

$$2(\alpha(2+q)-1)(1+q+q^2)\phi_3(a_3 - a_2^2) = \eta [P_1(x)(r_2 - s_2) + P_2(x)(r_1^2 - s_1^2)]. \tag{2.11}$$

Form (2.8), (2.9) and (2.11), we obtain

$$a_3 = \frac{\eta P_1(x)(r_2 - s_2)}{2(\alpha(2+q)-1)(1+q+q^2)\phi_3} + \frac{\eta^2 P_1^2(x)(r_1^2 + s_1^2)}{2(1+q)^2(2\alpha-1)^2\phi_2^2}. \tag{2.12}$$

Using (1.16) and (2.1), we get

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{|\eta||x|}{(\alpha(2+q)-1)(1+q+q^2)\phi_3} + \frac{|\eta|^2 x^2}{(1+q)^2(2\alpha-1)^2\phi_2^2}. \quad \square$$

In view of Theorem 2.1 we obtain the following results.

Putting  $q \rightarrow 1^-$  we get the following corollary:

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let the function  $f$  given by (1.1) belongs to the class  $f \in \mathcal{N}_\Sigma^\lambda(\eta, \alpha, h, x)$ , then*

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{|\eta||x|\sqrt{x}}{\sqrt{\left| \frac{18(3\alpha-1)\eta x^2 b_3}{(\lambda+1)_2} - 16 \left[ \eta x^2 + \frac{(2\alpha-1)}{2}(3x^2-1) \right] \frac{(2\alpha-1)b_2^2}{(\lambda+1)^2} \right|}},$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{|\eta||x|(\lambda+1)_2}{18(3\alpha-1)b_3} + \frac{|\eta|^2(x(\lambda+1))^2}{16(2\alpha-1)^2 b_2^2}.$$

Considering  $b_k = \frac{(-1)^{k-1}\Gamma(v+1)}{4^{k-1}(k-1)!\Gamma(k+v)}$  ( $v > 0$ ), we obtain the following result.

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let the function  $f$  given by (1.1) belongs to the class  $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}^{q,\lambda}(\eta, \alpha, \nu, x)$ , then*

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{|\eta||x|\sqrt{x}}{\sqrt{[(\alpha(2+q)-1)(1+q+q^2)\eta x^2 \psi_3 - [\eta x^2 + \frac{(2\alpha-1)}{2}(3x^2-1)](2\alpha-1)(1+q)^2 \psi_2^2]}}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{|\eta||x|}{(\alpha(2+q)-1)(1+q+q^2)\psi_3} + \frac{|\eta|^2 x^2}{(1+q)^2(2\alpha-1)^2 \psi_2^2}.$$

where  $\psi_k, k \in \{2, 3\}$ , are given by (1.10).

For  $b_k = \left(\frac{n+1}{n+k}\right)^\delta$  ( $\delta > 0, n \geq 0$ ), we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let the function  $f$  given by (1.1) belongs to the class  $f \in \mathcal{I}_{\Sigma}^{q,\lambda}(\eta, \alpha, \delta, n, x)$ , then*

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{|\eta||x|\sqrt{x}}{\sqrt{[(\alpha(2+q)-1)(1+q+q^2)\eta x^2 \frac{[3,q]!}{[\lambda+1,q]_2} \left(\frac{n+1}{n+3}\right)^\delta - [\eta x^2 + \frac{(2\alpha-1)}{2}(3x^2-1)](2\alpha-1)(1+q)^2 \frac{([2,q]!)^2}{([\lambda+1,q]_2)^2} \left(\frac{n+1}{n+2}\right)^{2\delta}]}}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{|\eta||x|[\lambda+1,q]_2(n+3)^\delta}{(\alpha(2+q)-1)(1+q+q^2)[3,q]!(n+1)^\delta} + \frac{|\eta|^2(x[\lambda+1,q])^2(n+2)^{2\delta}}{(1+q)^2(2\alpha-1)^2([2,q]!)^2(n+1)^{2\delta}}.$$

If we take  $b_k = \frac{m^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} e^{-m}$  ( $m > 0$ ) we get the following special case.

