

A note on strong differential subordinations using a generalized Sălăgean operator and Ruscheweyh operator

Alina Alb Lupuş

Abstract. In the present paper we establish several strong differential subordinations regarding the new operator DR_λ^m defined by convolution product of the extended Sălăgean operator and Ruscheweyh derivative, $DR_\lambda^m : \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$, $DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta) = (D_\lambda^m * R^m) f(z, \zeta)$, $z \in U$, $\zeta \in \bar{U}$, where $R^m f(z, \zeta)$ denote the extended Ruscheweyh derivative, $D_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta)$ is the extended generalized Sălăgean operator and $\mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^* = \{f \in \mathcal{H}(U \times \bar{U}), f(z, \zeta) = z + a_{n+1}(\zeta)z^{n+1} + \dots, z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}\}$ is the class of normalized analytic functions.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 30C45, 30A20, 34A40.

Keywords: Strong differential subordination, convex function, best subordinant, extended differential operator, convolution product.

1. Introduction

Denote by U the unit disc of the complex plane $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$, $\bar{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq 1\}$ the closed unit disc of the complex plane and $\mathcal{H}(U \times \bar{U})$ the class of analytic functions in $U \times \bar{U}$.

Let

$$\mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^* = \{f \in \mathcal{H}(U \times \bar{U}), f(z, \zeta) = z + a_{n+1}(\zeta)z^{n+1} + \dots, z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}\},$$

where $a_k(\zeta)$ are holomorphic functions in \bar{U} for $k \geq 2$, and

$$\mathcal{H}^*[a, n, \zeta] = \{f \in \mathcal{H}(U \times \bar{U}), f(z, \zeta) = a + a_n(\zeta)z^n + a_{n+1}(\zeta)z^{n+1} + \dots, z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}\},$$

for $a \in \mathbb{C}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_k(\zeta)$ are holomorphic functions in \bar{U} for $k \geq n$.

Denote by

$$K_{n\zeta} = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{H}(U \times \bar{U}) : \operatorname{Re} \frac{zf_z''(z, \zeta)}{f_z'(z, \zeta)} + 1 > 0 \right\}$$

the class of convex function in $U \times \bar{U}$.

We also extend the differential operators presented above to the new class of analytic functions $\mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$ introduced in [10].

Definition 1.1. [5] For $f \in \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$, $\lambda \geq 0$ and $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, the operator D_λ^m is defined by $D_\lambda^m : \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$,

$$\begin{aligned} D_\lambda^0 f(z, \zeta) &= f(z, \zeta) \\ D_\lambda^1 f(z, \zeta) &= (1 - \lambda) f(z, \zeta) + \lambda z f'_z(z, \zeta) = D_\lambda f(z, \zeta), \dots, \\ D_\lambda^{m+1} f(z, \zeta) &= (1 - \lambda) D_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta) + \lambda z (D_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta))'_z \\ &= D_\lambda (D_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta)), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 1.2. [5] If $f \in \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$ and $f(z) = z + \sum_{j=n+1}^\infty a_j(\zeta) z^j$, then

$$D_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta) = z + \sum_{j=n+1}^\infty [1 + (j - 1)\lambda]^m a_j(\zeta) z^j, \text{ for } z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

Definition 1.3. [4] For $f \in \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$, $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, the operator R^m is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} R^m : \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^* &\rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*, \\ R^0 f(z, \zeta) &= f(z, \zeta), \\ R^1 f(z, \zeta) &= z f'_z(z, \zeta), \dots, \\ (m + 1) R^{m+1} f(z, \zeta) &= z (R^m f(z, \zeta))'_z + m R^m f(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 1.4. [4] If $f \in \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$, $f(z, \zeta) = z + \sum_{j=n+1}^\infty a_j(\zeta) z^j$, then

$$R^m f(z, \zeta) = z + \sum_{j=n+1}^\infty C_{m+j-1}^m a_j(\zeta) z^j, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

As a dual notion of strong differential subordination G.I. Oros has introduced and developed the notion of strong differential superordinations in [9].

Definition 1.5. [9] Let $f(z, \zeta)$, $H(z, \zeta)$ analytic in $U \times \bar{U}$. The function $f(z, \zeta)$ is said to be strongly superordinate to $H(z, \zeta)$ if there exists a function w analytic in U , with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$, such that $H(z, \zeta) = f(w(z), \zeta)$, for all $\zeta \in \bar{U}$. In such a case we write $H(z, \zeta) \prec\prec f(z, \zeta)$, $z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}$.

