

On transformations groups of N –linear connections on the dual bundle of k –tangent bundle

Monica Purcaru and Mirela Târnoveanu

Abstract. In the present paper we study the transformations for the coefficients of an N –linear connection on dual bundle of k –tangent bundle, $T^{*k}M$, by a transformation of a nonlinear connection on $T^{*k}M$. We prove that the set \mathcal{T} of these transformations together with the composition of mappings isn't a group. But we give some groups of transformations of \mathcal{T} , which keep invariant a part of components of the local coefficients of an N –linear connection.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 53B05.

Keywords: Dual bundle of k –tangent bundle, nonlinear connection, N –linear connection, transformations group, subgroup.

1. Introduction

The notion of Hamilton space was introduced by Acad. R. Miron in [7], [8]. The Hamilton spaces appear as dual via Legendre transformation, of the Lagrange spaces.

The differential geometry of the dual bundle of k –osculator bundle was introduced and studied by Acad. R. Miron [13].

The importance of Lagrange and Hamilton geometries consists in the fact that the variational problems for important Lagrangians or Hamiltonians have numerous applications in various fields, as: Mathematics, Mecanics, Theoretical Physics, Theory of Dynamical Systems, Optimal Control, Biology, Economy etc.

In the present section we keep the general setting from Acad. R. Miron [13], and subsequently we recall only some needed notions. For more details see [13].

Let M be a real n –dimensional C^∞ –manifold and let $(T^{*k}M, \pi^{*k}, M)$, ($k \geq 2$), $k \in \mathbb{N}$) be the dual bundle of k –osculator bundle (or k –cotangent bundle), where the total space is:

$$T^{*k}M = T^{*k-1}M \times T^*M. \quad (1.1)$$

Let $(x^i, y^{(1)i}, \dots, y^{(k-1)i}, p_i), (i = 1, \dots, n)$, be the local coordinates of a point $u = (x, y^{(1)}, \dots, y^{(k-1)}, p) \in T^{*k}M$ in a local chart on $T^{*k}M$.

The change of coordinates on the manifold $T^{*k}M$ is:

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{x}^i = \tilde{x}^i(x^1, \dots, x^n), \det\left(\frac{\partial \tilde{x}^i}{\partial x^j}\right) \neq 0, \\ \tilde{y}^{(1)i} = \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^i}{\partial x^j} y^{(1)j}, \\ \dots\dots\dots \\ (k-1)\tilde{y}^{(k-1)i} = \frac{\partial \tilde{y}^{(k-2)i}}{\partial x^j} y^{(1)j} + \dots + (k-1) \frac{\partial \tilde{y}^{(k-2)i}}{\partial y^{(k-2)j}} y^{(k-1)j}, \\ \tilde{p}_i = \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial \tilde{x}^i} p_j, \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

where the following relations hold:

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{y}^{(\alpha)i}}{\partial x^j} = \frac{\partial \tilde{y}^{(\alpha+1)i}}{\partial y^{(1)j}} = \dots = \frac{\partial \tilde{y}^{(k-1)i}}{\partial y^{(k-1-\alpha)j}}, \left(\alpha = 0, \dots, k-2; y^{(0)} = x\right). \tag{1.3}$$

$T^{*k}M$ is a real differential manifold of dimension $(k+1)n$.

With respect to (1.1) the natural basis of the vector space $T_u(T^{*k}M)$ at the point $u \in T^{*k}M$:

$$\left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \Big|_u, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(1)i}} \Big|_u, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(k-1)i}} \Big|_u, \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \Big|_u \right\} \tag{1.4}$$

is transformed as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \Big|_u = \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^j}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}^j} \Big|_u + \frac{\partial \tilde{y}^{(1)j}}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{y}^{(1)j}} \Big|_u + \dots + \frac{\partial \tilde{y}^{(k-1)j}}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{y}^{(k-1)j}} \Big|_u + \frac{\partial \tilde{p}_j}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{p}_j} \Big|_u, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(1)i}} \Big|_u = \frac{\partial \tilde{y}^{(1)j}}{\partial y^{(1)i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{y}^{(1)j}} \Big|_u + \dots + \frac{\partial \tilde{y}^{(k-1)j}}{\partial y^{(1)i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{y}^{(k-1)j}} \Big|_u, \\ \dots\dots\dots \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(k-1)i}} \Big|_u = \frac{\partial \tilde{y}^{(k-1)j}}{\partial y^{(k-1)i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{y}^{(k-1)j}} \Big|_u, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \Big|_u = \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial \tilde{x}^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{p}_j} \Big|_u, \end{cases} \tag{1.5}$$

the conditions (1.3) being satisfied.

The null section $0 : M \rightarrow T^{*k}M$ of the projection π^{*k} is defined by $0(x) \in M \rightarrow (x, 0, \dots, 0) \in T^{*k}M$. We denote $\widetilde{T^{*k}M} = T^{*k}M \setminus \{0\}$.

Let us consider the tangent bundle of the differentiable manifold $T^{*k}M(TT^{*k}M, d\pi^{*k}, T^{*k}M)$, where $d\pi^{*k}$ is the canonical projection and the vertical distribution $V : u \in T^{*k}M \rightarrow V(u) \in T_u T^{*k}M$, locally generated by the vector fields: $\left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(1)i}}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(k-1)i}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \right\}$ at every point $u \in T^{*k}M$.