**Corollary 2.5.** *Let the function  $f$  given by (1.1) belongs to the class  $f \in \mathcal{P}_{\Sigma}^{q,\lambda}(\eta, \alpha, m, x)$ , then*

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{|\eta||x|\sqrt{x}}{\sqrt{[(\alpha(2+q)-1)(1+q+q^2)\eta x^2 \frac{[3,q]!}{2[\lambda+1,q]_2} m^2 e^{-m} - [\eta x^2 + \frac{(2\alpha-1)}{2}(3x^2-1)](2\alpha-1)(1+q)^2 \frac{([2,q]!)^2}{([\lambda+1,q]_2)^2} m^2 e^{-2m}]}}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{2|\eta||x|[\lambda+1,q]_2}{(\alpha(2+q)-1)(1+q+q^2)[3,q]!m^2 e^{-m}} + \frac{|\eta|^2 x^2 ([\lambda+1,q])^2}{(1+q)^2(2\alpha-1)^2([2,q]!)^2 m^2 e^{-2m}}.$$

Putting  $b_k = \left[\frac{1+\ell+\delta(k-1)}{1+\ell}\right]^m$  ( $m \in \mathbb{Z}, \ell \geq 0, \delta \geq 0$ ) we get the following result.

**Corollary 2.6.** *Let the function  $f$  given by (1.1) belongs to the class  $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}^{q,\lambda}(\eta, \alpha, m, \ell, \delta, x)$ , then*

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{|\eta||x|\sqrt{x}}{\sqrt{[(\alpha(2+q)-1)(1+q+q^2)\eta x^2 \frac{[3,q]!}{[\lambda+1,q]_2} \left[\frac{1+\ell+2\delta}{1+\ell}\right]^m - [\eta x^2 + \frac{(2\alpha-1)}{2}(3x^2-1)](2\alpha-1)(1+q)^2 \frac{([2,q]!)^2}{([\lambda+1,q]_2)^2} \left[\frac{1+\ell+\delta}{1+\ell}\right]^{2m}]}}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{|\eta||x|[\lambda+1,q]_2[1+\ell]^m}{(\alpha(2+q)-1)(1+q+q^2)[3,q]![1+\ell+2\delta]^m} + \frac{|\eta|^2 x^2 ([\lambda+1,q])^2 [1+\ell]^{2m}}{(1+q)^2(2\alpha-1)^2([2,q]!)^2 [1+\ell+\delta]^{2m}}.$$

For  $b_k = \binom{k+m-2}{m-1} \theta^{k-1} (1-\theta)^m$  ( $m \geq 1, 0 < \theta < 1$ ), we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.7.** *Let the function  $f$  given by (1.1) belongs to the class  $f \in \Psi_{\Sigma}^{q,\lambda}(\eta, \alpha, m, \theta, x)$ , then*

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{|\eta||x|\sqrt{x}}{\sqrt{A}},$$

where

$$A = \left| (\alpha(2+q) - 1)(1+q+q^2)\eta x^2 \frac{[3, q]!}{2[\lambda+1, q]_2} m(m+1)\theta^2(1-\theta)^m - \left[ \eta x^2 + \frac{(2\alpha-1)}{2}(3x^2-1) \right] (2\alpha-1)(1+q)^2 \frac{([2, q]!)^2}{([\lambda+1, q])^2} m^2\theta^2(1-\theta)^{2m} \right|$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{2|\eta||x|[\lambda+1, q]_2}{(\alpha(2+q)-1)(1+q+q^2)[3, q]!m(m+1)\theta^2(1-\theta)^m} + \frac{|\eta|^2 x^2 ([\lambda+1, q])^2}{(1+q)^2(2\alpha-1)^2 m^2 \theta^2 (1-\theta)^{2m} ([2, q]!)^2}.$$