Remark 1.6. [9] (i) Since $f(z, \zeta)$ is analytic in $U \times \bar{U}$, for all $\zeta \in \bar{U}$, and univalent in U , for all $\zeta \in \bar{U}$, Definition 1.5 is equivalent to $H(0, \zeta) = f(0, \zeta)$, for all $\zeta \in \bar{U}$, and $H(U \times \bar{U}) \subset f(U \times \bar{U})$.

(ii) If $H(z, \zeta) \equiv H(z)$ and $f(z, \zeta) \equiv f(z)$, the strong superordination becomes the usual notion of superordination.

Definition 1.7. [9] We denote by Q^* the set of functions that are analytic and injective on $\bar{U} \times \bar{U} \setminus E(f, \zeta)$, where $E(f, \zeta) = \{y \in \partial U : \lim_{z \rightarrow y} f(z, \zeta) = \infty\}$, and are such that $f'_z(y, \zeta) \neq 0$ for $y \in \partial U \times \bar{U} \setminus E(f, \zeta)$. The subclass of Q^* for which $f(0, \zeta) = a$ is denoted by $Q^*(a)$.

We have need the following lemmas to study the strong differential subordinations.

Lemma 1.8. [9] Let $h(z, \zeta)$ be a convex function with $h(0, \zeta) = a$ and let $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}^*$ be a complex number with $\text{Re}\gamma \geq 0$. If $p \in \mathcal{H}^*[a, n, \zeta] \cap Q^*$, $p(z, \zeta) + \frac{1}{\gamma}zp'_z(z, \zeta)$ is univalent in $U \times \bar{U}$ and

$$h(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta) + \frac{1}{\gamma}zp'_z(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

then

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where $q(z, \zeta) = \frac{\gamma}{nz^{\frac{\gamma}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{\gamma}{n}-1} dt$, $z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}$. The function q is convex and is the best subordinant.

Lemma 1.9. [9] Let $q(z, \zeta)$ be a convex function in $U \times \bar{U}$ and let

$$h(z, \zeta) = q(z, \zeta) + \frac{1}{\gamma}zq'_z(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where $\text{Re}\gamma \geq 0$.

If $p \in \mathcal{H}^*[a, n, \zeta] \cap Q^*$, $p(z, \zeta) + \frac{1}{\gamma}zp'_z(z, \zeta)$ is univalent in $U \times \bar{U}$ and

$$q(z, \zeta) + \frac{1}{\gamma}zq'_z(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta) + \frac{1}{\gamma}zp'_z(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

then

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where $q(z, \zeta) = \frac{\gamma}{nz^{\frac{\gamma}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{\gamma}{n}-1} dt$, $z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}$. The function q is the best subordinant.

2. Main results

Definition 2.1. [2] Let $\lambda \geq 0$ and $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Denote by DR_λ^m the operator given by the Hadamard product (the convolution product) of the extended generalized Sălăgean operator D_λ^m and the extended Ruscheweyh operator R^m , $DR_\lambda^m : \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$,

$$DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta) = (D_\lambda^m * R^m) f(z, \zeta).$$

Remark 2.2. [2] If $f \in \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$, $f(z, \zeta) = z + \sum_{j=n+1}^\infty a_j(\zeta) z^j$, then

$$DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta) = z + \sum_{j=n+1}^\infty C_{m+j-1}^m [1 + (j-1)\lambda]^m a_j^2(\zeta) z^j, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

Remark 2.3. For $\lambda = 1$ we obtain the Hadamard product SR^m ([1], [3], [7], [8]) of the extended Sălăgean operator S^m and the extended Ruscheweyh operator R^m .

Theorem 2.4. Let $h(z, \zeta)$ be a convex function in $U \times \bar{U}$ with $h(0, \zeta) = 1$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda \geq 0$, $f(z, \zeta) \in \mathcal{A}_{n, \zeta}^*$, $F(z, \zeta) = I_c(f)(z, \zeta) = \frac{c+2}{z^{c+1}} \int_0^z t^c f(t, \zeta) dt$, $z \in U$, $\zeta \in \bar{U}$, $\text{Re} c > -2$, and suppose that $(DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta))'_z$ is univalent in $U \times \bar{U}$, $(DR_\lambda^m F(z, \zeta))'_z \in \mathcal{H}^*[1, n, \zeta] \cap Q^*$ and

$$h(z, \zeta) \prec\prec (DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \tag{2.1}$$

then

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec (DR_\lambda^m F(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where $q(z, \zeta) = \frac{c+2}{nz \frac{c+2}{n}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{c+2}{n}-1} dt$. The function q is convex and it is the best subdominant.

