

## MULTIPLE SOLUTIONS FOR A DOUBLE EIGENVALUE ELLIPTIC PROBLEM IN DOUBLE WEIGHTED SOBOLEV SPACES

ILDIKÓ ILONA MEZEI

**Abstract.** In this paper we study a semilinear double eigenvalue problem with nonlinear boundary conditions in an unbounded domain  $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . To obtain existence and multiplicity results for this problem we use the Mountain Pass Theorem applied to double weighted Sobolev spaces and a recent result proved by G. Bonanno (Nonlinear Analysis, **54**(2003), 651-665) concerning critical points. This result completes some recent results obtained in this direction.

### 1. Main result

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ , ( $N \geq 3$ ) be an unbounded domain with smooth boundary  $\Gamma$ . For a positive measurable function  $u$  and a positive measurable function  $w$  defined in  $\Omega$ , we define the weighted  $p$ -norm ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ )

$$\|u\|_{p,\Omega,w} = \left( \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^p w(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

and denote by  $L^q(\Omega; w)$  the space of all measurable functions  $u$  such that  $\|u\|_{q,\Omega,w}$  is finite. The double weighted Sobolev space

$$W^{1,p}(\Omega; v_0, v_1)$$

---

Received by the editors: 20.02.2008.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35P30, 35J20, 35J65, 35J70, 58E05.

*Key words and phrases.* p-Laplacian, quasilinear elliptic equation, eigenvalue problems, unbounded domain, weighted function space.

Work supported by MEdC-ANCS, Grant PN. II, ID\_527/2007 and Grant CNCSIS, Nr. 8 (1467)/2007.

is defined as the space of all functions  $u \in L^p(\Omega; v_0)$  such that all derivatives  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}$  belong to  $L^p(\Omega; v_1)$ . The corresponding norm is defined by

$$\|u\|_{p,\Omega,v_0,v_1} = \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^p v_1(x) + |u(x)|^p v_0(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

The Muckenhoupt class  $A_p$  is defined as the set of all positive functions  $v$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , which satisfy

$$\frac{1}{|Q|} \left( \int_{\Omega} v dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \int_{\Omega} v^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \leq \bar{C}, \text{ if } 1 < p < \infty$$

$$\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{\Omega} v dx \leq \bar{C} \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{x \in Q} v(x), \text{ if } p = 1,$$

for all cubes  $Q \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and some  $\bar{C} > 0$ .

In this paper we always assume that the weight functions  $v_0, v_1, w$  are defined in  $\Omega$ , belong to  $A_p$  and are chosen such that the embeddings

$$W^{1,2}(\Omega; v_0, v_1) \hookrightarrow L^p(\Omega; w) \tag{1}$$

and the trace

$$W^{1,2}(\Omega; v_0, v_1) \hookrightarrow L^q(\Gamma; w) \tag{2}$$

are compact for  $2 < p < 2N/(N-2)$ ,  $2 < q < 2(N-1)/(N-2)$  and continuous for  $2 \leq p \leq 2N/(N-2)$ ,  $2 \leq q \leq 2(N-1)/(N-2)$  respectively. Such weight functions there exist, see for example [4], [5]. The best embedding constants are denoted by  $C_{p,\Omega}$  and  $C_{q,\Gamma}$ , i.e. we have the inequalities

$$\|u\|_{p,\Omega,w} \leq C_{p,\Omega} \|u\|_{v_0,v_1}, \quad \text{for all } u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega; v_0, v_1) \tag{3}$$

$$\|u\|_{q,\Gamma,w} \leq C_{q,\Gamma} \|u\|_{v_0,v_1}, \quad \text{for all } u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega; v_0, v_1) \tag{4}$$

where we used the abbreviation  $\|u\|_{v_0,v_1} = \|u\|_{2,\Omega,v_0,v_1}$ .

For  $\lambda > 0$  and  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  we consider the following semilinear elliptic double eigenvalue problem

$$(P_{\lambda,\mu}) \quad \begin{cases} Au \equiv -\Delta u + b(x)u = \lambda f(x, u) \text{ in } \Omega \\ \partial_n u = \lambda \mu g(x, u) \text{ on } \Gamma \end{cases},$$

where  $b$  is a positive measurable function,  $n$  denotes the unit outward normal on  $\Gamma$  and  $\partial_n$  is the outer normal derivative on  $\Gamma$ .

We define a bilinear form associated with  $A$  by

$$\langle u, v \rangle_A = \int_{\Omega} (\nabla u \nabla v + b(x)uv) dx.$$

A weak solution of the problem  $(P_{\lambda, \mu})$  is a function  $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega; v_0, v_1)$ , such that for every  $v \in W^{1,2}(\Omega; v_0, v_1)$  we have

$$\langle u, v \rangle_A - \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x, u(x))v(x) dx - \lambda \mu \int_{\Gamma} g(x, u(x))v(x) d\Gamma = 0.$$

Furthermore we consider the following assumptions:

(A) we assume that  $A$  defines a continuous bilinear form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_A$  on  $W^{1,2}(\Omega; v_0, v_1)$  and satisfies the ellipticity condition

$$\langle u, u \rangle_A \geq 2K \|u\|_{v_0, v_1}^2 \text{ for every } u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega; v_0, v_1), \quad (5)$$

with some positive constant  $K > 0$ ;

(F1)  $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a Carathéodory function with  $f(\cdot, 0) = 0$  and

$$|f(x, s)| \leq f_0(x) + f_1(x)|s|^{p-1} \text{ for } x \in \Omega, s \in \mathbb{R},$$

where  $2 < p < \frac{2N}{N-2}$ , and  $f_0, f_1$  are positive measurable functions satisfying  $f_0 \in L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(\Omega; w^{\frac{1}{1-p}})$ ,  $f_0(x) \leq C_f w(x)$  and  $f_1(x) \leq C_f w(x)$  for a.e.  $x \in \Omega$ , with an appropriate constant  $C_f$ ;

(F2)  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x, s)}{f_0(x)|s|} = 0$ , uniformly in  $x \in \Omega$ ;

(F3)  $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(x, s)}{f_0(x)|s|^2} = 0$ , uniformly in  $x \in \Omega$ ,  
 $\max_{|s| \leq M} F(\cdot, s) \in L^1(\Omega)$ , for all  $M > 0$ , where

$$F(x, u) = \int_0^u f(x, s) ds;$$

(F4) there exist  $x_0 \in \Omega$ ,  $s_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $R_0 > 0$  such that  $\min_{|x-x_0| < R} F(x, s_0) > 0$ .

