

# Multisymplectic connections on supermanifolds

Masoud Aminizadeh and Mina Ghotbaldini

**Abstract.** In this paper we show that on any multisymplectic supermanifold there exist a connection compatible to the multisymplectic form.

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010):** 58A50, 53D05.

**Keywords:** Multisymplectic supermanifolds, multisymplectic connections.

## 1. Introduction

Multisymplectic structures in field theory play a role similar to that of symplectic structures in classical mechanics. In the other hand supergeometry plays an important role in physics. In [2] and [3], the authors studied geometry of symplectic connections and in [1], the author studied symplectic connections on supermanifold. In this paper we study multisymplectic connections on supermanifolds.

A supermanifold  $\mathcal{M}$  of dimension  $n|m$  is a pair  $(M, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}})$ , where  $M$  is a Hausdorff topological space and  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$  is a sheaf of commutative superalgebras with unity over  $\mathbb{R}$  locally isomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^{m|n} = (\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}^n} \otimes \Lambda_{\eta^1, \dots, \eta^m})$ , where  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}^n}$  is the sheaf of smooth functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\Lambda_{\eta^1, \dots, \eta^m}$  is the grassmann superalgebra of  $m$  generators (for more details see [5]).

If  $\mathcal{M}$  is a supermanifold of dimension  $n|m$ , we define the tangent sheaf as follows,

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}(U) = Der(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}(U)),$$

the  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}(U)$ -supermodule of derivations of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}(U)$ .  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}$  is locally free of dimension  $n|m$ . The sections of  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}$  are called vector fields.

**Definition 1.1.** If  $\xi$  be a locally free sheaf of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$ -supermodules on  $\mathcal{M}$ , a connection on  $\xi$  is a morphism  $\nabla : \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \xi \rightarrow \xi$  of sheaves of supermodules over  $\mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\nabla_{fX}v = f\nabla_Xv, \nabla_Xfv = (Xf) + (-1)^{\tilde{X}\tilde{f}}f\nabla_Xv \text{ and } \widetilde{\nabla_Xv} = \tilde{v} + \tilde{X},$$

for all homogeneous function  $f$ , vector fields  $X$  and section  $v$  of  $\xi$ . (In the case  $\xi = \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}$  we speak of a connection on  $\mathcal{M}$ ).

We define the torsion of a connection  $\nabla$  on  $\mathcal{T}_M$  by

$$T(X, Y) = \nabla_X Y - (-1)^{\tilde{X}\tilde{Y}} \nabla_Y X - [X, Y].$$

**Definition 1.2.** A graded Riemannian metric on supermanifold  $\mathcal{M}$  is a graded-symmetric non-degenerate  $\mathcal{O}_M$ -linear morphism of sheaves

$$g : \mathcal{T}_M \otimes \mathcal{T}_M \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_M.$$

A supermanifold equipped with graded Riemannian metric is called a Riemannian supermanifold. If  $\mathcal{M}$  is a Riemannian supermanifold with Riemannian metric  $g$ , we call a connection  $\nabla$  metric if  $\nabla g = 0$ .

On a supermanifold  $M$  with a Riemannian metric  $g$ , there exist a unique torsion free and metric connection  $\nabla^0$ , which will be called the Levi-Civita connection of the metric (see [4]).

## 2. Multisymplectic connections on supermanifolds

Let us consider a multisymplectic supermanifold of degree  $k$   $(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ , i.e. a supermanifold  $\mathcal{M}$  with a closed non-degenerate graded differential  $k$ -form  $\omega$ .

**Definition 2.1.** A multisymplectic connection on  $\mathcal{M}$  is a connection for which:

i) The torsion tensor vanishes, i.e.

$$\nabla_X Y - (-1)^{\tilde{X}\tilde{Y}} \nabla_Y X = [X, Y].$$

ii) It is compatible to the multisymplectic form, i.e.  $\nabla \omega = 0$ .

To prove the existence of such a connection, take  $\nabla^0$  to be the Levi-Civita connection associated to a metric  $g$  on  $\mathcal{M}$ . Consider tensor  $N$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  defined by

$$\nabla_{Y_0}^0 \omega(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_k) = (-1)^{\tilde{\omega}\tilde{Y}_0} \omega(N(Y_0, Y_1), Y_2, \dots, Y_k).$$

We shall proof some properties of  $N$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** We have

i)  $\omega(N(Y_0, Y_1), Y_2, \dots, Y_k) = -(-1)^{\tilde{Y}_1\tilde{Y}_2} \omega(N(Y_0, Y_2), Y_1, \dots, Y_k)$ ;

ii)  $\omega(N(Y_0, Y_1), Y_2, \dots, Y_k) + \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i+\sum_{p<i} \tilde{Y}_p} \hat{Y}_i \omega(N(Y_i, Y_0), Y_1, \dots, \hat{Y}_i, \dots, Y_k) = 0$ , where the hats indicate omitted arguments.