Proof. We have

$$z^{c+1} F(z, \zeta) = (c+2) \int_0^z t^c f(t, \zeta) dt$$

and differentiating it, with respect to z , we obtain

$$(c+1) F(z, \zeta) + zF'_z(z, \zeta) = (c+2) f(z, \zeta)$$

and

$$(c+1) DR_\lambda^m F(z, \zeta) + z(DR_\lambda^m F(z, \zeta))'_z = (c+2) DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

Differentiating the last relation with respect to z we have

$$(DR_\lambda^m F(z, \zeta))'_z + \frac{1}{c+2} z(DR_\lambda^m F(z, \zeta))''_{z^2} = (DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}. \tag{2.2}$$

Using (2.2), the strong differential superordination (2.1) becomes

$$h(z, \zeta) \prec\prec (DR_\lambda^m F(z, \zeta))'_z + \frac{1}{c+2} z(DR_\lambda^m F(z, \zeta))''_{z^2}. \tag{2.3}$$

Denote

$$p(z, \zeta) = (DR_\lambda^m F(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}. \tag{2.4}$$

Replacing (2.4) in (2.3) we obtain

$$h(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta) + \frac{1}{c+2} zp'_z(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

Using Lemma 1.8 for $\gamma = c+2$, we have

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \text{ i.e. } q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec (DR_\lambda^m F(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where $q(z, \zeta) = \frac{c+2}{nz \frac{c+2}{n}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{c+2}{n}-1} dt$. The function q is convex and it is the best subdominant. □

Corollary 2.5. Let $h(z, \zeta) = \frac{1+(2\beta-\zeta)z}{1+z}$, where $\beta \in [0, 1)$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda \geq 0$, $f(z, \zeta) \in \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$, $F(z, \zeta) = I_c(f)(z, \zeta) = \frac{c+2}{z^{c+1}} \int_0^z t^c f(t, \zeta) dt$, $z \in U$, $\zeta \in \bar{U}$, $\text{Rec} > -2$, and suppose that $(DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta))'_z$ is univalent in $U \times \bar{U}$, $(DR_\lambda^m F(z, \zeta))'_z \in \mathcal{H}^*[1, n, \zeta] \cap Q^*$ and

$$h(z, \zeta) \prec\prec (DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \tag{2.5}$$

then

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec (DR_\lambda^m F(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where q is given by $q(z, \zeta) = 2\beta - \zeta + \frac{(c+2)(1+\zeta-2\beta)}{nz \frac{c+2}{n}} \int_0^z \frac{t^{\frac{c+2}{n}-1}}{t+1} dt$, $z \in U$, $\zeta \in \bar{U}$. The function q is convex and it is the best subordinant.

Proof. Following the same steps as in the proof of Theorem 2.4 and considering $p(z, \zeta) = (DR_\lambda^m F(z, \zeta))'_z$, the strong differential subordination (2.5) becomes

$$h(z, \zeta) = \frac{1+(2\beta-\zeta)z}{1+z} \prec\prec p(z, \zeta) + \frac{1}{c+2} z p'_z(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

By using Lemma 1.8 for $\gamma = c + 2$, we have $q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta)$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} q(z, \zeta) &= \frac{c+2}{nz \frac{c+2}{n}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{c+2}{n}-1} dt = \frac{c+2}{nz \frac{c+2}{n}} \int_0^z \frac{1+(2\beta-\zeta)t}{1+t} t^{\frac{c+2}{n}-1} dt \\ &= 2\beta - \zeta + \frac{(c+2)(1+\zeta-2\beta)}{nz \frac{c+2}{n}} \int_0^z \frac{t^{\frac{c+2}{n}-1}}{t+1} dt \prec\prec (DR_\lambda^m F(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}. \end{aligned}$$

The function q is convex and it is the best subordinant. □

Theorem 2.6. Let $q(z, \zeta)$ be a convex function in $U \times \bar{U}$ and let

$$h(z, \zeta) = q(z, \zeta) + \frac{1}{c+2} z q'_z(z, \zeta),$$

where $z \in U$, $\zeta \in \bar{U}$, $\text{Rec} > -2$.

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda \geq 0$, $f(z, \zeta) \in \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$, $F(z, \zeta) = I_c(f)(z, \zeta) = \frac{c+2}{z^{c+1}} \int_0^z t^c f(t, \zeta) dt$, $z \in U$, $\zeta \in \bar{U}$, and suppose that $(DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta))'_z$ is univalent in $U \times \bar{U}$, $(DR_\lambda^m F(z, \zeta))'_z \in \mathcal{H}^*[1, n, \zeta] \cap Q^*$ and

$$h(z, \zeta) \prec\prec (DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \tag{2.6}$$

then

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec (DR_\lambda^m F(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where $q(z, \zeta) = \frac{c+2}{nz \frac{c+2}{n}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{c+2}{n}-1} dt$. The function q is the best subordinant.