(G1) Let  $g : \Gamma \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a Carathéodory function with  $g(\cdot, 0) = 0$  and

$$|g(x, s)| \leq g_0(x) + g_1(x)|s|^{q-1}, \text{ for } x \in \Gamma, s \in \mathbb{R}$$

where  $2 < q < \frac{2(N-1)}{N-2}$ , and  $g_0, g_1$  are positive measurable functions satisfying  $g_0 \in L^{\frac{a}{q-1}}(\Gamma; w^{\frac{1}{1-q}})$ ,  $g_0(x) \leq C_g w(x)$  and  $g_1(x) \leq C_g w(x)$ , a.e.  $x \in \Gamma$ , with an appropriate constant  $C_g$ ;

$$(G2) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(x, s)}{g_0(x)|s|} = 0, \quad \text{uniformly in } x \in \Gamma;$$

$$(G3) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{G(x, s)}{g_0(x)|s|^2} = 0, \quad \text{uniformly for } x \in \Gamma,$$

$$\max_{|s| \leq M} G(\cdot, s) \in L^1(\Gamma), \quad \text{for every } M > 0, \quad \text{where } G(x, s) = \int_0^u g(x, s) ds.$$

Next, we introduce the functionals  $J_F, J_G, J_\mu : W^{1,2}(\Omega; v_0, v_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , defined

by

$$J_F(u) = \int_{\Omega} F(x, u(x)) dx, \quad J_G(u) = \int_{\Gamma} G(x, u(x)) d\Gamma,$$

$$J_\mu(u) = J_F(u) + \mu J_G(u)$$

and the energy functional  $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda, \mu}(u) : W^{1,2}(\Omega; v_0, v_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  associated to  $(P_{\lambda, \mu})$ , defined

by

$$\mathcal{E}_{\lambda, \mu}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \langle u, u \rangle_A - \lambda J_\mu(u).$$

The main result of this paper is the following

**Theorem 1.1.** *We suppose that the assumption (A) is satisfied and the functions  $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $g : \Gamma \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfy the conditions (F1) – (F4) and (G1) – (G3) respectively.*

- (a) *Then there exists  $\lambda_0 > 0$  such that to every  $\lambda \in ]\lambda_0, +\infty[$  it corresponds a nonempty open interval  $I_\lambda \subset \mathbb{R}$  such that for every  $\mu \in I_\lambda$  the problem  $(P_{\lambda, \mu})$  has at least two distinct, nontrivial weak solutions  $u_{\lambda, \mu}$  and  $v_{\lambda, \mu}$ , with the property*

$$\mathcal{E}_{\lambda, \mu}(u_{\lambda, \mu}) < 0 < \mathcal{E}_{\lambda, \mu}(v_{\lambda, \mu}).$$

- (b) *Then there exists  $\mu_0 > 0$  such that to every  $\mu \in [-\mu_0, \mu_0]$  it corresponds a nonempty open interval  $\Gamma_\mu \in ]0, +\infty[$  and a number  $\sigma_\mu > 0$  for which*

$(P_{\lambda,\mu})$  has at least two distinct, nontrivial weak solutions:  $u_{\lambda,\mu}^1$  and  $u_{\lambda,\mu}^2$ , with the property

$$\max\{\|u_{\lambda,\mu}^1\|_{v_0,v_1}, \|u_{\lambda,\mu}^2\|_{v_0,v_1}\} \leq \sigma_\mu,$$

whenever  $\lambda \in \Gamma_\mu$ .

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section we denote by  $p'$  and  $q'$  the conjugates of  $p$  respective  $q$ , i.e.  $p' = \frac{p}{p-1}$  and  $q' = \frac{q}{q-1}$ .

The following result deals with the Nemytskii operator of a Carathéodory function  $h : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , which is the function defined by  $N_h(u) = h(x, u(x))$ . Then we have the following result.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Assume that the conditions (F1), (G1) are satisfied. Then the Nemytskii operators  $N_f : L^p(\Omega; w) \rightarrow L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(\Omega; w^{\frac{1}{1-p}})$ ,  $N_F : L^p(\Omega; w) \rightarrow L^1(\Omega)$ ,  $N_g : L^q(\Gamma; w) \rightarrow L^{\frac{q}{q-1}}(\Gamma; w^{\frac{1}{1-q}})$  and  $N_G : L^q(\Gamma; w) \rightarrow L^1(\Gamma)$  are bounded and continuous.*

*Proof.* We will use the following result: for all  $s \in (0, \infty)$  there is a constant  $C_s > 0$  such that

$$(x + y)^s \leq C_s(x^s + y^s), \quad \text{for any } x, y \in (0, \infty). \quad (6)$$

