

# Almost greedy uniformly bounded orthonormal bases in rearrangement invariant Banach function spaces

Ana Danelia and Ekaterine Kapanadze

**Abstract.** We construct uniformly bounded orthogonal almost greedy bases in rearrangement invariant Banach spaces.

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010):** 41A17, 42C40.

**Keywords:** Greedy algorithm, non-linear approximation.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a semi-normalized basis in a Banach space  $X$ . This means that  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a Schauder basis and is semi-normalized i.e.  $0 < \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|x_n\| \leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|x_n\| < \infty$ . For an element  $x \in X$  we define the error of the best  $m$ -term approximation as follows

$$\sigma_m(x) = \inf \left\{ \left\| x - \sum_{n \in A} \alpha_n x_n \right\| \right\},$$

where the inf is taken over all subsets  $A \subset \mathbb{N}$  of cardinality at most  $m$  and all possible scalars  $\alpha_n$ . The main question in approximation theory concerns the construction of efficient algorithms for  $m$ -term approximation. A computationally efficient method to produce  $m$ -term approximations, which has been widely investigated in recent years, is the so called greedy algorithm. We define the greedy approximation of  $x = \sum_n a_n x_n \in X$  as

$$\mathcal{G}_m(x) = \sum_{n \in A} a_n x_n,$$

where  $A \subset \mathbb{N}$  is any set of the cardinality  $m$  in such a way that  $|a_n| \geq |a_l|$  whenever  $n \in A$  and  $l \notin A$ . We say that a semi-normalized basis  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is

greedy if there exists a constant  $C$  such that for all  $m = 1, 2, \dots$  and all  $x \in X$  we have

$$\|x - \mathcal{G}_m(x)\| \leq C\sigma_m(x).$$

This notion evolved in theory of non-linear approximation (see e.g.[1],[2]). A result of Konyagin and Temlyakov [3] characterizes greedy bases in a Banach spaces  $X$  as those which are unconditional and democratic, the latter meaning that for some constant  $C > 0$

$$\left\| \sum_{\alpha \in A} \frac{x_\alpha}{\|x_\alpha\|} \right\| \leq C \left\| \sum_{\alpha \in A'} \frac{x_\alpha}{\|x_\alpha\|} \right\|$$

holds for all finite sets of indices  $A, A' \subset \mathbb{N}$  with the same cardinality.

Wavelet systems are well known examples of greedy bases for many function and distribution spaces. Indeed, Temlyakov showed in [1] that the Haar system is greedy in the Lebesgue spaces  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for  $1 < p < \infty$ . When wavelets have sufficient smoothness and decay, they are also greedy bases for the more general Sobolev and Triebel-Lizorkin classes (see e.g.[4-5]).

A bounded Schauder basis for a Banach space  $X$  is called quasi-greedy if there exists a constant  $C$  such that for  $x \in X$   $\|\mathcal{G}_m(x)\| \leq C\|x\|$  for  $m \geq 1$ .

Wojtaszczyk [2] proved the following result which gives a more intuitive interpretation of quasi-greedy bases.

**Theorem 1.1.** *A bounded Schauder basis for a Banach space  $X$  is quasi-greedy if and only if  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|x - \mathcal{G}_m(x)\|_X = 0$  for every element  $x \in X$ .*

A bounded Schauder basis for a Banach space  $X$  is almost greedy if there exists a constant  $C$  such that for  $x \in X$ ,  $\|x - \mathcal{G}_m(x)\| \leq C \inf\{\|x - \sum_{n \in A} < x, x_n > x_n\| : A \subset \mathbb{N}, |A| = m\}$ .

It was proved in [6] that a basis is almost greedy if and only if it is quasi-greedy and democratic.

A Banach function space on  $[0, 1]$  is said to be a rearrangement invariant (r.i) space provided  $f^*(t) \leq g^*(t)$  for every  $t \in [0, 1]$  and  $g \in X$  imply  $f \in X$  and  $\|f\|_X \leq \|g\|_X$ , where  $f^*(t)$  denotes the decreasing rearrangement of  $|f|$ .

