

Generalized Salagean-type harmonic univalent functions

Elif Yaşar and Sibel Yalçın

Abstract. The main purpose of this paper is to introduce a generalization of modified Salagean operator for harmonic univalent functions. We define a new subclass of complex-valued harmonic univalent functions by using this operator, and we investigate necessary and sufficient coefficient conditions, distortion bounds, extreme points and convex combination for the above class of harmonic univalent functions.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 30C45, 30C50.

Keywords: Harmonic, univalent, starlike, convex, modified Salagean operator.

1. Introduction

Let H denote the family of continuous complex valued harmonic functions which are harmonic in the open unit disk $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ and let A be the subclass of H consisting of functions which are analytic in U . A function harmonic in U may be written as $f = h + \bar{g}$, where h and g are members of A . In this case, f is sense-preserving if $|h'(z)| > |g'(z)|$ in U . See Clunie and Sheil-Small [2]. To this end, without loss of generality, we may write

$$h(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad g(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^k. \quad (1.1)$$

One shows easily that the sense-preserving property implies that $|b_1| < 1$.

Let SH denote the family of functions $f = h + \bar{g}$ which are harmonic, univalent, and sense-preserving in U for which $f(0) = f_z(0) - 1 = 0$.

For the harmonic function $f = h + \bar{g}$, we call h the analytic part and g the co-analytic part of f . Note that SH reduces to the class S of normalized analytic univalent functions in U if the co-analytic part of f is identically zero.

In 1984 Clunie and Sheil-Small [2] investigated the class SH as well as its geometric subclasses and obtained some coefficient bounds. Since then, there has been

several related papers on SH and its subclasses such as Avcı and Zlotkiewicz [1], Silverman [7], Silverman and Silvia [8], Jahangiri [3] studied the harmonic univalent functions.

The differential operator D^n ($n \in \mathbb{N}_0$) was introduced by Salagean [6]. For $f = h + \bar{g}$ given by (1.1), Jahangiri et al. [4] defined the modified Salagean operator of f as

$$D^n f(z) = D^n h(z) + (-1)^n \overline{D^n g(z)},$$

where

$$D^n h(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n a_k z^k \quad \text{and} \quad D^n g(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^n b_k z^k.$$

For $f = h + \bar{g}$ given by (1.1), we define generalization of the modified Salagean operator of f :

$$\begin{aligned} D_\lambda^0 f(z) &= D^0 f(z) = h(z) + \overline{g(z)}, \\ D_\lambda^1 f(z) &= (1 - \lambda)D^0 f(z) + \lambda D^1 f(z), \quad \lambda \geq 0, \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

$$D_\lambda^n f(z) = D_\lambda^1 (D_\lambda^{n-1} f(z)). \tag{1.3}$$

If f is given by (1.1), then from (1.2) and (1.3) we see that

$$D_\lambda^n f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [\lambda(k - 1) + 1]^n a_k z^k + (-1)^n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [\lambda(k + 1) - 1]^n \overline{b_k z^k}. \tag{1.4}$$

When $\lambda = 1$, we get modified Salagean differential operator [4]. If we take the co-analytic part of $f = h + \bar{g}$ of the form (1.1) is identically zero, $D_\lambda^n f$ reduces to the Al-Oboudi operator [5].

Denote by $SH(\lambda, n, \alpha)$ the subclass of SH consisting of functions f of the form (1.1) that satisfy the condition

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{D_\lambda^{n+1} f(z)}{D_\lambda^n f(z)} \right) \geq \alpha, \quad 0 \leq \alpha < 1 \tag{1.5}$$

where $D_\lambda^n f(z)$ is defined by (1.4).

We let the subclass $\overline{SH}(\lambda, n, \alpha)$ consisting of harmonic functions $f_n = h + \bar{g}_n$ in SH so that h and g_n are of the form

$$h(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad g_n(z) = (-1)^n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^k, \quad a_k, b_k \geq 0. \tag{1.6}$$

By suitably specializing the parametres, the classes $SH(\lambda, n, \alpha)$ reduces to the various subclasses of harmonic univalent functions. Such as,

- (i) $SH(1, 0, 0) = SH^*(0)$ (Avcı [1], Silverman [7], Silverman and Silvia [8]),
- (ii) $SH(1, 0, \alpha) = SH^*(\alpha)$ (Jahangiri [3]),
- (iii) $SH(1, 1, 0) = KH(0)$ (Avcı [1], Silverman [7], Silverman and Silvia [8]),
- (iv) $SH(1, 1, \alpha) = KH(\alpha)$ (Jahangiri [3]),
- (v) $SH(1, n, \alpha) = H(n, \alpha)$ (Jahangiri et al. [4]).