The following $\mathcal{F}(T^{*k}M)$ – linear mapping:

$$J : \chi(T^{*k}M) \rightarrow \chi(T^{*k}M),$$

defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} J\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\right) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(1)i}}, J\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(1)i}}\right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(2)i}}, \dots, J\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(k-2)i}}\right) = \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(k-1)i}}, J\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(k-1)i}}\right) = 0, J\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}\right) = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

Let D be an N -linear connection on $T^{*k}M$, with the local coefficients in the adapted basis (1.8) :

$$D\Gamma(N) = \left(H^i{}_{jh}, C^i{}_{(\alpha)jh}, C_i{}^{jh} \right), (\alpha = 1, \dots, k-1). \quad (1.14)$$

An N -linear connection D is uniquely represented in the adapted basis in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} D \frac{\delta}{\delta x^j} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} &= H^s{}_{ij} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^s}, D \frac{\delta}{\delta x^j} \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(\alpha)i}} = H^s{}_{ij} \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(\alpha)s}}, (\alpha = 1, \dots, k-1), \\ D \frac{\delta}{\delta x^j} \frac{\delta}{\delta p_i} &= -H^i{}_{sj} \frac{\delta}{\delta p_s}, \\ D \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(\alpha)j}} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} &= C^s{}_{(\alpha)ij} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^s}, D \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(\alpha)j}} \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(\beta)i}} = C^s{}_{(\alpha)ij} \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(\beta)s}}, \\ D \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(\alpha)j}} \frac{\delta}{\delta p_i} &= -C^i{}_{(\alpha)sj} \frac{\delta}{\delta p_s}, (\alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, k-1), \\ D \frac{\delta}{\delta p_j} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} &= C_i{}^{js} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^s}, D \frac{\delta}{\delta p_j} \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(\alpha)i}} = C_i{}^{js} \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(\alpha)s}}, (\alpha = 1, \dots, k-1), \\ D \frac{\delta}{\delta p_j} \frac{\delta}{\delta p_i} &= -C_s{}^{ij} \frac{\delta}{\delta p_s}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.15)$$

2. The set of the transformations of N -linear connections

Let \bar{N} be another nonlinear connection on $T^{*k}M$, with the local coefficients

$$\left(\bar{N}_{(1)}^j{}_i(x, y^{(1)}, \dots, y^{(k-1)}, p), \dots, \bar{N}_{(k-1)}^j{}_i(x, y^{(1)}, \dots, y^{(k-1)}, p), N_{ij}(x, y^{(1)}, \dots, y^{(k-1)}, p) \right)$$

($i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$).

Then there exists the uniquely determined tensor fields

$$A^j{}_i \in \tau_1^1(T^{*k}M), (\alpha = 1, \dots, k-1)$$

and $A_{ij} \in \tau_2^0(T^{*k}M)$, such that:

$$\begin{cases} \bar{N}_{(\alpha)}^i{}_j = N_{(\alpha)}^i{}_j - A^i{}_j, (\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, k-1), \\ N_{ij} = N_{ij} - A_{ij}, (i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n). \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

Conversely, if $N_{(\alpha)}^i{}_j$ and $A^i{}_j$, ($\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$), respectively N_{ij} and A_{ij} are given, then $\bar{N}_{(\alpha)}^i{}_j$, ($\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$), respectively \bar{N}_{ij} , given by (2.1) are the coefficients of a nonlinear connection.

Theorem 2.1. *Let N and \bar{N} be two nonlinear connections on $T^{*k}M$, ($k \geq 2, k \in \mathbb{N}$) with local coefficients:*

$$\left(N_{(1)}^j{}_i(x, y^{(1)}, \dots, y^{(k-1)}, p), \dots, N_{(k-1)}^j{}_i(x, y^{(1)}, \dots, y^{(k-1)}, p), N_{ij}(x, y^{(1)}, \dots, y^{(k-1)}, p) \right),$$

$$\left(\bar{N}_{(1)}^j{}_i(x, y^{(1)}, \dots, y^{(k-1)}, p), \dots, \bar{N}_{(k-1)}^j{}_i(x, y^{(1)}, \dots, y^{(k-1)}, p), \bar{N}_{ij}(x, y^{(1)}, \dots, y^{(k-1)}, p) \right),$$

($i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$), respectively.

If D is an N -linear connection on $T^{*k}M$, with local coefficients

$$D\Gamma(N) = \left(H^i_{jh}, C^i_{(\alpha)jh}, C_i^{jh} \right), \quad (\alpha = 1, \dots, k-1),$$

then the transformation: $N \rightarrow \bar{N}$, given by (2.1) of nonlinear connections implies for the coefficients

$$D\Gamma(\bar{N}) = \left(\bar{H}^i_{jh}, \bar{C}^i_{(\alpha)jh}, \bar{C}_i^{jh} \right), \quad (\alpha = 1, \dots, k-1)$$

