# More on pairwise extremally disconnected spaces

Neelamegarajan Rajesh

**Abstract.** In [1] the authors, introduced the notion of pairwise extremally disconnected spaces and investigated its fundamental properties. In this paper, we investigate some more properties of pairwise extremally disconnected spaces.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 54D20.

Keywords: Bitopological spaces, pairwise extremally disconnected spaces.

## 1. Introduction

The concept of bitopological spaces was first introduced by Kelly [4]. After the introduction of the definition of a bitopological space by Kelly, a large number of topologists have turned their attention to the generalization of different concepts of a single topological space in this space. In [1] the authors, introduced the notion of pairwise extremally disconnected spaces and investigated its fundamental properties. In this paper, we investigate some more properties of pairwise extremally disconnected spaces. Throughout this paper, the triple  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  where X is a set and  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are topologies on X, will always denote a bitopological space. The  $\tau_i$ -closure (resp.  $\tau_i$ -interior) of a subset A of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is denoted by  $\tau_i$ -Cl(A) (resp.  $\tau_i$ -Int(A)).

# 2. Preliminaries

**Definition 2.1.** Let A be a subset of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Then A is called

- 1.  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -regular open [7] if  $A = \tau_i$ -Int $(\tau_j$ -Cl(A)),
- 2.  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen [2] if  $A \subset \tau_j$ -Cl $(\tau_i$ -Int(A)),
- 3.  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -preopen [5] if  $A \subset \tau_i$ -Int $(\tau_j$ -Cl(A)),
- 4.  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semipreopen [5] if  $A \subset \tau_j$ -Cl $(\tau_i$ -Int $(\tau_j$ -Cl(A))),

On each definition above, i, j = 1, 2 and  $i \neq j$ .

The complement of an (i, j)-regular open (resp.  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen,  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ preopen,  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semipreopen) set is called an (i, j)-regular closed (resp.  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ semiclosed,  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -preclosed,  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semipreclosed) set. **Definition 2.2.** [2] Let A be a subset of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Then

- 1. The intersection of all (i, j)-semiclosed sets of X containing A is called the (i, j)semiclosure of A and is denoted by (i, j)-s Cl(A).
- The union of all (i, j)-semiopen sets of X contained in A is called the (i, j)semiinterior of A and is denoted by (i, j)-s Int(A).

**Theorem 2.3.** For a subset A of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , the following are equivalent:

- 1. A is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen,
- 2.  $A \subset \tau_j$ -Cl $(\tau_i$ -Int(A)),
- 3.  $\tau_j$ -Cl(A) =  $\tau_j$ -Cl( $\tau_i$ -Int(A)).

**Theorem 2.4.** [2] For a set A of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , the following are equivalent:

- 1. A is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiclosed,
- 2.  $\tau_j$ -Int $(\tau_i$ -Cl $(A)) \subset A$ ,
- 3.  $\tau_j$ -Int $(A) = \tau_j$ -Int $(\tau_i$ -Cl(A)).

**Theorem 2.5.** [2] For a subset A of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ ,

- 1. a point  $x \in (i, j)$ -s Cl(A) if and only if  $U \cap A \neq \emptyset$  for every  $U \in (i, j)$ -SO(X, x).
- 2.  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -s Int $(A) = X \setminus (\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -s Cl $(X \setminus A)$ ,
- 3.  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -s Cl(A) = X \  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -s Int(X \ A).

**Definition 2.6.** A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be

- 1.  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -extremally disconnected [1] if  $\tau_j$ -closue of every  $\tau_i$ -open set is  $\tau_i$ -open in X,
- 2. pairwise extremally disconnected if  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -extremally disconnected and  $(\tau_2, \tau_1)$ -extremally deisconnected.

**Theorem 2.7.** [1] A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise extremally disconnected if and only if for each  $\tau_i$ -open set A and each  $\tau_j$ -open set B such that  $A \cap B = \emptyset, \tau_j$ - $\operatorname{Cl}(A) \cap \tau_i$ - $\operatorname{Cl}(B) = \emptyset$ .

