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#### Abstract

To obtain the main result of the present paper we use the technique of differential subordination. As special cases of our main result, we obtain sufficient conditions for $f \in \mathcal{A}$ to be $\phi$-like, starlike and close-to-convex in a parabolic region.
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## 1. Introduction

Let us denote the class of analytic functions in the unit disk $\mathbb{E}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$ by $\mathcal{H}$. For $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathcal{H}[a, n]$ be the subclass of $\mathcal{H}$ consisting of the functions of the form

$$
f(z)=a+a_{n} z^{n}+a_{n+1} z^{n+1}+\ldots
$$

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the class of functions $f$, analytic in the unit disk $\mathbb{E}$ and normalized by the conditions $f(0)=f^{\prime}(0)-1=0$.
Let $\mathcal{S}$ denote the class of all analytic univalent functions $f$ defined in the open unit disk $\mathbb{E}$ which are normalized by the conditions $f(0)=f^{\prime}(0)-1=0$. The Taylor series expansion of any function $f \in \mathcal{S}$ is

$$
f(z)=z+a_{2} z^{2}+a_{3} z^{3}+\ldots
$$

Let the functions $f$ and $g$ be analytic in $\mathbb{E}$. We say that $f$ is subordinate to $g$ written as $f \prec g$ in $\mathbb{E}$, if there exists a Schwarz function $\phi$ in $\mathbb{E}$ (i.e. $\phi$ is regular in $|z|<1$,
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$\phi(0)=0$ and $|\phi(z)| \leq|z|<1)$ such that
$$
f(z)=g(\phi(z)),|z|<1
$$

Let $\Phi: \mathbb{C}^{2} \times \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be an analytic function, $p$ an analytic function in $\mathbb{E}$ with $\left(p(z), z p^{\prime}(z) ; z\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{2} \times \mathbb{E}$ for all $z \in \mathbb{E}$ and $h$ be univalent in $\mathbb{E}$. Then the function $p$ is said to satisfy first order differential subordination if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(p(z), z p^{\prime}(z) ; z\right) \prec h(z), \Phi(p(0), 0 ; 0)=h(0) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

A univalent function $q$ is called dominant of the differential subordination (1.1) if $p(0)=q(0)$ and $p \prec q$ for all $p$ satisfying (1.1). A dominant $\tilde{q}$ that satisfies $\tilde{q} \prec q$ for all dominants $q$ of (1.1), is said to be the best dominant of (1.1). The best dominant is unique up to the rotation of $\mathbb{E}$.
A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be starlike in the open unit disk $\mathbb{E}$, if it is univalent in $\mathbb{E}$ and $f(\mathbb{E})$ is a starlike domain. The well known condition for the members of class $\mathcal{A}$ to be starlike is that

$$
\Re\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)>0, z \in \mathbb{E}
$$

Let $\mathcal{S}^{*}$ denote the subclass of $\mathcal{S}$ consisting of all univalent starlike functions with respect to the origin.
A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be close-to-convex in $\mathbb{E}$, if there exists a convex function $g$ (not necessarily normalized) such that

$$
\Re\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}\right)>0, z \in \mathbb{E}
$$

In addition, if $g$ is normalized by the conditions $g(0)=0=g^{\prime}(0)-1$, then the class of close-to-convex functions is denoted by $\mathcal{C}$.
A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is called parabolic starlike in $\mathbb{E}$, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)>\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-1\right|, z \in \mathbb{E} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the class of such functions is denoted by $S_{P}$.
A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be uniformly close-to-convex in $\mathbb{E}$, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}\right)>\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}-1\right|, z \in \mathbb{E} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $g \in \mathcal{S}_{P}$. Let UCC denote the class of all such functions. Note that the function $g(z) \equiv z \in \mathcal{S}_{P}$. Therefore, for $g(z) \equiv z$, condition (1.3) becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re\left(f^{\prime}(z)\right)>\left|f^{\prime}(z)-1\right|, z \in \mathbb{E} . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Ronning [6] and Ma and Minda [2] studied the domain $\Omega$ and the function $q(z)$ defined below:

$$
\Omega=\left\{u+i v: u>\sqrt{(u-1)^{2}+v^{2}}\right\}
$$

Clearly the function

$$
q(z)=1+\frac{2}{\pi^{2}}\left(\log \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right)\right)^{2}
$$

maps the unit disk $\mathbb{E}$ onto the domain $\Omega$. Hence the conditions (1.2) and (1.4) are equivalent to

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec q(z), z \in \mathbb{E}
$$

and

$$
f^{\prime}(z) \prec q(z) .
$$

Let $\phi$ be analytic in a domain containing $f(\mathbb{E}), \phi(0)=0$ and $\operatorname{Re}\left(\phi^{\prime}(0)\right)>0$. Then, the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be $\phi$ - like in $\mathbb{E}$, if

$$
\Re\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(f(z))}\right)>0, z \in \mathbb{E} .
$$