To prove that  $N_f$  is bounded, we choose an arbitrary set  $A \subseteq L^p(\Omega; w)$  and prove that  $N_f(A)$  is bounded. For this, let  $u \in A$  be an arbitrary element and we claim that  $N_f(u)$  is bounded in  $L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(\Omega; w^{\frac{1}{1-p}})$ . Using the (F1) condition, the (6), the Hölder's inequalities, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|N_f(u)\|_{\frac{p}{p-1}, \Omega, w^{\frac{1}{1-p}}}^{\frac{1}{p'}} &= \int_{\Omega} |f(x, u(x))|^{p'} w(x)^{\frac{1}{1-p}} dx \leq \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} (f_0(x) + f_1(x)|u(x)|^{p-1})^{p'} w(x)^{\frac{1}{1-p}} dx \leq \\ &\leq C_{p'} \left( \int_{\Omega} f_0(x)^{p'} w(x)^{\frac{1}{1-p}} dx + \int_{\Omega} f_1(x)^{p'} |u(x)|^{(p-1)p'} w(x)^{\frac{1}{1-p}} dx \right) \leq \\ &\leq C_{p'} \left( C + \int_{\Omega} C_f^{p'} w(x)^{p'} w(x)^{\frac{1}{1-p}} |u(x)|^p dx \right) = \end{aligned}$$

$$= C_{p'}C + C_{p'}C_f^{p'} \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^p w(x) dx = C_{p'}C + C_{p'}C_f^{p'} \|u\|_{p,\Omega,w}^p,$$

where in the last inequality we used that  $f_0 \in L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(\Omega; w^{\frac{1}{1-p}})$ , so there exists  $C > 0$  such that  $\int_{\Omega} f_0(x)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} w(x)^{\frac{1}{1-p}} dx \leq C$ . Since  $u \in A \subseteq L^p(\Omega; w)$ , we have that  $\|u\|_{p,\Omega,w}^p$  is finite, therefore  $N_f$  is bounded. Then the continuity follows from standard properties of the Nemytskii operators.

In the same way we obtain for  $u \in L^p(\Omega; w)$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |F(x, u(x))| dx &\leq \int_{\Omega} (f_0(x)|u(x)| + f_1(x)|u(x)|^p) dx = \\ &= \int_{\Omega} f_0(x)w(x)^{-\frac{1}{p}}|u(x)|w(x)^{\frac{1}{p}} dx + \int_{\Omega} f_1(x)|u(x)|^p dx \leq \\ &\leq \left( \int_{\Omega} f_0(x)^{p'} w(x)^{\frac{1}{1-p}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^p w(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + C_f \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^p w(x) dx \leq \\ &\leq C^{\frac{1}{p'}} \|u\|_{p,\Omega,w} + C_f \|u\|_{p,\Omega,w}^p, \end{aligned}$$

therefore  $N_F$  is bounded. For the operators  $N_g$  and  $N_G$  the arguments are identical, therefore we omit the details here.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** [5] *The energy functional  $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,\mu}$  is Fréchet differentiable in  $W^{1,2}(\Omega; v_0, v_1)$  and its derivative is given by*

$$\langle \mathcal{E}'_{\lambda,\mu}(u), v \rangle = \langle u, v \rangle_A - \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x, u(x))v(x) dx - \lambda\mu \int_{\Gamma} g(x, u(x))v(x) d\Gamma. \quad (7)$$

for every  $v \in W^{1,2}(\Omega; v_0, v_1)$ .

**Remark 2.1.** Due to this result, one can see, that the critical points of  $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,\mu}$  are exactly the weak solutions of  $(P_{\lambda,\mu})$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *Suppose that the conditions (F2), (F3), (G2) and (G3) are satisfied. Then, for every  $\lambda > 0$  and  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  the functional  $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,\mu}$  is coercive and bounded from below on  $W^{1,2}(\Omega; v_0, v_1)$ .*

*Proof.* Let us fix  $\lambda > 0$  and  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  arbitrarily and  $a, b > 0$  such that

$$\lambda a C_f C_{2,\Omega}^2 + \lambda |\mu| b C_g C_{2,\Gamma}^2 < K.$$

By the conditions (F2),(F3) and (G2),(G3) there exist the positive functions  $k_a \in L^1(\Omega; w)$  and  $k_b \in L^1(\Gamma; w)$  such that

$$|F(x, s)| \leq af_0(x)|s|^2 + k_a(x)w(x), \quad \forall(x, s) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}$$

$$|G(x, s)| \leq bg_0(x)|s|^2 + k_b(x)w(x), \quad \forall(x, s) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}.$$

Thus, for every  $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega; v_0, v_1)$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{\lambda, \mu}(u) &= \frac{1}{2} \langle u, u \rangle_A - \lambda \int_{\Omega} F(x, u(x)) dx - \lambda \mu \int_{\Gamma} G(x, u(x)) dx \geq \\ &\geq K \|u\|_{v_0, v_1}^2 - \lambda \int_{\Omega} af_0(x) |u(x)|^2 dx - \lambda \int_{\Omega} k_a(x) w(x) dx - \\ &\quad - \lambda |\mu| \int_{\Gamma} bg_0(x) |u(x)|^2 d\Gamma - \lambda |\mu| \int_{\Gamma} k_b(x) w(x) d\Gamma \geq \\ &\geq K \|u\|_{v_0, v_1}^2 - \lambda a C_f \|u\|_{2, \Omega, w}^2 - \lambda \|k_a\|_{1, \Omega, w} - \\ &\quad - \lambda |\mu| b C_g \|u\|_{2, \Gamma, w}^2 - \lambda |\mu| \|k_b\|_{1, \Gamma, w} \geq \\ &\geq (K - \lambda a C_f C_{2, \Omega}^2 - \lambda |\mu| b C_g C_{2, \Gamma}^2) \|u\|_{v_0, v_1}^2 - \\ &\quad - \lambda \|k_a\|_{1, \Omega, w} - \lambda |\mu| \|k_b\|_{1, \Gamma, w}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $k_a \in L^1(\Omega; w)$ ,  $k_b \in L^1(\Gamma; w)$ , we have that  $\|k_a\|_{1, \Omega, w}$ ,  $\|k_b\|_{1, \Gamma, w}$  are finite. Therefore  $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda, \mu}$  is bounded from below on  $W^{1,2}(\Omega; v_0, v_1)$  and  $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda, \mu}(u) \rightarrow \infty$ , whenever  $\|u\|_{v_0, v_1} \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence  $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda, \mu}$  is coercive.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4.**  $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda, \mu} : W^{1,2}(\Omega; v_0, v_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies the Palais-Smale condition on  $W^{1,2}(\Omega; v_0, v_1)$ , for every  $\lambda > 0$  and  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\{u_n\} \subset W^{1,2}(\Omega; v_0, v_1)$  be an arbitrary Palais-Smale sequence for  $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda, \mu}$ , i.e.

