

**LACUNARY STRONGLY ALMOST SUMMABLE SEQUENCES**

MIKAIL ET AND AYSEGÜL GÖKHAN

**Abstract.** The purpose of this paper is to introduce the concepts of  $q$ -lacunary strongly almost convergence with respect to a modulus function and  $q$ -lacunary almost statistical convergence. We establish some connections between  $q$ -lacunary strongly almost convergence and  $q$ -lacunary almost statistical convergence. It is also shown that if a sequence is  $q$ -lacunary strongly almost convergent with respect to a modulus function then it is  $q$ -lacunary almost statistically convergent.

**1. Introduction**

Let  $w$  denote the set of all real sequences  $x = (x_n)$ . By  $\ell_\infty$  and  $c$ , we denote respectively the Banach space of bounded and the Banach space of convergent sequences  $x = (x_n)$ , both normed by  $\|x\| = \sup_n |x_n|$ . A linear functional  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $\ell_\infty$  is said to be a Banach limit [1] if it has the properties

- i)  $\mathcal{L}(x) \geq 0$  if  $x \geq 0$  (i.e.  $x_n \geq 0$  for all  $n$ ),
- ii)  $\mathcal{L}(e) = 1$ , where  $e = (1, 1, \dots)$ ,
- iii)  $\mathcal{L}(Dx) = \mathcal{L}(x)$ ,

where the shift operator  $D$  is defined by  $(Dx_n) = (x_{n+1})$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{B}$  be the set of all Banach limits on  $\ell_\infty$ . A sequence  $x$  is said to be almost convergent to a number  $L$  if  $\mathcal{L}(x) = L$  for all  $\mathcal{L} \in \mathfrak{B}$ . Lorentz [11] has shown that  $x$  is almost convergent to  $L$  if and only if

$$t_{km} = t_{km}(x) = \frac{x_m + x_{m+1} + \dots + x_{m+k}}{k+1} \rightarrow L \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty, \text{ uniformly in } m.$$

---

Received by the editors: 15.01.2007.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 40A05, 40C05, 46A45.

*Key words and phrases.* statistical convergence, lacunary sequence, modulus function, almost convergence.

Let  $\hat{c}$  denote the set of all almost convergent sequences. Maddox [12] and (independently) Freedman et al. [8] have defined  $x$  to be strongly almost convergent to a number  $L$  if

$$\frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{i=0}^k |x_{i+m} - L| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty, \text{ uniformly in } m.$$

Let  $[\hat{c}]$  denote the set of all strongly almost convergent sequences. It is easy to see that  $[\hat{c}] \subset \hat{c} \subset \ell_\infty$ . Das and Sahoo [5] defined the sequence space

$$[w(p)] = \left\{ x \in w : \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n |t_{km}(x-L)|^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ uniformly in } m \right\}$$

and investigated some of its properties.

The notion of statistical convergence was introduced by Fast [7] and Schoenberg [24] independently. Later on it was further investigated from sequence space point of view and linked with summability theory by Başarır [2], Fridy [9], Maddox [15], Nuray and Savaş [18], Tripathy ([20],[21]) and Salat [23]. Recently, statistical convergence has been studied by various authors (*cf.* [3], [16], [17]).

The statistical convergence is depended on the density of subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$ , the set of natural numbers. A subset  $E$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  is said to have density  $\delta(E)$  if

$$\delta(E) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \chi_E(k) \text{ exists,}$$

where  $\chi_E$  is the characteristic function of  $E$ .

A sequence  $x \in w$  is said to be statistically convergent to  $L$  if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\delta(\{k \in \mathbb{N} : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}) = 0$ . In this case we write  $\text{stat-lim } x_k = L$ .

Let  $\theta = (k_r)$  be the sequence of positive integers such that  $k_0 = 0$ ,  $0 < k_r < k_{r+1}$  and  $h_r = k_r - k_{r-1} \rightarrow \infty$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ . Then  $\theta$  is called a lacunary sequence. The intervals determined by  $\theta$  will be denoted by  $I_r = (k_{r-1}, k_r]$  and the ratio  $k_r/k_{r-1}$  will be denoted by  $\eta_r$ .