An r.i. space  $X$  with a norm  $\|\cdot\|_X$  has the Fatou property if for any increasing positive sequence  $f_n$  in  $X$  with  $\sup_n \|f_n\|_X < \infty$  we have that  $\sup_n f_n \in X$  and  $\|\sup_n f_n\|_X = \sup_n \|f_n\|_X$ . We will assume that the r.i. space  $X$  has the Fatou property.

Given  $s > 0$ , the dilation operator  $\sigma_s$  given by

$$\sigma_s f(t) = f(t/s)\chi_{[0,1]}(t/s), t \in [0, 1]$$

( $\chi_A$  denotes the characteristic function of a measurable set  $A \subset [0, 1]$ ) is well defined in every r.i. space  $X$ . The classical Boyd indices of  $X$  are defined by

$$p_X = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln s}{\ln \|\sigma_s\|_{X \rightarrow X}}, \quad q_X = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\ln s}{\ln \|\sigma_s\|_{X \rightarrow X}}.$$

In general,  $1 \leq p_X \leq q_X \leq \infty$ .

Any r.i. function space  $X$  on  $[0, 1]$  satisfies  $L^\infty([0, 1]) \subset X \subset L^1([0, 1])$ . If we have information on the Boyd indices of  $X$  then a stronger assertion is valid. Indeed for every  $1 \leq p < p_X$  and  $q_X < q < \infty$ , we have

$$L^q([0, 1]) \subset X \subset L^p([0, 1]) \tag{1.1}$$

with the inclusion maps being continuous. Let  $X'$  denote the associate Banach function space of  $X$ . Then  $X'$  is a r.i. Banach function space whose Boyd indices are defined as  $1/p_X + 1/q_{X'} = 1$  and  $1/q_X + 1/p_{X'} = 1$  (see [7]).

M. Nielsen in [8] proved that there exists a uniformly bounded orthonormal almost greedy basis in  $L^p([0, 1])$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , that shows that it is not possible to extend Orlicz's theorem, stating that there are no uniformly bounded orthonormal unconditional bases for  $L^p([0, 1])$ ,  $p \neq 2$ , to the class of almost greedy bases.

The purpose of this paper is to study these problems in the r.i. function spaces. Namely, the following theorem is obtained.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $X$  be a separable r.i. Banach function space on  $[0, 1]$  and  $1 < p_X \leq q_X < 2$  or  $2 < p_X \leq q_X < \infty$ . Then there exists a uniformly bounded orthogonal almost greedy basis in  $X$ .*

## 2. Proof of theorem

Let us construct some system in the following way. For  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , we define the  $2^k \times 2^k$  Olevskii matrix  $A^k = (a_{ij}^{(k)})_{i,j=1}^{2^k}$  by the following formulas

$$a_{i1}^k = 2^{-\frac{k}{2}} \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, 2^k,$$

and for  $j = 2^s + \nu$ , with  $1 \leq \nu \leq 2^s$  and  $s = 0, 1, \dots, k - 1$ , we let

$$a_{ij}^{(k)} = \begin{cases} 2^{\frac{s-k}{2}} & \text{for } (\nu - 1)2^{k-s} < i \leq (2\nu - 1)2^{k-s-1} \\ -2^{\frac{s-k}{2}} & \text{for } (2\nu - 1)2^{k-s-1} < i \leq \nu 2^{k-s} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is known [16] that  $A^k$  are orthogonal matrices and there exists a finite constant  $C$  such that for all  $i, k$  we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{2^k} |a_{i,j}^{(k)}| \leq C.$$

Put  $N_k = 2^{10^k}$  and define  $F_k$  such that  $F_0 = 0$ ,  $F_1 = N_1 - 1$  and  $F_k - F_{k-1} = N_k - 1$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . We consider the Walsh system  $\mathcal{W} = \{W_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  on  $[0, 1]$ . We split  $\mathcal{W}$  into two subsystems. The first subsystem  $\mathcal{W}_1 = \{r_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is Rademacher functions with their natural ordering. The second subsystem  $\mathcal{W}_2 = \{\phi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is the collection of Walsh functions not in  $\mathcal{W}_1$  with the ordering from  $\mathcal{W}$ . We now impose the ordering

$$\phi_1, r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{F_1}, \phi_2, r_{F_1+1}, \dots, r_{F_2}, \phi_3, r_{F_2+1}, \dots, r_{F_3}, \phi_4, \dots$$