Proof. Following the same steps as in the proof of Theorem 2.4 and considering $p(z, \zeta) = (DR_\lambda^m F(z, \zeta))'_z$, $z \in U$, $\zeta \in \bar{U}$, the strong differential subordination (2.6) becomes

$$h(z, \zeta) = q(z, \zeta) + \frac{1}{c+2} z q'_z(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta) + \frac{1}{c+2} z p'_z(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

Using Lemma 1.9 for $\gamma = c + 2$, we have

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \text{ i.e. } q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec (DR_\lambda^m F(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where $q(z, \zeta) = \frac{c+2}{nz^{\frac{c+2}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{c+2}{n}-1} dt$. The function q is the best subordinant. \square

Theorem 2.7. *Let $h(z, \zeta)$ be a convex function, $h(0, \zeta) = 1$. Let $\lambda \geq 0, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f(z, \zeta) \in \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$ and suppose that $(DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta))'_z$ is univalent and $\frac{DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta)}{z} \in \mathcal{H}^*[1, n, \zeta] \cap Q^*$. If*

$$h(z, \zeta) \prec\prec (DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \tag{2.7}$$

then

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec \frac{DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta)}{z}, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where $q(z, \zeta) = \frac{1}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{1}{n}-1} dt$. The function q is convex and it is the best subordinant.

Proof. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} p(z, \zeta) &= \frac{DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta)}{z} = \frac{z + \sum_{j=n+1}^\infty C_{m+j-1}^m [1 + (j-1)\lambda]^m a_j^2(\zeta) z^j}{z} \\ &= 1 + \sum_{j=n+1}^\infty C_{m+j-1}^m [1 + (j-1)\lambda]^m a_j^2(\zeta) z^{j-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Evidently $p \in \mathcal{H}^*[1, n, \zeta]$.

We have $p(z, \zeta) + zp'_z(z, \zeta) = (DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}$.

Then (2.7) becomes

$$h(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta) + zp'_z(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

By using Lemma 1.8 for $\gamma = 1$, we have

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \text{ i.e. } q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec \frac{DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta)}{z}, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where $q(z, \zeta) = \frac{1}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{1}{n}-1} dt$. The function q is convex and it is the best subordinant. \square

Corollary 2.8. *Let $h(z, \zeta) = \frac{1+(2\beta-\zeta)z}{1+z}$ be a convex function in $U \times \bar{U}$, where $0 \leq \beta < 1$. Let $\lambda \geq 0, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f(z, \zeta) \in \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$ and suppose that $(DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta))'_z$ is univalent and $\frac{DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta)}{z} \in \mathcal{H}^*[1, n, \zeta] \cap Q^*$. If*

$$h(z, \zeta) \prec\prec (DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \tag{2.8}$$

then

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec \frac{DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta)}{z}, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where q is given by $q(z, \zeta) = 2\beta - \zeta + \frac{1+\zeta-2\beta}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z \frac{t^{\frac{1}{n}-1}}{1+t} dt$, $z \in U$, $\zeta \in \bar{U}$. The function q is convex and it is the best subdominant.

Proof. Following the same steps as in the proof of Theorem 2.7 and considering

$$p(z, \zeta) = \frac{DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta)}{z},$$

the strong differential subordination (2.8) becomes

$$h(z, \zeta) = \frac{1 + (2\beta - \zeta)z}{1 + z} \prec\prec p(z, \zeta) + zp'_z(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

By using Lemma 1.8 for $\gamma = 1$, we have $q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta)$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} q(z, \zeta) &= \frac{1}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{1}{n}-1} dt = \frac{1}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z t^{\frac{1}{n}-1} \frac{1 + (2\beta - \zeta)t}{1 + t} dt \\ &= 2\beta - \zeta + \frac{1 + \zeta - 2\beta}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z \frac{t^{\frac{1}{n}-1}}{1 + t} dt \prec\prec \frac{DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta)}{z}, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}. \end{aligned}$$

The function q is convex and it is the best subdominant. □

Theorem 2.9. Let $q(z, \zeta)$ be convex in $U \times \bar{U}$ and let h be defined by

$$h(z, \zeta) = q(z, \zeta) + zq'_z(z, \zeta).$$

If $\lambda \geq 0$, $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f(z, \zeta) \in \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$, suppose that $(DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta))'_z$ is univalent, $\frac{DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta)}{z} \in \mathcal{H}^*[1, n, \zeta] \cap Q^*$ and satisfies the strong differential subordination

$$h(z, \zeta) = q(z, \zeta) + zq'_z(z, \zeta) \prec\prec (DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \tag{2.9}$$

then

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec \frac{DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta)}{z}, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where $q(z, \zeta) = \frac{1}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{1}{n}-1} dt$. The function q is the best subdominant.