- (a)  $\{\mathcal{E}_{\lambda, \mu}(u_n)\}$  is bounded;
- (b)  $\mathcal{E}'_{\lambda, \mu}(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ .

We have to prove that  $\{u_n\}$  contains a strongly convergent subsequence. Since  $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda, \mu}$  is coercive, we have that  $\{u_n\}$  is bounded.  $W^{1,2}(\Omega; v_0, v_1)$  is a reflexive Banach space, so taking a subsequence if necessary (denoted in the same way), we get an element  $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega; v_0, v_1)$  such that  $u_n \rightarrow u$  weakly in  $W^{1,2}(\Omega; v_0, v_1)$ . Because the embeddings (1) and (2) are compact for  $2 < p < 2N/(N-2)$ ,  $2 < q < 2(N-1)/(N-2)$ , we have that  $u_n \rightarrow u$  strongly in  $L^p(\Omega; w)$  and  $L^q(\Gamma; w)$ .

From the condition (b) we have that  $\left| \langle \mathcal{E}'_{\lambda, \mu}(u_n), \frac{u_n}{\|u_n\|_{v_0, v_1}} \rangle \right| \leq \varepsilon$ , for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and large  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then

$$-\langle u_n, u_n \rangle_A + \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_n(x)) u_n(x) dx + \lambda \mu \int_{\Gamma} g(x, u_n(x)) u_n(x) d\Gamma \leq \varepsilon \|u_n\|_{v_0, v_1}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2K \|u_n - u\|_{v_0, v_1}^2 &\leq \langle u_n - u, u_n - u \rangle_A \leq |\langle u_n, u_n - u \rangle_A| + |\langle u, u_n - u \rangle_A| \leq \\ &\leq 2\varepsilon \|u_n - u\|_{v_0, v_1} + \\ &+ \lambda \left| \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_n(x)) (u_n(x) - u(x)) dx \right| + \lambda \left| \int_{\Omega} f(x, u(x)) (u_n(x) - u(x)) dx \right| + \\ &+ \lambda |\mu| \left| \int_{\Gamma} g(x, u_n(x)) (u_n(x) - u(x)) d\Gamma \right| + \lambda |\mu| \left| \int_{\Gamma} g(x, u(x)) (u_n(x) - u(x)) d\Gamma \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Using the Hölder's inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_n(x)) (u_n(x) - u(x)) dx \right| \leq \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} \left| f(x, u_n(x)) w(x)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \right| \left| (u_n(x) - u(x)) w(x)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right| dx \leq \\ &\leq \left( \int_{\Omega} |f(x, u_n(x))|^{p'} w(x)^{-\frac{p'}{p}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |u_n(x) - u(x)|^p w(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \\ &= \left( \int_{\Omega} |f(x, u_n(x))|^{p'} w(x)^{\frac{1}{1-p}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \|u_n - u\|_{p, \Omega, w} \end{aligned}$$

and arguing in the same way for  $g$ , we obtain

$$\left| \int_{\Gamma} g(x, u_n(x)) (u_n(x) - u(x)) dx \right| \leq \left( \int_{\Gamma} |g(x, u_n(x))|^{q'} w(x)^{\frac{1}{1-q}} d\Gamma \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \|u_n - u\|_{q, \Gamma, w}.$$

Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary,  $\|u_n - u\|_{p, \Omega, w}$  and  $\|u_n - u\|_{q, \Gamma, w}$  tend to zero and  $\int_{\Omega} |f(x, u_n(x))|^{p'} w(x)^{\frac{1}{1-p}} dx$ ,  $\int_{\Gamma} |g(x, u_n(x))|^{q'} w(x)^{\frac{1}{1-q}} d\Gamma$  are bounded (by Lemma 2.1, using that  $\{u_n\}$  is bounded), it follows that  $\|u_n - u\|_{v_0, v_1}$  tends to zero.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.5.** [3, Lemma 3.2] *Assume that (F4) is satisfied. Then there exist an  $u_0 \in W^{1,2}(\Omega; v_0, v_1)$  such that  $J_F(u_0) > 0$ .*

Let us define  $m = \int_{\Gamma} |G(x, u_0(x))| d\Gamma$ ,  $\lambda_0 = \frac{\frac{1}{2} \langle u_0, u_0 \rangle_A}{J_F(u_0)} > 0$  and  $\mu_{\lambda}^* = \frac{1}{\lambda(1+m)}$ .  $(\lambda - \lambda_0) J_F(u_0) > 0$ .



