

NEW ESTIMATE FOR THE NUMERICAL RADIUS OF A GIVEN MATRIX AND BOUNDS FOR THE ZEROS OF POLYNOMIALS

MOHAMMAD AL-HAWARI

Abstract. In this paper we find new estimate for the numerical radius of a given matrix, and we prove that, this estimate is better than any estimate for the numerical radius. We present also new bounds for the zero of polynomials by using new estimate for the numerical radius of a companion matrix of a given polynomial and matrix inequalities.

1. Introduction

Numerical radii estimate of companion matrices have been invoked by Linden (1999) [7] and Kittaneh [6]. Also, Kittaneh (2003) found that

$$w(A) \leq \frac{1}{2}(\|A\| + \|A^2\|^{\frac{1}{2}}).$$

Also, we know that

$$\frac{1}{2}\|A\| \leq w(A) \leq \|A\|.$$

In this paper, we find that

$$w(A) \leq \|A^2\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\|A\| + \|A^2\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \leq \|A\|,$$

whenever, A^2 does not converge to the zero matrix.

Also, if $A^2 = [0]_{n \times n}$, then $w(A) = \frac{1}{2}\|A\|$, and from the new estimate and matrix inequalities we find new bounds for the zeros of polynomials.

In this work, let $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ denote the algebra of all $n \times n$ complex matrices.

Definition 1.1 *If $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$, then*

Received by the editors: 23.03.2009.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A12, 47A30, 15A48.

Key words and phrases. Companion matrix, numerical radius, spectral norm, unitary matrix and zeros of polynomials.

(i) The spectral norm (or the operator norm) is defined by

$$\|A\| = \max \{ \|Ax\| : \|x\| = 1 \} = \max \left\{ \frac{\|Ax\|}{\|x\|} : \|x\| \neq 0 \right\}.$$

(ii) The numerical radius of A is defined by

$$w(A) = \max \{ |(Ax, x)| : x \in \mathbb{C}^n, \|x\| = 1 \}.$$

(iii) The spectral radius of A is defined by

$$r(A) = \max \{ |\lambda| : \lambda \text{ is an eigenvalue of } A \}.$$

Now, we list some known results as a background and reminder for the reader.

Theorem 1.1

(i) If $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$, then $\frac{1}{2}\|A\| \leq w(A) \leq \|A\|$ (see e.g.[2]).

(ii) If $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$, then $w(A) \leq \frac{1}{2}(\|A\| + \|A^2\|^{\frac{1}{2}})$ (see e.g.[6]).

(iii) If $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$, then there exists a unitary matrix $U \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that $A = U|A|$, where $|A| = (A^*A)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

(iv) Let $A = [a_{ij}] \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ be written in partitioned form as

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{A} & x \\ x^* & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $x \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ and $\tilde{A} \in M_{n-1}(\mathbb{C})$, then

$$\det(A) = a_{nn}\det(\tilde{A}) - x^*(\text{adj}\tilde{A})x,$$

where $\text{adj}\tilde{A}$ is the classical adjoint of \tilde{A} . (see e.g[4]).

(v) Let $A = [a_{ij}] \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ be partitioned as

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix},$$

where A_{ij} is an $n_i \times n_j$ matrix for $i, j = 1, 2$, with $n_1 + n_2 = n$.

If

$$\tilde{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \|A_{11}\| & \|A_{12}\| \\ \|A_{21}\| & \|A_{22}\| \end{bmatrix},$$

then

$$\|A\| \leq \|\tilde{A}\|, r(A) \leq r(\tilde{A}), \text{ and } w(A) \leq w(\tilde{A}).$$

2. Main results

In the following theorem, we find a new estimate for the numerical radius of a given matrix.

Theorem 2.1 *Let $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$, then*

(i) $w(A) \leq \|A^2\|^{\frac{1}{2}}$, if A^2 does not converge to the zero matrix.