Lacunary sequences have been studied in [4], [8], [10], [19].

We recall that a modulus  $f$  is a function from  $[0, \infty)$  to  $[0, \infty)$  such that

- i)  $f(x) = 0$  if and only if  $x = 0$ ,
- ii)  $f(x+y) \leq f(x) + f(y)$  for  $x, y \geq 0$ ,

- iii)  $f$  is increasing,
- iv)  $f$  is continuous from the right at 0.

It follows that  $f$  must be continuous everywhere on  $[0, \infty)$ . A modulus may be unbounded or bounded. Ruckle [22] and Maddox [13] used a modulus  $f$  to construct some sequence spaces.

A sequence space  $E$  is said to be solid ( or normal ) if  $(\alpha_k x_k) \in E$  whenever  $(x_k) \in E$  for all sequences  $(\alpha_k)$  of scalars with  $|\alpha_k| \leq 1$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

## 2. Definitions and Preliminaries

Let  $f$  be a modulus function,  $p = (p_k)$  be a sequence of positive real numbers and  $X$  be a seminormed space over the field  $\mathbb{C}$  of complex numbers with the seminorm  $q$ .  $w(X)$  denotes the space of all sequences  $x = (x_k)$ , where  $x_k \in X$ . We define the following sequence spaces:

$$(w, \theta, f, p, q) = \{x \in w(X) : \lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} [f(q(t_{km}(x-L)))]^{p_k} = 0,$$

uniformly in  $m$ , for some  $L\}$ ,

$$(w, \theta, f, p, q)_0 = \{x \in w(X) : \lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} [f(q(t_{km}(x)))]^{p_k} = 0, \text{ uniformly in } m\},$$

$$(w, \theta, f, p, q)_\infty = \{x \in w(X) : \sup_{r,m} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} [f(q(t_{km}(x)))]^{p_k} < \infty\}.$$

Throughout the paper  $Z$  denotes 0, 1 or  $\infty$ . We get the following sequence spaces from the above sequence spaces on giving particular values to  $\theta, f$  and  $p$ .

i) If  $p_k = 1$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then we shall write  $(w, \theta, f, q)_Z$  instead of  $(w, \theta, f, p, q)_Z$ .

If  $x \in (w, \theta, f, q)$  we say that  $x$  is  $q$ -lacunary almost strongly convergent with respect to the modulus function  $f$ .

ii) Taking  $p_k = 1$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $f(x) = x$ , we denote the above sequence spaces by  $(w, \theta, q)_Z$ .

iii) In the case  $\theta = (2^r)$ , then we shall denote the above sequence spaces by  $(w, f, p, q)_Z$ .

**Theorem 2.1** Let  $f$  be a modulus function, then  $(w, \theta, f, p, q)_0 \subset (w, \theta, f, p, q) \subset (w, \theta, f, p, q)_\infty$ .

*Proof.* The first inclusion is obvious. We establish the second inclusion. Let  $x \in (w, \theta, f, p, q)$ . By definition of  $f$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} [f(q(t_{km}(x)))]^{p_k} &= \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} [f(q(t_{km}(x-L+L)))]^{p_k} \\ &\leq C \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} [f(q(t_{km}(x-L)))]^{p_k} + C \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} [f(q(L))]^{p_k}. \end{aligned}$$

There exists a positive integer  $K_L$  such that  $q(L) \leq K_L$ . Hence we have

$$\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} [f(q(t_{km}(x)))]^{p_k} \leq C \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} [f(q(t_{km}(x-L)))]^{p_k} + \frac{C}{h_r} [K_L f(1)]^H h_r,$$

where  $\sup_k p_k = H$  and  $C = \max(1, 2^{H-1})$ . Since  $x \in (w, \theta, f, p, q)$ , we have  $x \in (w, \theta, f, p, q)_\infty$  and this completes the proof.

The following theorem can be proved using the same technique of Theorem 2.1 of Et [6], therefore we give without proof.