**Lemma 2.6.** For  $\lambda > \lambda_0$  and  $|\mu| \in ]0, \mu_\lambda^*]$  we have

$$\inf_{u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega; v_0, v_1)} \mathcal{E}_{\lambda, \mu}(u) < 0.$$

*Proof.* It is sufficient to prove, that for  $\lambda > \lambda_0$  and  $|\mu| \in ]0, \mu_\lambda^*]$  we have  $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda, \mu}(u_0) < 0$ . Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{\lambda, \mu}(u_0) &= \frac{1}{2} \langle u_0, u_0 \rangle_A - \lambda J_F(u_0) - \lambda \mu J_G(u_0) \leq \\ &\leq \lambda_0 J_F(u_0) - \lambda J_F(u_0) + \lambda |\mu| m = \\ &= (\lambda_0 - \lambda) J_F(u_0) + \lambda |\mu| m = \\ &= (\lambda_0 - \lambda) \frac{\lambda(1+m)\mu_\lambda^*}{\lambda - \lambda_0} + \lambda |\mu| m = \\ &= -(1+m)\lambda \mu_\lambda^* + \lambda |\mu| m = \\ &= -\lambda \mu_\lambda^* - m\lambda(\mu_\lambda^* - |\mu|) < 0. \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\lambda > \lambda_0$  and  $|\mu| \in ]0, \mu_\lambda^*]$ . □

**Lemma 2.7.** For every  $\lambda > \lambda_0$  and  $\mu \in ]0, \mu_\lambda^*]$ , the functional  $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda, \mu}$  satisfies the Mountain Pass geometry.

*Proof.* From the assumptions (F1), (F2), (G1) and (G2) results the existence of  $\hat{c}_1(\varepsilon)$ ,  $\hat{c}_2(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that, for every  $\hat{\varepsilon} > 0$  we have

$$|f(x, s)| \leq \hat{\varepsilon} f_0(x) |s| + \hat{c}_1(\varepsilon) f_1(x) |s|^{p-1}, \text{ for } 2 < p < \frac{2N}{N-2}, \quad (8)$$

$$|g(x, s)| \leq \hat{\varepsilon} g_0(x) |s| + \hat{c}_2(\varepsilon) g_1(x) |s|^{q-1}, \text{ for } 2 < q < \frac{2(N-1)}{N-2}. \quad (9)$$

Then integrating with respect to the second variable, from 0 to  $u(x)$ , we get the existence of  $c_1(\varepsilon)$ ,  $c_2(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have

$$|F(x, u(x))| \leq \varepsilon f_0(x) |u(x)|^2 + c_1(\varepsilon) f_1(x) |u(x)|^p, \text{ for } 2 < p < \frac{2N}{N-2}, \quad (10)$$

$$|G(x, u(x))| \leq \varepsilon g_0(x) |u(x)|^2 + c_2(\varepsilon) g_1(x) |u(x)|^q, \text{ for } 2 < q < \frac{2(N-1)}{N-2}. \quad (11)$$

Fix  $\lambda > \lambda_0$  and  $\mu \in ]0, \mu_\lambda^*[$ , then using the (10) and (11) inequalities for every  $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega; v_0, v_1)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{E}_{\lambda,\mu}(u) &= \frac{1}{2} \langle u, u \rangle_A - \lambda J_\mu(u) \geq \\
 &\geq K \|u\|_{v_0, v_1}^2 - \lambda \int_\Omega |F(x, u(x))| dx - \lambda |\mu| \int_\Gamma |G(x, u(x))| d\Gamma \geq \\
 &= K \|u\|_{v_0, v_1}^2 - \lambda \varepsilon C_f \|u\|_{2, \Omega, w}^2 - \lambda c_1(\varepsilon) C_f \|u\|_{p, \Omega, w}^p - \\
 &\quad - \lambda |\mu| \varepsilon C_g \|u\|_{2, \Gamma, w}^2 - \lambda |\mu| c_2(\varepsilon) C_g \|u\|_{q, \Omega, w}^q \geq \\
 &\geq (K - \lambda \varepsilon C_f C_{2, \Omega}^2 - \lambda |\mu| \varepsilon C_g C_{2, \Gamma}^2) \|u\|_{v_0, v_1}^2 - \\
 &\quad - \lambda c_1(\varepsilon) C_f C_{p, \Omega}^p \|u\|_{v_0, v_1}^p - \lambda |\mu| c_2(\varepsilon) C_g C_{q, \Gamma}^q \|u\|_{v_0, v_1}^q.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using the notations  $A = (K - \lambda \varepsilon C_f C_{2, \Omega}^2 - \lambda |\mu| \varepsilon C_g C_{2, \Gamma}^2)$ ,  $B = \lambda c_1(\varepsilon) C_f C_{p, \Omega}^p$ ,  $C = \lambda |\mu| c_2(\varepsilon) C_g C_{q, \Gamma}^q$ , we get

$$\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,\mu}(u) \geq (A - B \|u\|_{v_0, v_1}^{p-2} - C \|u\|_{v_0, v_1}^{q-2}) \|u\|_{v_0, v_1}^2.$$

We choose  $\varepsilon \in ]0, \frac{K}{2} \frac{1}{\lambda(C_f C_{2, \Omega}^2 + |\mu| C_g C_{2, \Gamma}^2)}[$ , so  $A > 0$ . Now, let  $l : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the function defined by  $l(t) = A - B t^{p-2} - C t^{q-2}$ . We can see, that  $l(0) = A > 0$ , so because  $l$  is continuous, there exists an  $\varepsilon^* > 0$  such that  $l(t) > 0$ , for every  $t \in ]0, \varepsilon^*[$ . Then for every  $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega; v_0, v_1)$ , with  $\|u\|_{v_0, v_1} = \varepsilon^{**} < \min\{\varepsilon^*, \|u_0\|_{v_0, v_1}\}$ , we have  $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,\mu}(u) \geq \eta(\lambda, \mu, \varepsilon^*) > 0$ . From Lemma 2.6 we have  $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,\mu}(u_0) < 0$ .

