

**DUALITY FOR HADAMARD PRODUCTS APPLIED TO CERTAIN
CONDITION FOR α -STARLIKENESS**

JANUSZ SOKÓŁ

Dedicated to Professor Grigore Ștefan Sălăgean on his 60th birthday

Abstract. Let $\mathcal{P}(\alpha, \beta)$, $\alpha > 0$, $\beta < 1$, denote the class of all analytic functions f in the unit disc with the normalization $f(0) = 1$, $f'(0) = 1$ and satisfying the condition

$$\Re[e^{i\varphi}(f'(z) + \frac{1}{\alpha}zf''(z) - \beta)] > 0, \quad |z| < 1$$

for some $\varphi \in \mathbb{R}$. In this paper we find conditions on α, β so that $\mathcal{P}(\alpha, \beta) \subseteq \mathcal{S}^*(\mu)$, where $\mu < 1$ is given and $\mathcal{S}^*(\mu)$ denote the class of starlike function of order μ . We take advantage of the Ruscheweh's Duality theory.

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{H} denote the class of analytic functions in the open unit disc

$$U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$$

of the complex plane \mathbb{C} . Everywhere in this paper $z \in U$ unless we make a note. We say that $f \in \mathcal{H}$ is convex when $f(U)$ is a convex set. Let \mathcal{A} denote the subclass of \mathcal{H} consisting of functions normalized by $f(0) = 0$, $f'(0) = 1$. For $\mu < 1$, by $\mathcal{S}^*(\mu)$ we denote the well known subclass of \mathcal{A} consisting of starlike function of order μ . As is well known

$$\mathcal{S}^*(\mu) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \Re \left[\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right] > \mu \text{ for } z \in U \right\}$$

Received by the editors: 26.04.2010.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30C45, 33C05.

Key words and phrases. Duality principle, dual set, Hadamard product, Starlike functions, convex functions, functions with positive real part, Gaussian hypergeometric function.

$\mathcal{S}^*(0) = \mathcal{S}^*$ is the class of starlike functions which map U onto a starlike domain with respect to the origin. For $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta < 1$ given, define

$$\mathcal{P}(\alpha, \beta) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \exists \varphi \in \mathbb{R} \text{ s. t. } \Re e \left[e^{i\varphi} \left(f'(z) + \frac{1}{\alpha} z f''(z) - \beta \right) \right] > 0, z \in U \right\}.$$

In the geometric theory of function, a variety of sufficient conditions for starlikeness have been considered. We refer to the monographs [4], [5] for details. In the present work we try to find conditions on α, β so that $\mathcal{P}(\alpha, \beta) \subseteq \mathcal{S}^*(\mu)$, where $\mu < 1$ is given. If f and g are analytic in U with $f(z) = a_0 + a_1z + a_2z^2 + \dots$ and $g(z) = b_0 + b_1z + b_2z^2 + \dots$ then the Hadamard product (or convolution) of f and g is defined by

$$(f * g)(z) = a_0b_0 + a_1b_1z + a_2b_2z^2 + \dots$$

The convolution has the algebraic properties of ordinary multiplication. In convolution theory, the concept of duality is central. For a set

$$V \subseteq \mathcal{A}_0 = \left\{ g : g(z) = \frac{f(z)}{z}, f \in \mathcal{A} \right\}$$

the dual set V^* is defined as

$$V^* = \{g \in \mathcal{A}_0 : (f * g)(z) \neq 0 \text{ for all } f \in V, z \in U\}.$$

In this paper we use the powerful method of duality principle in geometric function theory developed by Ruscheweyh [8]. The basic results of Ruscheweyh's duality theory one can find in the book [9]. The duality principle states that, under certain conditions on V , the range of a continuous linear functional on V equals the range of the same linear functional on $(V^*)^* = V^{**}$. This is a useful information since in many cases of interest V^{**} is much larger than V . Then by investigating the small set we can get results about the large set. One such pair of the sets is described in the theorem below.