This concept was introduced by Brickman [1]. He proved that an analytic function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is univalent if and only if $f$ is $\phi$ - like for some analytic function $\phi$. Later, Ruscheweyh [7] investigated the following general class of $\phi$-like functions:
Let $\phi$ be analytic in a domain containing $f(\mathbb{E})$, where $\phi(0)=0, \phi^{\prime}(0)=1$ and $\phi(w) \neq 0$ for some $w \in f(\mathbb{E}) \backslash\{0\}$, then the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is called $\phi$-like with respect to a univalent function $q, q(0)=1$, if

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(f(z))} \prec q(z), z \in \mathbb{E}
$$

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be parabolic $\phi$ - like in $\mathbb{E}$, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(f(z))}\right)>\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(f(z))}-1\right|, z \in \mathbb{E} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equivalently, condition (1.5) can be written as:

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(f(z))} \prec q(z)=1+\frac{2}{\pi^{2}}\left(\log \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right)\right)^{2}
$$

In 2005, Ravichandran et al. [5] proved the following result for $\phi$-like functions:
Let $\alpha \neq 0$ be a complex number and $q(z)$ be a convex univalent function in $\mathbb{E}$.
Suppose $h(z)=\alpha q^{2}(z)+(1-\alpha) q(z)+\alpha z q^{\prime}(z)$ and

$$
\Re\left\{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}+2 q(z)+\left(1+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}\right)\right\}>0, z \in \mathbb{E}
$$

If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(f(z))}\left(1+\frac{\alpha z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}+\frac{\alpha\left(f^{\prime}(z)-(\phi(f(z)))^{\prime}\right.}{\phi(f(z))}\right) \prec h(z)
$$

then

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(f(z))} \prec q(z), z \in \mathbb{E},
$$

and $q(z)$ is best dominant. Later on, Shanmugam et al. [8] and Ibrahim [4] also obtained the results for $\phi$-like functions similar to the above mentioned results of

Ravichandran [5].
In this paper, we investigate the differential operator

$$
\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(g(z))}\right)^{\gamma}\left[a \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(g(z))}+b\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z(\phi(g(z)))^{\prime}}{\phi(g(z))}\right)\right]^{\beta}
$$

where $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\beta, \gamma$ be complex numbers such that $\beta \neq 0$. Also $\phi$ is an analytic function in a domain containing $g(\mathbb{E})$ such that $\phi(0)=0=\phi^{\prime}(0)-1$ and $\phi(w) \neq 0$ for $w \in g(\mathbb{E}) \backslash\{0\}$, for real numbers $a, b(\neq 0)$. As consequences of our main results, we obtain sufficient conditions for $\phi$-like, parabolic $\phi$-like, starlike, parabolic starlike, close-to-convex and uniformly close-to-convex functions.
We shall need the following lemma to prove our main result.
Lemma 1.1. ([3], Theorem 3.4h, p. 132) Let $q$ be univalent in $\mathbb{E}$ and let $\theta$ and $\varphi$ be analytic in a domain $\mathbb{D}$ containing $q(\mathbb{E})$, with $\varphi(w) \neq 0$, when $w \in q(\mathbb{E})$. Set

$$
Q_{1}(z)=z q^{\prime}(z) \varphi[q(z)], h(z)=\theta[q(z)]+Q_{1}(z)
$$

and suppose that either
(i) $h$ is convex, or
(ii) $Q_{1}$ is starlike.

In addition, assume that
(iii) $\Re\left(\frac{z h^{\prime}(z)}{Q_{1}(z)}\right)>0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{E}$.

If $p$ is analytic in $\mathbb{E}$, with $p(0)=q(0), p(\mathbb{E}) \subset \mathbb{D}$ and

$$
\theta[p(z)]+z p^{\prime}(z) \varphi[p(z)] \prec \theta[q(z)]+z q^{\prime}(z) \varphi[q(z)], z \in \mathbb{E},
$$

then $p(z) \prec q(z)$ and $q(z)$ is the best dominant.