#### 3. Extremally disconnected bitopological spaces

**Theorem 3.1.** The following are equivalent for a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ :

- 1.  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise extremally disconnected.
- 2. For each  $(\tau_i, \tau_i)$ -semiopen set A in X,  $\tau_i$ -Cl(A) is  $\tau_i$ -open set.
- 3. For each  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen set A in X,  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -s Cl(A) is  $\tau_i$ -open set.
- 4. For each  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen set A and each  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -semiopen set B with  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ ,  $\tau_j$ -Cl $(A) \cap \tau_i$ -Cl $(B) = \emptyset$ .
- 5. For each  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -semiopen set A in X,  $\tau_j$ -Cl $(A) = (\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -s Cl(A).
- 6. For each  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen set A in X,  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -s Cl(A) is  $\tau_j$ -closed set.
- 7. For each  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiclosed set A in X,  $\tau_j$ -Int $(A) = (\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -s Int(A).
- 8. For each  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiclosed set A in X,  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -s Int(A) is  $\tau_j$ -open set.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Follows from Theorem 2.3. (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (5): Since  $(\tau_i, \tau_i)$ -s Cl(A)  $\subset \tau_i$ -Cl(A) for any set A of X, it is sufficient to show that  $(\tau_i, \tau_i)$ - $s Cl(A) \supset \tau_i$ -Cl(A) for any  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen set A of X. Let  $x \notin (\tau_i, \tau_i)$ -s Cl(A). Then there exists a  $(\tau_i, \tau_i)$ semiopen set W with  $x \in W$  such that  $W \cap A = \emptyset$ . Thus  $\tau_i$ -Int(W) and  $\tau_i$ -Int(A) are, respectively,  $\tau_i$ -open and  $\tau_i$ -open such that  $\tau_i$ -Int $(X) \cap \tau_i$ -Int $(A) = \emptyset$ . By Theorem 2.7,  $\tau_i$ -Cl $(\tau_i$ -Int(W))  $\cap$   $\tau_i$ -Cl $(\tau_i$ -Int(A)) =  $\emptyset$  and then by Theorem 2.4,  $x \notin \tau_i$ -Cl $(\tau_i$ - $\operatorname{Int}(A) = \tau_i - \operatorname{Cl}(A)$ . Hence  $\tau_i - \operatorname{Cl}(A) \subset (\tau_i, \tau_i) - s \operatorname{Cl}(A)$ . (5)  $\Rightarrow$  (6): Obvious. (6)  $\Rightarrow$  (5): For any set A in X,  $A \subset (\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -s Cl(A)  $\subset \tau_j$ -Cl(A). Then  $\tau_j$ -Cl(A) =  $\tau_j$ -Cl( $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$  $s \operatorname{Cl}(A)$ ). Since A is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen, by (6),  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ - $s \operatorname{Cl}(A)$  is  $\tau_j$ -closed. Hence,  $\tau_j$ - $Cl(A) = (\tau_i, \tau_i) - s Cl(A)$ . (6)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (8): Follows from Theorem 2.5. (7)  $\Rightarrow$  (8): Obvious. (8)  $\Rightarrow$  (7): For any subset A of X,  $\tau_i$ -Int(A)  $\subset$  ( $\tau_i, \tau_i$ )-s Int(A)  $\subset$  A and hence  $\tau_i$ -Int $(A) = \tau_i$ -Int $((\tau_i, \tau_i)$ -s Int(A)). Since A is  $(\tau_i, \tau_i)$ -semiclosed, by (8),  $(\tau_i, \tau_i)$  $s \operatorname{Int}(A)$  is  $\tau_i$ -open. Hence  $\tau_i$ -Int $(A) = (\tau_i, \tau_i)$ - $s \operatorname{Int}(A)$ . (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (4): Let A be a  $(\tau_i, \tau_i)$ open set and B a  $(\tau_i, \tau_i)$ -semiopen set such that  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . Then  $\tau_i$ -Int $(A) \cap \tau_i$ - $\operatorname{Int}(B) = \emptyset$  and thus by Theorem 2.7,  $\tau_i - \operatorname{Cl}(\tau_i - \operatorname{Int}(A)) \cap \tau_i - \operatorname{Cl}(\tau_i - \operatorname{Int}(B)) = \emptyset$ . Hence, by Theorem 2.3,  $\tau_i$ -Cl(A)  $\cap \tau_i$ -Cl(B) =  $\emptyset$ . (4)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Let A be a  $(\tau_i, \tau_i)$ -semiopen subset of X. Then  $X \setminus \tau_i$ -Cl(A) is  $(\tau_i, \tau_i)$ -semiopen and  $A \cap (X \setminus \tau_i$ -Cl(A)). Thus, by (4),  $\tau_i$ -Cl(A)  $\cap$   $\tau_i$ -Cl( $X \setminus \tau_i$ -Cl(A)) =  $\emptyset$  which implies  $\tau_i$ -Cl(A)  $\subset$   $\tau_i$ -Int( $\tau_i$ -Cl(A)). Hence,  $\tau_i$ -Cl(A) =  $\tau_i$ -Int( $\tau_i$ -Cl(A)) and consequently  $\tau_i$ -Cl(A) is  $\tau_i$ -open in X. (5)  $\Rightarrow$  (4): Let A be a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen set and B be a  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -semiopen set such that  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . Then  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -s Cl(A) is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen and  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -s Cl(B) is  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ semiopen in X and hence  $(\tau_i, \tau_i)$ -s Cl $(A) \cap (\tau_i, \tau_i)$ -s Cl $(B) = \emptyset$ . By (5),  $\tau_i$ -Cl $(A) \cap \tau_i$ - $Cl(B) = \emptyset$ . (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3): Follows from Theorem 2.3 using the same method as (1)  $\Rightarrow$ (5). (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Let A be a  $\tau_i$ -open set in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . It is sufficient to prove that  $\tau_j$ -Cl(A) =  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -s Cl(A). Obviously,  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -s Cl(A)  $\subset \tau_j$ -Cl(A). Let  $x \notin (\tau_j, \tau_i)$  $s \operatorname{Cl}(A)$ . Then there exists a  $(\tau_i, \tau_i)$ -semiopen set U with  $x \in U$  such that  $A \cap U = \emptyset$ . Hence  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -s Cl $(U) \subset (\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -s Cl $(X \setminus A) = X \setminus A$  and thus  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -s Cl $(U) \cap A = \emptyset$ . Since  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -s Cl(U) is a  $\tau_j$ -open set with  $x \in (\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -s Cl(U),  $x \notin \tau_j$ -Cl(A). Hence  $\tau_i$ -Cl(A)  $\subset (\tau_i, \tau_i)$ -Cl(A).