The block  $\mathcal{B}_k := \{\phi_k, r_{F_{k-1}+1}, \dots, r_{F_k}\}$  has length  $N_k$  and we apply  $A^{10^k}$  to  $\mathcal{B}_k$  to obtain a new orthonormal system  $\{\psi_i^{(k)}\}_{i=1}^{N_k}$  given by

$$\psi_i^{(k)} = \frac{\phi_k}{\sqrt{N_k}} + \sum_{j=2}^{N_k} a_{ij}^{(10^k)} r_{F_{k-1}+j-1}.$$

The system ordered  $\psi_1^{(1)}, \dots, \psi_{N_1}^{(1)}, \psi_1^{(2)}, \dots, \psi_{N_2}^{(2)}, \dots$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{B} = \{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ . It is easy to verify that  $\mathcal{B}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $L_2$  since each matrix  $A^{10^k}$  is orthogonal and it is uniformly bounded also.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $X$  be a r.i. Banach function space on  $[0, 1]$  and  $1 < p_X \leq q_X < \infty$ . The system  $\mathcal{B} = \{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is democratic in  $X$  with*

$$\left\| \sum_{k \in A} \psi_k \right\|_X \asymp |A|^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

*Proof.* Taking into account that fact that  $B\|\cdot\|_{p_X} \leq \|\cdot\|_X \leq C\|\cdot\|_{q_X}$  and the estimate (see [8])

$$\left\| \sum_{k \in A} \psi_k \right\|_p \asymp |A|^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ for any } 1 < p < \infty$$

we obtain our result. □

**Lemma 2.2.** *(Khintchine’s inequality) Suppose that  $X$  is a r.i. Banach function space on  $[0, 1]$ ,  $1 < p_X \leq q_X < \infty$ , and  $r_k(t), k \geq 1$ , are the Rademacher functions. Then there exist  $A, B$  such that for any sequence  $\{a_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ ,*

$$A \left( \sum_k |a_k|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \left\| \sum_k a_k r_k(t) \right\|_X \leq B \left( \sum_k |a_k|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

*Proof.* It is known that (see [10]) for  $1 \leq p < \infty$  there exist  $A_p, B_p$  such that for any sequence  $\{a_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ ,

$$A_p \left( \sum_k |a_k|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \left\| \sum_k a_k r_k(t) \right\|_p \leq B_p \left( \sum_k |a_k|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Taking into account that fact that  $B\|\cdot\|_{q_X} \leq \|\cdot\|_X \leq C\|\cdot\|_{p_X}$  and the above inequality we obtain Lemma 2.2. □

**Lemma 2.3.** *Suppose that  $X$  is a r.i. Banach function space on  $[0, 1]$ ,  $1 < p_X \leq q_X < \infty$ , and  $r_k(t), k \geq 1$ , are the Rademacher functions. Then for  $f \in X$  we have*

$$\left( \sum_{k=1}^\infty |\langle f, r_k \rangle|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \|f\|_X.$$

*Proof.* For any  $n \geq 1$  by the Hölder inequality and Khintchine’s inequality we obtain

$$2 \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n |\langle f, r_k \rangle|^2 \right\|_X = \int_0^1 f(x) \left( \sum_{k=1}^n r_k(x) \langle f, r_k \rangle \right) dx \leq C \left( \sum_{k=1}^n |\langle f, r_k \rangle|^2 \right)^{1/2} \|f\|_X.$$

This implies

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^n |\langle f, r_k \rangle|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq B\|f\|_X.$$

Now taking the limit when  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we obtain our result.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $X$  be a separable r.i. Banach function space on  $[0, 1]$  and  $1 < p_X \leq q_X < \infty$ . Then the system  $\mathcal{B} = \{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is a Schauder basis for  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Notice that  $\text{span}(\mathcal{B}) = \text{span}(\mathcal{W})$  by construction, so  $\text{span}(\mathcal{B})$  is dense in  $X$ , since  $\mathcal{W}$  is a Schauder basis for  $X$  (see [11]).