**Theorem 2.2** Let the sequence  $(p_k)$  be bounded, then  $(w, \theta, f, p, q)_Z$  are linear spaces over the set of complex numbers.

The proof of the following results are easy and thus omitted.

**Theorem 2.3** Let  $f, f_1, f_2$  be modulus function. For any two sequences  $p = (p_k)$  and  $t = (t_k)$  of strictly positive real numbers and any two seminorms  $q_1, q_2$  we have

- i)  $(w, \theta, f_1, q)_Z \subset (w, \theta, f \circ f_1, q)_Z$ ,
- ii)  $(w, \theta, f_1, p, q)_Z \cap (w, \theta, f_2, p, q)_Z \subset (w, \theta, f_1 + f_2, p, q)_Z$ ,
- iii)  $(w, \theta, f, p, q_1)_Z \cap (w, \theta, f, p, q_2)_Z \subset (w, \theta, f, p, q_1 + q_2)_Z$ ,
- iv) If  $q_1$  is stronger than  $q_2$  then  $(w, \theta, f, p, q_1)_Z \subset (w, \theta, f, p, q_2)_Z$ ,
- v) If  $q_1$  equivalent to  $q_2$  then  $(w, \theta, f, p, q_1)_Z = (w, \theta, f, p, q_2)_Z$ ,
- vi)  $(w, \theta, f, p, q)_Z \cap (w, \theta, f, t, q)_Z \neq \emptyset$ .

The following result is a consequence of Theorem 2.3 (i).

**Proposition 2.4** Let  $f$  be a modulus function. Then  $(w, \theta, q)_Z \subset (w, \theta, f, q)_Z$ .

**Theorem 2.5** Let  $f$  be a modulus function, if  $\lim \frac{f(t)}{t} = \beta > 0$ , then  $(w, \theta, q) = (w, \theta, f, q)$ .

*Proof.* By Proposition 2.4, we need only show that  $(w, \theta, f, q) \subset (w, \theta, q)$ . Let  $\beta > 0$  and

$x \in (w, \theta, f, q)$ . Since  $\beta > 0$ , we have  $f(t) \geq \beta t$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . Hence we have

$$\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} f(q(t_{km}(x-L))) \geq \frac{\beta}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} q(t_{km}(x-L)).$$

Therefore we have  $x \in (w, \theta, q)$ .

**Theorem 2.6** Let  $0 < p_k \leq t_k$  and  $\left(\frac{t_k}{p_k}\right)$  be bounded, then  $(w, \theta, f, t, q)_Z \subset (w, \theta, f, p, q)_Z$ .

*Proof.* If we take  $w_{km} = [f(q(t_{km}(x)))]^{t_k}$  for all  $k, m$  and using the same technique of Theorem 5 of Maddox [14], it is easy to prove this Theorem.

**Theorem 2.7** The sequence spaces  $(w, \theta, f, p, q)_0$  and  $(w, \theta, f, p, q)_\infty$  are not solid.

*Proof.* We give the proof only for  $(w, \theta, f, p, q)_0$ . For this let  $p_k = 1$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\theta = (2^r)$ ,  $f(x) = x$  and  $q(x) = |x|$ . Consider the sequence  $x_k = (-1)^k$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $(\alpha_k)$  be defined as  $\alpha_k = (-1)^k$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $(x_k) \in (w, \theta, f, p, q)_0$  but  $(\alpha_k x_k) \notin (w, \theta, f, p, q)_0$ . Hence  $(w, \theta, f, p, q)_0$  is not solid.