Proof. Let

$$\begin{aligned} p(z, \zeta) &= \frac{DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta)}{z} = \frac{z + \sum_{j=n+1}^\infty C_{m+j-1}^m [1 + (j-1)\lambda]^m a_j^2(\zeta) z^j}{z} \\ &= 1 + \sum_{j=n+1}^\infty C_{m+j-1}^m [1 + (j-1)\lambda]^m a_j^2(\zeta) z^{j-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Evidently $p \in \mathcal{H}^*[1, n, \zeta]$.

Differentiating, we obtain $p(z, \zeta) + zp'_z(z, \zeta) = (DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta))'_z$, $z \in U$, $\zeta \in \bar{U}$, and (2.9) becomes

$$q(z, \zeta) + zq'_z(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta) + zp'_z(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

Using Lemma 1.9 for $\gamma = 1$, we have

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \text{ i.e.}$$

$$q(z, \zeta) = \frac{1}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{1}{n}-1} dt \prec\prec \frac{DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta)}{z}, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

and q is the best subordinant. □

Theorem 2.10. *Let $h(z, \zeta)$ be a convex function, $h(0, \zeta) = 1$. Let $\lambda \geq 0, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f(z, \zeta) \in \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$ and suppose that $\left(\frac{zDR_\lambda^{m+1}f(z, \zeta)}{DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta)}\right)'_z$ is univalent and $\frac{DR_\lambda^{m+1}f(z, \zeta)}{DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta)} \in \mathcal{H}^*[1, n, \zeta] \cap Q^*$. If*

$$h(z, \zeta) \prec\prec \left(\frac{zDR_\lambda^{m+1}f(z, \zeta)}{DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta)}\right)'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \tag{2.10}$$

then

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec \frac{DR_\lambda^{m+1}f(z, \zeta)}{DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta)}, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where $q(z, \zeta) = \frac{1}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{1}{n}-1} dt$. The function q is convex and it is the best subordinant.

Proof. Consider

$$p(z, \zeta) = \frac{DR_\lambda^{m+1}f(z, \zeta)}{DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta)} = \frac{z + \sum_{j=n+1}^\infty C_{m+j}^{m+1} [1 + (j-1)\lambda]^{m+1} a_j^2(\zeta) z^j}{z + \sum_{j=n+1}^\infty C_{m+j-1}^m [1 + (j-1)\lambda]^m a_j^2(\zeta) z^j}$$

$$= \frac{1 + \sum_{j=n+1}^\infty C_{m+j}^{m+1} [1 + (j-1)\lambda]^{m+1} a_j^2(\zeta) z^{j-1}}{1 + \sum_{j=n+1}^\infty C_{m+j-1}^m [1 + (j-1)\lambda]^m a_j^2(\zeta) z^{j-1}}.$$

Evidently $p \in \mathcal{H}^*[1, n, \zeta]$.

We have

$$p'_z(z, \zeta) = \frac{(DR_\lambda^{m+1}f(z, \zeta))'_z}{DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta)} - p(z, \zeta) \cdot \frac{(DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta))'_z}{DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta)}.$$

Then

$$p(z, \zeta) + zp'_z(z, \zeta) = \left(\frac{zDR_\lambda^{m+1}f(z, \zeta)}{DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta)}\right)'_z.$$

Then (2.10) becomes

$$h(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta) + zp'_z(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

By using Lemma 1.8 for $\gamma = 1$, we have

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad q(z, \zeta) \prec \frac{DR_\lambda^{m+1}f(z, \zeta)}{DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta)}, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where $q(z, \zeta) = \frac{1}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{1}{n}-1} dt$. The function q is convex and it is the best subordinant. □

Corollary 2.11. *Let $h(z, \zeta) = \frac{1+(2\beta-\zeta)z}{1+z}$ be a convex function in $U \times \bar{U}$, where $0 \leq \beta < 1$. Let $\lambda \geq 0, m, n \in \mathbb{N}, f(z, \zeta) \in \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$ and suppose that $\left(\frac{zDR_\lambda^{m+1}f(z, \zeta)}{DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta)}\right)'_z$ is univalent, $\frac{DR_\lambda^{m+1}f(z, \zeta)}{DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta)} \in \mathcal{H}^*[1, n, \zeta] \cap Q^*$. If*

$$h(z, \zeta) \prec\prec \left(\frac{zDR_\lambda^{m+1}f(z, \zeta)}{DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta)}\right)'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \tag{2.11}$$

then

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec \frac{DR_\lambda^{m+1}f(z, \zeta)}{DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta)}, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where q is given by $q(z, \zeta) = 2\beta - \zeta + \frac{1+\zeta-2\beta}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z \frac{t^{\frac{1}{n}-1}}{1+t} dt, z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}$. The function q is convex and it is the best subordinant.