## 2. Main results

Theorem 2.1. Let $\beta$ and $\gamma$ be complex numbers such that $\beta \neq 0$. Let $q(z) \neq 0$, be a univalent function in $\mathbb{E}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re\left[1+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}+\left(\frac{\gamma}{\beta}-1\right) \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}\right]>\max \left\{0,-\frac{a}{b}\left(1+\frac{\gamma}{\beta}\right) \Re(q(z))\right\} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where a and $b(\neq 0)$ are real numbers. Let $\phi$ be analytic function in the domain containing $g(\mathbb{E})$ such that $\phi(0)=0=\phi^{\prime}(0)-1$ and $\phi(w) \neq 0$ for $w \in g(\mathbb{E}) \backslash\{0\}$. If $f, g \in \mathcal{A}, \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(g(z))} \neq 0, z \in \mathbb{E}$, satisfy the differential subordination

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(g(z))}\right)^{\gamma}\left[a \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(g(z))}+b\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right.\right. & \left.\left.-\frac{z(\phi(g(z)))^{\prime}}{\phi(g(z))}\right)\right]^{\beta} \\
& \prec(q(z))^{\gamma}\left[a q(z)+b \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}\right]^{\beta} \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

then

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(g(z))} \prec q(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{E}
$$

and $q(z)$ is the best dominant.
Proof. On writing $\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(g(z))}=p(z)$ in (2.2), we obtain:

$$
(p(z))^{\gamma}\left(a p(z)+b \frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}\right)^{\beta} \prec(q(z))^{\gamma}\left(a q(z)+b \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}\right)^{\beta}
$$

or

$$
a(p(z))^{\frac{\gamma}{\beta}+1}+b(p(z))^{\frac{\gamma}{\beta}-1} z p^{\prime}(z) \prec a(q(z))^{\frac{\gamma}{\beta}+1}+b(q(z))^{\frac{\gamma}{\beta}-1} z q^{\prime}(z)
$$

Let us define the functions $\theta$ and $\phi$ as follows:

$$
\theta(w)=a w^{\frac{\gamma}{\beta}+1} \text { and } \phi(w)=b w^{\frac{\gamma}{\beta}-1}
$$

Obviously, the functions $\theta$ and $\phi$ are analytic in domain $\mathbb{D}=\mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$ and $\phi(w) \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{D}$.
Therefore,

$$
Q(z)=\phi(q(z)) z q^{\prime}(z)=b(q(z))^{\frac{\gamma}{\beta}-1} z q^{\prime}(z)
$$

and

$$
h(z)=\theta(q(z))+Q(z)=a(q(z))^{\frac{\gamma}{\beta}+1}+b(q(z))^{\frac{\gamma}{\beta}-1} z q^{\prime}(z)
$$

On differentiating, we obtain

$$
\frac{z Q^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)}=1+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}+\left(\frac{\gamma}{\beta}-1\right) \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}
$$

and

$$
\frac{z h^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)}=1+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}+\left(\frac{\gamma}{\beta}-1\right) \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}+\frac{a}{b}\left(1+\frac{\gamma}{\beta}\right) q(z)
$$

In view of the given condition (2.1), we see that $Q$ is starlike and $\Re\left(\frac{z h^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)}\right)>0$. Therefore, the proof, now follows from the Lemma [1.1].
On taking $g(z)=f(z)$ in Theorem 2.1, we have the following result:
Theorem 2.2. Let $\beta$ and $\gamma$ be complex numbers such that $\beta \neq 0$ and $q(z) \neq 0$, be a univalent function in $\mathbb{E}$, satisfying the condition (2.1) of Theorem 2.1 for real numbers $a, b(\neq 0)$. Let $\phi$ be analytic function in the domain containing $f(\mathbb{E})$ such that $\phi(0)=$ $0=\phi^{\prime}(0)-1$ and $\phi(w) \neq 0$ for $w \in f(\mathbb{E}) \backslash\{0\}$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}, \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(f(z))} \neq 0, z \in \mathbb{E}$, satisfy the differential subordination

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(f(z))}\right)^{\gamma}\left[a \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(f(z))}+b\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right.\right. & \left.\left.-\frac{z(\phi(f(z)))^{\prime}}{\phi(f(z))}\right)\right]^{\beta} \\
& \prec(q(z))^{\gamma}\left[a q(z)+b \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}\right]^{\beta}
\end{aligned}
$$

then

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(f(z))} \prec q(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{E}
$$

and $q(z)$ is the best dominant.
On taking $\phi(z)=z, g(z)=f(z)$ in Theorem 2.1, we have the following result:
Theorem 2.3. Let $\beta$ and $\gamma$ be complex numbers such that $\beta \neq 0$ and $q(z) \neq 0$, be a univalent function in $\mathbb{E}$, and satisfies the condition (2.1) of Theorem 2.1 for real numbers $a, b(\neq 0)$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}, \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \neq 0, z \in \mathbb{E}$, satisfies

$$
\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)^{\gamma}\left[(a-b) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+b\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)\right]^{\beta} \prec(q(z))^{\gamma}\left[a q(z)+b \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}\right]^{\beta}
$$

then

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec q(z), z \in \mathbb{E}
$$

and $q(z)$ is the best dominant.
On selecting $a=1$ and $b=\alpha$ in Theorem 2.3, we get the following result for the class of $\alpha$-convex functions.