**Theorem 2.8** Let  $\theta = (k_r)$  be a lacunary sequence. If  $1 < \liminf_r \eta_r \leq \limsup_r \eta_r < \infty$  then for any modulus function  $f$ , we have  $(w, f, p, q)_0 = (w, \theta, f, p, q)_0$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $\liminf_r \eta_r > 1$  then there exist  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\eta_r = \left(\frac{k_r}{k_{r-1}}\right) \geq 1 + \delta$  for all  $r \geq 1$ . Then for  $x \in (w, f, p, q)_0$ , we write

$$\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} [f(q(t_{km}(x)))]^{p_k} = \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k=1}^{k_r} [f(q(t_{km}(x)))]^{p_k} - \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k=1}^{k_{r-1}} [f(q(t_{km}(x)))]^{p_k}$$

$$= \frac{k_r}{h_r} \left( k_r^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{k_r} [f(q(t_{km}(x)))]^{p_k} \right) - \frac{k_{r-1}}{h_r} \left( k_{r-1}^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{k_{r-1}} [f(q(t_{km}(x)))]^{p_k} \right).$$

Since  $h_r = k_r - k_{r-1}$ , we have

$$\frac{k_r}{h_r} \leq \frac{1 + \delta}{\delta}$$

and

$$\frac{k_{r-1}}{h_r} \leq \frac{1}{\delta}.$$

The terms  $k_r^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{k_r} [f(q(t_{km}(x)))]^{p_k}$  and  $k_{r-1}^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{k_{r-1}} [f(q(t_{km}(x)))]^{p_k}$  both converge to zero, uniformly in  $m$  and it follows that

$$\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} [f(q(t_{km}(x)))]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0,$$

as  $r \rightarrow \infty$  uniformly in  $m$ , that is,  $x \in (w, \theta, f, p, q)_0$ .

If  $\limsup_r \eta_r < \infty$ , there exists  $B > 0$  such that  $\eta_r < B$  for all  $r \geq 1$ . Let  $x \in (w, \theta, f, p, q)_0$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Then there exists  $R > 0$  such that for every  $j \geq R$  and all  $m$

$$A_j = \frac{1}{h_j} \sum_{k \in I_j} [f(q(t_{km}(x)))]^{p_k} < \varepsilon.$$

We can also find  $K > 0$  such that  $A_j < K$  for all  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ . Now let  $t$  be any integer with  $k_{r-1} < t \leq k_r$ , where  $r > R$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & t^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^t [f(q(t_{km}(x)))]^{p_k} \leq k_{r-1}^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{k_r} [f(q(t_{km}(x)))]^{p_k} \\ &= k_{r-1}^{-1} \sum_{k \in I_1} [f(q(t_{km}(x)))]^{p_k} + k_{r-1}^{-1} \sum_{k \in I_2} [f(q(t_{km}(x)))]^{p_k} + \\ & \quad \dots + k_{r-1}^{-1} \sum_{k \in I_r} [f(q(t_{km}(x)))]^{p_k} \\ &= \frac{k_1}{k_{r-1}} k_1^{-1} \sum_{k \in I_1} [f(q(t_{km}(x)))]^{p_k} + \frac{k_2 - k_1}{k_{r-1}} (k_2 - k_1)^{-1} \sum_{k \in I_2} [f(q(t_{km}(x)))]^{p_k} \\ & \quad + \dots + \frac{k_R - k_{R-1}}{k_{r-1}} (k_R - k_{R-1})^{-1} \sum_{k \in I_R} [f(q(t_{km}(x)))]^{p_k} + \\ & \quad \dots + \frac{k_r - k_{r-1}}{k_{r-1}} (k_r - k_{r-1})^{-1} \sum_{k \in I_r} [f(q(t_{km}(x)))]^{p_k} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{k_1}{k_{r-1}} A_1 + \frac{k_2 - k_1}{k_{r-1}} A_2 + \dots + \frac{k_R - k_{R-1}}{k_{r-1}} A_R + \frac{k_{R+1} - k_R}{k_{r-1}} A_{R+1} + \dots + \frac{k_r - k_{r-1}}{k_{r-1}} A_r \\
 &\leq \left( \sup_{j \geq 1} A_j \right) \frac{k_R}{k_{r-1}} + \left( \sup_{j \geq R} A_j \right) \frac{k_r - k_R}{k_{r-1}} \leq K \frac{k_R}{k_{r-1}} + \varepsilon B.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $k_{r-1} \rightarrow \infty$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , it follows that  $t^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^t [f(q(t_{km}(x)))]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0$  uniformly in  $m$  and consequently  $x \in (w, f, p, q)_0$ .