**Definition 3.2.** [3] A point x in a bitoplogical space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\theta$ cluster point of a set A if for every  $\tau_i$ -open, say, U containing x,  $\tau_j$ -Cl(U)  $\cap A \neq \emptyset$ . The set of all  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\theta$ -closure of A and will be denoted by  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -Cl $_{\theta}(A)$ . A set A is called  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\theta$ -closed if  $A = (\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -Cl $_{\theta}(A)$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** For any  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -preopen set A in a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ ,  $\tau_i$ -Cl $(A) = (\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -Cl $_{\theta}(A)$ .

Proof. It is obvious that  $\tau_i$ -Cl(A)  $\subset$  ( $\tau_i, \tau_j$ )-Cl<sub> $\theta$ </sub>(A), for any subset A of ( $X, \tau_1, \tau_2$ ). Thus, it remains to be shown that ( $\tau_i, \tau_j$ )-Cl<sub> $\theta$ </sub>(A)  $\subset$   $\tau_i$ -Cl(A). If  $x \notin \tau_i$ -Cl(A), then there exists a  $\tau_i$ -open set U containing x such that  $U \cap A = \emptyset$  and thus  $U \cap \tau_i$ -Cl(A) =  $\emptyset$ . But  $U \cap \tau_j$ -Int( $\tau_i$ -Cl(A)) =  $\emptyset$  which implies  $\tau_j$ -Cl(U)  $\cap \tau_j$ -Int( $\tau_i$ -Cl(A)) =  $\emptyset$  and so  $\tau_j$ -Cl(U)  $\cap A = \emptyset$  since A is ( $\tau_j, \tau_i$ )-preopen. Hence  $x \notin (\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -Cl<sub> $\theta$ </sub>(A) and consequently ( $\tau_j, \tau_i$ )-Cl<sub> $\theta$ </sub>(A)  $\subset \tau_i$ -Cl(A).