Theorem 2.4. Let $\beta$ and $\gamma$ be complex numbers such that $\beta \neq 0$. Let $\alpha$ be a non-zero real number and $q(z) \neq 0$, be a univalent function in $\mathbb{E}$, and satisfies the condition (2.1) of Theorem 2.1. If $f \in \mathcal{A}, \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \neq 0 z \in \mathbb{E}$, satisfies

$$
\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)^{\gamma}\left[(1-\alpha) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\alpha\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)\right]^{\beta} \prec(q(z))^{\gamma}\left[q(z)+\alpha \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}\right]^{\beta}
$$

then

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec q(z), z \in \mathbb{E},
$$

and $q(z)$ is the best dominant.
By defining $\phi(z)=g(z)=z$ in Theorem 2.1, we obtain the following result:
Theorem 2.5. Let $\beta$ and $\gamma$ be complex numbers such that $\beta \neq 0$ and $q(z) \neq 0$, be a univalent function in $\mathbb{E}$, and satisfies the condition (2.1) of Theorem 2.1 for real numbers $a, b(\neq 0)$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}, f^{\prime}(z) \neq 0, z \in \mathbb{E}$, satisfies

$$
\left(f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\gamma}\left[a f^{\prime}(z)+b \frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right]^{\beta} \prec(q(z))^{\gamma}\left(a q(z)+b \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}\right)^{\beta}
$$

then

$$
f^{\prime}(z) \prec q(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{E},
$$

and $q(z)$ is the best dominant.

## 3. Applications

Remark 3.1. When we select the dominant

$$
q(z)=1+\frac{2}{\pi^{2}}\left(\log \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right)\right)^{2}
$$

we observed that the condition (2.1) of Theorem 2.1 holds, for real numbers $a, b(\neq 0)$ such that $\frac{a}{b}>0$ and real numbers $\beta(\neq 0), \gamma$ such that $\frac{-3}{4}<\frac{\gamma}{\beta}<\frac{3}{2}$. Consequently, we get:

Theorem 3.2. Let $\beta(\neq 0)$ and $\gamma$ be real numbers such that $\frac{-3}{4}<\frac{\gamma}{\beta}<\frac{3}{2}$ and $a, b$ $(\neq 0)$ be real numbers having same sign. Let $\phi$ be analytic function in the domain containing $g(\mathbb{E})$ such that $\phi(0)=0=\phi^{\prime}(0)-1$ and $\phi(w) \neq 0$ for $w \in g(\mathbb{E}) \backslash\{0\}$. If $f, g \in \mathcal{A}, \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(g(z))} \neq 0, z \in \mathbb{E}$, satisfy

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(g(z))}\right)^{\gamma}\left[a \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(g(z))}+b\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right.\right. & \left.\left.-\frac{z(\phi(g(z)))^{\prime}}{\phi(g(z))}\right)\right]^{\beta} \\
& \prec\left\{1+\frac{2}{\pi^{2}}\left(\log \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right)\right)^{2}\right\}^{\gamma} \\
& \left\{a+\frac{2 a}{\pi^{2}}\left(\log \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right)\right)^{2}+\frac{\frac{4 b \sqrt{z}}{\pi^{2}(1-z)} \log \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right)}{1+\frac{2}{\pi^{2}}\left(\log \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right)\right)^{2}}\right\}^{\beta}
\end{aligned}
$$

then

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(g(z))} \prec 1+\frac{2}{\pi^{2}}\left(\log \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right)\right)^{2}, z \in \mathbb{E}
$$

On taking $g(z)=f(z)$ in above theorem, we obtain:
Corollary 3.3. Let $\beta(\neq 0)$ and $\gamma$ be real numbers such that $\frac{-3}{4}<\frac{\gamma}{\beta}<\frac{3}{2}$ and $a, b$ $(\neq 0)$ be real numbers having same sign. Let $\phi$ be analytic function in the domain containing $f(\mathbb{E})$ such that $\phi(0)=0=\phi^{\prime}(0)-1$ and $\phi(w) \neq 0$ for $w \in f(\mathbb{E}) \backslash\{0\}$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}, \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(f(z))} \neq 0, z \in \mathbb{E}$, satisfy