*Proof.* We first prove (i)

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(N(Y_0, Y_1), Y_2, \dots, Y_k) &= (-1)^{\tilde{Y}_0\tilde{\omega}} \nabla_{Y_0}^0 \omega(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_k) \\ &= -(-1)^{\tilde{Y}_0\tilde{\omega}+\tilde{Y}_1\tilde{Y}_2} \nabla_{Y_0}^0 \omega(Y_2, Y_1, \dots, Y_k) \\ &= -(-1)^{\tilde{Y}_1\tilde{Y}_2} \omega(N(Y_0, Y_2), Y_1, \dots, Y_k). \end{aligned}$$

For proof (ii) we know  $d\omega = 0$  so

$$0 = d\omega(Y_0, Y_1, \dots, Y_k) = \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{i+\tilde{Y}_i(\tilde{\omega}+\sum_{p<i} \tilde{Y}_p)} Y_i(\omega(Y_0, \dots, \hat{Y}_i, \dots, Y_k))$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{j + \sum_{i < p < j} \widetilde{Y}_j \widetilde{Y}_p} \omega(Y_0, \dots, Y_{i-1}, [Y_i, Y_j], Y_{i+1}, \dots, \hat{Y}_j, \dots, Y_k) \\
 & \quad = \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{i + \widetilde{Y}_i(\widetilde{\omega} + \sum_{p < i} \widetilde{Y}_p)} Y_i(\omega(Y_0, \dots, \hat{Y}_i, \dots, Y_k)) \\
 & + \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{j + \sum_{i < p < j} \widetilde{Y}_j \widetilde{Y}_p} \omega(Y_0, \dots, Y_{i-1}, \nabla_{Y_i}^0 Y_j - (-1)^{\widetilde{Y}_i \widetilde{Y}_j} \nabla_{Y_j}^0 Y_i, Y_{i+1}, \dots, \hat{Y}_j, \dots, Y_k) \\
 & \quad = \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{i + \widetilde{Y}_i(\widetilde{\omega} + \sum_{p < i} \widetilde{Y}_p)} Y_i(\omega(Y_0, \dots, \hat{Y}_i, \dots, Y_k)) \\
 & + \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{j + \sum_{i < p < j} \widetilde{Y}_j \widetilde{Y}_p} \omega(Y_0, \dots, Y_{i-1}, \nabla_{Y_i}^0 Y_j, Y_{i+1}, \dots, \hat{Y}_j, \dots, Y_k) \\
 & - \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{j + \sum_{i \leq p < j} \widetilde{Y}_j \widetilde{Y}_p} \omega(Y_0, \dots, Y_{i-1}, \nabla_{Y_j}^0 Y_i, Y_{i+1}, \dots, \hat{Y}_j, \dots, Y_k) \\
 & \quad = \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{i + \widetilde{Y}_i(\widetilde{\omega} + \sum_{p < i} \widetilde{Y}_p)} Y_i(\omega(Y_0, \dots, \hat{Y}_i, \dots, Y_k)) \\
 & + \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{j + \sum_{i < p < j} \widetilde{Y}_j \widetilde{Y}_p} \omega(Y_0, \dots, Y_{i-1}, \nabla_{Y_i}^0 Y_j, Y_{i+1}, \dots, \hat{Y}_j, \dots, Y_k) \\
 & - \sum_{j < i} (-1)^{i + \sum_{j \leq p < i} \widetilde{Y}_i \widetilde{Y}_p} \omega(Y_0, \dots, Y_{j-1}, \nabla_{Y_i}^0 Y_j, Y_{j+1}, \dots, \hat{Y}_i, \dots, Y_k) \\
 & \quad = \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{i + \widetilde{Y}_i(\widetilde{\omega} + \sum_{p < i} \widetilde{Y}_p)} Y_i(\omega(Y_0, \dots, \hat{Y}_i, \dots, Y_k)) \\
 & - \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i + \sum_{i < p < j} \widetilde{Y}_i \widetilde{Y}_p} \omega(Y_0, \dots, Y_{i-1}, \hat{Y}_i, \dots, Y_{j-1}, \nabla_{Y_i}^0 Y_j, Y_{j+1}, \dots, Y_k) \\
 & - \sum_{j < i} (-1)^{i + \sum_{j \leq p < i} \widetilde{Y}_i \widetilde{Y}_p} \omega(Y_0, \dots, Y_{j-1}, \nabla_{Y_i}^0 Y_j, Y_{j+1}, \dots, \hat{Y}_i, \dots, Y_k) \\
 & \quad = \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{i + \widetilde{Y}_i(\widetilde{\omega} + \sum_{p < i} \widetilde{Y}_p)} (Y_i(\omega(Y_0, \dots, \hat{Y}_i, \dots, Y_k))) \\
 & - \sum_j (-1)^{\widetilde{Y}_i(\widetilde{\omega} + \sum_{p < i} \widetilde{Y}_p)} \omega(Y_0, \dots, Y_{j-1}, \nabla_{Y_i}^0 Y_j, \dots, \hat{Y}_i, \dots, Y_k) \\
 & \quad = \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{i + \widetilde{Y}_i(\widetilde{\omega} + \sum_{p < i} \widetilde{Y}_p)} \nabla_{Y_i}^0 \omega(Y_0, \dots, \hat{Y}_i, \dots, Y_k) \\
 & \quad \quad = (-1)^{\widetilde{Y}_0 \widetilde{\omega}} \nabla_{Y_0}^0 \omega(Y_1, \dots, Y_k) \\
 & \quad + \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i + \widetilde{Y}_i(\widetilde{\omega} + \sum_{p < i} \widetilde{Y}_p)} \nabla_{Y_i}^0 \omega(Y_0, \dots, \hat{Y}_i, \dots, Y_k) \\
 & = \omega(N(Y_0, Y_1), Y_2, \dots, Y_k) + \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i + \sum_{p < i} \widetilde{Y}_p \widetilde{Y}_i} \omega(N(Y_i, Y_0), Y_1, \dots, \hat{Y}_i, \dots, Y_k). \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we show that on any multisymplectic supermanifold there exist a connection compatible to the multisymplectic form.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$  be a multisymplectic supermanifold. Then on  $\mathcal{M}$  there is at least a multisymplectic connection.*