The object of the present paper is to investigate the various properties of harmonic univalent functions belonging to the subclass $SH(\lambda, n, \alpha)$. We extend the results of [4], by generalizing the operator.

2. Main results

Theorem 2.1. *Let $f = h + \bar{g}$ be so that h and g are given by (1.1). Furthermore, let*

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [\lambda(k-1) + 1]^n [\lambda(k-1) + 1 - \alpha] |a_k| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [\lambda(k+1) - 1]^n [\lambda(k+1) - 1 + \alpha] |b_k| \leq 1 - \alpha, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\lambda \geq 1$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$. Then f is sense-preserving, harmonic univalent in U and $f \in SH(\lambda, n, \alpha)$.

Proof. If $z_1 \neq z_2$,

$$\left| \frac{f(z_1) - f(z_2)}{h(z_1) - h(z_2)} \right| \geq 1 - \left| \frac{g(z_1) - g(z_2)}{h(z_1) - h(z_2)} \right| = 1 - \left| \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k (z_1^k - z_2^k)}{(z_1 - z_2) + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k (z_1^k - z_2^k)} \right|$$

$$> 1 - \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k |b_k|}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k |a_k|} \geq 1 - \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{[\lambda(k+1) - 1]^n [\lambda(k+1) - 1 + \alpha]}{1 - \alpha} |b_k|}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{[\lambda(k-1) + 1]^n [\lambda(k-1) + 1 - \alpha]}{1 - \alpha} |a_k|} \geq 0,$$

which proves univalence. Note that f is sense preserving in U . This is because

$$\begin{aligned} |h'(z)| &\geq 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k |a_k| |z|^{k-1} > 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{[\lambda(k-1) + 1]^n [\lambda(k-1) + 1 - \alpha]}{1 - \alpha} |a_k| \\ &\geq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{[\lambda(k+1) - 1]^n [\lambda(k+1) - 1 + \alpha]}{1 - \alpha} |b_k| > \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k |b_k| |z|^{k-1} \geq |g'(z)|. \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that $\text{Re} w \geq \alpha$ if and only if $|1 - \alpha + w| \geq |1 + \alpha - w|$, it suffices to show that

$$|(1 - \alpha)D_{\lambda}^n f(z) + D_{\lambda}^{n+1} f(z)| - |(1 + \alpha)D_{\lambda}^n f(z) - D_{\lambda}^{n+1} f(z)| \geq 0. \tag{2.2}$$

Substituting for $D_{\lambda}^{n+1} f(z)$ and $D_{\lambda}^n f(z)$ in (2.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &|(1 - \alpha)D_{\lambda}^n f(z) + D_{\lambda}^{n+1} f(z)| - |(1 + \alpha)D_{\lambda}^n f(z) - D_{\lambda}^{n+1} f(z)| \\ &\geq 2(1 - \alpha) |z| - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [\lambda(k-1) + 1]^n [\lambda(k-1) + 2 - \alpha] |a_k| |z|^k \\ &\quad - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [\lambda(k+1) - 1]^n [\lambda(k+1) - 2 + \alpha] |b_k| |z|^k \\ &\quad - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [\lambda(k-1) + 1]^n [\lambda(k-1) - \alpha] |a_k| |z|^k \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [\lambda(k+1) - 1]^n [\lambda(k+1) + \alpha] |b_k| |z|^k \\
 \geq & 2(1 - \alpha) |z| \left(1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{[\lambda(k-1) + 1]^n [\lambda(k-1) + 1 - \alpha]}{1 - \alpha} |a_k| \right. \\
 & \left. - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{[\lambda(k+1) - 1]^n [\lambda(k+1) - 1 + \alpha]}{1 - \alpha} |b_k| \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

This last expression is non-negative by (2.1), and so the proof is complete. □

Theorem 2.2. *Let $f_n = h + \bar{g}_n$ be given by (1.6). Then $f_n \in \overline{SH}(\lambda, n, \alpha)$ if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [\lambda(k-1) + 1]^n [\lambda(k-1) + 1 - \alpha] a_k \\
 & + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [\lambda(k+1) - 1]^n [\lambda(k+1) - 1 + \alpha] b_k \leq 1 - \alpha, \tag{2.3}
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda \geq 1, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, 0 \leq \alpha < 1$.

