

ABSOLUTELY  $F/U$ -PURE MODULES

IULIU CRIVEI

**Abstract.** Let  $R$  be an associative ring with non-zero identity. A submodule  $A$  of a right  $R$ -module  $B$  is said to be  $F/U$ -pure if  $f \otimes_R 1_{F/U}$  is a monomorphism for every free left  $R$ -module  $F$  and for every cyclic submodule  $U$  of  $F$ , where  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is the inclusion monomorphism. A right  $R$ -module  $D$  is said to be absolutely  $F/U$ -pure if  $D$  is  $F/U$ -pure in every right  $R$ -module which contains it as a submodule. We characterize absolutely  $F/U$ -purity by injectivity with respect to a certain monomorphism. We also prove that the class of absolutely  $F/U$ -pure right  $R$ -modules is closed under taking direct products, direct sums and extensions. Moreover, we consider absolutely  $F/U$ -pure right modules over right noetherian rings and regular (von Neumann) rings.

## 1. Introduction

In this paper we denote by  $R$  an associative ring with non-zero identity and all  $R$ -modules are unital. By a homomorphism we understand an  $R$ -homomorphism. The category of right  $R$ -modules is denoted by  $Mod - R$ . The injective envelope of a right  $R$ -module  $A$  is denoted by  $E(A)$ .

Let

$$0 \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \longrightarrow 0 \quad (1)$$

be a short exact sequence of right  $R$ -modules and homomorphisms. The monomorphism  $f$  is said to be  $F/U$ -pure if the tensor product  $f \otimes 1_{F/U} : A \otimes_R F/U \rightarrow B \otimes_R F/U$  is a monomorphism for every free left  $R$ -module  $F$  and for every cyclic submodule  $U$  of  $F$  [1, Definition 2.1]. If  $f$  is  $F/U$ -pure, then the short exact sequence (1) is called

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16D80.

*Key words and phrases.* tensor product,  $F/U$ -pure submodule, short exact sequence.

$F/U$ -pure. If  $A$  is a submodule of  $B$  and  $f$  is the inclusion monomorphism, then  $A$  is said to be an  $F/U$ -pure submodule of  $B$ .

Let  $M \in \text{Mod} - R$ . Then  $M$  is said to be projective with respect to the short exact sequence (1) if the natural homomorphism  $\text{Hom}_R(M, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, C)$  is surjective. The right  $R$ -module  $M$  is said to be injective with respect to the short exact sequence (1) (or with respect to the monomorphism  $f$ ) if the natural homomorphism  $\text{Hom}_R(B, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(A, M)$  is surjective.

Following Maddox [3], a right  $R$ -module  $M$  is said to be absolutely pure if  $M$  is pure in every right  $R$ -module which contains  $M$  as a submodule.

In the present paper we introduce the notion of absolutely  $F/U$ -pure right  $R$ -module and we establish some properties for such modules.

## 2. Basic results

We shall begin with two results which will be used later in the paper.

**Theorem 2.1.** [1, Theorem 2.8] *Let  $A$  be a submodule of a right  $R$ -module  $B$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $A$  is  $F/U$ -pure in  $B$ ;
- (ii) If  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$ ,  $r_1, \dots, r_n \in R$  and the system of equations  $a_i = xr_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  has a solution  $b \in B$ , then it has a solution  $a \in A$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** [2, Theorem 2.3] *A short exact sequence (1) is  $F/U$ -pure if and only if for every finitely generated right ideal of  $R$  the right  $R$ -module  $R/I$  is projective with respect to the short exact sequence (1).*

We shall give now the following definition.

**Definition 2.3.** A right  $R$ -module  $A$  is said to be *absolutely  $F/U$ -pure* if  $A$  is  $F/U$ -pure in each right  $R$ -module which contains  $A$  as a submodule.

In the sequel we shall denote by  $\mathcal{A}$  the class of absolutely  $F/U$ -pure right modules.

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $A \in \text{Mod} - R$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ ;
- (ii)  $A$  is  $F/U$ -pure in  $E(A)$ ;
- (iii) If  $A$  is a finitely generated right ideal of  $R$  and  $i : I \rightarrow R$  is the inclusion monomorphism, then  $A$  is injective with respect to  $i$ .