Let  $S_n(f) = \sum_{k=1}^n \langle f, \psi_k \rangle \psi_k$  be the partial sum operator. We need to prove that the family of operators  $\{S_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is uniformly bounded on  $X$ . Let  $f \in L^\infty([0, 1]) \subset L^2([0, 1])$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we can find  $L \geq 1$  and  $1 \leq m \leq N_L$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} S_n(f) &= \sum_{k=1}^n \langle f, \psi_k \rangle \psi_k = \sum_{k=1}^{L-1} \sum_{j=1}^{N_k} \langle f, \psi_j^{(k)} \rangle \psi_j^{(k)} + \sum_{k=1}^m \langle f, \psi_k^{(L)} \rangle \psi_k^{(L)} \\ &:= T_1 + T_2. \end{aligned}$$

Let us estimate  $T_1$ . If  $L = 1$  then  $T_1 = 0$ , so we may assume  $L > 1$ . The construction of  $\mathcal{B}$  shows that  $T_1$  is the orthogonal projection of  $f$  onto

$$\text{span}\left(\cup_{k=1}^{L-1} \cup_{j=1}^{N_k} \psi_k^{(k)}\right) = \text{span}\{\{W_0, W_1, \dots, W_{L-2}\} \cup \{r_{l_0}, r_{l_0+1}, \dots, r_{F_{L-1}}\}\},$$

with  $l_0 = \lceil \log_2(L) \rceil$ . It follows that we can rewrite  $T_1$  as

$$T_1 = \sum_{k=0}^{L-2} \langle f, W_k \rangle W_k + P_R(f),$$

where  $P_R(f)$  is the orthogonal projection of  $f$  onto  $\text{span}\{r_{l_0}, r_{l_0+1}, \dots, r_{F_{L-1}}\}$ . Thus, using the fact that  $\mathcal{W}$  is a Schauder basis for  $X$ , Khintchine's inequality and Lemma 2.3, we will have

$$\|T_1\|_X \leq C\|f\|_X.$$

Let us now estimate  $T_2$ .

$$\begin{aligned} T_2 &= \sum_{k=1}^m \langle f, \psi_k^{(L)} \rangle \psi_k^{(L)} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^m \langle f, \frac{\phi_L}{\sqrt{N_L}} + \sum_{j=2}^{N_L} a_{kj}^{(10^L)} r_{F_{L-1}+j-1} \rangle = \left(\frac{\phi_L}{\sqrt{N_L}} \phi_L + \sum_{t=2}^{N_L} a_{kt}^{(10^L)} r_{F_{L-1}+t-1}\right) \\ &= \frac{m}{N_L} \langle f, \phi_L \rangle + \frac{\phi_L}{\sqrt{N_L}} \sum_{j=2}^{N_L} \left(\sum_{k=1}^m a_{kj}^{(10^L)}\right) \langle f, r_{F_{L-1}+j-1} \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle f, \frac{\phi_L}{\sqrt{N_L}} \rangle \sum_{j=2}^{N_L} \left(\sum_{k=1}^m a_{kj}^{(10^L)}\right) r_{F_{L-1}+j-1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ \sum_{k=1}^m \left[ \sum_{j=2}^{N_L} a_{kj}^{(10^L)} \langle f, r_{F_{L-1+j-1}} \rangle \right] \left[ \sum_{t=2}^{N_L} a_{kt}^{(10^L)} r_{F_{L-1+t-1}} \right] \\
 &= G_1 + G_2 + G_3 + G_4.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using that fact that  $1 \leq m \leq N_L$  and Hölder inequality we obtain  $\|G_1\|_X \leq C\|f\|_X$ . Using the Hölder and Khintchine’s inequality, the fact that matrices  $A^k$  are orthonormal and Lemma 2.3 we obtain  $\|G_i\|_X \leq C\|f\|_X$   $i = 2, 3, 4$  for some constant  $C$  independent of  $f \in L^\infty([0, 1])$ . Consequently for some constant  $C$  independent on  $f \in L^\infty([0, 1])$  we have  $\|S_n f\|_X \leq C\|f\|_X$ . Since  $L^\infty([0, 1])$  is dense in  $X$  we deduce that  $\{S_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is a uniformly bounded family of linear operators on  $X$  and the system  $\mathcal{B}$  is a Schauder basis for  $X$ .  $\square$