**Theorem 3.4.** The following are equivalent for a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ : 1.  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise extremally disconnected.

- 2. The  $\tau_i$ -closure of every  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semipreopen set of X is  $\tau_i$ -open set.
- 3. The  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ - $\theta$ -closure of every  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -preopen set of X is  $\tau_i$ -open set.
- 4. The  $\tau_j$ -closure of every  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -preopen set of X is  $\tau_i$ -open set.

Proof. (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Let A be a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semipreopen set. Then  $\tau_j$ -Cl $(A) = \tau_j$ -Cl $(\tau_i$ -Int $(\tau_j$ -Cl(A))). Since  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise extremally disconnected.  $\tau_j$ -Cl(A) is a  $\tau_i$ -open set. (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (4): Follows from the fact that every  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -preopen set is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semipreopen. (4)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Clear. (3)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (4): Follows from Lemma 3.3.

**Theorem 3.5.** A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise extremally disconnected if and only if every  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen set is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -preopen set.

Proof. Let A be a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen set. Then  $A \subset \tau_j$ -Cl $(\tau_i$ -Int(A)). Since X is pairwise extremally disconnected,  $\tau_j$ -Cl $(\tau_i$ -Int(A)) is a  $\tau_i$ -open set and then  $A \subset \tau_j$ -Cl $(\tau_i$ -Int(A)) =  $\tau_i$ -Int $(\tau_j$ -Cl $(\tau_i$ -Int(A)))  $\subset \tau_i$ -Int $(\tau_j$ -Cl(A)). Hence A is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -preopen set. Conversely, let A be a  $\tau_i$ -open set. Since  $\tau_j$ -Cl $(A) = \tau_j$ -Cl $(\tau_i$ -Int(A)), we have  $\tau_j$ -Cl $(A) = \tau_j$ -Cl $(\tau_i$ -Int $(\tau_j$ -Cl(A))). Then  $\tau_j$ -Cl(A) is  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -regular closed and hence A is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen. By hypothesis, A is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -propen so that  $\tau_j$ -Cl $(A) = \tau_i$ -Int $(\tau_j$ -Cl(A)). Then  $\tau_j$ -Cl(A) is  $\tau_i$ -open in X and hence X is pairwise extremally disconnected.

**Lemma 3.6.** For a subset A of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ ,

1.  $\tau_j$ -Int $(\tau_i$ -Cl $(A)) \subset (\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -s Cl(A),[6] 2.  $\tau_j$ -Int $((\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -s Cl $(A)) = \tau_j$ -Int $(\tau_i$ -Cl(A)).

*Proof.* (2) Follows easily from (1).

**Theorem 3.7.** Let A be a subset of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Then A is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -regular open if and only if A is  $\tau_i$ -open and  $\tau_j$ -closed.

*Proof.* Let A be a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -regular open set of a bitoplogical space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Then  $\tau_i$ -Int $(\tau_j$ -Cl(A)) = A. Now,  $X \setminus \tau_j$ -Cl(A) and A are, respectively,  $\tau_j$ -open and  $\tau_i$ -open such that  $(X \setminus \tau_j$ -Cl $(A)) \cap A = \emptyset$ . Since  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise extremally disconnected, by Theorem 2.7,  $\tau_i$ -Cl $(X \setminus \tau_j$ -Cl $(A)) \cap \tau_j$ -Cl $(A) = \emptyset$ . Then  $\tau_i$ -Cl $(X \setminus \tau_j$ -Cl $(A)) = X \setminus \tau_j$ -Cl(A) and  $X \setminus \tau_j$ -Cl(A) is  $\tau_i$ -closed. Hence,  $\tau_j$ -Cl(A) is  $\tau_i$ -open, so that  $\tau_j$ -Cl $(A) = \tau_i$ -Int $(\tau_j$ -Cl(A)) = A is  $\tau_i$ -open and  $\tau_j$ -closed. The converse is clear.

