

# On a functional differential inclusion

Aurelian Cernea

**Abstract.** We consider a Cauchy problem associated to a nonconvex functional differential inclusion and we prove a Filippov type existence result. This result allows to obtain a relaxation theorem for the problem considered.

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010):** 34A60, 34K05, 34K15, 47H10.

**Keywords:** set-valued map, functional differential inclusion, relaxation.

## 1. Introduction

In this note we study functional differential inclusions of the form

$$x'(t) \in F(t, x(t), x(\lambda t)), \quad x(0) = x_0, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $F(., ., .) : [0, T] \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R})$  is a set-valued map with non-empty values,  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  and  $x_0 \in \mathbf{R}$ . The present note is motivated by a recent paper [5], where it was studied problem (1.1) with  $F$  single valued and several results were obtained using fixed point techniques: existence, uniqueness and differentiability with respect with the delay of the solutions. The study in [5] contains, as a particular case, the problem

$$x'(t) = -ax(t) + a\lambda x(\lambda t), \quad x(0) = x_0,$$

which appears in the radioactive propagation theory ([2]).

The aim of this note is to consider the multivalued framework and to show that Filippov's ideas ([3]) can be suitably adapted in order to obtain the existence of solutions of problem (1.1). We recall that for a differential inclusion defined by a lipschitzian set-valued map with nonconvex values Filippov's theorem ([3]) consists in proving the existence of a solution starting from a given "quasi" solution. Moreover, the result provides an estimate between the starting "quasi" solution and the solution of the differential inclusion.

As an application of our main result we obtain a relaxation theorem for the problem considered. Namely, we prove that the solution set of the problem (1.1) is dense in the set of the relaxed solutions; i.e. the set of solutions of the differential inclusion whose right hand side is the convex hull of the original set-valued map.

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we briefly recall some preliminary results that we will use in the sequel and in Section 3 we prove the main results of the paper.

### 2. Preliminaries

In this section we sum up some basic facts that we are going to use later.

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. The Pompeiu-Hausdorff distance of the closed subsets  $A, B \subset X$  is defined by

$$d_H(A, B) = \max\{d^*(A, B), d^*(B, A)\}, \quad d^*(A, B) = \sup\{d(a, B); a \in A\},$$

where  $d(x, B) = \inf\{d(x, y); y \in B\}$ . Let  $T > 0, I := [0, T]$  and denote by  $\mathcal{L}(I)$  the  $\sigma$ -algebra of all Lebesgue measurable subsets of  $I$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R})$  the family of all nonempty subsets of  $\mathbf{R}$  and by  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R})$  the family of all Borel subsets of  $\mathbf{R}$ . For any subset  $A \subset \mathbf{R}$  we denote by  $\text{cl}A$  the closure of  $A$  and by  $\overline{\text{co}}(A)$  the closed convex hull of  $A$ .

As usual, we denote by  $C(I, \mathbf{R})$  the Banach space of all continuous functions  $x(\cdot) : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  endowed with the norm

$$\|x\|_C = \sup_{t \in I} |x(t)|$$

and by  $L^1(I, \mathbf{R})$  the Banach space of all integrable functions  $x(\cdot) : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  endowed with the norm

$$\|x\|_1 = \int_0^T |x(t)| dt.$$

The Banach space of all absolutely continuous functions  $x(\cdot) : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  will be denoted by  $AC(I, \mathbf{R})$ . We recall that for a set-valued map  $U : I \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R})$  the Aumann integral of  $U$ , denoted by  $\int_I U(t) dt$ , is the set

$$\int_I U(t) dt = \left\{ \int_I u(t) dt; u(\cdot) \in L^1(I, \mathbf{R}), u(t) \in U(t) \text{ a.e. } (I) \right\}.$$

We recall two results that we are going to use in the next section. The first one is a selection result (e.g., [1]) which is a version of the celebrated Kuratowski and Ryll-Nardzewski selection theorem. The proof of the second one may be found in [4].

