

# A proof of a covering correspondence

Tiberiu Coconet

**Abstract.** We show that the isomorphism between the Clifford extensions of two Brauer corresponding blocks of normal subgroups induces a defect group preserving bijection which coincides with the Harris-Knörr correspondence between their covering blocks.

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010):** Primary 20C20. Secondary 16W50, 16S35.

**Keywords:** Group algebras, blocks,  $G$ -algebras, Brauer construction, group graded algebras, defect group.

## 1. Introduction

Clifford extensions for blocks were introduced by E.C. Dade in [5], where he proved that two Brauer correspondent blocks  $b$  and  $b_1$  with defect group  $D$  of normal subgroups  $K$  and  $N_K(D)$  of the finite groups  $H$  and  $N_H(D)$  respectively, have isomorphic Clifford extensions.

Dade [5, Section 3] also gives a bijective correspondence between the blocks of a strongly graded algebra that cover a fixed block  $b$  of the identity component and the conjugacy classes of blocks of the twisted group algebra corresponding to the Clifford extension of  $b$ .

A generalization of Dade's main result is given in [3], where we prove an isomorphism of Clifford extensions for points of identity components of certain  $H/K$ -graded  $H$ -interior algebras, without assuming that the ground field is algebraically closed.

The aim of this paper is to establish a link between the above isomorphism of Clifford extensions and the result of M.E. Harris and R. Knörr [6] which states that the Brauer correspondence induces a bijection between the blocks of  $H$  covering  $b$  and the blocks of  $N_H(D)$  covering  $b_1$ . Actually, there is some suggestion in [6] that such a connection is possible, but no details are given. Note also that a module-theoretic version of the Harris-Knörr correspondence was given by J. Alperin [1]. Here we prove that the isomorphism of Clifford extensions induces a defect group preserving bijective correspondence between the blocks of  $H$  covering  $b$  and the blocks of  $N_H(D)$  covering  $b_1$ , which coincides with the Harris-Knörr correspondence.

We present our general setting in Section 2, while in Section 3 we review the required results on the defect groups of covering blocks. The details on the correspondence induced by the isomorphism of the Clifford extensions are presented in Section 4, following [3]. The last section is devoted to the proof of our main result, stated in Theorem 5.1. The reader is referred to [9] and [7] for general facts on block theory.

## 2. Preliminaries

**2.1.** Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be a discrete valuation ring having residual field  $k$  of characteristic  $p \geq 0$ . Let  $K$  be a normal subgroup of the finite group  $H$ , denote  $G = H/K$ , and consider the group algebra  $\mathcal{O}H$  regarded as a strongly  $G$ -graded algebra

$$A := \mathcal{O}H = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} \mathcal{O}\sigma,$$

which is also an  $H$ -algebra under the conjugation action of  $H$ . We fix a block  $b$  of the identity component  $A_1 := \mathcal{O}K$  of  $A$ . We denote by  $D$  a defect group in  $K$  of the block  $b$ .

**2.2.** If  $H_b$  denotes the stabilizer of  $b$  in  $H$ , and  $G_b$  is the quotient  $H_b/K$ , as in [5] we consider the  $G_b$ -graded subalgebra

$$bC := bC_A(A_1) = (b\mathcal{O}H_b)^K = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G_b} (b\mathcal{O}\sigma)^K = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G_b} bC_\sigma^K$$

of  $A$ . We truncate  $bC$  by taking the components indexed by the normal subgroup

$$G[b] = \{\sigma \in G_b \mid bC_\sigma^K \cdot bC_{\sigma^{-1}}^K = bC_1^K\}$$

of  $G_b$ ; this yields the strongly  $G[b]$ -graded  $G_b$ -algebra, and hence an  $H_b$ -algebra

$$C[b] := \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G[b]} bC_\sigma^K.$$

The identity component

$$bC_1^K = b(\mathcal{O}K)^K = bZ(\mathcal{O}K)$$

is a local ring such that the field

$$\hat{k}_1 = bZ(\mathcal{O}K)/J(bZ(\mathcal{O}K))$$

is a finite extension of  $k$ .