**Lemma 3.8.** Let A be a subset of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Then we have

- 1. A is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -preopen if and only if  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -s  $\operatorname{Cl}(A) = \tau_i \operatorname{-Int}(\tau_j \operatorname{-Cl}(A))$ .
- 2. A is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -preopen if and only if  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -s Cl(A) is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -regular open.
- 3. A is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -regular open if and only if A is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -preopen and  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -semiclosed.

*Proof.* (1) Let A b e a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -preopen set. Then  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -s  $\operatorname{Cl}(A) \subset (\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -s  $\operatorname{Cl}(\tau_i$ -Int $(\tau_j$ -Cl(A))). Since  $\tau_i$ -Int $(\tau_j$ -Cl(A)) is  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -semiclosed,  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -s  $\operatorname{Cl}(A) \subset \tau_i$ -Int $(\tau_j$ -Cl(A)). Hence, by Lemma 3.6 (1),  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -s  $\operatorname{Cl}(A) = \tau_i$ -Int $(\tau_j$ -Cl(A)). The converse is obvious. (2) Let  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -s  $\operatorname{Cl}(A)$  be a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -regular open set. Then we have

 $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -s Cl(A) =  $\tau_i$ -Int $(\tau_j$ -Cl $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -s Cl(A)) and hence  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -s Cl(A)  $\subset \tau_i$ -Int $(\tau_j$ -Cl $(\tau_j$ -Cl(A))) =  $\tau_i$ -Int $(\tau_j$ -Cl(A)). By Lemma 3.6 (1), we have  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -s Cl(A) =  $\tau_i$ -Int $(\tau_j$ -Cl(A)). Hence, A is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -preopen set from (1). The converse follows from (1). (3) Let A be a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -preopen and a  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -semiclosed set. Then by (2), A is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -regular open in X. Conversely, let A be a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -regular open set. Then  $A = \tau_i$ -Int $(\tau_j$ -Cl(A)) and thus  $\tau_i$ -Int $(\tau_j$ -Cl(A)) =  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -s Cl(A) = A. Hence A is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -preopen and  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -semiclosed.