of the \bar{N} -linear connection D , the relations (2.2), that is the transformation: $D\Gamma(N) \rightarrow D\Gamma(\bar{N})$ is given by:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \bar{H}^i_{sj} = H^i_{sj} + A^m_j \left[C^i_{(1)sm} + N^l_{(1)m} C^i_{(2)sl} + \dots + N^l_{(k-2)m} C^i_{(k-1)sl} + N^l_{(1)m} N^t_{(1)(3)} C^i_{st} + \right. \\ \dots + \left. \left(N^l_{(1)m} N^t_{(k-3)l} + \dots + N^l_{(k-3)m} N^t_{(1)l} \right) C^i_{(k-1)st} + \dots + \underbrace{N \dots N}_{(k-2)} C^i_{(1)(k-1)} \right] + \\ + A^m_j \left[C^i_{(2)sm} + N^l_{(1)m} C^i_{(3)sl} + \dots + N^l_{(k-3)m} C^i_{(k-1)sl} + \dots + \underbrace{N \dots N}_{(k-3)} C^i_{(1)(k-1)} \right] + \\ + \dots + A^m_j \left(C^i_{(k-2)sm} + N^l_{(1)m} C^i_{(k-1)sl} \right) + A^m_j C^i_{(k-1)sm} - A_{jm} C^i_{sm}, \\ \bar{C}^i_{(1)sj} = C^i_{(1)sj} + A^m_j \left[C^i_{(2)sm} + N^r_{(1)m} C^i_{(3)sr} + \dots + N^r_{(k-3)m} C^i_{(k-1)sr} + \dots + \right. \\ \left. + \underbrace{N \dots N}_{(k-3)} C^i_{(1)(k-1)} \right] + \dots + A^m_j \left[C^i_{(k-2)sm} + N^r_{(1)m} C^i_{(k-1)sr} \right] + A^m_j C^i_{(k-1)sm}, \\ \dots \\ \bar{C}^i_{(k-2)sj} = C^i_{(k-2)sj} + A^l_j C^i_{(k-1)sl}, \\ \bar{C}^i_{(k-1)sj} = C^i_{(k-1)sj}, \\ \bar{C}_s^{ij} = C_s^{ij}, \\ A^h_{(1)ij} = 0, \\ A_{ih;j} = 0, (i, j, h = 1, 2, \dots, n), \end{array} \right. \quad (2.2)$$

where $\bar{}$ denotes the h -covariant derivative with respect to $D\Gamma(N)$.

Proof. It follows first of all that the transformations (2.1) preserve the coefficients

$$C^{h}_{(k-1)ij}, C_i^{jh}.$$

Using the relations (1.9), (1.15) and (2.1) we obtain:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\bar{\delta}}{\delta x^i} = \frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} + A^j_{(1)i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(1)j}} + \dots + A^j_{(k-1)i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(k-1)j}} - A_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j}, \\ \frac{\bar{\delta}}{\delta y^{(1)i}} = \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(1)i}} + A^j_{(1)i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(2)j}} + \dots + A^j_{(k-2)i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(k-1)j}}, \\ \dots \\ \frac{\bar{\delta}}{\delta y^{(k-1)i}} = \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(k-1)i}}, \\ \frac{\bar{\delta}}{\delta p_i} = \frac{\delta}{\delta p_i}. \end{cases} \tag{2.3}$$

Using (1.15), (2.3) and (1.9) we get:

$$D_{\frac{\bar{\delta}}{\delta x^j}} \frac{\bar{\delta}}{\delta y^{(k-1)i}} = \bar{H}^s_{ij} \frac{\bar{\delta}}{\delta y^{(k-1)s}} = \bar{H}^s_{ij} \frac{\bar{\delta}}{\delta y^{(k-1)s}}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\frac{\bar{\delta}}{\delta x^j}} \frac{\bar{\delta}}{\delta y^{(k-1)i}} &= D \left(\frac{\bar{\delta}}{\delta x^j} + A^l_{(1)j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(1)l}} + A^l_{(2)j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(2)l}} + \dots + A^l_{(k-1)j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(k-1)l}} - A_{jl} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_l} \right) \frac{\bar{\delta}}{\delta y^{(k-1)i}} = \\ &= H^s_{ij} \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(k-1)s}} + \left(A^l_{(1)j} C^s_{(1)il} \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(k-1)s}} + A^l_{(2)j} C^s_{(2)il} \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(k-1)s}} + \dots \right. \\ &\quad \left. + A^l_{(k-1)j} C^s_{(k-1)il} \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(k-1)s}} - A_{jl} C^s_{il} \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(k-1)s}} \right) + \\ &\quad + A^l_{(1)j} N^r_{(1)l} D \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(2)r}} + N^s_{(1)r} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(3)s}} + \dots + N^s_{(k-3)r} \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(k-1)s}} \right) \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(k-1)i}} + \\ &\quad + A^l_{(1)j} N^r_{(2)l} D \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(3)r}} + N^s_{(1)r} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(4)s}} + \dots + N^s_{(k-4)r} \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(k-1)s}} \right) \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(k-1)i}} + \dots \\ &\quad + A^l_{(1)j} N^r_{(k-2)l} C^s_{(k-1)ir} \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(k-1)s}} + A^l_{(2)j} N^r_{(1)l} D \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(3)r}} + N^s_{(1)r} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(4)s}} + \dots + N^s_{(k-4)r} \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(k-1)s}} \right) \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(k-1)i}} + \\ &\quad + \dots + A^l_{(2)j} N^r_{(k-3)l} C^s_{(k-1)ir} \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(k-1)s}} + \dots = \\ &= \left(H^s_{ij} + A^l_{(1)j} C^s_{(1)il} + A^l_{(2)j} C^s_{(2)il} + \dots + A^l_{(k-1)j} C^s_{(k-1)il} - A_{jl} C^s_{il} \right) \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(k-1)s}} + \\ &\quad + \left(A^l_{(1)j} N^r_{(1)l} C^s_{(2)ir} \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(k-1)s}} + A^l_{(1)j} N^r_{(2)l} C^s_{(3)ir} \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(k-1)s}} + \dots + A^l_{(1)j} N^r_{(k-2)l} C^s_{(k-1)ir} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(k-1)s}} \right) + \left(A^l_{(1)j} N^r_{(1)l} N^s_{(1)r} D \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(3)s}} \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(k-1)i}} + \dots + A^l_{(1)j} N^r_{(1)l} N^s_{(k-3)r} C^m_{(k-1)is} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(k-1)m}} \right) + \left(A^l_{(1)j} N^r_{(2)l} N^s_{(1)r} D \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(4)s}} \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(k-1)i}} + \dots + A^l_{(1)j} N^r_{(2)l} N^s_{(k-4)r} C^m_{(k-1)is} \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(k-1)m}}) + \dots + \left(A^l_j N^r_l C^s_{(3)} ir \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(k-1)s}} + \dots + A^l_j N^r_l C^s_{(k-1)} ir \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(k-1)s}} \right) +$$

