

# On the rate of convergence of a new $q$ -Szász-Mirakjan operator

Cristina Radu, Saddika Tarabie and Andreea Veţeleanu

**Abstract.** In the present paper we introduce a new  $q$ -generalization of Szász-Mirakjan operators and we investigate their approximation properties. By using a weighted modulus of smoothness, we give local and global estimations for the error of approximation.

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010):** 41A36, 41A25.

**Keywords:**  $q$ -calculus, Szász-Mirakjan operator, Bohman-Korovkin type theorem, weighted space, weighted modulus of smoothness.

## 1. Introduction

The aim of this paper is to study the approximation properties of a new Szász-Mirakjan type operator constructed by using  $q$ -Calculus. Firstly, we recall some basic definitions and notations used in quantum calculus, see, e.g., [6, pp. 7-13].

Let  $q > 0$ . For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0 := \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$  the  $q$ -integer  $[n]_q$  is defined by

$$[n]_q := 1 + q + \dots + q^{n-1} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}), \quad [0]_q := 0,$$

and the  $q$ -factorial  $[n]_q!$  by

$$[n]_q! := [1]_q [2]_q \dots [n]_q \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}), \quad [0]_q! := 1.$$

Also, the  $q$ -binomial coefficients are denoted by  $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q$  and are defined by

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{[n]_q!}{[k]_q! [n-k]_q!}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

The  $q$ -derivative of a function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined by

$$D_q f(x) = \frac{f(x) - f(qx)}{(1-q)x}, \quad x \neq 0, \quad D_q f(0) := \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} D_q f(x),$$

and the high  $q$ -derivatives  $D_q^0 f := f$ ,  $D_q^n f := D_q(D_q^{n-1} f)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

The product rule is

$$D_q(f(x)g(x)) = D_q(f(x))g(x) + f(qx)D_q(g(x)). \tag{1.1}$$

We recall the  $q$ -Taylor theorem as it is given in [4, p. 103].

**Theorem 1.1.** *If the function  $g(x)$  is capable of expansion as a convergent power series and  $q$  is not a root of unity, then*

$$g(x) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(x-a)_q^r}{[r]_q!} D_q^r g(a),$$

where

$$(x-a)_q^r = \prod_{s=0}^{r-1} (x - q^s a) = \sum_{k=0}^r \begin{bmatrix} r \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q q^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} x^{r-k} (-a)^k.$$

## 2. Auxiliary results

Throughout the paper we consider  $q \in (0, 1)$ .

We define a suitable  $q$ -difference operator as follows

$$\Delta_q^0 f_{k,s} = f_{k,s}, \tag{2.1}$$

$$\Delta_q^{r+1} f_{k,s} = q^r \Delta_q^r f_{k+1,s} - \Delta_q^r f_{k,s-1}, \quad r \in \mathbb{N}_0, \tag{2.2}$$

where  $f_{k,s} = f\left(\frac{[k]_q}{q^s [n]_q}\right)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

The following lemma gives an expression for the  $r$ -th  $q$ -differences  $\Delta_q^r f_{k,s}$  as a sum of multiplies of values of  $f$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *The  $q$ -difference operator  $\Delta_q^r$  defined by (2.1)-(2.2) satisfies*

$$\Delta_q^r f_{k,s} = \sum_{j=0}^r (-1)^{r-j} q^{j(j-1)/2} \begin{bmatrix} r \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q f_{k+j,j+s-r} \quad \text{for } r, k \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad s \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{2.3}$$

Taking into account the relations (2.1)-(2.2) and the formula

$$\begin{bmatrix} r+1 \\ j+1 \end{bmatrix}_q = q^{r-j} \begin{bmatrix} r \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q + \begin{bmatrix} r \\ j+1 \end{bmatrix}_q,$$

the identity (2.3) can be easily obtained by induction over  $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

In what follows, the monomial of  $m$  degree is denoted by  $e_m$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

Let us denote by  $[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n; f]$  the divided difference of the function  $f$  with respect to the points  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *For all  $k, r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have*

$$[x_{k,s-1}, \dots, x_{k+r,s+r-1}; f] = \frac{q^{r(r+2s-1)/2} [n]_q^r}{[r]_q!} \Delta_q^r f_{k,r+s-1}, \tag{2.4}$$

where  $x_{k,s-1} = \frac{[k]_q}{q^{s-1} [n]_q}$ .