Proof. The "if" part follows from Theorem 2.1 upon noting that $\overline{SH}(\lambda, n, \alpha) \subset SH(\lambda, n, \alpha)$. For the "only if" part, we show that $f \notin \overline{SH}(\lambda, n, \alpha)$ if the condition (2.3) does not hold. Note that a necessary and sufficient condition for $f_n = h + \bar{g}_n$ given by (1.6), to be in $\overline{SH}(\lambda, n, \alpha)$ is that the condition (1.5) to be satisfied. This is equivalent to

$$\text{Re} \left\{ \frac{(1 - \alpha)z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [\lambda(k-1) + 1]^n [\lambda(k-1) + 1 - \alpha] a_k z^k}{z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [\lambda(k-1) + 1]^n a_k z^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [\lambda(k+1) - 1]^n b_k \bar{z}^k} - \frac{- \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [\lambda(k+1) - 1]^n [\lambda(k+1) - 1 + \alpha] b_k \bar{z}^k}{z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [\lambda(k-1) + 1]^n a_k z^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [\lambda(k+1) - 1]^n b_k \bar{z}^k} \right\} \geq 0. \tag{2.4}$$

The above condition must hold for all values of z , $|z| = r < 1$. Upon choosing the values of z on the positive real axis where $0 \leq z = r < 1$ we must have

$$\frac{(1 - \alpha) - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [\lambda(k - 1) + 1]^n [\lambda(k - 1) + 1 - \alpha] a_k r^{k-1}}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [\lambda(k - 1) + 1]^n a_k r^{k-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [\lambda(k + 1) - 1]^n b_k r^{k-1} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [\lambda(k + 1) - 1]^n [\lambda(k + 1) - 1 + \alpha] b_k r^{k-1}} \geq 0. \tag{2.5}$$

$$1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [\lambda(k - 1) + 1]^n a_k r^{k-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [\lambda(k + 1) - 1]^n b_k r^{k-1}$$

If the condition (2.3) does not hold, then the numerator in (2.5) is negative for r sufficiently close to 1. Hence there exist $z_0 = r_0$ in $(0, 1)$ for which the quotient in (2.5) is negative. This contradicts the required condition for $f_n \in \overline{SH}(\lambda, n, \alpha)$ and so the proof is complete. \square

Theorem 2.3. *Let f_n be given by (1.6). Then $f_n \in \overline{SH}(\lambda, n, \alpha)$ if and only if*

$$f_n(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (X_k h_k(z) + Y_k g_{n_k}(z)),$$

where $h_1(z) = z$,

$$h_k(z) = z - \frac{1 - \alpha}{[\lambda(k - 1) + 1]^n [\lambda(k - 1) + 1 - \alpha]} z^k \quad (k = 2, 3, \dots),$$

$$g_{n_k}(z) = z + (-1)^n \frac{1 - \alpha}{[\lambda(k + 1) - 1]^n [\lambda(k + 1) - 1 + \alpha]} \bar{z}^k \quad (k = 1, 2, 3, \dots),$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (X_k + Y_k) = 1, \quad X_k \geq 0, \quad Y_k \geq 0.$$

In particular, the extreme points of $\overline{SH}(\lambda, n, \alpha)$ are $\{h_k\}$ and $\{g_{n_k}\}$.