### 3. Fekete-Szegő problem for the function class $\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}^{q,\lambda}(\eta; \alpha, h; x)$

**Theorem 3.1.** *If the function  $f$  given by (1.1) belongs to the class  $\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}^{q,\lambda}(\eta, \alpha, h, x)$ , and  $\eta \in \mathbb{C}^*$ , then*

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq |\eta||x| (|K+L| + |K-L|), \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$K = \frac{(1-\mu)\eta x^2}{2[(\alpha(2+q)-1)(1+q+q^2)\eta x^2 \phi_3 - [\eta x^2 + \frac{(2\alpha-1)}{2}(3x^2-1)](2\alpha-1)(1+q)^2 \phi_2^2]}, \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$L = \frac{1}{2(\alpha(2+q) - 1)(1+q+q^2)\phi_3},$$

where  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ , and  $\phi_k, k \in \{2, 3\}$ , are given by (1.7).

*Proof.* If  $f \in \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}^{q,\lambda}(\eta, \alpha, h, x)$ . As in the proof of Theorem 2.1, from (2.8) and (2.11), we have

$$a_3 - a_2^2 = \frac{\eta P_1(x)(r_2 - s_2)}{2(\alpha(2+q) - 1)(1+q+q^2)\phi_3}, \tag{3.3}$$

and multiplying (2.10) by  $(1-\mu)$  we get

$$(1-\mu)a_2^2 = \frac{(1-\mu)\eta^2 P_1^3(x)(r_2+s_2)}{2[(\alpha(2+q)-1)(1+q+q^2)\eta P_1^2(x)\phi_3 - [\eta P_1^2(x) + (2\alpha-1)P_2(x)](2\alpha-1)(1+q)^2 \phi_2^2]}. \tag{3.4}$$

Adding (3.3) and (3.4) leads to

$$a_3 - \mu a_2^2 = \eta h_2 [(K+L)r_2 + (K-L)s_2], \tag{3.5}$$

where  $K$  and  $L$  are given by (3.2), and taking the absolute value of (3.5), from (2.1) we obtain the inequality (3.1). The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Remark 3.2.** A simple computation shows that the inequality  $|K| \leq L$  is equivalent to

$$|\mu - 1| \leq \left| 1 - \frac{\left[ \eta x^2 + \frac{(2\alpha-1)}{2}(3x^2-1) \right] (2\alpha-1)(1+q)^2 \phi_2^2}{\eta x^2 (\alpha(2+q) - 1)(1+q+q^2)\phi_3} \right|,$$

therefore, from Theorem 3.1 we get the next result. If the function  $f$  given by (1.1) belongs to the class  $\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}^{q,\lambda}(\eta; \alpha, h; x)$ , and  $\eta \in \mathbb{C}^*$ , then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{\eta x}{(\alpha(2+q) - 1)(1+q+q^2)\phi_3},$$

where  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ , with

$$|\mu - 1| \leq \left| 1 - \frac{\left[ \eta x^2 + \frac{(2\alpha-1)}{2}(3x^2 - 1) \right] (2\alpha - 1)(1+q)^2 \phi_2^2}{\eta x^2 (\alpha(2+q) - 1)(1+q+q^2)\phi_3} \right|,$$

and  $\phi_k, k \in \{2, 3\}$ , are given by (1.7).

We conclude our result with the following consequence of Theorem 3.1. Putting  $q \rightarrow 1^-$ , we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.3.** *If the function  $f$  given by (1.1) belongs to the class  $\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}^{q,\lambda}(\eta; \alpha, h; x)$ , and  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\eta \in \mathbb{C}^*$ , then*

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq |\eta||x| (|K + L| + |K - L|),$$

where

$$K = \frac{(1 - \mu)\eta x^2}{\frac{36(3\alpha-1)\eta x^2 b_3}{(\lambda+1)_2} - 32 \left[ \eta x^2 + \frac{(2\alpha-1)}{2}(3x^2 - 1) \right] \frac{(2\alpha-1)b_2^2}{(\lambda+1)^2}},$$

and

$$L = \frac{\eta x (\lambda + 1)_2}{36(3\alpha - 1)b_3}.$$

If we put  $b_k = \frac{(-1)^{k-1}\Gamma(v+1)}{4^{k-1}(k-1)!\Gamma(k+v)}$  ( $v > 0$ ), we obtain the following result.