**Theorem 3.9.** In a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , the following are equivalent:

- 1. X is pairwise extremally disconnected.
- 2.  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -s Cl $(A) = (\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -Cl $_{\theta}(A)$  for every  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -preopen (or  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen) set A in X.
- 3.  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -s Cl(A) =  $\tau_j$ -Cl(A) for every  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semipreopen set A in X.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Since  $(\tau_i, \tau_i)$ -s Cl(A)  $\subset (\tau_i, \tau_i)$ -Cl<sub> $\theta$ </sub>(A) for any subset A of X, it is sufficient to show that  $(\tau_i, \tau_i)$ -Cl<sub> $\theta$ </sub> $(A) \subset (\tau_i, \tau_i)$ -s Cl(A) for any  $(\tau_i, \tau_i)$ -preopen or  $(\tau_i, \tau_i)$ -semiopen set A of X. Let  $x \notin (\tau_i, \tau_i)$ -s Cl(A). Then there exists a  $(\tau_i, \tau_i)$ semiopen set U with  $x \in U$  such that  $U \cap A = \emptyset$  and thus there exists a  $\tau_j$ -open set V such that  $V \subset U \subset \tau_j$ -Cl(V) with  $V \cap A = \emptyset$  which implies  $V \cap \tau_j$ -Cl(A) =  $\emptyset$ . This means  $V \cap \tau_i$ -Int $(\tau_i$ -Cl $(A)) = \emptyset$  and hence  $\tau_i$ -Cl $(V) \cap \tau_i$ -Int $(\tau_i$ -Cl $(A)) = \emptyset$ . Now, if A is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -preopen, then  $A \subset \tau_i$ -Int $(\tau_j$ -Cl(A)) and hence  $\tau_i$ -Cl $(V) \cap A = \emptyset$ . If A is  $(\tau_i, \tau_i)$ -semiopen, since X is pairwise extremally disconnected,  $\tau_i$ -Cl(V) is  $\tau_i$ open and thus  $\tau_i$ -Cl(V)  $\cap \tau_j$ -Cl( $\tau_i$ -Int( $\tau_j$ -Cl(A))) =  $\emptyset$  which implies  $\tau_i$ -Cl(V)  $\cap A = \emptyset$ . Hence, in any case,  $x \notin (\tau_i, \tau_i)$ -Cl<sub> $\theta$ </sub>(A). (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): First let A be a  $(\tau_i, \tau_i)$ -preopen set in X. By Lemmas 3.8 and 3.3, we have  $\tau_i$ -Int $(\tau_i$ -Cl $(A)) = (\tau_i, \tau_i)$ -s Cl $(A) = (\tau_i, \tau_i)$ - $\operatorname{Cl}_{\theta}(A) = \tau_i - \operatorname{Cl}(A)$ . Then  $\tau_i - \operatorname{Cl}(A)$  is  $\tau_i$ -open and hence by Theorem 3.4, X is pairwise extremally disconnected. Next, let A be a  $(\tau_i, \tau_i)$ -semiopen set in X. Then  $(\tau_i, \tau_i)$ - $\operatorname{Cl}(A) \subset \tau_i \operatorname{-Cl}(A) \subset (\tau_i, \tau_i) \operatorname{-Cl}_{\theta}(A) = (\tau_i, \tau_i) \operatorname{-s} \operatorname{Cl}(A)$  and thus  $(\tau_i, \tau_i) \operatorname{-s} \operatorname{Cl}(A) = \tau_i \operatorname{-s}$ Cl(A). Hence, X is pairwise extremally disconnected from Theorem 3.4. (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3): Let A be a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semipropen set in X. Since X is pairwise extremally disconnected, by Theorem 3.4,  $\tau_i$ -Cl(A) is  $\tau_i$ -open in X. Hence, by Lemma 3.8,  $(\tau_i, \tau_i)$ -s Cl(A) =  $\tau_i$ -Cl(A). (3)  $\Rightarrow$ (1): Let U and V, respectively, be  $\tau_i$ -open and  $\tau_i$ -open sets such that  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ . Then  $U \subset X \setminus V$  which implies  $(\tau_i, \tau_i)$ -s  $\operatorname{Cl}(U) \subset (\tau_i, \tau_i)$ -s  $\operatorname{Cl}(X \setminus V) = X \setminus V$ and hence  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -s Cl $(U) \cap V = \emptyset$ . Since  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -s Cl(U) is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen in X,  $(\tau_i, \tau_i)$ -s Cl $(U) \cap (\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -s Cl $(V) = \emptyset$ . Then by (3)  $\tau_j$ -Cl $(U) \cap \tau_i$ -Cl $(V) = \emptyset$  and hence by Theorem 2.7, X is pairwise extremally disconnected.

**Theorem 3.10.** In a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , the following are equivalent:

- 1. X is pairwise extremally disconnected.
- 2. For each  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semipreopen set A in X and each  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -semiopen set B in Xsuch that  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ ,  $\tau_i$ -Cl $(A) \cap \tau_j$ -Cl $(B) = \emptyset$
- 3. For each  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -preopen set A in X and each  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -semiopen set B in X such that  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ ,  $\tau_i$ -Cl $(A) \cap \tau j$ -Cl $(B) = \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* (1) $\Rightarrow$  (2): Let A be a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semipreopen set and B a  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -semiopen set such that  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . Then  $A \cap \tau_j$ -Int $(B) = \emptyset$  and hence  $\tau_j$ -Cl $(A) \cap \tau_j$ -Int $(B) = \emptyset$ . By Theorem 3.4,  $\tau_j$ -Cl(A) is a  $\tau_i$ -open set in X and hence  $\tau_j$ -Cl $(A) \cap \tau_i$ -Cl $(\tau_j$ -Int $(B)) = \emptyset$ .