*Proof.* We define now a new connection  $\nabla$  as follows

$$\nabla_X Y = \nabla_X^0 Y + \frac{1}{k+1} N(X, Y) + \frac{(-1)^{\tilde{X}\tilde{Y}}}{k+1} N(Y, X).$$

It is easy to show that  $\nabla$  is a torsion free connection. We show that the connection is compatible with the multisymplectic form  $\omega$ , i.e.  $\nabla\omega = 0$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \nabla_{Y_0} \omega(Y_1, \dots, Y_k) = Y_0(\omega(Y_1, \dots, Y_k)) \\ & - \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{\tilde{Y}_0(\tilde{\omega} + \sum_{p < i} \tilde{Y}_p)} \omega(Y_1, \dots, Y_{i-1}, \nabla_{Y_0} Y_i, Y_{i+1}, \dots, Y_k) \\ & = Y_0(\omega(Y_1, \dots, Y_k)) - \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{\tilde{Y}_0(\tilde{\omega} + \sum_{p < i} \tilde{Y}_p)} \omega(Y_1, \dots, Y_{i-1}, \nabla_{Y_0}^0 Y_i \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{k+1} N(Y_0, Y_i) + \frac{(-1)^{\tilde{Y}_0 \tilde{Y}_i}}{k+1} N(Y_i, Y_0), Y_{i+1}, \dots, Y_k) \\ & = Y_0(\omega(Y_1, \dots, Y_k)) - \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{\tilde{Y}_0(\tilde{\omega} + \sum_{1 \leq p < i} \tilde{Y}_p)} \omega(Y_1, \dots, Y_{i-1}, \nabla_{Y_0}^0 Y_i, Y_{i+1}, \dots, Y_k) \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{\tilde{Y}_0(\tilde{\omega} + \sum_{1 \leq p < i} \tilde{Y}_p)} \omega(Y_1, \dots, Y_{i-1}, N(Y_0, Y_i), Y_{i+1}, \dots, Y_k) \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{\tilde{Y}_0(\tilde{\omega} + \sum_{1 \leq p \leq i} \tilde{Y}_p)} \omega(Y_1, \dots, Y_{i-1}, N(Y_i, Y_0), Y_{i+1}, \dots, Y_k) \\ & \quad = \nabla_{Y_0}^0 \omega(Y_1, \dots, Y_k) \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i-1} (-1)^{\tilde{Y}_0 \tilde{\omega} + \tilde{Y}_i \sum_{1 \leq p < i} \tilde{Y}_p} \omega(N(Y_0, Y_i), Y_1, \dots, \hat{Y}_i, \dots, Y_k) \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i-1} (-1)^{\tilde{Y}_0 \tilde{\omega} + \tilde{Y}_i \sum_{0 \leq p < i} \tilde{Y}_p} \omega(N(Y_i, Y_0), Y_1, \dots, \hat{Y}_i, \dots, Y_k) \\ & = (-1)^{\tilde{Y}_0 \tilde{\omega}} \omega(N(Y_0, Y_1), Y_2, \dots, Y_k) - \frac{k}{k+1} (-1)^{\tilde{Y}_0 \tilde{\omega}} \omega(N(Y_0, Y_1), Y_2, \dots, Y_k) \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i + \tilde{Y}_0 \tilde{\omega} + \tilde{Y}_i \sum_{0 \leq p < i} \tilde{Y}_p} \omega(N(Y_i, Y_0), Y_1, \dots, \hat{Y}_i, \dots, Y_k) \\ & \quad = \frac{1}{k+1} (-1)^{\tilde{Y}_0 \tilde{\omega}} \omega(N(Y_0, Y_1), Y_2, \dots, Y_k) \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i+\tilde{Y}_i} \sum_{p<i} \tilde{Y}_p \omega(N(Y_i, Y_0), Y_1, \dots, \hat{Y}_i, \dots, Y_k) = 0. \quad \square$$