**2.3.** Consider also the quotient  $C[b]/C[b]J(C[b]_1)$ , which is the twisted group algebra of  $G[b]$  over the field  $\hat{k}_1$ , corresponding to the *Clifford extension*

$$1 \rightarrow \hat{k}_1^* \rightarrow hU(C[b]/C[b]J(C[b]_1)) \rightarrow G[b] \rightarrow 1 \tag{2.1}$$

of the block  $b$ . Where by  $hU$  we denoted the homogeneous units of  $C[b]/C[b]J(C[b]_1)$ . Explicitly, the set of elements that satisfy

$$\bar{a} \in (C[b]/C[b]J(C[b]_1))^* \cap bC_g/bC_gJ(C[b]_1),$$

for some  $g \in G[b]$ . Since  $bC_1 = C[b]_1$  is a  $H_b$ -algebra, the  $H_b$ -invariance of  $J(C[b]_1)$  implies that the canonical map

$$C[b] \rightarrow C[b]/C[b]J(C[b]_1)$$

is a homomorphism of  $H_b$ -algebras.

**Lemma 2.4.** *The algebras  $bC^{H_b}$  and  $C[b]^{H_b}$  have the same primitive idempotents.*

*Proof.* The proof of this statement is based on results of [5, Paragraph 3], which remain true even if the field  $k$  is not algebraically closed. One easily checks that in our setting [5, Lemma 3.3] is valid. So there is a two-sided ideal

$$I = \left( \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G_b \setminus G[b]} bC_\sigma \right) \oplus C[b]J(C[b]_1)$$

of  $bC$  that is both  $H_b$ -invariant and contained in  $J(bC)$ . This gives the equality

$$bC = C[b] \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G_b \setminus G[b]} bC_\sigma \right) = C[b] + I = C[b] + J(bC);$$

showing that every primitive idempotent of  $bC$  belongs to  $C[b]$ . So, any block, that is a primitive idempotent of  $Z(bC) = bC^{H_b}$ , lies in  $C[b]^{H_b}$ . Conversely, any primitive idempotent of  $C[b]^{H_b}$  remains primitive in  $bC^{H_b}$ , since  $I$  is contained in  $J(bC)$ .  $\square$

### 3. Remarks on defect groups

In this section we discuss the connections between the defect groups of blocks covering the block  $b$  of  $C_1$  and the defect groups of primitive idempotents of  $C[b]^{H_b}$ . Some of the results have already been proven in [5, Paragraph 6 and 7], but for the sake of completeness we present them here. As a definition of a defect group of a block we will use [9, Paragraph 18] or [5, Paragraph 4]. Dade uses the maximal ideal corresponding to a block in order to define the defect group of that block. Nevertheless, one easily shows that both treatments lead to the same definition.

**3.1.** As it is well known, the blocks of  $H$  covering  $b$  are the primitive idempotents of  $Z(s\mathcal{O}H)$ , where

$$s = \text{Tr}_{H_b}^H(b).$$

By [5, Proposition 4.9] we have the isomorphism

$$Z(s\mathcal{O}H) \simeq Z(b\mathcal{O}Hb) = Z(b\mathcal{O}H_b) = bC^{H_b}. \tag{3.1}$$

Using this and the results of Section 2 above, we see that the blocks of  $H$  that cover  $b$  are actually the primitive idempotents of  $C[b]^{H_b}$ .

**3.2.** We denote by  $B$  a block that covers  $b$  and by  $B'$  the correspondent of  $B$  through the isomorphism (3.1). Then  $B = \text{Tr}_{H_b}^H(B')$ . Let  $Q$  denote a defect group in  $H_b$  of  $B'$ . This means that  $Q$  is with the properties  $B' \in b\mathcal{O}Hb_Q^{H_b}$  and  $B' \not\subseteq \text{Ker}(\text{Br}_Q)$ , where  $\text{Br}_Q$  denotes the Brauer homomorphism with respect to  $Q$ . But then, since  $B's = B'$  we get  $B \in s\mathcal{O}H_Q^H$ . For  $x \in H \setminus H_b$  we also have  $bb^x = 0$ . Taking into account that  $B' = bB' = bB'$ , then obviously  $BB' = B'$ . This forces  $B \not\subseteq \text{Ker}(\text{Br}_Q)$ . We have shown that any block that covers  $b$  has a defect group in  $H$  that is contained in  $H_b$ .