Lemma 1.1 and Lemma 2.4 give the following

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $X$  be a separable r.i. Banach function space on  $[0, 1]$  and  $1 < p_X \leq q_X < \infty$ . Then there exists a uniformly bounded orthonormal democratic basis in  $X$ .*

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $X$  be a separable r.i. Banach function space on  $[0, 1]$  and  $1 < p_X \leq q_X < 2$  or  $2 < p_X \leq q_X < \infty$ . Then the system  $\mathcal{B} = \{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is a quasi-greedy basis for  $X$ .*

*Proof.* First we consider  $2 < p_X \leq q_X < \infty$  case. Let  $f \in X \subset L_2$ . We have

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \langle f, \psi_i \rangle \psi_i,$$

with  $\|\{\langle f, \psi_i \rangle\}\|_{l_2} \leq \|f\|_2 \leq C\|f\|_X$ . We must prove that  $\mathcal{G}_m(f)$  is convergent in  $X$ .

Let us formally write

$$\begin{aligned}
 f &= \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{j=1}^{N_k} \langle f, \psi_j^{(k)} \rangle \psi_j^{(k)} \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{j=1}^{N_k} \langle f, \psi_j^{(k)} \rangle \frac{\phi_k}{\sqrt{N_k}} + \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{i=1}^{N_k} \langle f, \psi_i^{(k)} \rangle \sum_{j=2}^{N_k} a_{ij}^{(10^k)} r_{F_{k-1+j-1}} \\
 &= S_1 + S_2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Consider  $\varepsilon_i^k \subset \{0, 1\}$ . By Khintchine’s inequality and the fact that each  $A^{10^k}$  is orthogonal we conclude that  $S_2$  converges unconditionally in  $X$ . Indeed

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left\| \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{j=2}^{N_k} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N_k} \varepsilon_i^k \langle f, \psi_i^{(k)} \rangle a_{ij}^{(10^k)} \right) r_{F_{k-1+j-1}} \right\|_X \\
 &\leq C \left( \sum_k \sum_{i=1}^{N_k} \varepsilon_i^k |\langle f, \psi_i^{(k)} \rangle|^2 \right)^{1/2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The series defining  $S_2$  converges unconditionally, so it suffices to prove that the series defining  $S_1$  converges in  $X$  when the coefficients  $\langle f, \psi \rangle$  are arranged in decreasing order. Let us consider the sets

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_k^1 &= \left\{ j : \frac{1}{N_k} < |\langle f, \psi_j^{(k)} \rangle| < \frac{1}{N_k^{1/10}} \right\} \\ \Lambda_k^2 &= \left\{ j : |\langle f, \psi_j^{(k)} \rangle| \leq \frac{1}{N_k} \right\} \\ \Lambda_k^3 &= \left\{ j : |\langle f, \psi_j^{(k)} \rangle| \geq \frac{1}{N_k^{1/10}} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j \in \Lambda_k^1} \langle f, \psi_j^{(k)} \rangle \frac{\phi_k}{\sqrt{N_k}} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j \in \Lambda_k^2} \langle f, \psi_j^{(k)} \rangle \frac{\phi_k}{\sqrt{N_k}} + \\ &\quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j \in \Lambda_k^3} \langle f, \psi_j^{(k)} \rangle \frac{\phi_k}{\sqrt{N_k}} = T_1 + T_2 + T_3. \end{aligned}$$

By the construction of sets  $\Lambda_k^i$  we can conclude that the series defining  $T_2$  and  $T_3$  converges absolutely in  $X$ .