*Proof.* Let  $I$  be a finitely generated right ideal of  $R$  and consider the short exact sequence of right  $R$ -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow I \xrightarrow{i} R \xrightarrow{p} R/I \longrightarrow 0 \quad (2)$$

where  $i$  is the inclusion monomorphism and  $p$  the natural epimorphism. Since  $R$  is projective, we have  $\text{Ext}_R^1(R, A) = 0$ . Hence the short exact sequence (2) induces the following short exact sequence of abelian groups:

$$\text{Hom}_R(R, A) \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}_R(i, 1_A)} \text{Hom}_R(I, A) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, A) \longrightarrow 0 \quad (3)$$

Let  $D \in \text{Mod} - R$  such that  $A$  is a submodule of  $D$  and consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{j} E(D) \xrightarrow{q} E(D)/A \longrightarrow 0 \quad (4)$$

where  $j$  is the inclusion monomorphism and  $q$  the natural epimorphism. By injectivity of  $E(D)$ , we have  $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, E(D)) = 0$ . Hence the short exact sequence (4) induces the following short exact sequence of abelian groups:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_R(R/I, E(D)) &\xrightarrow{\text{Hom}_R(1_{R/I}, q)} \text{Hom}_R(R/I, E(D)/A) \longrightarrow \\ &\longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, A) \longrightarrow 0 \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

(i)  $\implies$  (ii) This is clear.

(ii)  $\implies$  (iii) Suppose that  $A$  is  $F/U$ -pure in  $E(A)$  and consider  $D = A$  in the short exact sequence (4). By Theorem 2.2,  $\text{Hom}_R(1_{R/I}, q)$  is surjective. Hence  $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, A) = 0$ , because the sequence (5) is exact. By the exactness of the sequence

(3), it follows that  $\text{Hom}_R(i, 1_A)$  is surjective. Therefore  $A$  is injective with respect to  $i$ .

(iii)  $\implies$  (i) Suppose that  $A$  is injective with respect to  $i$ . Then  $\text{Hom}_R(i, 1_A)$  is surjective. Since the short exact sequence (3) is exact, it follows that  $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, A) = 0$ . By the exactness of the sequence (5),  $\text{Hom}_R(1_{R/I}, q)$  is surjective. By Theorem 2.2,  $A$  is  $F/U$ -pure in  $E(D)$ . By Theorem 2.1,  $A$  is  $F/U$ -pure in  $D$ . Therefore  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ .  $\square$

*Remark.* Every injective right  $R$ -module is absolutely  $F/U$ -pure.

**Corollary 2.5.** *The class  $\mathcal{A}$  is closed under taking direct products and direct summands.*

**Lemma 2.6.** *The class  $\mathcal{A}$  is closed under taking direct sums.*

*Proof.* Let  $(A_j)_{j \in J}$  be a family of absolutely  $F/U$ -pure right  $R$ -modules and let  $A = \bigoplus_{j \in J} A_j$ . Let  $I$  be a finitely generated right ideal of  $R$ ,  $i : I \rightarrow R$  the inclusion monomorphism and  $f : I \rightarrow A$  an homomorphism. Since  $f(I)$  is finitely generated, there exists a finite subset  $K \subseteq J$  such that  $f(I) \subseteq \bigoplus_{k \in K} A_k = B$ . By Corollary 2.5,  $B \in \mathcal{A}$ . Therefore by Theorem 2.4, there exists a homomorphism  $g : R \rightarrow B$  such that  $gi = v$ , where  $v : I \rightarrow B$  is the homomorphism defined by  $v(r) = f(r)$  for every  $r \in I$ . Let  $u : B \rightarrow A$  be the inclusion monomorphism. Then  $ugi = uv = f$ . By Theorem 2.4,  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.7.** *Let (1) be a short exact sequence of right  $R$ -modules and let  $A, C \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then  $B \in \mathcal{A}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $I$  be a right ideal of  $R$ ,  $i : I \rightarrow R$  the inclusion monomorphism and  $h : I \rightarrow B$  a homomorphism. Consider the following diagram of right  $R$ -modules with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & I & \xrightarrow{i} & R & & \\
 & & \swarrow u & \downarrow v & \searrow w & & \\
 & & & B & & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \xrightarrow{f} & B & \xrightarrow{g} & C \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

where  $u, v, w, s$  are homomorphisms which will be defined. Since  $C \in \mathcal{A}$ , by Theorem 2.4 there exists a homomorphism  $s : R \rightarrow C$  such that  $si = gh$ . By projectivity of  $R$ , there exists a homomorphism  $w : R \rightarrow B$  such that  $gw = s$ . We have  $gwi = si = gh$ , hence  $g(wi - h) = 0$ . Let  $r \in I$ . Then  $g((wi - h)(r)) = 0$ , therefore  $(wi - h)(r) \in \text{Ker } g = \text{Im } f$ . Since  $f$  is a monomorphism, there exists a unique element  $a \in A$  such that  $(wi - h)(r) = f(a)$ . Hence we can define a homomorphism  $u : I \rightarrow A$  by  $u(r) = a$ . We have also  $h(r) = (wi)(r) - f(a)$ . Since  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , there exists a homomorphism  $v : R \rightarrow A$  such that  $vi = u$ . Then

$$((w - fv)i)(r) = (wi)(r) - (fu)(r) = (wi)(r) - f(a) = h(r).$$

Hence there exists the homomorphism  $w - fv : R \rightarrow B$  such that  $(w - fv)i = h$ . By Theorem 2.4,  $B \in \mathcal{A}$ .  $\square$

### 3. Absolutely $F/U$ -pure modules over particular rings

In this section we shall consider absolutely  $F/U$ -pure  $R$ -modules over right noetherian rings and regular(von Neumann) rings.