**3.3.** By [8, Proposition 4.2], the block  $B'$  has a defect group  $Q$  (in  $H_b$ ) satisfying  $Q \cap K = D$ . The ending of Paragraph 3.2 assures that  $Q$  is also a defect group of  $B$ . We can apply [8, Proposition 4.2] to obtain a defect group  $L$  of  $B$  in  $H$  that satisfies the same condition as  $Q$ , that is  $L \cap K = D$ . Thus, there is  $y \in H$  with  $L^y = Q$ , and then  $y \in N_H(D)$ .

### 4. Clifford extensions of blocks

We keep the notations of the preceding sections. For the details on the following statements the reader is referred to [3].

**4.1.** The restriction to  $bC = b(\mathcal{O}H_b)^K$  of the Brauer homomorphism

$$\text{Br}_D^H : (\mathcal{O}H)^D \rightarrow kC_H(D)$$

gives the epimorphism

$$\text{Br}_D^H : b(\mathcal{O}H_b)^K \rightarrow \bar{b}kC_H(D)_{\bar{b}}^{N_K(D)}, \tag{i}$$

where  $\bar{b} = \text{Br}_D^H(b)$ .

Next let  $b_1$  denote the Brauer correspondent of  $b$ , seen as a block of  $\mathcal{O}N_K(D)$ , also having defect group  $D$ . Repeating the construction of Section 2 for  $N_H(D)$ ,  $N_K(D)$  and  $b_1$  in place of  $H$ ,  $K$  and  $b$  respectively we easily obtain another Clifford extension

$$1 \rightarrow \hat{k}_2^* \rightarrow hU(C'[b_1]/C'[b_1]J(C'[b_1]_1)) \rightarrow G'[b_1] \rightarrow 1. \tag{4.1}$$

Here we used the  $N_H(D)/N_K(D)$ -graded centralizer

$$b_1C' := C_{\mathcal{O}N_H(D)}(\mathcal{O}N_K(D)) = \mathcal{O}N_H(D)^{N_K(D)}.$$

In extension (4.1)  $C'[b_1]$  and  $G'[b_1]$  stand for the analogous notation of  $C[b]$  and of the group  $G[b]$  respectively. Moreover,  $\hat{k}_2$  is the field given by the quotient

$$C[b_1]_1/J(C[b_1]_1) = Z(b_1\mathcal{O}N_K(D))/J(b_1\mathcal{O}N_K(D)).$$

**4.2.** There is another epimorphism induced by the Brauer map

$$\text{Br}_D^{N_H(D)} : b_1(\mathcal{O}N_H(D)_{b_1})^{N_K(D)} \rightarrow \bar{b}_1kC_H(D)_{\bar{b}_1}^{N_K(D)}, \tag{ii}$$

where  $\bar{b}_1 = \text{Br}_D^{N_H(D)}(b_1)$ . As far as  $\bar{b} = \bar{b}_1$  and

$$N_H(D)_b = N_H(D)_{b_1} = N_H(D)_{\bar{b}},$$

applied twice, [3, Theorem 4.1] gives the isomorphism

$$C[b]/C[b]J(C[b_1]_1) \simeq C'[b_1]/C'[b_1]J(C'[b_1]_1). \tag{4.2}$$

Note that the two quotients above are isomorphic as  $N_H(D)_b/N_K(D) \simeq H_b/K$ -algebras. In fact we have

$$\begin{aligned} (C[b]/C[b]J(C[b_1]_1))^{H_b} &= (C[b]/C[b]J(C[b_1]_1))^{H_b/K} \\ &\simeq (C'[b_1]/C'[b_1]J(C'[b_1]_1))^{N_H(D)_b/N_K(D)} = (C'[b_1]/C'[b_1]J(C'[b_1]_1))^{N_H(D)_b}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