Since B is  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -semiopen in X, by Theorem 2.3,  $\tau_i$ -Cl $(B) = \tau_i$ -Cl $(\tau_j$ -Int(B)). Thus  $\tau_j$ -Cl $(A) \cap \tau_i$ -Cl $(B) = \emptyset$ . (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3): Straightforward. (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Let A be a  $\tau_i$ -open set and B a  $\tau_j$ -open set such that  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . Since every  $\tau_i$ -open set is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen set and every  $\tau_j$ -open set is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen set and every  $\tau_j$ -open set is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen set and every  $\tau_j$ -open set is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen set and every  $\tau_j$ -open set is a every  $\tau_j$ -open set. Since every  $\tau_i$ -open set is a every  $\tau_j$ -open set. Since every  $\tau_j$ -open set is a every  $\tau_j$ -open set. Since every  $\tau_j$ -open set is a every  $\tau_j$ -open set. Since every  $\tau_j$ -open set. Since

**Definition 3.11.** A function  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \to (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is said to be

- 1. pairwise semicontinuous [2] if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen set in X for each  $\sigma_i$ -open set V in Y.
- 2. pairwise almost open if f(U) is a  $\sigma_i$ -open set in Y for each  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -regular open set U in X.

**Lemma 3.12.** A function  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \to (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is pairwise almost open if and only if for each  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -semiclosed set A in X,  $f(\tau_i$ -Int $(A)) \subset \sigma_i$ -Int(f(A)).

Proof. Let A be a  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -semiclosed set in X. Then  $\tau_i$ -Int $(\tau_j$ -Cl(A)) is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ regular open and hence  $f(\tau - i$ -Int $(\tau_j$ -Cl(A))) is  $\sigma_i$ -open in Y. Now by Theorem 2.4,  $\tau_i$ -Int $(A) = \tau_i$ -Int $(\tau_j$ -Cl(A))  $\subset A$  which implies that  $f(\tau_i$ -Int $(A)) = f(\tau_i$ -Int $(\tau_j$ -Cl(A))) =  $\sigma_i$ -Int $(f(\tau_i$ -Int $(\tau_j$ -Cl(A))))  $\subset \sigma_i$ -Int(f(A)). Hence  $f(\tau_i$ -Int $(A)) \subset \sigma_i$ -Int(f(A)). Conversely, let A be a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -regular open set in X. Then A is  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ semiclosed and hence  $f(\tau_i$ -Int $(A)) \subset \sigma_i$ -Int(f(A)). Now,  $A = \tau_i$ -Int(A) and thus  $f(A) = f(\tau_i$ -Int $(A)) \subset \sigma_i$ -Int(f(A)), so that f(A) is  $\sigma_i$ -open in Y. Hence f is pairwise almost open.

**Lemma 3.13.** If  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \to (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is a pairwise semicontinuous and a pairwise almost open mapping, then f(A) is a  $(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)$ -preopen set in Y for each  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -preopen set A in X.

*Proof.* Let *A* be a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -preopen set in *X*. Since *f* is pairwise semicontinuous,  $f(A) \subset f((\tau_j, \tau_i) - s \operatorname{Cl}(A)) \subset \sigma_j - \operatorname{Cl}(f(A))$ . By Lemma 3.8 (2),  $(\tau_j, \tau_i) - s \operatorname{Cl}(A)$  is  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -regular open set in *X* and thus  $f((\tau_j, \tau_i) - s \operatorname{Cl}(A))$  is a  $(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)$ -preopen set in *Y* because *f* is pairwise almost open. By Lemma 3.8 (1),  $(\sigma_j, \sigma_i) - s \operatorname{Cl}(f((\tau_j, \tau_i) - s \operatorname{Cl}(A))) = \sigma_i - \operatorname{Int}(\sigma_j - \operatorname{Cl}(f((\tau_j, \tau_i) - s \operatorname{Cl}(A))))$ . Hence,  $(\sigma_j, \sigma_i) - s \operatorname{Cl}(f(A)) \subset (\sigma_j, \sigma_i) - s \operatorname{Cl}(f((\tau_j, \tau_i) - s \operatorname{Cl}(A)))) = \sigma_i - \operatorname{Int}(\sigma_j - \operatorname{Cl}(f((\tau_j, \tau_i) - s \operatorname{Cl}(A)))) \subset \sigma_j - \operatorname{Cl}(f(A))$ . Since  $\sigma_i - \operatorname{Int}(\sigma_j - \operatorname{Cl}(f(A))) = \sigma_i - \operatorname{Int}(\sigma_j - \operatorname{Cl}(f((\tau_j, \tau_i) - s \operatorname{Cl}(A)))))$ , we have  $f(A) \subset (\sigma_j, \sigma_i) - s \operatorname{Cl}(f(A)) \subset \sigma_i - \operatorname{Int}(\sigma_j - \operatorname{Cl}(f(A)))$ . Hence f(A) is  $(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)$ -preopen in *Y*.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 3.14.** If  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \to (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is a pairwise semicontinuous and a pairwise almost open mapping we have