Proof. For functions f_n of the form (1.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_n(z) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (X_k h_k(z) + Y_k g_{n_k}(z)) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (X_k + Y_k) z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1 - \alpha}{[\lambda(k - 1) + 1]^n [\lambda(k - 1) + 1 - \alpha]} X_k z^k \\ &\quad + (-1)^n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - \alpha}{[\lambda(k + 1) - 1]^n [\lambda(k + 1) - 1 + \alpha]} Y_k \bar{z}^k. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{[\lambda(k-1)+1]^n [\lambda(k-1)+1-\alpha]}{1-\alpha} \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{[\lambda(k-1)+1]^n [\lambda(k-1)+1-\alpha]} X_k \right) \\ & + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{[\lambda(k+1)-1]^n [\lambda(k+1)-1+\alpha]}{1-\alpha} \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{[\lambda(k+1)-1]^n [\lambda(k+1)-1+\alpha]} Y_k \right) \\ & = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} X_k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} Y_k = 1 - X_1 \leq 1, \text{ and so } f_n \in \overline{SH}(\lambda, n, \alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, if $f_n \in \overline{SH}(\lambda, n, \alpha)$, then

$$a_k \leq \frac{1-\alpha}{[\lambda(k-1)+1]^n [\lambda(k-1)+1-\alpha]}$$

and

$$b_k \leq \frac{1-\alpha}{[\lambda(k+1)-1]^n [\lambda(k+1)-1+\alpha]}.$$

Set

$$X_k = \frac{[\lambda(k-1)+1]^n [\lambda(k-1)+1-\alpha]}{1-\alpha} a_k, \quad (k = 2, 3, \dots)$$

$$Y_k = \frac{[\lambda(k+1)-1]^n [\lambda(k+1)-1+\alpha]}{1-\alpha} b_k, \quad (k = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$$

and

$$X_1 = 1 - \left(\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} X_k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} Y_k \right)$$

where $X_1 \geq 0$. Then, as required, we obtain

$$f_n(z) = X_1 z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} X_k h_k(z) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} Y_k g_{n_k}(z).$$

□

Theorem 2.4. Let $f_n \in \overline{SH}(\lambda, n, \alpha)$. Then for $|z| = r < 1$ and $\lambda \geq 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f_n(z)| & \leq (1 + b_1) r \\ & + \left(\frac{(1-\alpha)}{(\lambda+1)^n (\lambda+1-\alpha)} - \frac{(2\lambda-1)^n (2\lambda-1+\alpha)}{(\lambda+1)^n (\lambda+1-\alpha)} b_1 \right) r^2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |f_n(z)| & \geq (1 - b_1) r \\ & - \left(\frac{(1-\alpha)}{(\lambda+1)^n (\lambda+1-\alpha)} - \frac{(2\lambda-1)^n (2\lambda-1+\alpha)}{(\lambda+1)^n (\lambda+1-\alpha)} b_1 \right) r^2. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We only prove the right hand inequality. The proof for the left hand inequality is similar and will be omitted. Let $f_n \in \overline{SH}(\lambda, n, \alpha)$ and $\lambda \geq 1$. Taking the absolute value of f_n we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |f_n(z)| &\leq (1 + b_1)r + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (a_k + b_k)r^k \\
 &\leq (1 + b_1)r + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (a_k + b_k)r^2 \\
 &= (1 + b_1)r + \frac{(1 - \alpha)r^2}{(\lambda + 1)^n(\lambda + 1 - \alpha)} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda + 1)^n(\lambda + 1 - \alpha)}{(1 - \alpha)} [a_k + b_k] \\
 &\leq (1 + b_1)r + \frac{(1 - \alpha)r^2}{(\lambda + 1)^n(\lambda + 1 - \alpha)} \\
 &\quad \times \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{[\lambda(k - 1) + 1]^n [\lambda(k - 1) + 1 - \alpha]}{1 - \alpha} a_k \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{[\lambda(k - 1) + 1]^n [\lambda(k - 1) + 1 - \alpha]}{1 - \alpha} b_k \right) \\
 &\leq (1 + b_1)r + \frac{(1 - \alpha)r^2}{(\lambda + 1)^n(\lambda + 1 - \alpha)} \\
 &\quad \times \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{[\lambda(k - 1) + 1]^n [\lambda(k - 1) + 1 - \alpha]}{1 - \alpha} a_k \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{[\lambda(k + 1) - 1]^n [\lambda(k + 1) - 1 + \alpha]}{1 - \alpha} b_k \right) \\
 &\leq (1 + b_1)r + \frac{(1 - \alpha)}{(\lambda + 1)^n(\lambda + 1 - \alpha)} \left(1 - \frac{(2\lambda - 1)^n(2\lambda - 1 + \alpha)}{1 - \alpha} b_1 \right) r^2 \\
 &\leq (1 + b_1)r + \left(\frac{(1 - \alpha)}{(\lambda + 1)^n(\lambda + 1 - \alpha)} - \frac{(2\lambda - 1)^n(2\lambda - 1 + \alpha)}{(\lambda + 1)^n(\lambda + 1 - \alpha)} b_1 \right) r^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