**Proposition 4.3.** *There is a bijection between the primitive idempotents of  $C[b]^{H_b}$  and the primitive idempotents of  $C'[b_1]^{N_H(D)_b}$ .*

*Proof.* The subalgebra of  $H_b$ -fixed elements of  $C[b]$  lies in the center of  $C[b]$ , and the subalgebra of  $N_H(D)_b$ -fixed elements of  $C'[b_1]$  lies in the center of  $C'[b_1]$ . Isomorphisms (4.2), (4.3) and [5, Lemma 3.1] give the desired bijection.  $\square$

**Remark 4.4.** Isomorphism (4.2), Proposition 4.3 and Lemma 2.4 give a bijection between the primitive idempotents of  $bC^{H_b}$  and the primitive idempotents of  $b_1(C')^{N_H(D)_b}$ . If  $s' = \text{Tr}_{N_H(D)_b}^{N_H(D)}(b_1)$ , isomorphism (3.1) and its analogous isomorphism give a bijection between the blocks of  $s\mathcal{O}H$  and the blocks of  $s'\mathcal{O}N_H(D)$ . Thus, we obtained a correspondence between the blocks of  $H$  that cover  $b$  and the blocks of  $N_H(D)$  that cover  $b_1$ . We call this the *Clifford-Dade correspondence*.

### 5. The Harris - Knörr correspondence

With the above results and notations we have:

**Theorem 5.1.** *The isomorphic Clifford extensions of  $b$  and of  $b_1$  define a defect group preserving bijective correspondence between blocks of  $\mathcal{O}H$  covering  $b$  and blocks of  $\mathcal{O}N_H(D)$  covering  $b_1$ . Moreover the Clifford-Dade correspondence between the blocks covering  $b$  and  $b_1$  coincides with the Brauer correspondence.*

*Proof.* Remark 4.4 already gives a bijection between the blocks of  $H$  that cover  $b$  and the blocks of  $N_H(D)$  that cover  $b_1$ . We prove that this bijection preserves the defect groups.

First of all let us emphasize that isomorphism (4.2) holds because the two Brauer homomorphisms introduced in (i) and (ii) verify

$$\text{Br}_D^H(C[b]) = \text{Br}_D^{N_H(D)}(C'[b_1]).$$

This last equality holds because both  $C[b]$  and  $C'[b_1]$  are crossed products. Taking a closer look at the proof of [3, Theorem 4.1] we observe that  $C[b]/C[b]J(C[b]_1)$  as well as  $C[b_1]/C[b_1]J(C'[b_1]_1)$  are both isomorphic to the twisted group algebra associated to the Clifford extension of  $\bar{b} = \bar{b}_1$ . It follows that the correspondence obtained in Proposition 4.3 connects the central idempotents  $B'$ , which is primitive in  $C[b]^{H_b}$ , and  $B'_1$ , which is primitive in  $C'[b_1]^{N_H(D)_b}$ , that verify

$$\text{Br}_D^H(B') = \text{Br}_D^{N_H(D)}(B'_1). \tag{5.1}$$

Let  $B$  be the block covering  $b$  corresponding to  $B'$  through isomorphism (3.1). Note that it suffices to choose  $L$ , a defect group of  $B$  in  $H$ , such that  $L \cap K = D$ . Then, according to 3.3 there is  $y \in H$  such that  $Q := L^y$  is a defect group of  $B$  and of  $B'$  that is contained in  $H_b$  and satisfies  $Q \cap K = D$ ; moreover  $y \in N_H(D)$ . Mackey decomposition and the equalities  $Q \cap K = D$ ,  $H_b = N_H(D)_b K$  prove

$$\text{Br}_D^H((b\mathcal{O}H_b)^{H_b}) = \text{Br}_D^{N_H(D)}((b_1\mathcal{O}N_H(D)_b)^{N_H(D)_b}) := \mathcal{I}'.$$

