

NOTE ON SPREADS AND PARTIAL SPREADS

DĂNUT MARCU

Abstract. The aim of this note is to give an answer to a question of [1].

1. Introduction

In this note, we show the existence of a spread, which is not a dual spread, thus answering to a question in [1]. We also obtain some related results on spreads and partial spreads.

Let $\mathbf{P} = PG(2t - 1, F)$ be a projective space of odd dimension $(2t - 1, t \geq 2)$ over the field F . In accordance with [1], we use the following definitions. A partial spread S of \mathbf{P} is a collection of $(t - 1)$ -dimensional projective subspaces of \mathbf{P} , which are pairwise disjoint. S is maximal, if it is not properly contained in any other partial spread. In particular, if every point of \mathbf{P} is contained in some member of S , then S is a spread. If each $(2t - 2)$ -dimensional projective subspace of \mathbf{P} contains exactly one member of S , then S is called a dual spread.

2. Main results

In the sequel, $|S|$ will denote the number of subspaces in S .

Theorem 1. *If F is finite, then S is a spread if and only if S is a dual spread.*

Proof. Suppose that S is a spread, which is not a dual spread of \mathbf{P} . Let δ be any correlation of \mathbf{P} (for the existence of such a δ , see [2, p.41]). Then, S^δ , the image of S under δ , is a partial spread, which is not a spread. But, $|S^\delta| = |S|$ and F is finite. So, we obtain a contradiction. Similarly, every dual spread is a spread. \square

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 51E14, 51E23.

Key words and phrases: projective spaces, spreads.

For simplicity, we now specialize to the case $t = 2$ and we assume that F is commutative, to facilitate the notion of regulus.

We say that a spread S is regular provided that, for every line l of \mathbf{P} which is not in S , the lines of S meeting l form a regulus R of \mathbf{P} .

Not all spreads are regular. We can obtain a new non-regular spread S' from S , by the process of replacing some regulus R by its opposite regulus R' . If S' can be obtained from a regular spread S by finitely many iterations of such a process, then S is called subregular.

Theorem 2. *Every regular spread S of \mathbf{P} is a dual spread.*

Proof. Let π be any plane of \mathbf{P} . Then, π contains at most one line of S . To show that there must be one, let l be any line of π , which is not in S . The lines of S , meeting l , form a regulus R . Let p and q be any two lines of the opposite regulus R' , different from l . Then, p and q meet π in distinct points P and Q , not on l . The line PQ of π meets l and, hence, meets three lines of R' . Thus, PQ is a line of R , that is, of S . \square

A straightforward extension of this argument yields the following

Theorem 3. *Let S be a spread, which is a dual spread. Suppose that S contains a regulus R . Then, the spread S' , obtained from S by replacing the regulus R by its opposite regulus R' , is also a dual spread.*

Corollary 1. *Every subregular spread is a dual spread.*

Theorem 4. *There exists a spread S of \mathbf{P} , such that S is not a dual spread and no four lines of S are contained in a regulus.*

Proof. Let F be infinite and countable. Choose any plane π and list the points in $\pi(P_1, P_2, P_3, \dots)$ and the points not in $\pi(Q_1, Q_2, Q_3, \dots)$. Through P_1 , construct the line $l_1 = P_1Q_1$. Suppose that l_1, l_2, \dots, l_n have been constructed, such that:

- (a) no l_i is in π ,
- (b) no two l_i intersect and
- (c) no four l_i are in a regulus.

We now show that l_{n+1} can be constructed in such a way, that (a)-(c) are satisfied also by $\{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_{n+1}\}$.

If n is odd, let $X = P_j$ be the first point in π , which is on none of the lines l_1, l_2, \dots, l_n and $Y = Q_k$ the first point not in π , such that:

(d) Y is on none of the n planes Xl_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and

(e) XY does not belong to any one of the (n_3) reguli determined by l_1, l_2, \dots, l_n .

Then, put $l_{n+1} = XY = P_jQ_k$.

If n is even, let $X = Q_s$ be the first point not in π , which is on none of the l_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $Y = P_t$ the first point in π , such that (d) and (e) are satisfied. Then, put $l_{n+1} = XY = Q_sP_t$.

Clearly, l_1, l_2, \dots, l_{n+1} satisfy the conditions (a)-(c). Furthermore, our construction guarantees that each point of \mathbf{P} is on a line of S . Thus, the theorem is proved. \square

There is an interesting consequence of the Theorem 4, that is,

Corollary 2. *Maximal partial spreads W , which are not spreads, exist in \mathbf{P} .*

Proof. Consider the image W of S , under any correlation of \mathbf{P} . \square

Remark. the above corollary is also true if F is finite (for an example in $PG(3, 4)$, see [3]).

We end this note with the following

Conjecture. *There exist such maximal partial spreads W , with $q^2 - q + 1 \leq |W| \leq q^2 - q + 2$ in $PG(3, q)$, for any q .*

References

- [1] R.J. Bruck and R.C. Bose, *The construction of translation planes from projective spaces*, J. Algebra, 1(1964), 85-102.
- [2] P. Dembowski, *Finite Geometries*, Springer Verlag, 1968.
- [3] D.M. Mesner, *Sets of disjoint lines in $PG(3, q)$* , Canad. J. Math., 19(1967), 273-280.

STR. PASULUI 3, SECT.2, 70241 BUCHAREST, ROMANIA