**Lemma 2.1.** *Consider  $X$  a separable Banach space,  $B$  is the closed unit ball in  $X$ ,  $H : I \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$  is a set-valued map with nonempty closed values and  $g : I \rightarrow X, L : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$  are measurable functions. If*

$$H(t) \cap (g(t) + L(t)B) \neq \emptyset \quad \text{a.e.}(I),$$

*then the set-valued map  $t \rightarrow H(t) \cap (g(t) + L(t)B)$  has a measurable selection.*

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $U : I \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R})$  be a measurable set-valued map with closed nonempty images and having at least one integrable selection. Then*

$$\text{cl} \left( \int_0^T \overline{\text{co}}U(t) dt \right) = \text{cl} \left( \int_0^T U(t) dt \right).$$

### 3. The main results

In what follows we assume the following hypotheses.

**Hypothesis.** i)  $F(.,.,.) : I \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R})$  has nonempty closed values and is  $\mathcal{L}(I) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R})$  measurable.

ii) There exist  $l_1(.), l_2(.) \in L^1(I, \mathbf{R}_+)$  such that, for almost all  $t \in I$ ,

$$d_H(F(t, x_1, y_1), F(t, x_2, y_2)) \leq l_1(t)|x_1 - x_2| + l_2(t)|y_1 - y_2| \quad \forall x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in \mathbf{R}.$$

**Theorem 3.1.** Assume that Hypothesis is satisfied and  $|l_1|_1 + |l_2|_1 < 1$ .

Let  $y(.) \in AC(I, \mathbf{R})$  be such that there exists  $p(.) \in L^1(I, \mathbf{R}_+)$  verifying

$$d(y(t), F(t, y(t), y(\lambda t))) \leq p(t) \quad \text{a.e. } (I).$$

Then there exists  $x(.)$  a solution of problem (1.1) satisfying for all  $t \in I$

$$|x - y|_C \leq \frac{1}{1 - (|l_1|_1 + |l_2|_1)} (|x_0 - y(0)| + |p|_1). \tag{3.1}$$

*Proof.* We set  $x_0(.) = y(.)$ ,  $f_0(.) = y'(.)$ . It follows from Lemma 2.1 and Hypothesis that there exists a measurable function  $f_1(.)$  such that  $f_1(t) \in F(t, x_0(t), x_0(\lambda t))$  a.e. (I) and, for almost all  $t \in I$ ,  $|f_1(t) - y'(t)| \leq p(t)$ . Define

$$x_1(t) = x_0 + \int_0^t f_1(s) ds$$

and one has

$$|x_1(t) - y(t)| \leq |x_0 - y(0)| + \int_0^t p(s) ds \leq |x_0 - y(0)| + |p|_1.$$

Thus  $|x_1 - y|_C \leq |x_0 - y(0)| + |p|_1$ .

From Lemma 2.1 and Hypothesis we deduce the existence of a measurable function  $f_2(.)$  such that  $f_2(t) \in F(t, x_1(t), x_1(\lambda t))$  a.e. (I) and for almost all  $t \in I$

$$|f_1(t) - f_2(t)| \leq d(f_1(t), F(t, x_1(t), x_1(\lambda t))) \leq d_H(F(t, x_0(t), x_0(\lambda t)),$$

$$F(t, x_1(t), x_1(\lambda t))) \leq l_1(t)|x_1(t) - x_2(t)| + l_2(t)|x_1(\lambda t) - x_2(\lambda t)|.$$

Define

$$x_2(t) = x_0 + \int_0^t f_2(s) ds$$

and one has

$$\begin{aligned} |x_1(t) - x_2(t)| &\leq \int_0^t |f_1(s) - f_2(s)| ds \\ &\leq \int_0^t [l_1(s)|x_1(s) - x_2(s)| + l_2(s)|x_1(\lambda s) - x_2(\lambda s)|] ds \\ &\leq (|l_1|_1 + |l_2|_1)|x_1 - x_2|_C \leq (|l_1|_1 + |l_2|_1)(|x_0 - y(0)| + |p|_1). \end{aligned}$$

