

## ABOUT THE UNIVALENCE OF THE BESSEL FUNCTIONS

RÓBERT SZÁSZ AND PÁL AUREL KUPÁN

**Abstract.** The authors of [1] and [3] deduced univalence criteria concerning Bessel functions. In [3] the author used the theory developed in [2] to obtain the desired result. In this paper we will extend a few results obtained in [3] employing elementary methods.

### 1. Introduction

Let

$$U(z_0, r) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - z_0| < r\}$$

be the disc with center  $z_0$  and of the radius  $r$ , the particular case  $U(0, 1)$  will be denoted by  $U$ . The Bessel function of the first kind is defined by

$$J_\nu(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n! \Gamma(n + \nu + 1)} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2n+\nu}.$$

The series, which defines  $J_\nu$  is everywhere convergent and the function defined by the series is generally not univalent in any disc  $U(0, r)$ . We will study the univalence of the following normalized form:

$$f_\nu(z) = 2^\nu \Gamma(1 + \nu) z^{-\frac{\nu}{2}} J_\nu(z^{\frac{1}{2}}), \quad g_\nu(z) = z f_\nu(z). \quad (1)$$

### 2. Preliminaries

In order to prove our main result we need the following lemmas.

---

Received by the editors: 07.02.2008.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30C45.

*Key words and phrases.* univalent, starlike, Bessel function.

This work was supported by the Institut for Research Programs (KPI) of the Sapientia Foundation.

**Lemma 1** ([3], equality (6)). *The function  $f_\nu$  satisfies the equality:*

$$f'_\nu(z) = -\frac{1}{2}f_{\nu+1}(z).$$

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $R$  be the function defined by the equality*

$$R(\theta) = \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n (\nu+1)^n \cos n\theta}{n!(\nu+1)\dots(\nu+n)}, \quad \theta \in \mathbb{R}, \nu \in (-1, \infty).$$

*The following inequality holds*

$$|R(\theta)| \leq \frac{(\nu+1)^2}{4(\nu+2)(\nu+3)}, \quad \theta \in \mathbb{R}.$$

**Proof.** Since

$$R(\theta) = \frac{\nu+1}{\nu+2} \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n (\nu+1)^{n-2} \cos n\theta}{n!(\nu+3)\dots(\nu+n)}$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |R(\theta)| &\leq \frac{\nu+1}{\nu+2} \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \left| \frac{(-1)^n (\nu+1)^{n-2} \cos n\theta}{n!(\nu+3)\dots(\nu+n)} \right| \leq \\ &\frac{\nu+1}{\nu+2} \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{(\nu+1)^{n-2}}{n!(\nu+3)\dots(\nu+n)} \leq \frac{(\nu+1)^2}{(\nu+2)(\nu+3)} \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \leq \frac{(\nu+1)^2}{4(\nu+2)(\nu+3)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 3.** *If  $z \in U$  then*

$$\left| g'_\nu(z) - \frac{g_\nu(z)}{z} \right| \leq \frac{2+\nu}{(1+\nu)(4\nu+7)}, \quad (2)$$

$$|f_\nu(z)| = \left| \frac{g_\nu(z)}{z} \right| \geq \frac{4\nu^2 + 10\nu + 5}{(1+\nu)(4\nu+7)}, \quad (3)$$

$$|f'_\nu(z)| \leq \frac{\nu+2}{(\nu+1)(4\nu+7)}. \quad (4)$$

**Proof.** If  $z \in U$  then the triangle inequality implies that:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| g'_\nu(z) - \frac{g_\nu(z)}{z} \right| &= \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n n}{4^n n!(\nu+1)\dots(\nu+n)} z^n \right| \leq \\ &\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{4^n n!(\nu+1)\dots(\nu+n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{4^n n! (\nu+1) \dots (\nu+n)} \leq \frac{1}{4(\nu+1)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{4(\nu+2)} \right)^n = \frac{2+\nu}{(1+\nu)(4\nu+7)}$$

we obtain (2).

By using again the triangle inequality, we deduce that

$$\left| \frac{g_\nu(z)}{z} \right| \geq 1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{(-1)^n}{4^n n! (\nu+1) \dots (\nu+n)} z^n \right| \geq 1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^n n! (\nu+1) \dots (\nu+n)}$$

and so the inequality

$$1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^n n! (\nu+1) \dots (\nu+n)} \geq 1 - \frac{1}{4(\nu+1)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{[4(\nu+2)]^{n-1}} = \frac{4\nu^2 + 10\nu + 5}{(1+\nu)(4\nu+7)}$$

leads to (3). Using similar ideas we obtain the following inequality chain

$$\begin{aligned} |f'_\nu(z)| &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{(-1)^n z^n}{4^n (n-1)! (1+\nu) \dots (n+\nu)} \right| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^n (n-1)! (1+\nu) \dots (n+\nu)} \leq \\ &\frac{1}{4(1+\nu)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{4(2+\nu)} \right)^n = \frac{\nu+2}{(\nu+1)(4\nu+7)}. \end{aligned}$$

This means that (4) also holds. □

### 3. The main result

**Theorem 4.** *If  $\nu > -1$  then*

$$\operatorname{Re} f_\nu(z) > 0, \text{ for all } z \in U(0, 4(1+\nu)).$$

**Proof.** The minimum principle for harmonic functions implies that

$$\operatorname{Re} f_\nu(z) \geq \inf_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \operatorname{Re} f_\nu(r_\nu e^{i\theta}), \text{ for all } z \in U(0, 4(1+\nu))$$

where  $r_\nu = 4(1+\nu)$ . According to the definition of  $f_\nu$ , we have

$$f_\nu(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n z^n}{4^n n! (\nu+1) \dots (\nu+n)}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} f_\nu(r_\nu e^{i\theta}) &= 1 + \operatorname{Re} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n (\nu+1)^n e^{in\theta}}{n!(\nu+1)\dots(\nu+n)} \\ &= 1 - \cos \theta + \frac{\nu+1}{2(\nu+2)} \cos 2\theta + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n (\nu+1)^n \cos n\theta}{n!(\nu+1)\dots(\nu+n)}. \end{aligned}$$