**Corollary 3.4.** *If the function  $f$  given by (1.1) belongs to the class  $\mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}^{q,\lambda}(\eta, \alpha, v, x)$ , and  $\eta \in \mathbb{C}^*$ , then*

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq |\eta||x| (|K + L| + |K - L|),$$

where

$$K = \frac{(1-\mu)\eta x^2}{2[(\alpha(2+q)-1)(1+q+q^2)\eta x^2 \psi_3 - [\eta x^2 + \frac{(2\alpha-1)}{2}(3x^2-1)](2\alpha-1)(1+q)^2 \psi_2^2]},$$

and

$$L = \frac{1}{2(\alpha(2+q) - 1)(1+q+q^2)\psi_3},$$

where  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ , and  $\psi_k, k \in \{2, 3\}$ , are given by (1.10).

Considering  $b_k = \left(\frac{n+1}{n+k}\right)^\delta$  ( $\delta > 0, n \geq 0$ ), we get the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.5.** *If the function  $f$  given by (1.1) belongs to the class  $\mathcal{I}_{\Sigma}^{q,\lambda}(\eta, \alpha, \delta, n, x)$ , and  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\eta \in \mathbb{C}^*$ , then*

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq |\eta||x| (|K + L| + |K - L|),$$

where

$$K = \frac{(1-\mu)\eta x^2}{2\left[ (\alpha(2+q)-1)(1+q+q^2)\eta x^2 \frac{[3,q]!}{[\lambda+1,q]_2} \left(\frac{n+1}{n+3}\right)^\delta - \left[ \eta x^2 + \frac{(2\alpha-1)}{2}(3x^2-1) \right] (2\alpha-1)(1+q)^2 \frac{([2,q]!)^2}{([\lambda+1,q]_2)^2} \left(\frac{n+1}{n+2}\right)^{2\delta} \right]},$$

and

$$L = \frac{[\lambda + 1, q]_2 (n + 3)^\delta}{2 (\alpha(2 + q) - 1) (1 + q + q^2) [3, q]! (n + 1)^\delta}.$$

If we take  $b_k = \frac{m^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} e^{-m}$  ( $m > 0$ ), we get the following case.

**Corollary 3.6.** *If the function  $f$  given by (1.1) belongs to the class  $\mathcal{P}_\Sigma^{q,\lambda}(\eta, \alpha, m, x)$ , and  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\eta \in \mathbb{C}^*$ , then*

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq |\eta||x| (|K + L| + |K - L|),$$

where

$$K = \frac{(1-\mu)\eta x^2}{2 \left[ (\alpha(2+q)-1)(1+q+q^2)\eta x^2 \frac{[3,q]!}{2^{[\lambda+1,q]_2}} m^2 e^{-m} - \left[ \eta x^2 + \frac{(2\alpha-1)}{2} (3x^2-1) \right] (2\alpha-1)(1+q)^2 \frac{([2,q]!)^2}{([\lambda+1,q]_2)^2} m^2 e^{-2m} \right]},$$

and

$$L = \frac{[\lambda + 1, q]_2}{(\alpha(2 + q) - 1) (1 + q + q^2) [3, q]! m^2 e^{-m}}.$$

Putting  $b_k = \left[ \frac{1+\ell+\delta(k-1)}{1+\ell} \right]^m$  ( $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\ell \geq 0$ ,  $\delta \geq 0$ ), we obtain the following result.