$$+ \left(A^l_j N^r_l N^s_r D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(4)s}}} \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(k-1)i}} + \dots + A^l_j N^r_l N^s_r C^m_{(k-1)} is \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(k-1)m}} \right) + \dots$$

So, we have obtained (2.1₁).

$$D_{\frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(1)j}}} \frac{\bar{\delta}}{\delta y^{(k-1)i}} = \bar{C}_{(1)}^s ij \frac{\bar{\delta}}{\delta y^{(k-1)s}} = \bar{C}_{(1)}^s ij \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(k-1)s}}.$$

$$D_{\frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(1)j}}} \frac{\bar{\delta}}{\delta y^{(k-1)i}} = D \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(1)j}} + A^l_j \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(2)l}} + A^l_j \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(3)l}} + \dots + A^l_j \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(k-1)l}} \right) \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(k-1)i}} =$$

$$= \left(C^s_{(1)} ij + A^l_j C^s_{(2)} il + A^l_j C^s_{(3)} il + \dots + A^l_j C^s_{(k-1)} il \right) \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(k-1)s}} +$$

$$+ A^l_j N^s_l D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(3)s}}} \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(k-1)i}} + \dots + A^l_j N^r_l C^s_{(k-1)} ir \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(k-1)s}} +$$

$$+ A^l_j N^s_l D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(4)s}}} \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(k-1)i}} + \dots + A^l_j N^r_l C^s_{(k-1)} ir \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(k-1)s}} + \dots$$

So, we have obtained (2.2₂).

$$D_{\frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(k-2)j}}} \frac{\bar{\delta}}{\delta y^{(k-1)i}} = \bar{C}_{(k-2)}^s ij \frac{\bar{\delta}}{\delta y^{(k-1)s}} = \bar{C}_{(k-2)}^s ij \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(k-1)s}}.$$

$$D_{\frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(k-2)j}}} \frac{\bar{\delta}}{\delta y^{(k-1)i}} = \bar{C}_{(k-2)}^s ij \frac{\bar{\delta}}{\delta y^{(k-1)s}} + A^l_j \bar{C}_{(k-1)}^s il \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(k-1)s}}.$$

$$D_{\frac{\delta}{\delta p_j}} \frac{\bar{\delta}}{\delta y^{(k-1)i}} = \bar{C}_i^{js} \frac{\bar{\delta}}{\delta y^{(k-1)s}} = \bar{C}_i^{js} \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(k-1)s}};$$

$$D_{\frac{\delta}{\delta p_j}} \frac{\bar{\delta}}{\delta y^{(k-1)i}} = D_{\frac{\delta}{\delta p_j}} \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(k-1)i}} = C_i^{js} \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(k-1)s}};$$

So, we have obtained (2.2_{k-1}).

$$D_{\frac{\delta}{\delta p_j}} \frac{\bar{\delta}}{\delta y^{(k-2)i}} = \bar{C}_i^{js} \frac{\bar{\delta}}{\delta y^{(k-2)s}} = \bar{C}_i^{js} \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(k-2)s}} + A^l_s \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(k-1)l}} \right) =$$

$$= \bar{C}_i^{js} \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(k-2)s}} + \bar{C}_i^{js} A^l_s \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(k-1)l}}.$$

$$D_{\frac{\delta}{\delta p_j}} \frac{\bar{\delta}}{\delta y^{(k-2)i}} = D_{\frac{\delta}{\delta p_j}} \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(k-2)i}} + A^l_i \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(k-1)l}} \right) =$$

$$= C_i^{js} \frac{\bar{\delta}}{\delta y^{(k-2)s}} + \left(\frac{\delta A^s_i}{\delta p_j} + A^l_i C_l^{js} \right) \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(k-1)s}}.$$