Indeed, since

$$\text{Br}_D^H(b\mathcal{O}H_b^Q) = \text{Br}_D^{N_H(D)}(b_1\mathcal{O}N_H(D)_b^Q),$$

if  $\text{Tr}_Q^{H_b}(a) \in (b\mathcal{O}H_b)_Q^{H_b}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Br}_D^H(\text{Tr}_Q^{H_b}(a)) &= \text{Br}_D^H\left(\sum_{x \in D \setminus H_b/Q} \text{Tr}_{D \cap Q^x}^D(a^x)\right) \\ &= \text{Br}_D^H\left(\sum_{x \in D \setminus N_H(D)_b/Q} a^x\right) = \text{Tr}_Q^{N_H(D)_b}(\text{Br}_D^H(a)) \\ &= \text{Tr}_Q^{N_H(D)_b}(\text{Br}_D^{N_H(D)}(a')) = \text{Br}_D^{N_H(D)}(\text{Tr}_Q^{N_H(D)_b}(a')). \end{aligned}$$

At this step [2, Proposition 1.5] gives the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (b\mathcal{O}H_b)_Q^{H_b} & \xrightarrow{\text{Br}_D^H} & \mathcal{I}' \xleftarrow{\text{Br}_D^{N_H(D)}} (b_1\mathcal{O}N_H(D)_b)^{N_H(D)_b} \\ & \searrow \text{Br}_Q^H & \downarrow \text{Br}_{Q,D} \\ & & \text{Br}_{Q,D}(\mathcal{I}'). \end{array}$$

This diagram and [9, Proposition 18.5 (d)] prove that there is a unique correspondent block  $\tilde{B}'_1 \in (b_1\mathcal{O}N_H(D)_b)^{N_H(D)_b}$  of  $B'$  with the same defect group  $Q$  in  $N_H(D)_b$  as  $B'$ . Now we can clearly see, by the commutativity of the above diagram and equality (5.1), that

$$\text{Br}_D^H(B') = \text{Br}_D^{N_H(D)}(B'_1) = \text{Br}_D^{N_H(D)}(\tilde{B}'_1) \neq 0.$$

This means  $B'_1 = \tilde{B}'_1$ , and moreover that  $B_1$  has defect group  $L$ . Hence, the Clifford-Dade correspondence preserves the defect groups. Furthermore, since the Clifford-Dade correspondence is given by the Brauer morphisms (i) and (ii) it is quite clear that it coincides with the Brauer correspondence.  $\square$

### References

- [1] Alperin, J.L., *The Green correspondence and normal subgroups*, J. Algebra, **104**(1986), 74-77.
- [2] Broue, M. and Puig, L., *Characters and Local Structure in G-algebras*, J. Algebra, **63**(1980), 306-317.
- [3] Coconet, T., *G-algebras and Clifford extensions of points*, Algebra Colloquium, to appear.
- [4] Dade, E.C., *A Clifford Theory for Blocks*, Representation theory of finite groups and related topics, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. XXI, Univ. Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin 1970, 33-36.
- [5] Dade, E.C., *Block extensions*, Illinois J. Math., **17**(1973), 198-272.
- [6] Harris, M.E. and Knörr, R., *Brauer Correspondence for Covering Blocks of Finite Groups*, Communications in Algebra, **5**(1985), no. 13, 1213-1218.
- [7] Puig, L., *Blocks of Finite Groups. The Hyperfocal Subalgebra of a Block*, Springer, Berlin, 2002.
- [8] Knörr, R. *Blocks, vertices and normal subgroups*, Math. Z., **148**(1976), 53-60.

- [9] Thévenaz, J., *G-Algebras and Modular Representation Theory*, Clarendon Press, Oxford 1995.

Tiberiu Coconet  
Babeş-Bolyai University  
Faculty of Economics and Business Administration  
Str. Teodor Mihali, nr. 58-60  
400591 Cluj-Napoca, Romania  
e-mail: [tiberiu.coconet@math.ubbcluj.ro](mailto:tiberiu.coconet@math.ubbcluj.ro)  
[tiberiu.coconet@econ.ubbcluj.ro](mailto:tiberiu.coconet@econ.ubbcluj.ro)