### 3. $q$ -lacunary almost statistical convergence

In this section we give some relations between  $q$ -lacunary almost statistical convergence and  $q$ -lacunary strongly almost convergence with respect to the modulus functions  $f$ .

**Definition 3.1** ([3]) Let  $\theta$  be a lacunary sequence, then the sequence  $x = (x_k)$  is said to be  $q$ -lacunary almost statistically convergent to the number  $L$  provided that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} |\{k \in I_r : q(t_{km}(x - L)) \geq \varepsilon\}| = 0, \text{ uniformly in } m.$$

In this case we write  $[S_\theta]_q - \lim x = L$  or  $x_k \rightarrow L \left( [S_\theta]_q \right)$  and we define

$$[S_\theta]_q = \left\{ x \in w(X) : [S_\theta]_q - \lim x = L, \text{ for some } L \right\}.$$

In the case  $\theta = (2^r)$ , we shall write  $[S]_q$  instead of  $[S_\theta]_q$ .

**Theorem 3.2** Let  $f$  be a modulus function and  $0 < h = \inf_k p_k \leq p_k \leq \sup_k p_k = H < \infty$ . Then  $(w, \theta, f, p, q) \subset [S_\theta]_q$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x \in (w, \theta, f, p, q)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Then

$$\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} [f(q(t_{km}(x - L)))]^{p_k} \geq \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{\substack{k \in I_r \\ q(t_{km}(x-L)) \geq \varepsilon}} [f(q(t_{km}(x - L)))]^{p_k}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\geq \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{\substack{k \in I_r \\ q(t_{km}(x-L)) \geq \varepsilon}} [f(\varepsilon)]^{p_k} \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{\substack{k \in I_r \\ q(t_{km}(x-L)) \geq \varepsilon}} \min\left([f(\varepsilon)]^h, [f(\varepsilon)]^H\right) \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{h_r} |\{k \in I_r : q(t_{km}(x-L)) \geq \varepsilon\}| \min\left([f(\varepsilon)]^h, [f(\varepsilon)]^H\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $x \in [S_\theta]_q$ .

**Theorem 3.3** Let  $f$  be bounded and  $0 < h = \inf_k p_k \leq p_k \leq \sup_k p_k = H < \infty$ . Then  $[S_\theta]_q \subset (w, \theta, f, p, q)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $f$  is bounded. Then there exists an integer  $K$  such that  $f(t) < K$ , for all  $t \geq 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} [f(q(t_{km}(x-L)))]^{p_k} &= \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{\substack{k \in I_r \\ q(t_{km}(x-L)) \geq \varepsilon}} [f(q(t_{km}(x-L)))]^{p_k} \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{\substack{k \in I_r \\ q(t_{km}(x-L)) < \varepsilon}} [f(q(t_{km}(x-L)))]^{p_k} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{\substack{k \in I_r \\ q(t_{km}(x-L)) \geq \varepsilon}} \max(K^h, K^H) + \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{\substack{k \in I_r \\ q(t_{km}(x-L)) < \varepsilon}} [f(\varepsilon)]^{p_k} \\
 &\leq \max(K^h, K^H) \frac{1}{h_r} |\{k \in I_r : q(t_{km}(x-L)) \geq \varepsilon\}| + \max\left([f(\varepsilon)]^h, [f(\varepsilon)]^H\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $x \in (w, \theta, f, p, q)$ .

**Theorem 3.4**  $[S_\theta]_q = (w, \theta, f, p, q)$  if and only if  $f$  is bounded.

*Proof.* Let  $f$  be bounded. By the Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.3, we have  $[S_\theta]_q = (w, \theta, f, p, q)$ .



Conversely, suppose that  $f$  is unbounded. Then there exists a positive sequence  $(t_n)$  with  $f(t_n) = n^2$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . If we choose

$$x_k = \begin{cases} t_n, & k = n^2, n = 1, 2, \dots \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

then we have

$$\frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : |x_k| \geq \varepsilon\}| \leq \frac{\sqrt{n}}{n} \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence  $x_k \rightarrow 0([S_\theta]_q)$  for  $t_{0m}(x) = x_m$ ,  $\theta = (2^r)$  and  $q(x) = |x|$ , but  $x \notin (w, \theta, f, q)$ . This contradicts to  $[S_\theta]_q = (w, \theta, f, p, q)$ .