Proof. Following the same steps as in the proof of Theorem 2.10 and considering

$$p(z, \zeta) = \frac{DR_\lambda^{m+1}f(z, \zeta)}{DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta)},$$

the strong differential superordination (2.11) becomes

$$h(z, \zeta) = \frac{1 + (2\beta - \zeta)z}{1 + z} \prec\prec p(z, \zeta) + zp'_z(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

By using Lemma 1.8 for $\gamma = 1$, we have $q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta)$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} q(z, \zeta) &= \frac{1}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{1}{n}-1} dt = \frac{1}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z t^{\frac{1}{n}-1} \frac{1 + (2\beta - \zeta)t}{1 + t} dt \\ &= 2\beta - \zeta + \frac{1 + \zeta - 2\beta}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z \frac{t^{\frac{1}{n}-1}}{1 + t} dt \prec\prec \frac{DR_\lambda^{m+1}f(z, \zeta)}{DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta)}, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}. \end{aligned}$$

The function q is convex and it is the best subordinant. □

Theorem 2.12. *Let $q(z, \zeta)$ be convex in $U \times \bar{U}$ and let h be defined by*

$$h(z, \zeta) = q(z, \zeta) + zq'_z(z, \zeta).$$

If $\lambda \geq 0, m, n \in \mathbb{N}, f(z, \zeta) \in \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^$, suppose that $\left(\frac{zDR_\lambda^{m+1}f(z, \zeta)}{DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta)}\right)'_z$ is univalent, $\frac{DR_\lambda^{m+1}f(z, \zeta)}{DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta)} \in \mathcal{H}^*[1, n, \zeta] \cap Q^*$ and satisfies the strong differential superordination*

$$h(z, \zeta) = q(z, \zeta) + zq'_z(z, \zeta) \prec\prec \left(\frac{zDR_\lambda^{m+1}f(z, \zeta)}{DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta)}\right)'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \tag{2.12}$$

then

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec \frac{DR_\lambda^{m+1} f(z, \zeta)}{DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta)}, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where $q(z, \zeta) = \frac{1}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{1}{n}-1} dt$. The function q is the best subordinant.

Proof. Let

$$\begin{aligned} p(z, \zeta) &= \frac{DR_\lambda^{m+1} f(z, \zeta)}{DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta)} = \frac{z + \sum_{j=n+1}^\infty C_{m+j}^{m+1} [1 + (j-1)\lambda]^{m+1} a_j^2(\zeta) z^j}{z + \sum_{j=n+1}^\infty C_{m+j-1}^m [1 + (j-1)\lambda]^m a_j^2(\zeta) z^j} \\ &= \frac{1 + \sum_{j=n+1}^\infty C_{m+j}^{m+1} [1 + (j-1)\lambda]^{m+1} a_j^2(\zeta) z^{j-1}}{1 + \sum_{j=n+1}^\infty C_{m+j-1}^m [1 + (j-1)\lambda]^m a_j^2(\zeta) z^{j-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Evidently $p \in \mathcal{H}^*[1, n, \zeta]$.

Differentiating with respect to z , we obtain

$$p(z, \zeta) + zp'_z(z, \zeta) = \left(\frac{zDR_\lambda^{m+1} f(z, \zeta)}{DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta)} \right)'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

and (2.12) becomes

$$q(z, \zeta) + zp'_z(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta) + zp'_z(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

Using Lemma 1.9 for $\gamma = 1$, we have

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \quad \text{i.e.}$$

$$q(z, \zeta) = \frac{1}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{1}{n}-1} dt \prec\prec \frac{DR_\lambda^{m+1} f(z, \zeta)}{DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta)}, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

and q is the best subordinant. □

Theorem 2.13. Let $h(z, \zeta)$ be a convex function, $h(0, \zeta) = 1$. Let $\lambda \geq 0, m, n \in \mathbb{N}, f(z, \zeta) \in \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$ and suppose that $\frac{m+1}{(m\lambda+1)z} DR_\lambda^{m+1} f(z, \zeta) - \frac{m(1-\lambda)}{(m\lambda+1)z} DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta)$ is univalent and $(DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta))'_z \in \mathcal{H}^*[1, n, \zeta] \cap Q^*$. If

$$h(z, \zeta) \prec\prec \frac{m+1}{(m\lambda+1)z} DR_\lambda^{m+1} f(z, \zeta) - \frac{m(1-\lambda)}{(m\lambda+1)z} DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \tag{2.13}$$

then

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec (DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where $q(z, \zeta) = \frac{m\lambda+1}{n\lambda z^{\frac{m\lambda+1}{n\lambda}}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{(m-n)\lambda+1}{n\lambda}} dt$. The function q is convex and it is the best subordinant.