From the definition of  $\Lambda_k^1$  we get

$$|\langle f, \psi_i^{(k)} \rangle| > \frac{1}{N_k} \geq \frac{1}{N_{k+1}^{1/10}} \geq |\langle f, \psi_j^{(k+1)} \rangle|,$$

$i \in \Lambda_k^1, j \in \Lambda_{k+1}^1, k = 1, 2, \dots$  so when we arrange  $T_1$  by decreasing order the rearrangement can only take place inside the blocks. From the estimate

$$\sum_{j \in \Lambda_k^1} \left\| \langle f, \psi_j^{(k)} \rangle \frac{\phi_k}{\sqrt{N_k}} \right\|_X \leq \left( \sum_{j \in \Lambda_k^1} |\langle f, \psi_j^{(k)} \rangle|^2 \right)^{1/2} \frac{|\Lambda_k^1|^{1/2}}{\sqrt{N_k}}, \quad k \geq 1$$

we obtain that the rearrangements inside blocks are well-behaved, and

$$\sum_{j \in \Lambda_k^1} \left\| \langle f, \psi_j^{(k)} \rangle \frac{\phi_k}{\sqrt{N_k}} \right\|_X \rightarrow 0, \quad k \rightarrow \infty.$$

We can conclude that  $\mathcal{G}_m(f)$  is convergent in  $X$ .

Using Theorem 1.1 we conclude that  $\mathcal{B}$  is a quasi-greedy basis and consequently almost greedy in  $X$ .

Let  $1 < p_X \leq q_X < 2$ . By the results proved above it follows that the system  $\mathcal{B}$  is almost greedy in  $X$ . From [6, Theorem 5.4] we conclude that  $\mathcal{B}$  is quasi-greedy basis and consequently almost greedy in  $X$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

## References

- [1] Temlyakov, V.N., *The best  $m$ -term approximation and greedy algorithms*, Adv. Comput. Math., **8**(1998), 249-265.
- [2] Wojtaszczyk, P., *Greedy algorithm for general biorthogonal system*, J. Approx. Theory, **107**(2000), 293-314.
- [3] Konyagin, S.V., Temlyakov, V.N., *A remark on greedy approximation in Banach spaces*, East J. Approx., **5**(1999), 1-15.
- [4] Garrigou, G., Hernandez, E., *Sharp Jackson and Bernstein inequalities for  $N$ -term approximation in sequence spaces with applications*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., **53**(2004), 1739-1762.
- [5] Hsiao, C., Jawerth, B., Lucier, B.J., Yu, X.M., *Near optimal compression of almost optimal wavelet expansions. Wavelets: mathematics and applications*, Stud. Adv. Math., CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 1994, 425-446.
- [6] Dilworth, S.J., Kalton, N.J., Kutzarova, D., Temlyakov, V.N., *The thresholding greedy algorithm, greedy bases and duality*, Constr. Approx., **19**(2003), no. 4, 575-597.
- [7] Krein, S., Petunin, J., Semenov, E., *Interpolation of linear operators*, Translations Math. Monographs, vol. 55, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (1992).
- [8] Nielsen, M., *An example of an almost greedy uniformly bounded orthonormal basis in  $L_p[0, 1]$* , J. Approx. Theory, **149**(2007), no. 2, 188-192.
- [9] Olevskii, A.M., *Fourier series with respect to general orthogonal systems*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1975.
- [10] Kashin, B.S., Saakian, A.A., *Orthogonal series*, (in Russian), Moscow, 1999.
- [11] Lindenstrauss, J., Tzafriri, L., *Classical Banach spaces II*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1978.

Ana Danelia and Ekaterine Kapanadze  
Faculty of Exact and Natural Sciences  
I. Javakhshvili Tbilisi State University 2  
University St., Tbilisi 0143  
Georgia  
e-mail: a.danelia@math.sci.tsu.ge  
ekaterine\_kapani@yahoo.com