Assume that for some  $p \geq 1$  we have constructed  $(x_i)_{i=1}^p$  with  $x_p$  satisfying

$$|x_p - x_{p-1}|_C \leq (|l_1|_1 + |l_2|_1)^p (|x_0 - y(0)| + |p|_1).$$

Using Lemma 2.1 and Hypothesis we deduce the existence of a measurable function  $f_{p+1}(\cdot)$  such that  $f_{p+1}(t) \in F(t, x_p(t), x_p(\lambda t))$  a.e.  $(I)$  and for almost all  $t \in I$

$$\begin{aligned} |f_{p+1}(t) - f_p(t)| &\leq d(f_{p+1}(t), F(t, x_{p-1}(t), x_{p-1}(\lambda t))) \\ &\leq d_H(F(t, x_p(t), x_p(\lambda t)), F(t, x_{p-1}(t), x_{p-1}(\lambda t))) \\ &\leq l_1(t)|x_p(t) - x_{p-1}(t)| + l_2(t)|x_p(\lambda t) - x_{p-1}(\lambda t)|. \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$x_{p+1}(t) = x_0 + \int_0^t f_{p+1}(s) ds. \tag{3.2}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} |x_{p+1}(t) - x_p(t)| &\leq \int_0^t |f_{p+1}(s) - f_p(s)| ds \\ &\leq \int_0^t [l_1(s)|x_p(s) - x_{p-1}(s)| + l_2(s)|x_p(\lambda s) - x_{p-1}(\lambda s)|] ds \\ &\leq (|l_1|_1 + |l_2|_1)|x_p - x_{p-1}|_C \leq (|l_1|_1 + |l_2|_1)^p (|x_0 - y(0)| + |p|_1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $(x_p(\cdot))_{p \geq 0}$  is a Cauchy sequence in the Banach space  $C(I, \mathbf{R})$ , so it converges to  $x(\cdot) \in C(I, \mathbf{R})$ . Since, for almost all  $t \in I$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f_{p+1}(t) - f_p(t)| &\leq l_1(t)|x_p(t) - x_{p-1}(t)| + l_2(t)|x_p(\lambda t) - x_{p-1}(\lambda t)| \\ &\leq [l_1(t) + l_2(t)]|x_p - x_{p-1}|_C, \end{aligned}$$

$\{f_p(\cdot)\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in the Banach space  $L^1(I, \mathbf{R})$  and thus it converges to  $f(\cdot) \in L^1(I, \mathbf{R})$ . Passing to the limit in (3.2) and using Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem we get  $x(t) = x_0 + \int_0^t f(s) ds$ , which shows, in particular, that  $x(\cdot)$  is absolutely continuous.

Moreover, since the values of  $F(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  are closed and  $f_{p+1}(t) \in F(t, x_p(t), x_p(\lambda t))$  passing to the limit we obtain  $f(t) \in F(t, x(t), x(\lambda t))$  a.e.  $(I)$ .

It remains to prove the estimate (3.2). One has

$$\begin{aligned} |x_p - x_0|_C &\leq |x_p - x_{p-1}|_C + \dots + |x_2 - x_1|_C + |x_1 - x_0|_C \\ &\leq (|l_1|_1 + |l_2|_1)^p (|x_0 - y(0)| + |p|_1) + \dots + (|l_1|_1 + |l_2|_1) (|x_0 - y(0)| + |p|_1) + (|x_0 - y(0)| + |p|_1) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1 - (|l_1|_1 + |l_2|_1)} (|x_0 - y(0)| + |p|_1). \end{aligned}$$

Passage to the limit in the last inequality completes the proof. □

**Remark 3.2.** a) If we consider the space  $C(I, \mathbf{R})$  endowed with a Bielecki type norm of the form  $|x|_B = \sup_{t \in I} e^{-at}|x(t)|$  with an appropriate choice of  $a \in \mathbf{R}$ , the condition  $|l_1|_1 + |l_2|_1 < 1$  can be removed from the assumptions of Theorem 3.1.

b) The statement in Theorem 3.1 remains valid for the more general problem

$$x'(t) \in F(t, x(t), x(g(t))), \quad x(0) = x_0,$$

with  $g(\cdot) : I \rightarrow I$  a continuous function.