Let now  $\nabla$  be a multisymplectic connection and  $\nabla'_X Y = \nabla_X Y + S(X, Y)$ , where  $S$  is a tensor field on  $\mathcal{M}$ . We have

**Theorem 2.4.**  $\nabla'$  is a multisymplectic connection if and only if  $S$  is supersymmetric and

$$\sum_i (-1)^{\sum_{p<i} \tilde{Y}_0 \tilde{Y}_p} \omega(Y_1, \dots, Y_{i-1}, S(Y_0, Y_i), Y_{i+1}, \dots, Y_k) = 0.$$

*Proof.* If we want  $\nabla'$  to be torsion free then

$$\nabla_Y X + S(X, Y) - (-1)^{\tilde{X}\tilde{Y}} \nabla_Y X - (-1)^{\tilde{X}\tilde{Y}} S(Y, X) = [X, Y].$$

So  $S(X, Y) = -(-1)^{\tilde{X}\tilde{Y}} S(Y, X)$ . If  $\nabla'$  be compatible to the multisymplectic form  $\omega$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \nabla'_{Y_0} \omega(Y_1, \dots, Y_k) = Y_0(\omega(Y_1, \dots, Y_k)) \\ &\quad - \sum_i (-1)^{\tilde{Y}_0(\tilde{\omega} + \sum_{p<i} \tilde{Y}_p)} \omega(Y_1, \dots, Y_{i-1}, \nabla'_{Y_0} Y_i, Y_{i+1}, \dots, Y_k) \\ &= \nabla_{Y_0} \omega(Y_1, \dots, Y_k) - (-1)^{\tilde{Y}_0 \tilde{\omega}} (\sum_i (-1)^{\sum_{p<i} \tilde{Y}_0 \tilde{Y}_p} \omega(Y_1, \dots, Y_{i-1}, S(Y_0, Y_i), Y_{i+1}, \dots, Y_k)). \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\sum_i (-1)^{\sum_{p<i} \tilde{Y}_0 \tilde{Y}_p} \omega(Y_1, \dots, Y_{i-1}, S(Y_0, Y_i), Y_{i+1}, \dots, Y_k) = 0. \quad \square$$

### References

- [1] Blaga, P.A., *Symplectic connections on supermanifolds: Existence and non-uniqueness*, Stud. Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math., **58**(2013), no. 4, 477-483.
- [2] Bieliavsky, P., Cahen, M., Gutt, S., Rawnsley, J., Schwachhofer, L., *Symplectic connections*, math/0511194.
- [3] Gelfand, I., Retakh, V., Shubin, M., *Fedosov manifolds*, Advan. Math., **136**(1998), 104-140.
- [4] Goertsches, O., *Riemannian supergeometry*, Math. Z., **260**, (2008), 557-593.
- [5] Leites, D.A., *Introduction to the theory of supermanifolds*, Russian Mathematical Surveys, **35**(1980), 1-64.

Masoud Aminizadeh  
 “Vali-e-Asr” University of Rafsanjan  
 Department of Mathematics  
 Rafsanjan, Iran  
 e-mail: m.aminizadeh@vru.ac.ir

Mina Ghotbaldini  
 “Vali-e-Asr” University of Rafsanjan  
 Department of Mathematics  
 Rafsanjan, Iran