Proof. With notation

$$p(z, \zeta) = (DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta))'_z = 1 + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} C_{m+j-1}^m [1 + (j-1)\lambda]^m j a_j^2(\zeta) z^{j-1}$$

and $p(0, \zeta) = 1$, we obtain for $f(z, \zeta) = z + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} a_j(\zeta) z^j$,

$$\begin{aligned} & p(z, \zeta) + zp'_z(z, \zeta) \\ &= \frac{m+1}{\lambda z} DR_\lambda^{m+1} f(z, \zeta) - \left(m-1 + \frac{1}{\lambda}\right) (DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta))'_z - \frac{m(1-\lambda)}{\lambda z} DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$p(z, \zeta) + \frac{\lambda}{m\lambda+1} zp'_z(z, \zeta) = \frac{m+1}{(m\lambda+1)z} DR_\lambda^{m+1} f(z, \zeta) - \frac{m(1-\lambda)}{(m\lambda+1)z} DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta).$$

Evidently $p \in \mathcal{H}^*[1, n, \zeta]$.

Then (2.13) becomes

$$h(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta) + \frac{\lambda}{m\lambda+1} zp'_z(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

By using Lemma 1.8 for $\gamma = m + \frac{1}{\lambda}$, we have

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \text{ i.e. } q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec (DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where $q(z, \zeta) = \frac{m\lambda+1}{n\lambda z \frac{m\lambda+1}{n\lambda}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{(m-n)\lambda+1}{n\lambda}} dt$. The function q is convex and it is the best subordinant. □

Corollary 2.14. Let $h(z, \zeta) = \frac{1+(2\beta-\zeta)z}{1+z}$ be a convex function in $U \times \bar{U}$, where $0 \leq \beta < 1$. Let $\lambda \geq 0$, $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f(z, \zeta) \in \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$ and suppose that $\frac{m+1}{(m\lambda+1)z} DR_\lambda^{m+1} f(z, \zeta) - \frac{m(1-\lambda)}{(m\lambda+1)z} DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta)$ is univalent, $(DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta))'_z \in \mathcal{H}^*[1, n, \zeta] \cap Q^*$. If

$$h(z, \zeta) \prec\prec \frac{m+1}{(m\lambda+1)z} DR_\lambda^{m+1} f(z, \zeta) - \frac{m(1-\lambda)}{(m\lambda+1)z} DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \tag{2.14}$$

then

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec (DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where q is given by $q(z, \zeta) = 2\beta - \zeta + \frac{(1+\zeta-2\beta)(m\lambda+1)}{\lambda n z \frac{m\lambda+1}{\lambda n}} \int_0^z \frac{t^{\frac{m\lambda+1}{\lambda n}-1}}{1+t} dt$, $z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}$.

The function q is convex and it is the best subordinant.

Proof. Following the same steps as in the proof of Theorem 2.13 and considering $p(z, \zeta) = (DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta))'_z$, the strong differential superordination (2.14) becomes

$$h(z, \zeta) = \frac{1+(2\beta-\zeta)z}{1+z} \prec\prec p(z, \zeta) + zp'_z(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

By using Lemma 1.8 for $\gamma = \frac{m\lambda+1}{\lambda}$, we have $q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta)$, i.e.

$$q(z, \zeta) = \frac{m\lambda + 1}{n\lambda z^{\frac{m\lambda+1}{n\lambda}}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{(m-n)\lambda+1}{n\lambda}} dt = \frac{m\lambda + 1}{n\lambda z^{\frac{m\lambda+1}{n\lambda}}} \int_0^z t^{\frac{(m-n)\lambda+1}{n\lambda}} dt \frac{1 + (2\beta - \zeta)t}{1+t} dt$$

$$= 2\beta - \zeta + \frac{(1 + \zeta - 2\beta)(m\lambda + 1)}{\lambda n z^{\frac{m\lambda+1}{n\lambda}}} \int_0^z \frac{t^{\frac{m\lambda+1}{n\lambda} - 1}}{1+t} dt \prec\prec (DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

The function q is convex and it is the best subordinant. □

Theorem 2.15. *Let $q(z, \zeta)$ be convex in $U \times \bar{U}$ and let h be defined by*

$$h(z, \zeta) = q(z, \zeta) + \frac{\lambda}{m\lambda + 1} z q'_z(z, \zeta), \quad \lambda \geq 0, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