Therefore the functional  $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,\mu}$  satisfies the Mountain Pass geometry, meaning that  $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,\mu}$  satisfies the conditions of the Mountain Pass Theorem (see Theorem 3.1).

□

**Lemma 2.8.** *For every  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , we have*

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sup\{J_\mu(u) : \frac{1}{2} \langle u, u \rangle_A < \rho\}}{\rho} = 0.$$

*Proof.* Fix arbitrarily  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $p \in \left] 2, \frac{2N}{N-p} \right]$ ,  $q \in \left] 2, \frac{2(N-1)}{N-2} \right]$ , then from (10) and (11) and the ellipticity condition (A), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_\mu(u) &= J_F(u) + \mu J_G(u) \leq \\
 &\leq \varepsilon (C_f C_{2,\Omega}^2 + |\mu| C_g C_{2,\Gamma}^2) \|u\|_{v_0, v_1}^2 + c_1(\varepsilon) C_f C_{p,\Omega}^p \|u\|_{v_0, v_1}^p + \\
 &+ |\mu| c_2(\varepsilon) C_g C_{q,\Gamma}^q \|u\|_{v_0, v_1}^q \leq \\
 &\leq \varepsilon (C_f C_{2,\Omega}^2 + |\mu| C_g C_{2,\Gamma}^2) \frac{\langle u, u \rangle_A}{2K} + c_1(\varepsilon) C_f C_{p,\Omega}^p \left( \frac{\langle u, u \rangle_A}{2K} \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} + \\
 &+ |\mu| c_2(\varepsilon) C_g C_{q,\Gamma}^q \left( \frac{\langle u, u \rangle_A}{2K} \right)^{\frac{q}{2}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sup\{J_\mu(u) : \frac{1}{2}\langle u, u \rangle_A < \rho\} \leq \\
 &\leq \varepsilon \frac{(C_f C_{2,\Omega}^2 + |\mu| C_g C_{2,\Gamma}^2)}{K} \rho + \frac{c_1(\varepsilon) C_f C_{p,\Omega}^p}{K^{\frac{p}{2}}} \rho^{\frac{p}{2}} + |\mu| \frac{c_2(\varepsilon) C_g C_{q,\Gamma}^q}{K^{\frac{q}{2}}} \rho^{\frac{q}{2}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $p > 2$ ,  $q > 2$ , dividing this last inequality with  $\rho$  and taking the limit whenever  $\rho \rightarrow 0$ , we have the required equality.

**Lemma 2.9.** *We assume that the conditions (F1)-(F3) and (G1)-(G3) are satisfied. Then the functional  $J_\mu = J_F + \mu J_G$  is sequentially weakly continuous.*

*Proof.* We argue by contradiction. Let  $u_n$  be a sequence from  $W^{1,2}(\Omega; v_0, v_1)$  weakly convergent to some  $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega; v_0, v_1)$  and  $d > 0$  such that

$$|J_\mu(u_n) - J_\mu(u)| \geq d, \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

At the same time we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |J_\mu(u_n) - J_\mu(u)| &\leq \int_\Omega |F(x, u_n(x)) - F(x, u(x))| dx + \\
 &+ |\mu| \int_\Gamma |G(x, u_n(x)) - G(x, u(x))| d\Gamma.
 \end{aligned}$$

In the sequel, we will estimate the previous two integrals. For this end, first we use the Mean Value Theorem for the function  $F$  on the interval  $(u_n(x), u(x))$ , then we

make use of the (3), (8) and the Hölder inequalities. So, there exists a  $\theta \in ]0, 1[$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\Omega} |F(x, u_n(x)) - F(x, u(x))| dx = \\
 &= \int_{\Omega} |f(x, (1-\theta)u_n(x) + \theta u(x))| |u_n(x) - u(x)| dx \leq \\
 &\leq \hat{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} f_0(x) |(1-\theta)u_n(x) + \theta u(x)| |u_n(x) - u(x)| dx + \\
 &+ \hat{c}_1(\varepsilon) \int_{\Omega} f_1(x) |(1-\theta)u_n(x) + \theta u(x)|^{p-1} |u_n(x) - u(x)| dx \leq \\
 &\leq \hat{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} f_0(x) (|u_n(x)| + |u(x)|) |u_n(x) - u(x)| dx + \\
 &\quad + \hat{c}_1(\varepsilon) \int_{\Omega} f_1(x) (|u_n(x)|^{p-1} + |u(x)|^{p-1}) |u_n(x) - u(x)| dx \leq \\
 &\leq \hat{\varepsilon} C_f \int_{\Omega} |u_n(x) - u(x)| w(x)^{\frac{1}{2}} w(x)^{\frac{1}{2}} (|u_n(x)| + |u(x)|) dx + \\
 &\quad + \hat{c}_1(\varepsilon) C_f \int_{\Omega} |u_n(x) - u(x)| w(x)^{\frac{1}{p}} w(x)^{\frac{1}{p'}} (|u_n(x)|^{p-1} + |u(x)|^{p-1}) dx \leq \\
 &\leq \hat{\varepsilon} C_f \left( \int_{\Omega} |u_n(x) - u(x)|^2 w(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \\
 &\quad \cdot \left[ \left( \int_{\Omega} |u_n(x)|^2 w(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left( \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^2 w(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] + \\
 &\quad + \hat{c}_1(\varepsilon) C_f \left( \int_{\Omega} |u_n(x) - u(x)|^p w(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot \\
 &\quad \cdot \left[ \left( \int_{\Omega} |u_n(x)|^{(p-1)p'} w(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} + \left( \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{(p-1)p'} w(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \right] \leq \\
 &\leq \hat{\varepsilon} C_f \|u_n - u\|_{2,\Omega,w} (\|u_n\|_{2,\Omega,w} + \|u_n\|_{2,\Omega,w}) + \\
 &\quad + \hat{c}_1(\varepsilon) C_f \|u_n - u\|_{p,\Omega,w} \left( \|u_n\|_{p,\Omega,w}^{\frac{p}{p'}} + \|u\|_{p,\Omega,w}^{\frac{p}{p'}} \right) \leq \\
 &\leq \hat{\varepsilon} C_f C_{2,\Omega}^2 \|u_n - u\|_{v_0,v_1} (\|u_n\|_{v_0,v_1} + \|u\|_{v_0,v_1}) + \\
 &\quad + \hat{c}_1(\varepsilon) C_f C_{p,\Omega}^{p-1} \|u_n - u\|_{p,\Omega,w} (\|u_n\|_{v_0,v_1}^{p-1} + \|u\|_{v_0,v_1}^{p-1}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $u_n$  is weakly convergent to  $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega; v_0, v_1)$ , we can assume without loss of generality that there exist a constant  $M > 0$  such that