Theorem 1.1. *Let*

$$V_\beta = \left\{ \beta + \frac{(1-\beta)(1+xz)}{1+yz} : |x| = |y| = 1 \right\}, \beta \in \mathbb{R}, \beta \neq 1.$$

Then

$$V_\beta^{**} = \{g \in \mathcal{A}_0 : \exists \varphi \in \mathbb{R} \text{ such that } \Re e [e^{i\varphi} (g(z) - \beta)] > 0, z \in U\}.$$

Theorem 1.1 with $\beta = 0$ one can find in [9, p. 22]. Notice that if $h \in V_\beta$, $h(z) = \beta + (1 - \beta) \frac{1+xz}{1+yz}$ with $|x| = |y| = 1$, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\beta \neq 1$, then

$$h(z) = 1 + (1 - \beta) \left(1 - \frac{x}{y}\right) \frac{yz}{1 - yz} = 1 + (1 - \beta)(1 - e^{i\psi}) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (yz)^k \quad (1.1)$$

for some $\psi \in \mathbb{R}$. A subset $V \subseteq \mathcal{A}_0$ is said to be complete if it has the following property:

$$f \in V \Rightarrow f(xz) \in V \quad \forall |x| \leq 1.$$

Theorem 1.2. (Duality principle, see [8]) Let $V \subseteq \mathcal{A}_0$ be compact and complete. If λ is a continuous linear functional on \mathcal{H} , then

$$\lambda(V) = \lambda(V^{**}), \quad \overline{co}(V) = \overline{co}(V^{**}).$$

The sets V_β and V_β^{**} in Theorem 1.1 are compact and complete. The following Theorem 1.3 one can find in [9, p. 23] and in [10].

Theorem 1.3. (see [10]) Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$. Then f belongs to the class $\mathcal{S}^*(\mu)$ of starlike function of order μ if and only if

$$\frac{f(z)}{z} * \frac{1 + \frac{\varepsilon+2\mu-1}{2(1-\mu)}z}{(1-z^2)} \neq 0 \quad \forall |\varepsilon| = 1, \quad \forall z \in U.$$

2. Main results

Theorem 2.1. Suppose that $\alpha > 0$, $\beta < 1$, $\mu < 1$. Then $\mathcal{P}(\alpha, \beta) \subseteq \mathcal{S}^*(\mu)$ if and only if

$$\Re [H(\varepsilon; z)] > -\frac{1-\mu}{1-\beta} \quad \forall |\varepsilon| = 1, \quad \forall z \in U, \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$H(\varepsilon; z) = \alpha \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k(1+\varepsilon) + 2(1-\mu)}{(k+1)(k+\alpha)} z^k. \quad (2.2)$$

Proof. Let a function f be in the class $\mathcal{P}(\alpha, \beta)$. If we denote $f'(z) + \frac{z}{\alpha} f''(z) = g_\alpha(z)$, then we have $g_\alpha \in V_\beta^{**}$. If $f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^k$, $a_1 = 1$, then

$$f'(z) + \frac{z}{\alpha} f''(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k(k-1+\alpha)}{\alpha} a_k z^{k-1} = g_\alpha(z)$$

so

$$\frac{f(z)}{z} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-1} = g_{\alpha}(z) * \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha z^{k-1}}{k(k-1+\alpha)},$$

and we obtain one-to-one correspondence between $\mathcal{P}(\alpha, \beta)$ and V_{β}^{**} . Thus, by Theorem 1.3, $\mathcal{P}(\alpha, \beta) \subseteq \mathcal{S}^*(\mu)$ if and only if

$$g_{\alpha}(z) * \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha z^{k-1}}{k(k-1+\alpha)} * \frac{1 + \frac{\varepsilon+2\mu-1}{2(1-\mu)}z}{(1-z)^2} \neq 0 \quad \forall g_{\alpha} \in V_{\beta}^{**}, \forall |\varepsilon| = 1, \forall z \in U. \quad (2.3)$$

Let us consider for $z \in U$ the continuous linear functional $\lambda_z : \mathcal{A}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, such that

$$\lambda_z(h) := h(z) * \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha z^{k-1}}{k(k-1+\alpha)} * \frac{1 + \frac{\varepsilon+2\mu-1}{2(1-\mu)}z}{(1-z)^2},$$

By Duality principle we have $\lambda_z(V) = \lambda_z(V_{\beta}^{**})$. Therefore (2.3) holds if and only if

$$\left[1 + (1-\beta)(1-e^{i\psi}) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} z^k \right] * \left[1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha z^k}{(k+1)(k+\alpha)} \right] * \left[\frac{1 + \frac{\varepsilon+2\mu-1}{2(1-\mu)}z}{(1-z)^2} \right] \neq 0 \quad (2.4)$$

for all $\psi \in \mathbb{R}$, $|\varepsilon| = 1$, $z \in U$. Using the properties of convolution we can reformulate (2.4) as

$$\alpha \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k(1+\varepsilon) + 2(1-\mu)}{(k+1)(k+\alpha)} z^k \neq -\frac{2(1-\mu)}{(1-e^{i\psi})(1-\beta)}. \quad (2.5)$$