*Proof.* We use the mathematical induction with respect to  $r$ . For  $r = 0$  the equality (2.4) follows immediately from (2.1). Let us assume that (2.4) holds true for some  $r \geq 0$  and all  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & [x_{k,s-1}, \dots, x_{k+r+1,s+r}; f] \\ &= \frac{[x_{k+1,s}, \dots, x_{k+r+1,s+r}; f] - [x_{k,s-1}, \dots, x_{k+r,s+r-1}; f]}{x_{k+r+1,s+r} - x_{k,s-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $x_{k+r+1,s+r} - x_{k,s-1} = \frac{[r+1]_q}{q^{r+s}[n]_q}$ , by using (2.2) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & [x_{k,s-1}, \dots, x_{k+r+1,s+r}; f] \\ &= \frac{q^{(r+1)(r+2s)/2} [n]_q^{r+1}}{[r+1]_q!} (q^r \Delta_q^r f_{k+1,r+s} - \Delta_q^r f_{k,r+s-1}) \\ &= \frac{q^{(r+1)(r+2s)/2} [n]_q^{r+1}}{[r+1]_q!} \Delta_q^{r+1} f_{k,r+s}. \end{aligned}$$

□

### 3. Construction of the operators

In 1987 A. Lupaş [9] introduced the first  $q$ -analogue of Bernstein operator and investigated its approximating and shape-preserving properties. Another  $q$ -generalization of the classical Bernstein polynomials is due to G. Phillips [13]. More properties of these two  $q$ -extensions were obtained over time in several papers such as [3], [10], [11], [1]. We mention that the comprehensive survey [12] due to S. Ostrovska gives a good perspective of the most important achievements during a decade relative to these operators.

Two of the known expansions in  $q$ -calculus of the exponential function are given as follows (see, e.g., [6, p. 31])

$$E_q(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^{k(k-1)/2} \frac{x^k}{[k]_q!}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad |q| < 1,$$

$$e_q(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{[k]_q!}, \quad |x| < \frac{1}{1-q}, \quad |q| < 1.$$

It is obvious that  $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} E_q(x) = \lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} e_q(x) = e^x$ .

For  $q \in (0, 1)$ , in [2] A. Aral introduced the first  $q$ -analogue of the classical Szász-Mirakjan operators given by

$$S_n^q(f; x) = E_q \left( -[n]_q \frac{x}{b_n} \right) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f \left( \frac{[k]_q b_n}{[n]_q} \right) \frac{([n]_q x)^k}{[k]_q! (b_n)^k},$$

where  $0 \leq x < \frac{b_n}{1-q^n}$ ,  $(b_n)_n$  is a sequence of positive numbers such that  $\lim_n b_n = \infty$ .

The operator  $S_n^q$  reproduces linear functions and

$$S_n^q(e_2; x) = qx^2 + \frac{b_n}{[n]_q}x, \quad 0 \leq x < \frac{b_n}{1 - q^n}.$$

Motivated by this work, for  $q \in (0, 1)$  we give another  $q$ -analogue of the same class of operators as follows

$$S_{n,q}(f; x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{([n]_q x)^k}{[k]_q!} q^{k(k-1)} E_q(-[n]_q q^k x) f\left(\frac{[k]_q}{[n]_q q^{k-1}}\right), \quad x \geq 0, \tag{3.1}$$

where  $f \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}_+) := \{f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \text{ the series in (3.1) is convergent}\}$ .