$$\|u_n\|_{v_0, v_1} \leq M \text{ and } \|u_n - u\|_{v_0, v_1} \leq M, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then we have

$$|F(x, u_n(x)) - F(x, u(x))| \leq 2\hat{\varepsilon}C_f C_{2,\Omega}^2 M^2 + 2\hat{c}_1(\varepsilon)C_f C_{p,\Omega}^{p-1} M^{p-1} \|u_n - u\|_{p,\Omega,w}.$$

Arguing as above for the function  $G$ , we obtain

$$|G(x, u_n(x)) - G(x, u(x))| \leq 2\hat{\varepsilon}C_g C_{2,\Gamma}^2 M^2 + 2\hat{c}_2(\varepsilon)C_g C_{q,\Gamma}^{q-1} M^{q-1} \|u_n - u\|_{q,\Gamma,w}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} d \leq |J_\mu(u_n) - J_\mu(u)| &\leq 2\hat{\varepsilon}M^2(C_f C_{2,\Omega}^2 + C_g C_{2,\Gamma}^2) + \\ &+ 2\hat{c}_1(\varepsilon)C_f C_{p,\Omega}^{p-1} M^{p-1} \|u_n - u\|_{p,\Omega,w} + 2\hat{c}_2(\varepsilon)C_g C_{q,\Gamma}^{q-1} M^{q-1} \|u_n - u\|_{q,\Gamma,w}. \end{aligned}$$

Because the embeddings (1) and (2) are compact for  $2 < p < 2N/(N-2)$ ,  $2 < q < 2(N-1)/(N-2)$ , it follows that  $\|u_n - u\|_{p,\Omega,w} \rightarrow 0$  and  $\|u_n - u\|_{q,\Gamma,w} \rightarrow 0$ . Therefore, if  $\hat{\varepsilon} > 0$  is sufficiently small and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is large enough, we have

$$d \leq |J_\mu(u_n) - J_\mu(u)| < d,$$

which is a contradiction.

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

For the reader's convenience we recall here the Mountain Pass Theorem used in the proof of Theorem 1.1 (a).

**Theorem 3.1.** [6, Theorem 2.2] *Let  $E$  be a Banach space and  $I \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$  a functional, satisfying the Palais-Smale condition. Suppose  $I(0) = 0$  and*

(a) *there are constants  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\rho > 0$  such that  $I(u) \geq \alpha$ , for every  $\|u\| = \rho$ ;*

(b) *there is an  $e \in E$  with  $\|e\| > \rho$  and  $I(e) \leq 0$ .*

*Then the number*

$$c = \inf_{g \in \Gamma} \max_{v \in g([0,1])} I(v),$$

*where*

$$\Gamma = \{g \in C([0, 1], E) : g(0) = 0, g(1) = e\},$$

is a critical value of  $I$ , with  $c \geq \alpha$ .

The main tool in the proof of Theorem 1.1 (b) is the following refinement of a B. Ricceri-type critical point theorem ([7], [8]) established by G. Bonanno in [1].

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $X$  be a separable and reflexive real Banach space and let  $\Phi, J : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be two continuously Gâteaux differentiable functionals. Assume that there exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $\Phi(x_0) = J(x_0) = 0$  and  $\Phi(x) \geq 0$  for every  $x \in X$ , and there exists  $x_1 \in X$ ,  $\rho > 0$  such that*

$$(i) \quad \rho < \Phi(x_1) \text{ and } \sup_{\Phi(x) < \rho} J(x) < \rho \frac{J(x_1)}{\Phi(x_1)}. \text{ Further put}$$

$$\bar{a} = \frac{\zeta \rho}{\rho \frac{J(x_1)}{\Phi(x_1)} - \sup_{\Phi(x) < \rho} J(x)},$$

with  $\zeta > 1$ , assume that the functional  $\Phi - \lambda J$  is sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous, satisfies the Palais-Smale condition and

$$(ii) \quad \lim_{\|x\| \rightarrow +\infty} [\Phi(x) - \lambda J(x)] = +\infty, \text{ for every } \lambda \in [0, \bar{a}].$$

Then there is an open interval  $\Lambda \subset [0, \bar{a}]$  and a number  $\sigma > 0$  such that for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , the equation  $\Phi'(x) - \lambda J'(x) = 0$  admits at least three distinct solutions in  $X$ , having norm less than  $\sigma$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.1 (a).* Fix  $\lambda > \lambda_0$  and  $\mu \in ]0, \mu_\lambda^*[ = I_\lambda$ . From the Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4 we have that the functional  $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda, \mu}$  is bounded from below and satisfies the (PS)-condition. Then  $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda, \mu}$  achieves its infimum, i.e. there exists an element  $u_{\lambda, \mu} \in W^{1,2}(\Omega; v_0, v_1)$  such that  $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda, \mu}(u_{\lambda, \mu}) = \inf_{v \in W^{1,2}(\Omega; v_0, v_1)} \mathcal{E}_{\lambda, \mu}(v)$  (see [6, Theorem 2.7]). So  $\mathcal{E}'_{\lambda, \mu}(u_{\lambda, \mu}) = 0$  and by Lemma 2.6, we have  $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda, \mu}(u_{\lambda, \mu}) < 0$ .