If we let

$$P(\theta) = 1 - \cos \theta + \frac{\nu+1}{2(\nu+2)} \cos 2\theta \quad \text{and} \quad R(\theta) = \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n (\nu+1)^n \cos n\theta}{n!(\nu+1)\dots(\nu+n)}$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re} f_\nu(r_\nu e^{i\theta}) = P(\theta) + R(\theta). \quad (5)$$

A study of the behaviour of the function

$$P : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad P(\theta) = 1 - \cos \theta + \frac{\nu+1}{2(\nu+2)} \cos 2\theta$$

leads to the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} P(\theta) &\geq \frac{\nu+1}{2(\nu+2)}, \quad \theta \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \nu \in (-1, 0) \quad \text{and} \\ P(\theta) &\geq \frac{\nu^2 + 4\nu + 2}{4(\nu+1)(\nu+3)}, \quad \theta \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \nu \in (0, \infty). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

From (5), Lemma 1 and (6) it follows that

$$\operatorname{Re} f_\nu(r_\nu e^{i\theta}) \geq \min_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} P(\theta) - \max_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} R(\theta) \geq 0.$$

□

Now Lemma 1 and Theorem 1 imply the following result:

**Theorem 5.** *If  $\nu > -2$  then  $\operatorname{Re} f'_\nu(z) < 0$  for  $z \in U(0, 4(\nu+2))$  and hence  $f_\nu$  is univalent in  $U(0, 4(\nu+2))$ .*

**Remark 6.** *Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 improves Lemma 1 and Theorem 1 from [3].*

**Theorem 7.** *If  $\nu > \frac{-17+\sqrt{33}}{8}$  then the function  $f_\nu$  is convex in  $U$ .*

**Proof.** We introduce the notation  $p_1(z) = 1 + \frac{zf''_\nu(z)}{f'_\nu(z)}$ . The function  $f_\nu$  is convex if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re} p_1(z) > 0, \quad z \in U. \quad (7)$$

It is simple to prove that if

$$|p_1(z) - 1| < 1, \quad z \in U \quad (8)$$

then results (7).

Lemma 1 leads to the equality

$$|p_1(z) - 1| = \left| \frac{zf'_{\nu+1}(z)}{f_{\nu+1}(z)} \right|.$$

In (3) and (4) replacing  $\nu$  by  $\nu + 1$ , we deduce that if  $z \in U$  then

$$\left| \frac{zf'_{\nu+1}(z)}{f_{\nu+1}(z)} \right| \leq \frac{\nu + 3}{4\nu^2 + 18\nu + 19}.$$

Now to prove (7) it is enough to show that  $\frac{\nu+3}{4\nu^2+18\nu+19} < 1$ , but this is immediately using the condition  $\nu > \frac{-17+\sqrt{33}}{8}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 8.** *If  $\nu > \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} - 1$  then the function  $g_\nu$  defined by (1) is starlike of order  $\frac{1}{2}$  in  $U$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $p$  be the function defined by the equality  $p_2(z) = \frac{2zg'_\nu(z)}{g_\nu(z)} - 1$ . Since  $\frac{g_\nu(z)}{z} \neq 0$ ,  $z \in U$  the function  $p_2$  is analytic in  $U$  and  $p_2(0) = 1$ . The assertion of Theorem 2 is equivalent to

$$\operatorname{Re} p_2(z) > 0, \quad z \in U. \quad (9)$$

It is simple to prove that if

$$|p_2(z) - 1| < 1, \quad z \in U \quad (10)$$

then results (9).

On the other hand inequalities (2) and (3) lead to

$$|p_2(z) - 1| = 2 \left| \frac{g'_\nu(z) - \frac{g_\nu(z)}{z}}{\frac{g_\nu(z)}{z}} \right| < \frac{2(2+\nu)}{4\nu^2 + 10\nu + 5}, \quad z \in U.$$

This means that if  $\frac{2(2+\nu)}{4\nu^2+10\nu+5} < 1$  then (8) holds, but this inequality is a consequence of the condition  $\nu > \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} - 1$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 9.** *If  $\nu > \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} - 1$  then the function  $h_\nu$  defined by the equality  $h_\nu(z) = z^{1-\nu} J_\nu(z)$  is starlike in  $U$ .*

The proof of this result is based on Theorem 3 and is similar to the proof of Corollary 2 in [3], hence we do not reproduce it here again.

**Remark 10.** *Theorem 3, Theorem 4 and Corollary 1 improves the results of Theorem 2, Theorem 3 and Corollary 2 in [3].*

### References

- [1] Brown, R.K., *Univalence of Bessel Functions*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, Vol.11, No. 2(1960), 278-283.
- [2] Miller, S.S. and Mocanu, P.T., *Differential Subordinations Theory and Applications*, Marcel Dekker 2000.
- [3] Selinger, V., *Geometric Properties of Normalized Bessel Functions*, PU.M.A., Vol.6, No. 2(1995), 273-277.
- [4] Watson, G.N., *A Treatise of the Theory of Bessel Functions*, Cambridge University Press, 1995.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SAPIENTIA UNIVERSITY  
CORUNCA, STR. SIGHISOAREI. 1C. RO  
*E-mail address:* `szasz_robert2001@yahoo.com`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SAPIENTIA UNIVERSITY  
CORUNCA, STR. SIGHISOAREI, 1C. RO