## References

- [1] Banach, S., *Theorie Operations Lineaires*, Chelsea Publishing Co, New York, 1955.
- [2] Bařarır, M., *On the  $\Delta$ -statistical convergence of sequences*, Firat Univ. J. Sci. and Engineer, **7**(2)(1995), 1-6.
- [3] olak, R., Tripathy, B.C. and Et, M., *Lacunary strongly summable sequences and  $q$ -lacunary almost statistical convergence*, Vietnam J. Math., **34**(2)(2006), 129-138.
- [4] Das G. and Mishra, S.K., *Banach limits and lacunary strong almost convergence*, J. Orissa Math. Soc., **2**(1983), 61-70.
- [5] Das, G. and Sahoo, S.K., *On some sequence spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **164**(1992), 381-398.
- [6] Et, M., *Strong almost summable difference sequences of order  $m$  defined by a modulus*, Studia Sci. Math. Hungar., **40**(4)(2003), 463-476.
- [7] Fast, H., *Sur la convergence statistique*, Colloq. Math., **2**(1951), 241-244.
- [8] Freedman, A.R., Sember, J.J. and Raphael, M., *Some Cesaro-type summability spaces*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc., **37**(1978), 508-520.
- [9] Fridy, J.A., *On the statistical convergence*, Analysis, **5**(1985), 301-313.
- [10] Fridy, J.A. and Orhan, C., *Lacunary statistical convergence*, Pacific J. Math., **160**(1993), 43-51.
- [11] Lorentz, G.G., *A contribution to the theory of divergent series*, Acta Math., **80**(1948), 167-190.
- [12] Maddox, I.J., *A new type of convergence*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., **83**(1978), 61-64.

- [13] Maddox, I.J., *Sequence spaces defined by a modulus*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., **100**(1986), 345-350.
- [14] Maddox, I.J., *Spaces of strongly summable sequences*, Quart. J. Math., **18**(1967), 345-355.
- [15] Maddox, I.J., *Statistical convergence in a locally convex space*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., **104**(1988), 141-145.
- [16] Malkowsky, E. and Savas, E., *Some  $\lambda$ -sequence spaces defined by a modulus*, Archivum Mathematicum, **36**(2000), 219-228.
- [17] Mursaleen,  *$\lambda$ -statistical convergence*, Math. Slovaca, **50**(1)(2000), 111-115.
- [18] Nuray, F. and Savaş, E., *Invariant statistical convergence and  $A$ -invariant statistical convergence*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **25**(3)(1994), 267-274.
- [19] Savas, E. and Rhoades B. E., *On some new sequence spaces of invariant means defined by Orlicz functions*, Mathematical Inequalities and Applications, **5**(2)(2002), 271-281.
- [20] Tripathy, B.C., *Matrix transformation between some classes of sequences*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **206**(2)(1997), 448-450.
- [21] Tripathy, B.C., *On statistically convergent and statistically bounded sequences*, Bull. Malays. Math. Soc., **20**(1)(1997), 31-33.
- [22] Ruckle, W.H., *FK spaces in which the sequence of coordinate vectors is bounded*, Canad. J. Math., **25**(1973), 973-978.
- [23] Salàt, T., *On statistically convergent sequences of real numbers*, Math. Slovaca, **30**(2)(1980), 139-150.
- [24] Schoenberg, I.J., *The integrability of certain functions and related to summability methods*, Amer. Math. Monthly, **66**(1959), 361-375.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FIRAT UNIVERSITY,  
 23119, ELAZIĞ, TURKEY  
*E-mail address:* mikaillet@yahoo.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FIRAT UNIVERSITY,  
 23119, ELAZIĞ, TURKEY  
*E-mail address:* agokhan1@firat.edu.tr