**Corollary 3.7.** *If the function  $f$  given by (1.1) belongs to the class  $\mathcal{B}_\Sigma^{q,\lambda}(\eta, \alpha, m, \ell, \delta, x)$ , and  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\eta \in \mathbb{C}^*$ , then*

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq |\eta||x| (|K + L| + |K - L|),$$

where

$$K = \frac{(1 - \mu) \eta x^2}{B},$$

where

$$B = 2 \left[ (\alpha(2 + q) - 1) (1 + q + q^2) \eta x^2 \frac{[3, q]!}{[\lambda + 1, q]_2} \left[ \frac{1 + \ell + 2\delta}{1 + \ell} \right]^m - \left[ \eta x^2 + \frac{(2\alpha - 1)}{2} (3x^2 - 1) \right] (2\alpha - 1) (1 + q)^2 \frac{([2, q]!)^2}{([\lambda + 1, q]_2)^2} \left[ \frac{1 + \ell + \delta}{1 + \ell} \right]^{2m} \right]$$

and

$$L = \frac{[\lambda + 1, q]_2 [1 + \ell]^m}{2 (\alpha(2 + q) - 1) (1 + q + q^2) [3, q]! [1 + \ell + 2\delta]^m}.$$

For  $b_k = \binom{k+m-2}{m-1} \theta^{k-1} (1 - \theta)^m$  ( $m \geq 1$ ,  $0 < \theta < 1$ ), we get the following special case.

**Corollary 3.8.** *If the function  $f$  given by (1.1) belongs to the class  $\Psi_\Sigma^{q,\lambda}(\eta, \alpha, m, \theta, x)$ , and  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\eta \in \mathbb{C}^*$ , then*

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq |\eta||x| (|K + L| + |K - L|),$$

where

$$K = \frac{(1 - \mu) \eta x^2}{C},$$

where

$$C = 2[(\alpha(2 + q) - 1)(1 + q + q^2)\eta x^2 \frac{[3, q]!}{2[\lambda + 1, q]_2} m(m + 1)\theta^2(1 - \theta)^m - [\eta x^2 + \frac{(2\alpha - 1)}{2}(3x^2 - 1)](2\alpha - 1)(1 + q)^2 \frac{([2, q]!)^2}{([\lambda + 1, q]_2)^2} m^2\theta^2(1 - \theta)^{2m}]$$

and

$$L = \frac{[\lambda + 1, q]_2}{2(\alpha(2 + q) - 1)(1 + q + q^2)[3, q]!m(m + 1)\theta^2(1 - \theta)^m},$$

Now, the following examples are presented here to illustrate our results. For  $\eta = 1$  and  $\alpha = 1$ . Therefore, from Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 3.1.

**Example 3.9.** Let the function  $f$  given by (1.1) belongs to the class  $\mathcal{F}_\Sigma^{q,\lambda}(1; 1, h; x)$ , then

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{|x|\sqrt{x}}{\sqrt{|(1 + q)(1 + q + q^2)x^2\phi_3 - \frac{1}{2}(5x^2 - 1)(1 + q)^2\phi_2^2|}},$$

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{|x|}{(1 + q)(1 + q + q^2)\phi_3} + \frac{x^2}{(1 + q)^2\phi_2^2},$$

and

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq |x|(|K + L| + |K - L|),$$

with

$$K = \frac{(1 - \mu)x^3}{2[(1 + q)(1 + q + q^2)x^2\phi_3 - \frac{1}{2}(5x^2 - 1)(1 + q)^2\phi_2^2]},$$

and

$$L = \frac{x}{2(1 + q)(1 + q + q^2)\phi_3},$$

where  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\phi_k, k \in \{2, 3\}$ , are given by (1.7).

For  $\eta = 1$  and  $\alpha = 0$ . Therefore, from Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 3.1.