If $f(z, \zeta) \in \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^$, suppose that $\frac{m+1}{(m\lambda+1)z} DR_\lambda^{m+1} f(z, \zeta) - \frac{m(1-\lambda)}{(m\lambda+1)z} DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta)$ is univalent and $(DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta))'_z \in \mathcal{H}^*[1, n, \zeta] \cap Q^*$ and satisfies the strong differential superordination*

$$h(z, \zeta) = q(z, \zeta) + \frac{\lambda}{m\lambda + 1} z q'_z(z, \zeta) \prec\prec \tag{2.15}$$

$$\frac{m + 1}{(m\lambda + 1)z} DR_\lambda^{m+1} f(z, \zeta) - \frac{m(1 - \lambda)}{(m\lambda + 1)z} DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

then

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec (DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where $q(z, \zeta) = \frac{m\lambda+1}{n\lambda z^{\frac{m\lambda+1}{n\lambda}}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{(m-n)\lambda+1}{n\lambda}} dt$. The function q is the best subordinant.

Proof. Let $p(z, \zeta) = (DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta))'_z = 1 + \sum_{j=n+1}^\infty C_{m+j-1}^m [1 + (j-1)\lambda]^m j a_j^2(\zeta) z^{j-1}$.

Differentiating, we obtain

$$p(z, \zeta) + z p'_z(z, \zeta)$$

$$= \frac{m + 1}{\lambda z} DR_\lambda^{m+1} f(z, \zeta) - \left(m - 1 + \frac{1}{\lambda}\right) (DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta))'_z - \frac{m(1 - \lambda)}{\lambda z} DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta)$$

and

$$p(z, \zeta) + \frac{\lambda}{m\lambda + 1} z p'_z(z, \zeta)$$

$$= \frac{m + 1}{(m\lambda + 1)z} DR_\lambda^{m+1} f(z, \zeta) - \frac{m(1 - \lambda)}{(m\lambda + 1)z} DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

and (2.15) becomes

$$q(z, \zeta) + \frac{\lambda}{m\lambda + 1} z q'_z(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta) + \frac{\lambda}{m\lambda + 1} z p'_z(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

Using Lemma 1.9 for $\gamma = m + \frac{1}{\lambda}$, we have $q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta)$, $z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}$, i.e.

$$q(z, \zeta) = \frac{m\lambda + 1}{n\lambda z^{\frac{m\lambda+1}{n\lambda}}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{(m-n)\lambda+1}{n\lambda}} dt \prec\prec (DR_\lambda^m f(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

and q is the best subordinant. □

References

- [1] Alb Lupaş, A., *Certain strong differential subordinations using Sălăgean and Ruscheweyh operators*, Advances in Applied Mathematical Analysis, **6**(2011), no. 1, 27-34.
- [2] Alb Lupaş, A., *A note on strong differential subordinations using a generalized Sălăgean operator and Ruscheweyh operator*, submitted 2011.
- [3] Alb Lupaş, A., *A note on strong differential subordinations using Sălăgean and Ruscheweyh operators*, Libertas Mathematica, **31**(2011), 15-21.
- [4] Alb Lupaş, A., Oros, G.I., Oros, Gh., *On special strong differential subordinations using Sălăgean and Ruscheweyh operators*, Journal of Computational Analysis and Applications, Vol. 14, 2012 (to appear).
- [5] Alb Lupaş, A., *On special strong differential subordinations using a generalized Sălăgean operator and Ruscheweyh derivative*, Journal of Concrete and Applicable Mathematics, **10**(2012), no. 1-2, 17-23.
- [6] Alb Lupaş, A., *On special strong differential superordinations using Sălăgean and Ruscheweyh operators*, Archimedes Journal of Mathematics, **1**(2011) (to appear).
- [7] Alb Lupaş, A., *Certain strong differential superordinations using Sălăgean and Ruscheweyh operators*, Acta Universitatis Apulensis, submitted 2011.
- [8] Alb Lupaş, A., *A note on strong differential superordinations using Sălăgean and Ruscheweyh operators*, Journal of Applied Functional Analysis, submitted 2011.
- [9] Oros, G.I., *Strong differential superordination*, Acta Universitatis Apulensis, **19**(2009), 101-106.
- [10] Oros, G.I., *On a new strong differential subordination*, (to appear).

Alina Alb Lupaş
Department of Mathematics and Computer Science
University of Oradea
str. Universitatii nr. 1, 410087 Oradea, Romania
e-mail: dalb@uoradea.ro