Since  $E_q(x)$  is convergent for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , by using Theorem 1.1 and the property  $D_q^r E_q(x) = q^{\frac{r(r-1)}{2}} E_q(q^r x)$  we obtain

$$\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-x)^r}{[r]_q!} q^{r(r-1)} E_q(q^r x) = E_q(0) = 1, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

which yields that the operator  $S_{n,q}$  is well defined.

For  $q \rightarrow 1^-$ , the above operators reduce to the classical Szász-Mirakjan operators. In this case, the approximation function  $S_{n,q}f$  is defined on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $q \in (0, 1)$  and  $S_{n,q}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , be defined by (3.1). For any  $f \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}_+)$  we have*

$$S_{n,q}(f; x) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{([n]_q x)^r}{[r]_q!} q^{\frac{r(r-1)}{2}} \Delta_q^r f_{0,r-1}, \quad x \geq 0. \tag{3.2}$$

*Proof.* Let  $f \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ .

By using (2.1), the operator  $S_{n,q}$  can be expressed as follows

$$S_{n,q}(f; x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{([n]_q x)^k}{[k]_q!} q^{k(k-1)} E_q(-[n]_q q^k x) \Delta_q^0 f_{k,k-1}.$$

Applying  $q$ -derivative operator to  $S_{n,q}f$  and taking into account the product rule (1.1) and the property  $D_q E_q(ax) = a E_q(aqx)$ , (see e.g. [6, pp. 29-32]), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & D_q S_{n,q}(f; x) \\ &= [n]_q \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{([n]_q x)^k}{[k]_q!} q^{k(k+1)} E_q(-[n]_q q^{k+1} x) (\Delta_q^0 f_{k+1,k} - \Delta_q^0 f_{k,k-1}) \\ &= [n]_q \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{([n]_q x)^k}{[k]_q!} q^{k(k+1)} E_q(-[n]_q q^{k+1} x) \Delta_q^1 f_{k,k}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , by induction with respect to  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , we can prove

$$D_q^r S_{n,q}(f; x) = [n]_q^r q^{\frac{r(r-1)}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{([n]_q x)^k}{[k]_q!} q^{k(2r+k-1)} E_q \left( -[n]_q q^{k+r} x \right) \Delta_q^r f_{k,k+r-1}.$$

Choosing  $x = 0$ , we deduce  $D_q^r S_{n,q}(f; 0) = [n]_q^r q^{\frac{r(r-1)}{2}} \Delta_q^r f_{0,r-1}$ .

Choosing  $a = 0$  in Theorem 1.1, we obtain

$$S_{n,q}(f; x) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{([n]_q x)^r}{[r]_q!} q^{\frac{r(r-1)}{2}} \Delta_q^r f_{0,r-1},$$

which completes the proof. □

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $q \in (0, 1)$  and  $S_{n,q}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , be defined by (3.1). For any  $f \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}_+)$  we have*

$$S_{n,q}(f; x) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} x^r \left[ 0, \frac{1}{[n]_q}, \frac{[2]_q}{q[n]_q}, \dots, \frac{[r]_q}{q^{r-1}[n]_q}; f \right], \quad x \geq 0. \tag{3.3}$$

*Proof.* The identity (3.3) is obtained from the above theorem and (2.4) by choosing  $k = s = 0$ . □

**Corollary 3.3.** *For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $0 < q < 1$ , we have*

$$S_{n,q}(e_0; x) = 1, \tag{3.4}$$

$$S_{n,q}(e_1; x) = x, \tag{3.5}$$

$$S_{n,q}(e_2; x) = x^2 + \frac{1}{[n]_q} x. \tag{3.6}$$

Moreover, for  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $0 < q < 1$ , the operator  $S_{n,q}$  defined by (3.1) can be expressed as

$$S_{n,q}(e_m; x) = \sum_{r=0}^m x^r \left[ 0, \frac{1}{[n]_q}, \frac{[2]_q}{q[n]_q}, \dots, \frac{[r]_q}{q^{r-1}[n]_q}; e_m \right], \quad x \geq 0. \tag{3.7}$$

*Proof.* Since for any distinct points  $x_0, \dots, x_r$ , the divided difference

$$[x_0, \dots, x_r; e_m] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m < r, \\ 1 & \text{if } m = r, \\ x_0 + \dots + x_r & \text{if } m = r + 1, \end{cases}$$

(see e.g. [5, p.63]), the identities (3.4)-(3.7) are obvious. □

**Lemma 3.4.** *For  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $q \in (0, 1)$  we have*

$$S_{n,q}(e_m; x) \leq A_{m,q}(1 + x^m), \quad x \geq 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{3.8}$$

where  $A_{m,q}$  is a positive constant depending only on  $q$  and  $m$ .