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(f(z))}\right)^{\gamma}\left[a \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(f(z))}+b\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right.\right. & \left.\left.-\frac{z(\phi(f(z)))^{\prime}}{\phi(f(z))}\right)\right]^{\beta} \\
& \prec\left\{1+\frac{2}{\pi^{2}}\left(\log \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right)\right)^{2}\right\}^{\gamma}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left\{a+\frac{2 a}{\pi^{2}}\left(\log \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right)\right)^{2}+\frac{\frac{4 b \sqrt{z}}{\pi^{2}(1-z)} \log \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right)}{1+\frac{2}{\pi^{2}}\left(\log \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right)\right)^{2}}\right\}^{\beta}
$$

then

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(f(z))} \prec 1+\frac{2}{\pi^{2}}\left(\log \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right)\right)^{2}, z \in \mathbb{E}
$$

and hence $f(z)$ is parabolic $\phi$-like.
For $\phi(z)=z$ and $g(z)=f(z)$ in Theorem 3.2, we obtain the following result:
Corollary 3.4. Let $\beta(\neq 0)$ and $\gamma$ be real numbers such that $\frac{-3}{4}<\frac{\gamma}{\beta}<\frac{3}{2}$ and $a, b$ $(\neq 0)$ be real numbers having same sign. If $f \in \mathcal{A}, \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \neq 0, z \in \mathbb{E}$, satisfy

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)^{\gamma}\left[(a-b) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+b\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)\right]^{\beta} \prec\left\{1+\frac{2}{\pi^{2}}\left(\log \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right)\right)^{2}\right\}^{\gamma} \\
\left\{a+\frac{2 a}{\pi^{2}}\left(\log \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right)\right)^{2}+\frac{\frac{4 b \sqrt{z}}{\pi^{2}(1-z)} \log \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right)}{1+\frac{2}{\pi^{2}}\left(\log \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right)\right)^{2}}\right\}^{\beta}
\end{gathered}
$$

then

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec 1+\frac{2}{\pi^{2}}\left(\log \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right)\right)^{2}, z \in \mathbb{E}
$$

and hence $f(z)$ is parabolic starlike.
Selecting $a=1$ and $b=\alpha$ in above corollary, we get the following result for the class of $\alpha$-convex functions:
Corollary 3.5. Let $\beta(\neq 0)$ and $\gamma$ be real numbers such that $\frac{-3}{4}<\frac{\gamma}{\beta}<\frac{3}{2}$ and $\alpha$ be a non-zero real number. If $f \in \mathcal{A}, \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \neq 0, z \in \mathbb{E}$, satisfies

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)^{\gamma}\left[(1-\alpha) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\alpha\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)\right]^{\beta} \prec\left\{1+\frac{2}{\pi^{2}}\left(\log \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right)\right)^{2}\right\}^{\gamma} \\
\left\{1+\frac{2}{\pi^{2}}\left(\log \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right)\right)^{2}+\frac{\frac{4 \alpha \sqrt{z}}{\pi^{2}(1-z)} \log \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right)}{1+\frac{2}{\pi^{2}}\left(\log \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right)\right)^{2}}\right\}
\end{array}
$$

then

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec 1+\frac{2}{\pi^{2}}\left(\log \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right)\right)^{2}, z \in \mathbb{E}
$$

and hence $f(z)$ is parabolic starlike.
On taking $\phi(z)=g(z)=z$ in Theorem 3.2, we have:

Corollary 3.6. Let $\beta(\neq 0)$ and $\gamma$ be real numbers such that $\frac{-3}{4}<\frac{\gamma}{\beta}<\frac{3}{2}$ and $a, b$ $(\neq 0)$ be real numbers having same sign. If $f \in \mathcal{A}, f^{\prime}(z) \neq 0, z \in \mathbb{E}$, satisfies

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\gamma}\left[a f^{\prime}(z)+b\left(\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)\right]^{\beta} \prec\left\{1+\frac{2}{\pi^{2}}\left(\log \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right)\right)^{2}\right\}^{\gamma} \\
\left\{a+\frac{2 a}{\pi^{2}}\left(\log \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right)\right)^{2}+\frac{\frac{4 b \sqrt{z}}{\pi^{2}(1-z)} \log \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right)}{1+\frac{2}{\pi^{2}}\left(\log \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right)\right)^{2}}\right\}^{\beta}
\end{gathered}
$$

then

$$
f^{\prime}(z) \prec 1+\frac{2}{\pi^{2}}\left(\log \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right)\right)^{2}, z \in \mathbb{E}
$$

and hence $f(z)$ is uniformly close-to-convex.
Remark 3.7. It is easy to verify that the dominant $q(z)=\frac{1+z}{1-z}$, satisfies the condition (2.1) of Theorem 2.1, for real numbers $a, b(\neq 0)$ having same sign and real numbers $\gamma$ and $\beta(\neq 0)$ such that $\gamma=\beta$ or $\gamma=0$.
For $\gamma=\beta$, Theorem 2.1 yields:
Theorem 3.8. Let $\phi$ be analytic function in the domain containing $g(\mathbb{E})$ such that $\phi(0)=0=\phi^{\prime}(0)-1$ and $\phi(w) \neq 0$ for $w \in g(\mathbb{E}) \backslash\{0\}$. If $f, g \in \mathcal{A}, \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(g(z))} \neq 0, z \in \mathbb{E}$, and for real numbers $a, b(\neq 0)$ having same sign, satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
a\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(g(z))}\right)^{2}+b\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(g(z))}\right)\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z(\phi(g(z)))^{\prime}}{\phi(g(z))}\right) & \prec a\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{2} \\
& +\frac{2 b z}{(1-z)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

then

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(g(z))} \prec \frac{1+z}{1-z}, z \in \mathbb{E}
$$