**Example 3.10.** Let the function  $f$  given by (1.1) belongs to the class  $\mathcal{F}_\Sigma^{q,\lambda}(1; 0, h; x)$ , then

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{|x|\sqrt{x}}{\sqrt{|[-(1 + q + q^2)x^2\phi_3 + \frac{1}{2}(1 - x^2)(1 + q)^2\phi_2^2]|}},$$

$$|a_3| \leq -\frac{|x|}{(1 + q + q^2)\phi_3} + \frac{x^2}{(1 + q)^2\phi_2^2},$$

and

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq |x|(|K + L| + |K - L|),$$

with

$$K = \frac{(1 - \mu)x^3}{2[-(1 + q + q^2)x^2\phi_3 + \frac{1}{2}(1 - x^2)(1 + q)^2\phi_2^2]},$$

and

$$L = -\frac{x}{2(1 + q + q^2)\phi_3},$$

where  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\phi_k, k \in \{2, 3\}$ , are given by (1.7).

**Remark 3.11.** We mention that all the above estimations for the coefficients  $|a_2|$ ,  $|a_3|$ , and Fekete-Szegő problem for the function class  $\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}^{q,\lambda}(\eta; \alpha, h; x)$  are not sharp. To find the sharp upper bounds for the above functionals remains an interesting open problem, as well as those for  $|a_n|$ ,  $n \geq 4$ .

## References

- [1] Abu Risha, M.H., Annaby, M.H., Ismail, M.E.H., Mansour, Z.S., *Linear  $q$ -difference equations*, Z. Anal. Anwend., **26**(2007), 481-494.
- [2] Arif, M., Ul Haq, M., Liu, J.L., *A subfamily of univalent functions associated with  $q$ -analogue of Noor integral operator*, J. Function Spaces, (2018), Art. ID 3818915, 1-5, <https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/3818915>.
- [3] Brannan, D.A., Clunie, J., Kirwan, W.E., *Coefficient estimates for a class of starlike functions*, Canad. J. Math., **22**(3)(1970), 476-485.
- [4] Brannan, D.A., Taha, T.S., *On some classes of bi-univalent functions*, in: S. M. Mazhar, A. Hamoui, N. S. Faour (Eds.), *Mathematical Analysis and its Applications*, Kuwait; February 18-21, 1985, in: KFAAS Proceedings Series, vol. 3, Pergamon Press (Elsevier Science Limited), Oxford, 1988, pp. 53-60; see also *Studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math.*, **31**(2)(1986), 70-77.
- [5] Bulboacă, T., *Differential Subordinations and Superordinations, Recent Results*, House of Scientific Book Publ., Cluj-Napoca, 2005.
- [6] Duren, P.L., *Univalent Functions*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, **259**, Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg and Tokyo, 1983.
- [7] El-Deeb, S.M., *Maclaurin coefficient estimates for new subclasses of bi-univalent functions connected with a  $q$ -analogue of Bessel function*, Abstract Appl. Anal., (2020), Article ID 8368951, 1-7, <https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/8368951>.
- [8] El-Deeb, S.M., Bulboacă, T., *Fekete-Szegő inequalities for certain class of analytic functions connected with  $q$ -analogue of Bessel function*, J. Egyptian. Math. Soc., (2019), 1-11, <https://doi.org/10.1186/s42787-019-0049-2>.
- [9] El-Deeb, S.M., Bulboacă, T., *Differential sandwich-type results for symmetric functions connected with a  $q$ -analog integral operator*, Mathematics, **7**(2019), no. 12, 1-17, <https://doi.org/10.3390/math7121185>.
- [10] El-Deeb, S.M., Bulboacă, T., *Differential sandwich-type results for symmetric functions associated with Pascal distribution series*, J. Contemporary Math. Anal. (in press).
- [11] El-Deeb, S.M., Bulboacă, T., Dziok, J., *Pascal distribution series connected with certain subclasses of univalent functions*, Kyungpook Math. J., **59**(2019), 301-314.
- [12] El-Deeb, S.M., Bulboacă, T., El-Matary, B.M., *Maclaurin coefficient estimates of bi-univalent functions connected with the  $q$ -derivative*, Mathematics, **8**(2020), 1-14, <https://doi.org/10.3390/math8030418>.
- [13] Gasper, G., Rahman, M., *Basic Hypergeometric Series (with a Foreword by Richard Askey)*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, **35**, 1990.
- [14] Jackson, F.H., *On  $q$ -functions and a certain difference operator*, Trans. Royal Soc. Edinburgh, **46**(1909), no. 2, 253-281, <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0080456800002751>
- [15] Jackson, F.H., *On  $q$ -definite integrals*, Quart. J. Pure Appl. Math., **41**(1910), 193-203.