*Proof.* Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . From (3.7) we get

$$S_{n,q}(e_m; x) \leq (1 + x^m) \sum_{r=1}^m \left[ 0, \frac{1}{[n]_q}, \dots, \frac{[r]_q}{q^{r-1}[n]_q}; e_m \right].$$

Applying the well known Lagrange’s Mean Value Theorem, we can write

$$S_{n,q}(e_m; x) \leq (1 + x^m) \sum_{r=1}^m \binom{m}{r} (\xi_r)^{m-r},$$

where  $0 < \xi_r < \frac{[r]_q}{q^{r-1}[n]_q}$ ,  $0 < r \leq m$ .

Consequently, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_{n,q}(e_m; x) &\leq (1 + x^m) \sum_{r=1}^m \binom{m}{r} \frac{[r]_q^{m-r}}{q^{(r-1)(m-r)} [n]_q^{m-r}} \\ &\leq (1 + x^m) [m]_q^{m-1} \sum_{r=1}^m \binom{m}{r} \frac{1}{q^{(r-1)(m-r)} q^{m-r+r^2}} \\ &\leq A_{m,q} (1 + x^m), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A_{m,q} := [m]_q^{m-1} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{q^m} \right)^m, \quad m \geq 1. \tag{3.9}$$

For  $m = 0$  we can take  $A_{0,q} = \frac{1}{2}$ . □

Examining relation (3.6) it is clear that the sequence of the operators  $(S_{n,q})_n$  does not satisfies the conditions of Bohman-Korovkin theorem.

Further on, we consider a sequence  $(q_n)_n$ ,  $q_n \in (0, 1)$ , such that

$$\lim_n q_n = 1. \tag{3.10}$$

The condition (3.10) guarantees that  $[n]_{q_n} \rightarrow \infty$  for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $(q_n)_n$  be a sequence satisfying (3.10) and let the operators  $S_{n,q_n}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , be defined by (3.1). For any compact  $J \subset \mathbb{R}_+$  and for each  $f \in C(\mathbb{R}_+)$  we have*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_{n,q_n}(f; x) = f(x), \quad \text{uniformly in } x \in J.$$

*Proof.* Replacing  $q$  by a sequence  $(q_n)_n$  with the given conditions, the result follows from (3.4)-(3.6) and the well-known Bohman-Korovkin theorem (see [7], pp. 8-9). □

### 4. Error of approximation

Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ . We denote by  $B_\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+)$  the weighted space of real-valued functions  $f$  defined on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  with the property  $|f(x)| \leq M_f(1 + x^\alpha)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , where  $M_f$  is a constant depending on the function  $f$ . We also consider the weighted subspace  $C_\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+)$  of  $B_\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+)$  given by

$$C_\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+) := \{f \in B_\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+) : f \text{ continuous on } \mathbb{R}_+\}.$$

Endowed with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_\alpha$ , where  $\|f\|_\alpha := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}_+} \frac{|f(x)|}{1+x^\alpha}$ , both  $B_\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+)$

and  $C_\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+)$  are Banach spaces.

We can give estimates of the error  $|S_{n,q}(f; \cdot) - f|$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , for unbounded functions by using a weighted modulus of smoothness associated to the space  $B_\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+)$ .