On taking $g(z)=f(z)$ in above theorem, we obtain:
Corollary 3.9. Let $\phi$ be analytic function in the domain containing $f(\mathbb{E})$ such that $\phi(0)=0=\phi^{\prime}(0)-1$ and $\phi(w) \neq 0$ for $w \in f(\mathbb{E}) \backslash\{0\}$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}, \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(f(z))} \neq 0, z \in \mathbb{E}$, and for real numbers $a, b(\neq 0)$ having same sign, satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
a\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(f(z))}\right)^{2}+b\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(f(z))}\right)\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z(\phi(f(z)))^{\prime}}{\phi(f(z))}\right) & \prec a\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{2} \\
& +\frac{2 b z}{(1-z)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

then

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(f(z))} \prec \frac{1+z}{1-z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{E}
$$

i.e. $f(z)$ is $\phi$-like function.

For $\phi(z)=z$ and $g(z)=f(z)$ in Theorem 3.8, we obtain the following result:
Corollary 3.10. Let $a, b(\neq 0)$ be real numbers having same sign. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \neq 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{E}
$$

satisfy

$$
(a-b)\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)^{2}+b\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right) \prec a\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{2}+\frac{2 b z}{(1-z)^{2}}
$$

then

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec \frac{1+z}{1-z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{E},
$$

and hence $f(z)$ is starlike.
Selecting $a=1$ and $b=\alpha$ in above corollary, we get the following result for the class of $\alpha$-convex functions:
Corollary 3.11. Let $\alpha$ be a non-zero real number. If $f \in \mathcal{A}, \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \neq 0, z \in \mathbb{E}$, satisfies

$$
(1-\alpha)\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)^{2}+\alpha\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right) \prec\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{2}+\frac{2 \alpha z}{(1-z)^{2}}
$$

then

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec \frac{1+z}{1-z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{E}
$$

Hence $f(z)$ is starlike.
On taking $\phi(z)=g(z)=z$ in Theorem 3.8, we have:
Corollary 3.12. Let $a, b(\neq 0)$ are real numbers with same sign. If $f \in \mathcal{A}, f^{\prime}(z) \neq 0$, $z \in \mathbb{E}$, satisfies

$$
a\left(f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{2}+b z f^{\prime \prime}(z) \prec a\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{2}+\frac{2 b z}{(1-z)^{2}}
$$

then

$$
f^{\prime}(z) \prec\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right), z \in \mathbb{E},
$$

and hence $f(z)$ is close-to-convex.
For $\gamma=0$, Theorem 2.1 yields:

Theorem 3.13. Let $\phi$ be analytic function in the domain containing $g(\mathbb{E})$ such that $\phi(0)=0=\phi^{\prime}(0)-1$ and $\phi(w) \neq 0$ for $w \in g(\mathbb{E}) \backslash\{0\}$. If $f, g \in \mathcal{A}, \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(g(z))} \neq 0, z \in \mathbb{E}$, and for real numbers $a, b(\neq 0)$ with same sign, satisfies

$$
a \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(g(z))}+b\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z(\phi(g(z)))^{\prime}}{\phi(g(z))}\right) \prec a\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)+\frac{2 b z}{(1-z)^{2}}
$$

then

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(g(z))} \prec \frac{1+z}{1-z}, z \in \mathbb{E}
$$

On taking $g(z)=f(z)$ in above theorem, we obtain:
Corollary 3.14. Let $\phi$ be analytic function in the domain containing $f(\mathbb{E})$ such that $\phi(0)=0=\phi^{\prime}(0)-1$ and $\phi(w) \neq 0$ for $w \in f(\mathbb{E}) \backslash\{0\}$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}, \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(f(z))} \neq 0, z \in \mathbb{E}$, and for real numbers $a, b(\neq 0)$ with same sign, satisfies

$$
a \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(f(z))}+b\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z(\phi(f(z)))^{\prime}}{\phi(f(z))}\right) \prec a\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)+\frac{2 b z}{(1-z)^{2}}
$$

then

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(f(z))} \prec \frac{1+z}{1-z}, z \in \mathbb{E}
$$

i.e. $f(z)$ is $\phi$-like function.