**Theorem 3.1.** *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $R$  is right noetherian;
- (ii) If  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $A$  is injective.

*Proof.* (i)  $\implies$  (ii) Suppose that  $R$  is noetherian. Let  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , let  $I$  be a right ideal of  $R$  and let  $i : I \rightarrow R$  be the inclusion monomorphism. Since  $R$  is noetherian,  $I$  is finitely generated. By Theorem 2.4,  $A$  is injective with respect to  $i$ . Therefore by Baer's criterion,  $A$  is injective.

(ii)  $\implies$  (i) Suppose that every absolutely  $F/U$ -pure right  $R$ -module is injective. Let  $(A_j)_{j \in J}$  be a family of injective right  $R$ -modules and let  $A = \bigoplus_{j \in J} A_j$ . Then  $A_j \in \mathcal{A}$  for every  $j \in J$ . By Lemma 2.6,  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , hence  $A$  is injective. Since every direct sum of injective right  $R$ -modules is injective, it follows that  $R$  is right noetherian [5, Chapter 4, Theorem 4.1].  $\square$

*Remark.* If  $R$  is not right noetherian, there exist absolutely  $F/U$ -pure right  $R$ -modules which are not injective.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $I$  be a finitely generated right ideal of  $R$ . If  $I \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $I$  is a direct summand of  $R$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $I \in \mathcal{A}$  and let  $i : I \rightarrow R$  be the inclusion monomorphism. By Theorem 2.4, there exists a homomorphism  $p : R \rightarrow I$  such that  $pi = 1_I$ . Therefore  $I$  is a direct summand of  $R$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3.** *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  for every  $A \in \text{Mod} - R$ ;
- (ii)  $I \in \mathcal{A}$  for every finitely generated right ideal  $I$  of  $R$ ;
- (iii)  $R$  is regular (von Neumann).

*Proof.* (i)  $\implies$  (ii) This is clear.

(ii)  $\implies$  (iii) It follows by Lemma 3.2, because  $R$  is regular if and only if every finitely generated right ideal  $I$  of  $R$  is a direct summand of  $R$  [4, Chapter I, Theorem 14.7.8 and Proposition 4.6.1].

(iii)  $\implies$  (i) Suppose that  $R$  is regular. Let  $A \in \text{Mod} - R$ , let  $I$  be a finitely generated right ideal of  $R$  and let  $f : I \rightarrow A$  be a homomorphism. Then  $I$  is a direct summand of  $R$ . Hence there exists a finitely generated right ideal  $J$  of  $R$  such that  $R = I \oplus J$ . Then there exist a unique  $r \in I$  and a unique  $s \in J$  such that  $1 = r + s$ . Therefore we can define a unique homomorphism  $h : R \rightarrow A$  such that  $h(1) = f(r)$ . It follows that  $hi = f$ . By Theorem 2.4,  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $R$  be regular (von Neumann) and let  $I$  be a right ideal of  $R$  which is not finitely generated. Then  $I \in \mathcal{A}$ , but  $I$  is not injective.*

**Example 3.5.** Let  $\mathbb{Z}$  be the ring of integers and let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the set of all primes. Then  $R = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  is a commutative regular (von Neumann) ring and  $I = \bigoplus_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  is an ideal of  $R$ . Since  $I$  is not finitely generated, it follows that  $I \in \mathcal{A}$ , but  $I$  is not injective.

**References**

- [1] I. Crivei, *F/U-pure submodules*, Automat. Comput. Appl. Math., **2**(2) (1993), 113-118.
- [2] I. Crivei, *F/U-projective modules*, Automat. Comput. Appl. Math., **3**(2) (1994), 61-64.
- [3] B. Maddox, *Absolutely pure modules*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **18** (1967), 207-234.
- [4] C. Năstăsescu, *Inele. Module. Categorii*, Ed. Academiei, București, 1976.
- [5] D.W. Sharpe and P. Vámos, *Injective modules*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1972.

TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,  
STR. C. DAICOVICIU 15, 3400 CLUJ-NAPOCA, ROMANIA