We consider

$$\Omega_\alpha(f; \delta) := \sup_{\substack{x \geq 0 \\ 0 < h \leq \delta}} \frac{|f(x+h) - f(x)|}{1 + (x+h)^\alpha}, \delta > 0, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{4.1}$$

It is evident that for each  $f \in B_\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+)$ ,  $\Omega_\alpha(f; \cdot)$  is well defined and

$$\Omega_\alpha(f; \delta) \leq 2\|f\|_\alpha, \delta > 0, f \in B_\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+), \alpha \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The weighted modulus of smoothness  $\Omega_\alpha(f; \cdot)$  possesses the following properties ([8]).

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_\alpha(f; \lambda\delta) &\leq (\lambda + 1)\Omega_\alpha(f; \delta), \quad \delta > 0, \lambda > 0, \\ \Omega_\alpha(f; n\delta) &\leq n\Omega_\alpha(f; \delta), \quad \delta > 0, n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \Omega_\alpha(f; \delta) &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $(q_n)_n$  be a sequence satisfying (3.10). Let  $q_0 = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} q_n$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and every  $f \in B_\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+)$  one has*

$$|S_{n,q_n}(f; x) - f(x)| \leq C_{\alpha,q_0}(1 + x^{\alpha+1})\Omega_\alpha\left(f; \sqrt{1/[n]_{q_n}}\right), \quad x \geq 0, \tag{4.3}$$

where  $C_{\alpha,q_0}$  is a positive constant independent of  $f$  and  $n$ .

*Proof.* Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f \in B_\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and  $x \geq 0$  be fixed. Setting  $\mu_{x,\alpha}(t) := 1 + (x + |t - x|)^\alpha$  and  $\psi_x(t) := |t - x|$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , relations (4.1) and (4.2) imply

$$\begin{aligned} |f(t) - f(x)| &\leq (1 + (x + |t - x|)^\alpha) \left(1 + \frac{1}{\delta} |t - x|\right) \Omega_\alpha(f; \delta) \\ &= \mu_{x,\alpha}(t) \left(1 + \frac{1}{\delta} \psi_x(t)\right) \Omega_\alpha(f; \delta), \quad t \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

By using the Cauchy inequality for linear positive operators which preserve the constants, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |S_{n,q_n}(f; x) - f(x)| &\leq S_{n,q_n}(|f - f(x)|; x) \\ &\leq \left(S_{n,q_n}(\mu_{x,\alpha}; x) + \frac{1}{\delta} S_{n,q_n}(\mu_{x,\alpha}\psi_x; x)\right) \Omega_\alpha(f; \delta) \\ &\leq \sqrt{S_{n,q_n}(\mu_{x,\alpha}^2; x)} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\delta} \sqrt{S_{n,q_n}(\psi_x^2; x)}\right) \Omega_\alpha(f; \delta). \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{x,\alpha}^2(t) &= (1 + (x + |t - x|)^\alpha)^2 \leq 2(1 + (2x + t)^{2\alpha}) \\ &\leq 2(1 + 2^{2\alpha}((2x)^{2\alpha} + t^{2\alpha})), \end{aligned}$$

and taking into account (3.4) and (3.8) we get

$$S_{n,q_n}(\mu_{x,\alpha}^2; x) \leq B_{\alpha,q_n}^2(1 + x^{2\alpha}), \tag{4.5}$$

where  $B_{\alpha,q_n}^2 = 2^{\alpha+1}(2^{2\alpha} + A_{2\alpha,q_n})$ .

According to (3.4)-(3.6) we have  $S_{n,q_n}(\psi_x^2; x) = \frac{1}{[n]_{q_n}}x$ .

By choosing  $\delta := \sqrt{\frac{1}{[n]_{q_n}}}$  in (4.3), from (4.5) follows

$$|S_{n,q_n}(f; x) - f(x)| \leq B_{\alpha,q_n} \sqrt{1 + x^{2\alpha}}(1 + \sqrt{x})\Omega_{\alpha} \left( f; \sqrt{\frac{1}{[n]_{q_n}}} \right).$$

Finally, since  $1 + \sqrt{x} \leq \sqrt{2}\sqrt{1+x}$  and  $(1 + x^{2\alpha})(1 + x) \leq 4(1 + x^{\alpha+1})$  for  $x \geq 0$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ , we obtain

$$|S_{n,q_n}(f; x) - f(x)| \leq C_{\alpha,q_0}(1 + x^{\alpha+1})\Omega_{\alpha} \left( f; \sqrt{1/[n]_{q_n}} \right), \quad x \geq 0,$$

where  $q_0 := \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} q_n$  and  $C_{\alpha,q_0} := 2\sqrt{2}B_{\alpha,q_0}$ . □

On the basis of Theorem 4.1 we give the following global estimate.