For $\phi(z)=z$ and $g(z)=f(z)$ in Theorem 3.13, we obtain the following result:
Corollary 3.15. Let $a, b(\neq 0)$ are real numbers with same sign. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \neq 0, z \in \mathbb{E}
$$

satisfy

$$
(a-b) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+b\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right) \prec a\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)+\frac{2 b z}{(1-z)^{2}}
$$

then

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec \frac{1+z}{1-z}, z \in \mathbb{E}
$$

and hence $f(z)$ is starlike.
Selecting $a=1$ and $b=\alpha$ in above corollary, we get the following result for the class of $\alpha$-convex functions:
Corollary 3.16. Let $\alpha$ be a non-zero real number. If $f \in \mathcal{A}, \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \neq 0, z \in \mathbb{E}$, satisfies

$$
(1-\alpha) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\alpha\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right) \prec \frac{1+z}{1-z}+\frac{2 \alpha z}{(1-z)^{2}}
$$

then

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec \frac{1+z}{1-z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{E}
$$

Hence $f(z)$ is starlike.
On taking $\phi(z)=g(z)=z$ in Theorem 3.13, we have:
Corollary 3.17. Let $a, b(\neq 0)$ are real numbers with same sign. If $f \in \mathcal{A}, f^{\prime}(z) \neq 0$, $z \in \mathbb{E}$, satisfies

$$
a f^{\prime}(z)+b \frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)} \prec a\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)+\frac{2 b z}{(1-z)^{2}}
$$

then

$$
f^{\prime}(z) \prec \frac{1+z}{1-z}, z \in \mathbb{E}
$$

and hence $f(z)$ is close-to-convex.
Remark 3.18. When we select the dominant $q(z)=e^{z}$, then this dominant satisfies the condition (2.1) of Theorem 2.1 for real numbers $a, b(\neq 0)$ with same sign and real numbers $\gamma, \beta(\neq 0)$ such that $0<\frac{\gamma}{\beta} \leq 1$. Consequently, we obtain the following result:

Theorem 3.19. Let $a, b(\neq 0)$ be real numbers with same sign and $\gamma, \beta(\neq 0)$ such that $0<\frac{\gamma}{\beta} \leq 1$. Let $\phi$ be analytic function in the domain containing $g(\mathbb{E})$ such that $\phi(0)=0=\phi^{\prime}(0)-1$ and $\phi(w) \neq 0$ for $w \in g(\mathbb{E}) \backslash\{0\}$. If $f, g \in \mathcal{A}, \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(g(z))} \neq 0, z \in \mathbb{E}$, satisfy

$$
\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(g(z))}\right)^{\gamma}\left[a \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(g(z))}+b\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z(\phi(g(z)))^{\prime}}{\phi(g(z))}\right)\right]^{\beta} \prec e^{\gamma z}\left[a e^{z}+b z\right]^{\beta}
$$

then

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(g(z))} \prec e^{z}, z \in \mathbb{E}
$$

On choosing $g(z)=f(z)$ in above theorem, we obtain:
Corollary 3.20. Let $a, b(\neq 0)$ be real numbers with same sign and $\gamma, \beta(\neq 0)$ be real numbers such that $0<\frac{\gamma}{\beta} \leq 1$. Let $\phi$ be analytic function in the domain containing $f(\mathbb{E})$ such that $\phi(0)=0=\phi^{\prime}(0)-1$ and $\phi(w) \neq 0$ for $w \in f(\mathbb{E}) \backslash\{0\}$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(f(z))} \neq 0, z \in \mathbb{E}
$$

satisfy

$$
\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(f(z))}\right)^{\gamma}\left[a \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(f(z))}+b\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z(\phi(f(z)))^{\prime}}{\phi(f(z))}\right)\right]^{\beta} \prec e^{\gamma z}\left[a e^{z}+b z\right]^{\beta}
$$

then

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(f(z))} \prec e^{z}, z \in \mathbb{E}
$$

i.e. $f(z)$ is $\phi$-like.

On selecting $\phi(z)=z$ and $g(z)=f(z)$ in Theorem 3.19, we get:
Corollary 3.21. Let $a, b(\neq 0)$ be real numbers with same sign and $\gamma, \beta(\neq 0)$ be real numbers such that $0<\frac{\gamma}{\beta} \leq 1$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}, \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \neq 0, z \in \mathbb{E}$, satisfy the differential subordination

$$
\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)^{\gamma}\left[(a-b) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+b\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)\right]^{\beta} \prec e^{\gamma z}\left[a e^{z}+b z\right]^{\beta}
$$

then

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec e^{z}, z \in \mathbb{E}
$$

and hence $f(z)$ is starlike.
On choosing $a=1$ and $b=\alpha$ in above corollary, we obtain:
Corollary 3.22. Let $\alpha$ be a non-zero real number and real numbers $\gamma, \beta(\neq 0)$ such that $0<\frac{\gamma}{\beta} \leq 1$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}, \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \neq 0, z \in \mathbb{E}$, satisfies

$$
\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)^{\gamma}\left[(1-\alpha) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\alpha\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)\right]^{\beta} \prec e^{\gamma z}\left[e^{z}+\alpha z\right]^{\beta}
$$

then

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec e^{z}, z \in \mathbb{E}
$$