So, we have:

$$\bar{C}_i^{js} = C_i^{js} \tag{2.4}$$

$$\bar{C}_i^{jl} A^s_l = \frac{\delta A^{s_i}_{(1)}}{\delta p_j} + A^l_i C_l^{js}. \tag{2.5}$$

Analogous if we calculate $D \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(k-1)j}} \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(k-2)i}}$ in two manner we obtain:

$$\bar{C}_{(k-1)}^s{}_{ij} = C_{(k-1)}^s{}_{ij}, \tag{2.6}$$

$$\bar{C}_{(k-1)}^l{}_{ij} A^s_l = \frac{\delta A^{s_i}_{(1)}}{\delta y^{(k-1)j}} + A^l_i C^s_{(k-1)lj}. \tag{2.7}$$

We have:

$$A^i_{(\alpha)j}{}^k = \frac{\delta A^{i_j}_{(\alpha)}}{\delta x_k} + A^m_j H^i_{mk} - A^i_m H^m_{jk}, \quad (\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, k - 1). \tag{2.8}$$

Using (2.8), (2.7), (2.6), (2.5), (2.4), (2.2_{k-1}), (2.2₂) in the relation obtained analogous from $D \frac{\delta}{\delta x^j} \frac{\delta}{\delta y^i}$, we obtain: $A^h_{(1)ij} = 0$. In the same manner we get $A_{ihlj} = 0$. \square

Theorem 2.2. *Let N and \bar{N} be two nonlinear connections on $T^{*k}M$, ($k \geq 2, k \in \mathbb{N}$), with local coefficients*

$$\left(N^j_i(x, y^{(1)}, \dots, y^{(k-1)}, p), \dots, N^j_{(k-1)}(x, y^{(1)}, \dots, y^{(k-1)}, p), N_{ij}(x, y^{(1)}, \dots, y^{(k-1)}, p) \right),$$

$$\left(\bar{N}^j_i(x, y^{(1)}, \dots, y^{(k-1)}, p), \dots, \bar{N}^j_{(k-1)}(x, y^{(1)}, \dots, y^{(k-1)}, p), \bar{N}_{ij}(x, y^{(1)}, \dots, y^{(k-1)}, p) \right),$$

($i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$), respectively.

If

$$D\Gamma(N) = \left(H^i_{jh}, C^i_{(\alpha)jh}, C_i^{jh} \right)$$

and

$$D\bar{\Gamma}(\bar{N}) = \left(\bar{H}^i_{jh}, \bar{C}^i_{(\alpha)jh}, \bar{C}_i^{jh} \right),$$

($\alpha = 1, \dots, k - 1$) are the local coefficients of two N -, respectively \bar{N} -linear connections, D , respectively \bar{D} on the differentiable manifold $T^{*k}M$, ($k \geq 2, k \in \mathbb{N}$), then there exists only one system of tensor fields

$$\left(A^i_{(1)j}, \dots, A^i_{(k-1)j}, A_{ij}, B^i_{jh}, D^i_{(1)jh}, \dots, D^i_{(k-1)jh}, D_i^{jh} \right)$$

such that:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
 \bar{N}_{(\alpha)}^i{}_j = N_{(\alpha)}^i{}_j - A_{(\alpha)}^i{}_j, (\alpha = 1, \dots, k-1), \\
 \bar{N}_{ij} = N_{ij} - A_{ij}, \\
 \bar{H}^i{}_{sj} = H^i{}_{sj} + A_{(1)}^m{}_j \left[C_{(1)}^i{}_{sm} + N_{(1)}^l{}_m C_{(2)}^i{}_{sl} + \dots + N_{(k-2)}^l{}_m C_{(k-1)}^i{}_{sl} + N_{(1)}^l{}_m N_{(1)}^t{}_l C_{(3)}^i{}_{st} + \right. \\
 \left. + \dots + \left(N_{(1)}^l{}_m N_{(k-3)}^t{}_l + \dots + N_{(k-3)}^l{}_m N_{(1)}^t{}_l \right) C_{(k-1)}^i{}_{st} + \dots + \underbrace{N_{(1)} \dots N_{(1)} C_{(k-1)}}_{(k-2)} \right] + \\
 \left. + A_{(2)}^m{}_j \left[C_{(2)}^i{}_{sm} + N_{(1)}^l{}_m C_{(3)}^i{}_{sl} + \dots + N_{(k-3)}^l{}_m C_{(k-1)}^i{}_{sl} + \dots + \underbrace{N_{(1)} \dots N_{(1)} C_{(k-1)}}_{(k-3)} \right] + \right. \\
 \left. + \dots + A_{(k-2)}^m{}_j \left(C_{(k-2)}^i{}_{sm} + N_{(1)}^l{}_m C_{(k-1)}^i{}_{sl} \right) + A_{(k-1)}^m{}_j C_{(k-1)}^i{}_{sm} - A_{jm} C_s{}^{im} - B^i{}_{sj}, \right. \\
 \bar{C}_{(1)}^i{}_{sj} = C_{(1)}^i{}_{sj} + A_{(1)}^m{}_j \left[C_{(2)}^i{}_{sm} + N_{(1)}^r{}_m C_{(3)}^i{}_{sr} + \dots + N_{(k-3)}^r{}_m C_{(k-1)}^i{}_{sr} + \dots + \right. \\
 \left. + \underbrace{N_{(1)} \dots N_{(1)} C_{(k-1)}}_{(k-3)} \right] + \dots + A_{(k-3)}^m{}_j \left[C_{(k-2)}^i{}_{sm} + N_{(1)}^r{}_m C_{(k-1)}^i{}_{sr} \right] + \\
 \left. + A_{(k-2)}^m{}_j C_{(k-1)}^i{}_{sm} - D_{(1)}^i{}_{sj}, \right. \\
 \bar{C}_{(k-2)}^i{}_{sj} = C_{(k-2)}^i{}_{sj} + A_{(1)}^l{}_j C_{(k-1)}^i{}_{sl} - D_{(k-2)}^i{}_{sj}, \\
 \bar{C}_{(k-1)}^i{}_{sj} = C_{(k-1)}^i{}_{sj} - D_{(k-1)}^i{}_{sj}, \\
 \left. \bar{C}_s{}^{ij} = C_s{}^{ij} - D_s{}^{ij}, \right.
 \end{array} \right. \tag{2.9}$$

with:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
 A_{(1)}^h{}_{ij} = 0, \\
 A_{ihj} = 0, (i, j, h = 1, 2, \dots, n),
 \end{array} \right. \tag{2.10}$$

where "1" denotes the h -covariant derivative with respect to $D\Gamma(N)$.

Proof. The first equality (2.9) determines uniquely the tensor fields:

$A_{(\alpha)}^i{}_j, (\alpha = 1, \dots, k-1)$. The second equality (2.9) determines uniquely the tensor field A_{ij} . Since $C_{(\alpha)}^i{}_{jh}, (\alpha = 1, \dots, k-1)$ and $C_i{}^{jh}$ are d -tensor fields, the third equation (2.9) determines uniquely the tensor field $B^i{}_{jh}$. Similarly the fourth,... and the last equation (2.9) determines the tensor field $D_i{}^{jh}$ respectively. \square

We have immediately:

Theorem 2.3. If $D\Gamma(N) = \left(H^i_{jh}, C^i_{(\alpha)jh}, C_i^{jh} \right)$ ($\alpha = 1, \dots, k-1$), are the local coefficients of an N -linear connection D on $T^{*k}M$ and

$$\left(A^i_{(1)j}, \dots, A^i_{(k-1)j}, A_{ij}, B^i_{jh}, D^i_{(1)jh}, \dots, D^i_{(k-1)jh}, D_i^{jh} \right),$$

is a system of tensor fields on $T^{*k}M$, then $D\bar{\Gamma}(\bar{N}) = \left(\bar{H}^i_{jh}, \bar{C}^i_{(\alpha)jh}, \bar{C}_i^{jh} \right)$, ($\alpha = 1, \dots, k-1$), given by (2.9)–(2.10) are the local coefficients of an \bar{N} -linear connection, \bar{D} , on $T^{*k}M$, ($k \geq 2, k \in \mathbb{N}$).

Following the definition given by M. Matsumoto [4, 5] in the case of Finsler spaces, we have:

Definition 2.1. i) The system of tensor fields:

$$\left(A^i_{(1)j}, \dots, A^i_{(k-1)j}, A_{ij}, B^i_{jh}, D^i_{(1)jh}, \dots, D^i_{(k-1)jh}, D_i^{jh} \right), \quad (k \geq 2, k \in \mathbb{N})$$

is called the difference tensor fields of $D\Gamma(N)$ to $D\bar{\Gamma}(\bar{N})$.

ii) The mapping: $D\Gamma(N) \longrightarrow D\bar{\Gamma}(\bar{N})$ given by (2.9) – (2.10) is called a transformation of N -linear connection to \bar{N} -linear connection on $T^{*k}M$, and it is noted by:

$$t \left(A^i_{(1)j}, \dots, A^i_{(k-1)j}, A_{ij}, B^i_{jh}, D^i_{(1)jh}, \dots, D^i_{(k-1)jh}, D_i^{jh} \right).$$

Theorem 2.4. The set \mathcal{T} of the transformations of N -linear connections to \bar{N} -linear connections on $T^{*k}M$, ($k \geq 2, k \in \mathbb{N}$) together with the composition of mappings isn't a group.

Proof. Let

$$t \left(A^i_{(1)j}, \dots, A^i_{(k-1)j}, A_{ij}, B^i_{jh}, D^i_{(1)jh}, \dots, D^i_{(k-1)jh}, D_i^{jh} \right) : D\Gamma(N) \longrightarrow D\bar{\Gamma}(\bar{N})$$

and

$$t \left(\bar{A}^i_{(1)j}, \dots, \bar{A}^i_{(k-1)j}, \bar{A}_{ij}, \bar{B}^i_{jh}, \bar{D}^i_{(1)jh}, \dots, \bar{D}^i_{(k-1)jh}, \bar{D}_i^{jh} \right) : D\bar{\Gamma}(\bar{N}) \longrightarrow D\bar{\bar{\Gamma}}(\bar{\bar{N}}),$$

be two transformations from \mathcal{T} , given by (2.9) – (2.10).