(ii) $w(A) = \frac{1}{2}\|A\|$, if A^2 is the zero matrix

Proof. (i) Let $A = u|A|$, for some unitary matrix $u \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$.

Now,

$$w(A) = \max \{ |(Ax, x)| : x \in \mathbb{C}^n, \|x\| = 1 \}.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} (Ax, x) &= (u|A|x, x) = (|A|x, u^*x) \\ &\leq (|A|x, x)^{\frac{1}{2}} (|A|u^*x, u^*x)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq (|A|x, x)^{\frac{1}{2}} (u|A|u^*x, x)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq (|A|x, x)^{\frac{1}{2}} (|A^*|x, x)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq (x^*|A|xx^*|A^*|x)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq (x^*|A||A^*|x)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq (|A||A^*|x, x)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \| |A||A^*| \|^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

since

$$S_1^2(|A||A^*|) = S_1^2(A^2),$$

where $S_1^2(A^2)$ denotes the largest singular value of A^2 and

$$S_1(A^2) = \|A^2\|.$$

So we get

$$\| |A| |A^*| \|^2 = \|A^2\|^2 \text{ and } \| |A| |A^*| \| = \|A^2\|,$$

hence

$$w(A) \leq \|A^2\|^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad \square$$

(ii) We know that

$$\frac{1}{2}\|A\| \leq w(A), \quad (1)$$

since $A^2 = [0]_{n \times n}$, so

$$w(A) \leq \frac{1}{2}(\|A\| + \|A^2\|^{\frac{1}{2}}) \leq \frac{1}{2}\|A\|. \quad (2)$$

From (1) and (2) we get the result. \square

In Theorem 2.1, the estimate of the numerical radius is a uniform estimate, because

$$w(A) \leq \|A^2\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \|A\|,$$

also, since

$$\|A^2\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \|A\|,$$

we have

$$w(A) \leq \|A^2\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{1}{2}(\|A\| + \|A^2\|^{\frac{1}{2}}).$$

Corollary 2.1 *Since $r(A) \leq w(A)$, we get that,*

$$r(A) \leq \|A^2\|^{\frac{1}{2}}, \text{ if } A^2 \text{ does not converge to the zero matrix.}$$

Also,

$$r(A) \leq \frac{1}{2}\|A\|, \text{ if } A^2 \text{ is the zero matrix.}$$

Corollary 2.2 *If $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is a normal matrix, then*

$$r(A) = w(A) = \|A^2\|^{\frac{1}{2}} = \|A\|.$$

3. New bounds for the zeros of polynomials

In this section, we find new bounds for the zeros of the monic polynomials

$$p(z) = z^n + a_n z^{n-1} + a_{n-1} z^{n-2} + \dots + a_2 z + a_1 \quad (3)$$

with complex coefficients $a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n$ where, $a_1 \neq 0$ and $n \geq 3$ by using numerical radius, and matrix inequalities of the companion matrix

$$C(p) = \begin{bmatrix} -a_n & -a_{n-1} & \cdots & -a_2 & -a_1 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

In [6] Kittaneh found $\|C^2(p)\|$, where

$$C^2(p) = \begin{bmatrix} a_n^2 - a_{n-1} & a_n a_{n-1} - a_{n-2} & a_n a_{n-2} - a_{n-3} & \cdots & a_n a_1 \\ -a_n & -a_{n-1} & -a_{n-2} & \cdots & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (5)$$