Therefore, $f \in S^{*}$.
For $\phi(z)=g(z)=z$ in Theorem 3.19, we obtain the following result:
Corollary 3.23. Let $a, b(\neq 0)$ be real numbers with same sign and $\gamma, \beta(\neq 0)$ be real numbers such that $0<\frac{\gamma}{\beta} \leq 1$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}, f^{\prime}(z) \neq 0, z \in \mathbb{E}$, satisfies

$$
\left(f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\gamma}\left[a f^{\prime}(z)+b \frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right]^{\beta} \prec e^{\gamma z}\left[a e^{z}+b z\right]^{\beta}
$$

then

$$
f^{\prime}(z) \prec e^{z}, z \in \mathbb{E}
$$

and hence $f(z)$ is close-to-convex.
Remark 3.24. By selecting the dominant $q(z)=1+m z, 0<m \leq 1$, we observed that the Condition (2.1) of Theorem 2.1 holds for all real numbers $a, b(\neq 0)$ such that $\frac{a}{b}>0$, and $\gamma=0$. Thus from Theorem 2.1, we have the following result:

Theorem 3.25. Let $\phi$ be analytic function in the domain containing $g(\mathbb{E})$, where $\phi(0)=$ $0=\phi^{\prime}(0)-1$ and $\phi(w) \neq 0$ for $w \in g(\mathbb{E}) \backslash\{0\}$. Let real numbers $a, b(\neq 0)$ be such that $\frac{a}{b}>0$. If $f, g \in \mathcal{A}, \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(g(z))} \neq 0, z \in \mathbb{E}$, satisfy

$$
\left[a \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(g(z))}+b\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z(\phi(g(z)))^{\prime}}{\phi(g(z))}\right)\right] \prec\left[a(1+m z)+\frac{b m z}{1+m z}\right]
$$

then

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(g(z))} \prec 1+m z, \text { where } 0<m \leq 1, z \in \mathbb{E} .
$$

Taking $g(z)=f(z)$ in above theorem, we get the following result:
Corollary 3.26. Let $\phi$ be analytic function in the domain containing $f(\mathbb{E})$, where $\phi(0)=0=\phi^{\prime}(0)-1$ and $\phi(w) \neq 0$ for $w \in f(\mathbb{E}) \backslash\{0\}$. Let real numbers $a, b(\neq 0)$ be such that $\frac{a}{b}>0$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}, \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(f(z))} \neq 0, z \in \mathbb{E}$, satisfy

$$
\left[a \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(f(z))}+b\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z(\phi(f(z)))^{\prime}}{\phi(f(z))}\right)\right] \prec\left[a(1+m z)+\frac{b m z}{1+m z}\right]
$$

then

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(f(z))} \prec 1+m z, \text { where } 0<m \leq 1, z \in \mathbb{E}
$$

i.e. $f(z)$ is $\phi$-like.

From Theorem 3.25, for $\phi(z)=z$ and $g(z)=f(z)$, we obtain:
Corollary 3.27. Let $a, b(\neq 0)$ are real numbers having same sign. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \neq 0, z \in \mathbb{E}
$$

satisfies

$$
\left[(a-b) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+b\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)\right] \prec\left[a(1+m z)+\frac{b m z}{1+m z}\right]
$$

then

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec 1+m z, \text { where } 0<m \leq 1, z \in \mathbb{E}
$$

and hence $f(z)$ is starlike.
On selecting $a=1$ and $b=\alpha$ in above corollary, we get the following result:
Corollary 3.28. Let $\alpha>0$ be a real number. If $f \in \mathcal{A}, \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \neq 0, z \in \mathbb{E}$, satisfies the differential subordination

$$
\left[(1-\alpha) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\alpha\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)\right] \prec\left[(1+m z)+\frac{\alpha m z}{1+m z}\right]
$$

then

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec 1+m z, 0<m \leq 1, z \in \mathbb{E}
$$

and hence $f(z)$ is starlike.
Selecting $\phi(z)=g(z)=z$, in Theorem 3.25, we have:
Corollary 3.29. Let $a, b(\neq 0)$ be real numbers having same sign. If $f \in \mathcal{A}, f^{\prime}(z) \neq 0$, $z \in \mathbb{E}$, satisfies

$$
\left[a f^{\prime}(z)+b \frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right] \prec\left[a(1+m z)+\frac{b m z}{1+m z}\right]
$$

then

$$
f^{\prime}(z) \prec 1+m z, \quad 0<m \leq 1, \quad z \in \mathbb{E},
$$

and hence $f(z)$ is close-to-convex.
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