- 1.  $f^{-1}(B)$  is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiclosed set in X for each  $(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)$ -semiclosed set in B in Y.
- 2.  $f^{-1}(B)$  is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen set in X for each  $(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)$ -semiopen set in B in Y.

*Proof.* (1) Let *B* be a  $(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)$ -semiclosed set in *Y*. Since *f* is pairwise semicontinuous and  $\sigma_i$ -Cl(*B*) is a  $\sigma_i$ -closed set,  $f^{-1}(\sigma_i$ -Cl(*B*)) is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiclosed in *X*. Hence,  $\tau_i$ -Int $(\tau_j$ -Cl $(f^{-1}(\sigma_i$ -Cl $(B)))) \subset \tau_j$ - Int $(f^{-1}(\sigma_i$ -Cl(B))). Since *f* is pairwise almost open by Lemma 3.12  $f(\tau_j \operatorname{-Int}(f^{-1}(\sigma_i \operatorname{-Cl}(B)))) \subset \tau_j \operatorname{-Int}(f(f^{-1}(\sigma_i \operatorname{-Cl}(B)))) \subset \sigma_j \operatorname{-Int}(\sigma_i \operatorname{-Cl}(B))) \subset B$ . Which implies that  $\tau_j \operatorname{-Int}(f^{-1}(\sigma_i \operatorname{-Cl}(B))) \subset f^{-1}(B)$ . Now,  $\tau_j \operatorname{-Int}(\tau_i \operatorname{-Cl}(f^{-1}(B))) \subset \tau_j \operatorname{-Int}(\tau_i \operatorname{-Cl}(F^{-1}(\sigma_i \operatorname{-Cl}(B)))) \subset \tau_j \operatorname{-Int}(f^{-1}(\sigma_i \operatorname{-Cl}(B))) \subset f^{-1}(B)$ . Hence  $f^{-1}(B)$  is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiclosed set in X. (2) Follows easily from (1) by taking the complement.

**Theorem 3.15.** Let  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \to (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be a pairwise semicontinuous and a pairwise almost open surjection. If  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise extremally disconnected, then  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is also pairwise extremally disconnected.

*Proof.* Let B be a  $(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)$ -semiopen set in Y. By Lemma 3.14,  $f^{-1}(B)$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ semiopen in X. Since X is pairwise extremally disconnected, by Theorem 3.5,  $f^{-1}(B)$ is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -preopen in X. By Lemma 3.13, B is  $(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)$ -preopen in Y and hence by
Theorem 3.5, Y is pairwise extremally disconnected.

## References

- Balasubramanian, G., Extremally disconnectedness in bitopological spaces, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc., 83(1991), 247-252.
- Bose, S., Semiopen sets, Semicontinuity and semiopen mappings in bitopological spaces, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc., 73(1981), 237-246.
- [3] Kariofillis, C.G., On pairwise almost compactness, Ann. Soc. Sci. Bruxelles, 100(1986), 129-137.
- [4] Kelly, J.C., Bitopological spaces, Proc. London Math. Soc., 13(1963), 71-89.
- [5] Khedr, F.H., Al. Areefi, S.M., Noiri, T., Precontinuity and semi-precontinuity in bitopological spaces, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 23(1992), no. 9, 625-633.
- [6] Khedr, F.H., Noiri, T., s-Closed bitopological spaces, J. Egypt. Math. Soc., 15(2007), no. 1, 79-87.
- [7] Singal, A.R., Arya, S.P., On pairwise almost regular spaces, Glasnik Mat. Ser. III, 26(1971), no. 6, 335-343.

Neelamegarajan Rajesh Department of Mathematics, Rajah Serfoji Govt. College Thanjavur-613005, Tamilnadu, India e-mail: nrajesh\_topology@yahoo.co.in