And hence by using Theorem 2.1, we find new bounds for zeros of polynomials as in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1 *If z is a zero of $p(z)$ as in (3), then*

$$|z| \leq \sqrt[4]{\frac{(\delta + 1) [(\delta + 1)^2 + 4\delta]^{1/2}}{2}},$$

where

$$\delta = \frac{1}{2} \left[(\alpha + \beta) + ((\alpha + \beta)^2 + 4|\gamma|^2)^{1/2} \right],$$

and

$$\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^n |a_j|^2, \beta = \sum_{j=1}^n |L_j|^2,$$

$$\gamma = - \sum_{j=1}^n L_j \bar{a}_j, \quad L_j = a_n a_j - a_{j-1}$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ with $a_0 = 0$, and

$$\delta' = \frac{1}{2} \left[(\alpha' + \beta') + \sqrt{(\alpha' + \beta')^2 + 4|\gamma'|^2} \right],$$

where

$$\alpha' = \sum_{j=3}^n |a_j|^2, \beta' = \sum_{j=3}^n |L_j|^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma' = - \sum_{j=3}^n L_j a_j.$$

Proof. Let $C(p)$ be the companion matrix of $p(z)$. Since $C^2(p) \neq [0]_{n \times n}$, we have

$$wC(p) \leq \|C^2(p)\|^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Kittaneh found $\|C^2(p)\|$ in [6], and hence we get the result. □

Now since

$$\|C^2(p)\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\|C(p)\| + \|C^2(p)\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \right).$$

Therefore, the bound in Theorem 3.1, is better than Kittaneh bound in [6].

Kittaneh found new bound for the zeros of a polynomial $p(z)$ by using matrix inequality as in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2 (see[5]) *If z is a zero of $p(z)$ as in (3), then*

$$|z| \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[(1 + |a_n|) + \sqrt{(1 + |a_n|)^2 + 4 \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} |a_j|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right].$$

In the following theorem, we find new bounds for the zeros of $p(z)$ by using matrix inequalities.

Theorem 3.3. *If z is any zero of $p(z)$ as in (3), then*

$$|z| \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\beta + \sqrt{\beta^2 + 4|a_1|} \right],$$

where

$$\beta = \left[\frac{\left(1 + \sum_{j=2}^n |a_j|^2 \right) + \sqrt{\left(\sum_{j=2}^n |a_j|^2 - 1 \right)^2 + 4|a_2|^2}}{2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Proof. Let $C(p)$ be the companion matrix of $p(z)$ as in (4). Then

$$C(p) = \begin{bmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} \\ C_{21} & C_{22} \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$C_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} -a_n & -a_{n-1} & -a_{n-2} & \cdots & -a_2 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$C_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} -a_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}^t,$$

$$C_{21} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$C_{22} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Known,

$$rC(p) \leq r \left(\begin{bmatrix} \beta & |a_1| \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right).$$

By using (iv) in Theorem 1.2, we get

$$\beta = \|C_{11}\| = \left[\frac{\left(1 + \sum_{j=2}^n |a_j|^2\right) + \sqrt{\left(\sum_{j=2}^n |a_j|^2 - 1\right)^2 + 4|a_2|^2}}{2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad \square$$

That is, the desired result.

Acknowledgment. The author would like to thank the referee's comments.

References

- [1] Davidson, K.R.R., Hobrook, J.A.R., *Numerical Radii of zero-one matrices*, Michigan Math. J., **35**(1988), 261-267.
- [2] Gustafson, K.E., Rao, K.M., *Numerical Range*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.
- [3] Horn, R.A., Johnson, C.R., *Topics in Matrix Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991.
- [4] Kittaneh, F., *Singular values of companion matrices and bounds on zeros of polynomials*, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., **16**(1995), 333-340.
- [5] Kittaneh, F., *Bounds for the zeros of polynomials from matrix inequalities*, Arch. Math., 2003.
- [6] Kittaneh, F., *A numerical radius inequality and an estimate for the numerical radius of the Frobenius companion matrix*, Studia Math., **158**(2003), 11-17.
- [7] Linden, H., *Numerical radii of some companion matrices and bounds for the zeros of polynomials*, Math. Appl., **478**(1999), 205-229.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS JADARA UNIVERSITY
P.O. BOX 733
IRBID - JORDAN
E-mail address: analysi2003@yahoo.com