From (2.9) we have:

$$\bar{\bar{N}}^i_{(\alpha)j} = N^i_{(\alpha)j} - \left(A^i_{(\alpha)j} + \bar{A}^i_{(\alpha)j} \right), \quad (\alpha = 1, \dots, k-1), \quad \bar{\bar{N}}_{ij} = N_{ij} - \left(A_{ij} + \bar{A}_{ij} \right).$$

We obtain for example:

$$\bar{\bar{C}}^i_{(k-2)jh} = C^i_{(k-2)jh} + \left(A^l_{(1)h} + \bar{A}^l_{(1)h} \right) \cdot C^i_{(k-1)jl} - \left(D^i_{(k-2)jh} + \bar{D}^i_{(k-2)jh} + D^i_{(k-1)jl} \bar{A}^l_{(1)h} \right).$$

So $\bar{\bar{C}}^i_{(k-2)jh}$ hasn't the form (2.9). It follows that the composition of two transformations from \mathcal{T} isn't a transformation from \mathcal{T} , that is \mathcal{T} , together with the composition of mappings isn't a group. \square

Remark 2.1. If we consider $A_{(\alpha)}^i{}_j = 0, (\alpha = 1, \dots, k-1)$ and $A_{ij} = 0$ in (2.10) we obtain the set \mathcal{T}_N of transformations of N -linear connections corresponding to the same nonlinear connection N :

$$\mathcal{T}_N = \left\{ t \left(\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{(k)}, B^i{}_{jh}, D_{(1)}^i{}_{jh}, \dots, D_{(k-1)}^i{}_{jh}, D_i{}^{jh} \right) \in \mathcal{T} \right\}.$$

We have:

Theorem 2.5. *The set \mathcal{T}_N of the transformations of N -linear connections to N -linear connections on $T^{*k}M, (k \geq 2, k \in \mathbb{N})$, together with the composition of mappings is a group. This group, acts effectively and transitively on the set of N -linear connections.*

Proof. Let $t \left(\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{(k)}, B^i{}_{jh}, D_{(1)}^i{}_{jh}, \dots, D_{(k-1)}^i{}_{jh}, D_i{}^{jh} \right) : D\Gamma(N) \longrightarrow D\bar{\Gamma}(N)$ be a transformation from \mathcal{T}_N , given by (2.11) :

$$\begin{cases} \bar{N}_{(\alpha)}^i{}_j = N_{(\alpha)}^i{}_j, (\alpha = 1, \dots, k-1), \\ \bar{N}_{ij} = N_{ij}, \\ \bar{H}^i{}_{jh} = H^i{}_{jh} - B^i{}_{jh}, \\ \bar{C}_{(\alpha)}^i{}_{jh} = C_{(\alpha)}^i{}_{jh} - D_{(\alpha)}^i{}_{jh}, (\alpha = 1, \dots, k-1), \\ \bar{C}_i{}^{jh} = C_i{}^{jh} - D_i{}^{jh}, (i, j, h = 1, 2, \dots, n). \end{cases} \quad (2.11)$$

The composition of two transformations from \mathcal{T}_N is a transformation from \mathcal{T}_N , given by:

$$\begin{aligned} & t \left(\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{(k)}, \bar{B}^i{}_{jh}, \bar{D}_{(1)}^i{}_{jh}, \dots, \bar{D}_{(k-1)}^i{}_{jh}, \bar{D}_i{}^{jh} \right) \circ t \left(\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{(k)}, B^i{}_{jh}, D_{(1)}^i{}_{jh}, \dots, D_{(k-1)}^i{}_{jh}, D_i{}^{jh} \right) \\ &= t \left(\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{(k)}, B^i{}_{jh} + \bar{B}^i{}_{jh}, D_{(1)}^i{}_{jh} + \bar{D}_{(1)}^i{}_{jh}, \dots, D_{(k-1)}^i{}_{jh} + \bar{D}_{(k-1)}^i{}_{jh}, D_i{}^{jh} + \bar{D}_i{}^{jh} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The inverse of a transformation from \mathcal{T}_N is the following transformation from \mathcal{T}_N :

$$t \left(0, 0, 0, -B^i{}_{jh}, -D_{(1)}^i{}_{jh}, \dots, -D_{(k-1)}^i{}_{jh}, -D_i{}^{jh} \right) : D\Gamma(N) \longrightarrow D\bar{\Gamma}(N).$$

The transformations (2.11) preserve all N -linear connections D if:

$$B^i{}_{jh} = D_{(1)}^i{}_{jh} = \dots = D_{(k-1)}^i{}_{jh} = D_i{}^{jh} = 0, (i, j, h = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

Therefore \mathcal{T}_N acts effectively on the set of N -linear connections. From the Theorem 2.2 results that \mathcal{T}_N acts transitively on this set. \square