**Corollary 4.2.** *Let  $(q_n)_n$  be a sequence satisfying (3.10) and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and every  $f \in B_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}_+)$  one has*

$$\|S_{n,q_n}(f; \cdot) - f\|_{\alpha+1} \leq C_{\alpha,q_0}\Omega_{\alpha} \left( f; \sqrt{1/[n]_{q_n}} \right),$$

where  $C_{\alpha,q_0}$  is a positive constant independent of  $f$  and  $n$ .

**Remark 4.3.** For any function  $f \in B_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ , the rate of convergence of the operators  $S_{n,q_n}(f; \cdot)$  to  $f$  in weighted norm is  $\sqrt{\frac{1}{[n]_{q_n}}}$  which is faster than  $\sqrt{\frac{b_n}{[n]_{q_n}}}$  obtained in [2].

## References

- [1] Agratini, O., *On certain q-analogues of the Bernstein operators*, Carpathian J. Math., **24**(2008), no. 3, 281-286.
- [2] Aral, A., *A generalization of Szász-Mirakjan operators based on q-integers*, Math. Comput. Model., **47**(2008), 1052-1062.
- [3] Bărbosu, D., *Some generalized bivariate Bernstein operators*, Math. Notes (Miskolc), **1**(2000), 3-10.
- [4] Ernst, T., *The history of q-calculus and a new method*, U.U.D.M. Report 2000, 16, Uppsala, Department of Mathematics, Uppsala University, 2000.
- [5] Ivan, M., *Elements of Interpolation Theory*, Mediamira Science Publisher, Cluj-Napoca, 2004.
- [6] Kac, V., Cheung, P., *Quantum Calculus*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002.
- [7] Lorentz, G. G., *Bernstein Polynomials*, Math. Expo. Vol. 8, Univ. of Toronto Press, Toronto, 1953.

- [8] López-Moreno, A.-J., *Weighted simultaneous approximation with Baskakov type operators*, Acta Mathematica Hungarica, **104**(2004), 143–151.
- [9] Lupaş, A., *A  $q$ -analogue of the Bernstein operator*, Seminar on Numerical and Statistical Calculus, University of Cluj-Napoca, **9**(1987), 85–92.
- [10] Ostrovska, S., *On the Lupaş  $q$ -analogue of the Bernstein operator*, Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics, **36**(2006), 1615–1629.
- [11] Ostrovska, S., *On the improvement of analytic properties under the limit  $q$ -Bernstein operator*, J. Approx. Theory, **138**(2006), 37–53.
- [12] Ostrovska, S., *The first decade of the  $q$ -Bernstein polynomials :results and perspectives*, J. Math. Anal. Approx. Theory, **2** (2007), 35–51.
- [13] Phillips, G.M., *Bernstein polynomials based on the  $q$ -integers*, Ann. Numer. Math., **4**(1997), 511–518.

Cristina Radu  
Schuller Eh Klar,  
47, Calea Baciului,  
400230 Cluj-Napoca,  
Romania  
e-mail: radu.cristina@schuller.ro

Saddika Tarabie  
Tishrin University,  
Faculty of Sciences  
1267 Latakia,  
Syria  
e-mail: sadikatorbey@yahoo.com

Andreea Veţeleanu  
“Babeş-Bolyai” University,  
Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Sciences  
1, Kogălniceanu Street,  
400084 Cluj-Napoca,  
Romania  
e-mail: andreeav@math.ubbcluj.ro