For $\psi \in \mathbb{R}$ the quantity on the right site of (2.5) takes its values on the line $\Re w = -\frac{1-\mu}{1-\beta}$ so (2.5) is equivalent to (2.1). \square

Starlikeness of functions in $\mathcal{P}(\alpha, \beta)$ has been investigated. For example we have the reformulated version from [3].

Theorem 2.2. (see [3]) *If $f \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, \beta)$ and $\alpha \leq 3$ and $\beta(\alpha)$ be given by*

$$\frac{\beta(\alpha)}{1-\beta(\alpha)} = \alpha \int_0^1 \frac{t^{\alpha-1}(t-1)}{t+1} dt,$$

then $f \in \mathcal{S}^(0)$ and the value of $\beta(\alpha)$ is sharp.*

Note that Fournier and Ruschewyh introduced in [3] the integral transform

$$V_{\lambda} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$$

such that

$$V_{\lambda}(f)(z) = \int_0^1 \lambda(t) \frac{f(tz)}{t} dt,$$

where $\lambda(t)$ is real valued integrable function satisfying the normalizing condition

$$\int_0^1 \lambda(t) dt = 1.$$

This operator was introduced mainly to find conditions on $\lambda(t)$ and β so that $V_\lambda(f)$ maps $\mathcal{P}(\alpha, \beta)$ into $S^*(0)$, when $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$. Recently Balasubramanian, Ponnusamy and Prabhakaran in [2] and Ponnusamy and Rønning in [7] extended these considerations to find conditions on $\lambda(t)$ and β such that $V_\lambda(f)$ is starlike of order μ , ($0 \leq \mu \leq 1/2$) when $f \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, \beta)$. For convexity of this integral transform see [1].

While Theorem 2.1 precisely answers when $\mathcal{P}(\alpha, \beta) \subseteq \mathcal{S}^*(\mu)$ it is difficult to answer when the condition (2.1) is satisfied in general. It seems that $\Re H(\varepsilon; z)$ attains its minimum at $z = -1$ and $\varepsilon = 1$ but it is hard to show.

Conjecture 2.3. *Let f be given by (2.2). Then*

$$\min \{ \Re H(\varepsilon; z) : |\varepsilon| = 1, |z| < 1 \} = H(1; -1).$$

In [11] we apply the general theory of differential subordinations to obtain several weaker but simple sufficient conditions for μ -starlikeness while Owa and Sălăgean in [6] considered a sufficient condition and a necessary condition for starlikeness of complex order of functions with negative coefficients. One can express the function $H(\varepsilon; z)$ in terms of the Gaussian hypergeometric function

$${}_2F_1(a, b, c; z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_k (b)_k}{(c)_k k!} z^k,$$

where $(x)_k$ denotes the Pochhammer symbol defined by

$$(x)_k = x(x+1)(x+2) \cdots (x+k-1) \text{ for } k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } (x)_0 = 1.$$

Then for $\alpha \neq 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} H(\varepsilon; z) &= \alpha \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k(1+\varepsilon) + 2(1-\mu)}{(k+1)(k+\alpha)} z^k \\ &= \frac{\alpha(\varepsilon + 2\mu - 1)}{1 - \alpha} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{k+1} + \frac{2(1-\mu) - \alpha(\varepsilon + 1)}{1 - \alpha} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha z^k}{k + \alpha} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{\alpha(\varepsilon + 2\mu - 1) [{}_2F_1(1, 1, 2; z) - 1] + [2(1 - \mu) - \alpha(\varepsilon + 1)] [{}_2F_1(1, \alpha, \alpha + 1; z) - 1]}{1 - \alpha} \\
 &= 2(\mu - 1) + \frac{\alpha(\varepsilon + 2\mu - 1)}{1 - \alpha} {}_2F_1(1, 1, 2; z) + \frac{2(1 - \mu) - \alpha(\varepsilon + 1)}{1 - \alpha} {}_2F_1(1, \alpha, \alpha + 1; z) \\
 &= 2(\mu - 1) + \frac{\alpha(\varepsilon + 2\mu - 1)}{1 - \alpha} \frac{1}{z} \ln \frac{1}{1 - z} + \frac{2(1 - \mu) - \alpha(\varepsilon + 1)}{1 - \alpha} {}_2F_1(1, \alpha, \alpha + 1; z).
 \end{aligned}$$