- [16] Lebedev, N., *Special Functions and Their Applications*, Dover, New York, 1972.
- [17] Miller, S.S., Mocanu, P.T., *Differential Subordinations. Theory and Applications*, Series on Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. **225**, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York and Basel, 2000.
- [18] Nehari, Z., *Conformal Mapping*, McGraw-Hill, New York, NY, 1952.
- [19] Porwal, S., *An application of a Poisson distribution series on certain analytic functions*, J. Complex Anal., (2014), Art. ID 984135, 1-3, <https://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2014/984135>.
- [20] Prajapat, J.K., *Subordination and superordination preserving properties for generalized multiplier transformation operator*, Math. Comput. Modelling, **55**(2012), 1456-1465.
- [21] Srivastava, H.M., *Certain  $q$ -polynomial expansions for functions of several variables, I and II*, IMA J. Appl. Math., **30**(1983), 205-209.
- [22] Srivastava, H.M., *Univalent functions, fractional calculus, and associated generalized hypergeometric functions*, in Univalent Functions, Fractional Calculus, and Their Applications (H.M. Srivastava and S. Owa, Editors), Halsted Press (Ellis Horwood Limited, Chichester), 329-354, John Wiley and Sons, New York, Chichester, Brisbane and Toronto, 1989.
- [23] Srivastava, H.M., *Operators of basic (or  $q$ -) calculus and fractional  $q$ -calculus and their applications in geometric function theory of complex analysis*, Iran J. Sci. Technol. Trans. Sci., **44**(2020), 327-344.
- [24] Srivastava, H.M., El-Deeb, S.M., *A certain class of analytic functions of complex order with a  $q$ -analogue of integral operators*, Miskolc Math. Notes, **21**(2020), no. 1, 417-433.
- [25] Srivastava, H.M., El-Deeb, S.M., *The Faber polynomial expansion method and the Taylor-Maclaurin coefficient estimates of bi-close-to-convex functions connected with the  $q$ -convolution*, AIMS Math., **5**(6)(2020), 7087-7106.
- [26] Srivastava, H.M., Karlsson, P.W., *Multiple Gaussian Hypergeometric Series*, Wiley, New York, 1985.
- [27] Srivastava, H.M., Khan, S., Ahmad, Q.Z., Khan, N., Hussain, S., *The Faber polynomial expansion method and its application to the general coefficient problem for some subclasses of bi-univalent functions associated with a certain  $q$ -integral operator*, Stud. Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math., **63**(2018), 419-436.
- [28] Srivastava, H.M., Mishra, A.K., Gochhayat, P., *Certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions*, Appl. Math. Lett., **23**(10)(2010), 1188-1192.

Sheza M. El-Deeb

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Damietta University,  
New Damietta 34517, Egypt

Department of Mathematics, College of Science and Arts Al-Badaya,  
Qassim University, Buraidah 51951, Saudi Arabia

e-mail: shezaeldeeb@yahoo.com

Bassant M. El-Matary

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Damietta University,  
New Damietta 34517, Egypt

Department of Mathematics, College of Science and Arts Al-Badaya,  
Qassim University, Buraidah 51951, Saudi Arabia

e-mail: bassantmarof@yahoo.com