As we already pointed out, Theorem 3.1 allows to obtain a relaxation theorem for problem (1.1). In what follows, we are concerned also with the convexified (relaxed) problem

$$x'(t) \in \overline{\text{co}}F(t, x(t), x(\lambda t)), \quad x(0) = x_0. \tag{3.3}$$

Note that if  $F(., ., .)$  satisfies Hypothesis, then so does the set-valued map

$$(t, x, y) \rightarrow \overline{\text{co}}F(t, x, y).$$

**Theorem 3.3.** *We assume that Hypothesis is satisfied and  $|l_1|_1 + |l_2|_1 < 1$ . Let  $\bar{x}(\cdot) : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a solution to the relaxed inclusion (3.3) such that the set-valued map  $t \rightarrow F(t, \bar{x}(t), \bar{x}(\lambda t))$  has at least one integrable selection.*

*Then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $x(\cdot)$  a solution of problem (1.1) such that*

$$|x - \bar{x}|_C < \varepsilon.$$

*Proof.* Since  $\bar{x}(\cdot)$  is a solution of the relaxed inclusion (3.3), there exists  $\bar{f}(\cdot) \in L^1(I, \mathbf{R})$ ,  $\bar{f}(t) \in \overline{\text{co}}F(t, \bar{x}(t), \bar{x}(\lambda t))$  a.e. (I) such that

$$\bar{x}(t) = x_0 + \int_0^t \bar{f}(s) ds.$$

From Lemma 2.2, for  $\delta > 0$ , there exists  $\tilde{f}(t) \in F(t, \bar{x}(t), \bar{x}(\lambda t))$  a.e. (I) such that

$$\sup_{t \in I} \left| \int_0^t (\tilde{f}(s) - \bar{f}(s)) ds \right| \leq \delta.$$

Define

$$\tilde{x}(t) = x_0 + \int_0^t \tilde{f}(s) ds.$$

Therefore,  $|\tilde{x} - \bar{x}|_C \leq \delta$ .

We apply, now, Theorem 3.1 for the "quasi" solution  $\tilde{x}(\cdot)$  of (1.1). One has

$$p(t) = d(\tilde{f}(t), F(t, \tilde{x}(t), \tilde{x}(\lambda t))) \leq d_H(F(t, \bar{x}(t), \bar{x}(\lambda t)),$$

$$F(t, \tilde{x}(t), \tilde{x}(\lambda t))) \leq l_1(t)|\bar{x}(t) - \tilde{x}(t)| + l_2(t)|\bar{x}(\lambda t) - \tilde{x}(\lambda t)|$$

$$\leq l_1(t)|\tilde{x} - \bar{x}|_C + l_2(t)|\tilde{x} - \bar{x}|_C \leq (l_1(t) + l_2(t))\delta,$$

which shows that  $p(\cdot) \in L^1(I, \mathbf{R})$ .

From Theorem 3.1 there exists  $x(\cdot)$  a solution of (1.1) such that

$$|x - \tilde{x}|_C \leq \frac{1}{1 - (|l_1|_1 + |l_2|_1)} |p|_1 \leq \frac{|l_1|_1 + |l_2|_1}{1 - (|l_1|_1 + |l_2|_1)} \delta.$$

It remains to take  $\delta = [1 - (|l_1|_1 + |l_2|_1)]\varepsilon$  and to deduce that

$$|x - \bar{x}|_C \leq |x - \tilde{x}|_C + |\tilde{x} - \bar{x}|_C \leq \varepsilon. \quad \square$$

## References

- [1] Aubin, J.P., Frankowska, H., *Set-valued Analysis*, Birkhauser, Basel, 1990.
- [2] Bellman, R.E., Cooke, K.L., *Differential-difference Equations*, Academic Press, New York, 1963.
- [3] Filippov, A.F., *Classical solutions of differential equations with multivalued right hand side*, SIAM J. Control, **5**(1967), 609-621.
- [4] Hiai, F., Umegaki, H., *Integrals, conditional expectations and martingales of multivalued functions*, J. Multivariate Anal., **7**(1977), 149-182.
- [5] Mureșan, V., *On a functional-differential equation*, Proc. 10th IC-FPTA, Ed., R. Espinola, A. Petrușel, S. Prus, House of the Book of Science, Cluj-Napoca, 2013, 201-208.

Aurelian Cernea  
University of Bucharest  
Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Sciences  
14, Academiei Street, 010014 Bucharest, Romania  
e-mail: [acernea@fmi.unibuc.ro](mailto:acernea@fmi.unibuc.ro)