Let us consider:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{NH} &= \left\{ t \left(\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{(k+1)}, D_{(1)}^i{}_{jh}, \dots, D_{(k-1)}^i{}_{jh}, D_i{}^{jh} \right) \in \mathcal{T}_N \right\}, \\ \mathcal{T}_{NC_{(1)}} &= \left\{ t \left(\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{(k)}, B^i{}_{jh}, 0, D_{(2)}^i{}_{jh}, \dots, D_{(k-1)}^i{}_{jh}, D_i{}^{jh} \right) \in \mathcal{T}_N \right\}, \\ &\dots\dots\dots \\ \mathcal{T}_{N_{(k-1)}C} &= \left\{ t \left(\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{(k)}, B^i{}_{jh}, D_{(1)}^i{}_{jh}, \dots, D_{(k-2)}^i{}_{jh}, 0, D_i{}^{jh} \right) \in \mathcal{T}_N \right\}, \\ \mathcal{T}_{NC} &= \left\{ t \left(\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{(k)}, B^i{}_{jh}, D_{(1)}^i{}_{jh}, \dots, D_{(k-1)}^i{}_{jh}, 0 \right) \in \mathcal{T}_N \right\}, \\ \mathcal{T}_{N_{(1)}C_{(k-1)}C} &= \left\{ t \left(\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{(k)}, B^i{}_{jh}, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{(k)} \right) \in \mathcal{T}_N \right\}, (k \geq 2, k \in \mathbb{N}). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.1. *The sets: $\mathcal{T}_{NH}, \mathcal{T}_{NC_{(1)}}, \dots, \mathcal{T}_{N_{(k-1)}C}, \dots, \mathcal{T}_{NC}, \mathcal{T}_{N_{(1)}C_{(k-1)}C}$ are Abelian subgroups of \mathcal{T}_N .*

Proposition 2.2. *The group \mathcal{T}_N preserves the nonlinear connection N, \mathcal{T}_{NH} preserves the nonlinear connection N and the component $H^i{}_{jh}$ of the local coefficients $D\Gamma(N)$; $\mathcal{T}_{NC_{(1)}}$ preserves the nonlinear connection N and the component $C_{(1)}^i{}_{jh}$ of the local coefficients $D\Gamma(N)$, $\dots, \mathcal{T}_{N_{(k-1)}C}$ preserves the nonlinear connection N and the component $C_{(k-1)}^i{}_{jh}$ of the local coefficients $D\Gamma(N)$, \mathcal{T}_{NC} preserves the nonlinear connection N and the component $C_i{}^{jh}$ of the local coefficients $D\Gamma(N)$ and $\mathcal{T}_{N_{(1)}C_{(k-1)}C}$ preserves the nonlinear connection N and the components $C_{(1)}^i{}_{jh}, \dots, C_{(k-1)}^i{}_{jh}, C_i{}^{jh}$ of the local coefficients $D\Gamma(N)$.*

References

[1] Atanasiu, Gh., Târnoveanu, M., *New Aspects in the Differential Geometry of the second order Cotangent Bundle*, Univ. de Vest din Timișoara, **90**(2005), 1-65.
 [2] Atanasiu, Gh., *The invariant expression of Hamilton geometry*, Tensor N.S., Japonia, **47**(1988), 23-32.
 [3] Ianuș, Ș., *On differential geometry of the dual of a vector bundle*, The Proc. of the Fifth National Sem. of Finsler and Lagrange Spaces, Univ. Brașov, 1988, 173-180.

- [4] Matsumoto, M., *The Theory of Finsler Connections*, Publ. of the Study Group of Geometry 5, Dept. Math. Okayama Univ., 1970, XV + 220 pp.
- [5] Matsumoto, M., *Foundations of Finsler Geometry and Special Finsler Spaces*, Kaiseisha Press, Otsu, 1986.
- [6] Miron, R., *Hamilton Geometry*, Seminarul de Mecanică, Univ. Timișoara, **3**(1987).
- [7] Miron, R., *Sur la géométrie des espaces Hamilton*, C.R. Acad., Sci. Paris, Ser II, **306**(1988), no. 4, 195-198.
- [8] Miron, R., *Hamilton Geometry*, Analele Șt. Univ. Iași, S-I Mat., **35**(1989), 35-85.
- [9] Miron, R., Ianuș, S., Anastasiei, M., *The Geometry of the dual of a Vector Bundle*, Publ. l'Inst. Math., **46(60)**(1989), 145-162.
- [10] Miron, R., *On the Geometrical Theory of Higher-Order Hamilton Spaces, Steps in Differential Geometry*, Proceedings of the Colloquium on Differential Geometry, 25-30 July, 2000, Debrecen, Hungary, 231-236.
- [11] Miron, R., *Hamilton spaces of order k greater than or equal to 1*, Int. Journal of Theoretical Phys., **39(9)**(2000), 2327-2336.
- [12] Miron, R., Hrimiuc, D., Shimada, H., Sabău, V.S., *The geometry of Lagrange Spaces*, Kluwer Academic Publisher, FTPH, 118, 2001.
- [13] Miron, R., *The Geometry of Higher-Order Hamilton Spaces. Applications to Hamiltonian Mechanics*, Kluwer Acad. Publ., FTPH, 2003.
- [14] Saunders, D.J., *The Geometry of Jet Bundles*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1989.
- [15] Udriște, C., Șandru, O., *Dual Nonlinear Connections*, Proc. of 22nd Conference Differential Geometry and Topology, Polytechnic Institute of Bucharest, Romania, 1991.
- [16] Yano, K., Ishihara, S., *Tangent and Cotangent Bundles. Differential Geometry*, M. Dekker, Inc., New-York, 1973.

Monica Purcaru

Department of Mathematics and Informatics
"Transilvania" University of Brașov, România

Mirela Târnoveanu

Department of Mathematics and Informatics
"Transilvania" University of Brașov, România