We can rewrite the inequality (2.1) in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1 - \mu}{\alpha(1 - \beta)} + \Re \left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{kz^k}{(k+1)(k+\alpha)} \right] + 2(1 - \mu) \Re \left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{(k+1)(k+\alpha)} \right] & \quad (2.6) \\
 > \Re \left[-\varepsilon \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{kz^k}{(k+1)(k+\alpha)} \right] \quad \forall |\varepsilon| = 1, \quad \forall z \in U,
 \end{aligned}$$

thus we can see that (2.6) is satisfied when

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1 - \mu}{\alpha(1 - \beta)} + \Re \left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{kz^k}{(k+1)(k+\alpha)} \right] + 2(1 - \mu) \Re \left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{(k+1)(k+\alpha)} \right] & \quad (2.7) \\
 > \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{kz^k}{(k+1)(k+\alpha)} \right| \quad \forall z \in U.
 \end{aligned}$$

Conjecture 2.4. *Let the function G be given by*

$$G(z) = 2(1 + \alpha) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k+1)(k+\alpha)} z^k$$

Then the function $zG'(z)$ is a convex function when $-1 < \alpha$.

Note that it is known that G is a convex while zG' is a starlike function. With this notation (2.7) becomes

$$\frac{2(1 + \alpha)(1 - \mu)}{\alpha(1 - \beta)} + \Re zG'(z) + 2(1 - \mu) \Re G(z) > |zG'(z)| \quad \forall z \in U. \quad (2.8)$$

If Conjecture 2.4 is true, then we have $G'(-1) < \Re G'(z) < G'(1)$ so for (2.8) it suffices that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1 - \mu}{\alpha(1 - \beta)} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k(-1)^k}{(k+1)(k+\alpha)} + 2(1 - \mu) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{(k+1)(k+\alpha)} & \quad (2.9) \\
 > \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k}{(k+1)(k+\alpha)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

While (2.9) is not a necessary for (2.8) it still remains hard to verify.

References

- [1] Balasubramanian, R., Ponnusamy, M., Prabhakaran, D. J., *Convexity of integral transforms and function spaces*, Integral Transforms and Special Functions, **18(1)** (2007), 1-14.
- [2] Balasubramanian, R., Ponnusamy, M., Prabhakaran, D. J., *On extremal problems related to integral transforms of a class of analytic functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **336** (2007), 542-555.
- [3] Fournier, R., Ruscheweyh, S., *On two extremal problems related to univalent functions*, Rocky Mountain I. Math., **24(2)** (1994), 529-538.
- [4] Goodman, A. W., *Univalent Functions*, vol. I and II, Mariner Publishing Co., Tampa, Florida (1983).
- [5] Miller, S. S., Mocanu, P. T., *Differential subordinations: theory and applications*, Series of Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 225, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York / Basel 2000.
- [6] Owa, S., Sălăgean, G. S., *On an open problem of S. Owa*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **218(2)** (1998), 453-457.
- [7] Ponnusamy, S., Rønning, F., *Integral transforms of a class of analytic functions*, Complex Var. Elliptic. Eq., **53(5)** (2008), 423-434.
- [8] Ruscheweyh, S., *Duality for Hadamard products with applications to extremal problems for functions regular in the unit disc*, Trans. Amer. Math Soc., **210** (1975), 63-74.
- [9] Ruscheweyh, S., *Convolutions in Geometric Function Theory*, Sem. Math. Sup. 83, Press Univ. Montreal 1982.
- [10] Silverman, H., Silvia, E. M., Telage, D., *Convolutions conditions for convexity starlikeness and spiral-likeness*, Math. Z., **162(2)** (1978), 125-130.
- [11] Sokół, J., *On a condition for alpha-starlikeness*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **352** (2009), 696-701.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 RZESZÓW UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY
 UL. W. POLA 2, 35-959 RZESZÓW, POLAND
E-mail address: jsokol@prz.edu.pl