The following covering result follows from the left hand inequality in Theorem 2.4. \square

Corollary 2.5. *Let f_n of the form (1.6) be so that $f_n \in \overline{SH}(\lambda, n, \alpha)$. Then*

$$\left\{ w : |w| < \frac{(\lambda + 1)^n(\lambda + 1 - \alpha) - 1 + \alpha}{(\lambda + 1)^n(\lambda + 1 - \alpha)} - \frac{(\lambda + 1)^n(\lambda + 1 - \alpha) - (2\lambda - 1)^n(2\lambda - 1 + \alpha)}{(\lambda + 1)^n(\lambda + 1 - \alpha)} b_1 \right\} \subset f_n(U).$$

Theorem 2.6. *The class $\overline{SH}(\lambda, n, \alpha)$ is closed under convex combinations.*

Proof. Let $f_{n_i} \in \overline{SH}(\lambda, n, \alpha)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots$, where f_{n_i} is given by

$$f_{n_i}(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k_i} z^k + (-1)^n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k_i} \bar{z}^k. \text{ Then by (2.3),}$$

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{[\lambda(k-1)+1]^n [\lambda(k-1)+1-\alpha]}{1-\alpha} a_{k_i} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{[\lambda(k+1)-1]^n [\lambda(k+1)-1+\alpha]}{1-\alpha} b_{k_i} \leq 1. \tag{2.6}$$

For $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i = 1, 0 \leq t_i \leq 1$, the convex combination of f_{n_i} may be written as

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i f_{n_i}(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i a_{k_i} \right) z^k + (-1)^n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i b_{k_i} \right) \bar{z}^k.$$

Then by (2.6),

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{[\lambda(k-1)+1]^n [\lambda(k-1)+1-\alpha]}{1-\alpha} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i a_{k_i} \right) \\ & + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{[\lambda(k+1)-1]^n [\lambda(k+1)-1+\alpha]}{1-\alpha} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i b_{k_i} \right) \\ = & \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i \left(\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{[\lambda(k-1)+1]^n [\lambda(k-1)+1-\alpha]}{1-\alpha} a_{k_i} \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{[\lambda(k+1)-1]^n [\lambda(k+1)-1+\alpha]}{1-\alpha} b_{k_i} \right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i = 1. \end{aligned}$$

This is the condition required by (2.3) and so $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i f_{n_i}(z) \in \overline{SH}(\lambda, n, \alpha)$. □

References

- [1] Avci, Y., Zlotkiewicz, E., *On harmonic univalent mappings*, Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Skłodowska Sect. A, **44**(1990), 1-7.
- [2] Clunie, J., Sheil-Small, T., *Harmonic univalent functions*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math., **9**(1984), 3-25.
- [3] Jahangiri, J.M., *Harmonic functions starlike in the unit disk*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **235**(1999), 470-477.
- [4] Jahangiri, J.M., Murugusundaramoorthy, G., Vijaya, K., *Salagean-type harmonic univalent functions*, South J. Pure Appl. Math., **2**(2002), 77-82.
- [5] Al-Oboudi, F.M., *On univalent functions defined by a generalized Salagean operator*, IJMMS, **27**(2004), 1429-1436.
- [6] Salagean, G.S., *Subclasses of univalent functions*, Lecture Notes in Math. Springer-Verlag Heidelberg, **1013**(1983), 362-372.
- [7] Silverman, H., *Harmonic univalent functions with negative coefficients*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **220**(1998), 283-289.

- [8] Silverman, H., Silvia, E.M., *Subclasses of harmonic univalent functions*, N. Z. J. Math., **28**(1999), 275-284.

Elif Yaşar

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Arts and Science

Uludag University, 16059, Bursa, Turkey

e-mail: elifyasar@uludag.edu.tr

Sibel Yalçın

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Arts and Science

Uludag University, 16059, Bursa, Turkey

e-mail: syalcin@uludag.edu.tr