On the other hand, there exists an element  $v_{\lambda, \mu} \in W^{1,2}(\Omega; v_0, v_1)$  such that  $\mathcal{E}'_{\lambda, \mu}(v_{\lambda, \mu}) = 0$  and  $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda, \mu}(v_{\lambda, \mu}) \geq \eta(\lambda, \mu, \varepsilon^*) > 0$  (by Lemma 2.7 and Theorem 3.1), which completes the proof.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.1 (b).* Let  $u_0 \in W^{1,2}(\Omega; v_0, v_1)$  be the function from Lemma 2.5 and fix

$$\mu_0 = \frac{J_F(u_0)}{1 + |J_G(u_0)|}.$$

Then for every  $\mu \in [-\mu_0, \mu_0]$  we have

$$J_\mu(u_0) = J_F(u_0) + \mu J_G(u_0) \geq \frac{J_F(u_0)}{1 + |J_G(u_0)|} > 0.$$

Now, we apply the Theorem 3.2 of Bonanno, by choosing  $X = W^{1,2}(\Omega; v_0, v_1)$ ,  $\Phi(u) = \frac{1}{2}\langle u, u \rangle_A$  and  $J = J_\mu$ , for  $\mu \in [-\mu_0, \mu_0]$ .

Taking account the lema 2.8 and the inequalities  $J_\mu(u_0) > 0$ ,  $\Phi(u_0) > 0$ , we can choose for every  $\mu \in [-\mu_0, \mu_0]$  a  $\rho_\mu > 0$  so small that

$$\rho_\mu < \frac{1}{2}\langle u_0, u_0 \rangle_A = \Phi(u_0) \quad (12)$$

$$\frac{\sup\{J_\mu(u) : \frac{1}{2}\langle u, u \rangle_A < \rho_\mu\}}{\rho_\mu} < \frac{J_\mu(u_0)}{\Phi(u_0)} \quad (13)$$

Now, choosing  $x_1 = u_0$ ,  $x_0 = 0$ ,  $\zeta = 1 + \rho_\mu$  and

$$a = \bar{a}_\mu = \frac{1 + \rho_\mu}{\frac{J_\mu(u_0)}{\Phi(u_0)} - \frac{\sup\{J_\mu(u) : \frac{1}{2}\langle u, u \rangle_A < \rho_\mu\}}{\rho_\mu}},$$

all the assumptions of the Theorem 3.2 are verified. Then, there is an open interval  $\Lambda_\mu \subset [0, \bar{a}_\mu]$  and a number  $\sigma_\mu > 0$  such that for any  $\lambda \in \Lambda_\mu$ , the functional  $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda, \mu} = \Phi - \lambda J_\mu$  admits at least three distinct critical points:  $u_{\lambda, \mu}^i \in W^{1,2}(\Omega; v_0, v_1)$ , ( $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ ), having norms less than  $\sigma_\mu$ .

We can see, that  $u = 0$  is a solution of the problem  $(P_{\lambda, \mu})$ . So if we are looking for nontrivial solutions, we can affirm that  $(P_{\lambda, \mu})$  has at least two distinct, nontrivial solutions in  $W^{1,2}(\Omega; v_0, v_1)$ , having norms less than  $\sigma_\mu$ , concluding the proof of the Theorem 1.1.

**Remark.** As an example, we consider the weight functions (see [5])

$$v_0(x) = w(x) = \begin{cases} \|x\|^{-2}, & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_1 \\ 1, & \text{if } x \in B_1 \end{cases},$$

$$v_1(x) = 1, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where  $B_1 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : \|x\| \leq 1\}$ . For these functions the embeddings  $W^{1,2}(\Omega; v_0, 1) \hookrightarrow L^p(\Omega; w)$  and  $W^{1,2}(\Omega; v_0, 1) \hookrightarrow L^q(\Gamma; w)$  are compact, if  $2 < p < 2N/(N-2)$ ,  $2 < q < 2(N-1)/(N-2)$ . Assuming that  $f$  and  $g$  satisfy the conditions

(F1)-(F4), (G1)-(G3) respectively and  $A$  defines a bilinear form with (A), we can apply the Theorem 1.1.

## References

- [1] Bonanno, G., *Some remarks on a three critical points theorem*, Nonlinear Analysis TMA, **54** (2003), 651-665.
- [2] Lisei, H., Varga, Cs., Horváth, A., *Multiplicity results for a class of quasilinear eigenvalue problems on unbounded domains*, Arch. der Math., (2007), in press.
- [3] Mezei, I.I., Varga, Cs., *Multiplicity result for a double eigenvalue quasilinear problem on unbounded domain*, Nonlinear Analysis TMA, (2007), doi:10.1016/j.na.2007.10.040
- [4] Pflüger, K., *Semilinear Elliptic Problems in Unbounded Domains: Solutions in weighted Sobolev Spaces*, Institut für Mathematik I, Freie Universität Berlin, Preprint nr. 21, (1995)
- [5] Pflüger, K., *Compact traces in weighted Sobolev space*. Analysis **18** (1998), 65-83.
- [6] Rabinowitz, P.H., *Minimax Methods in Critical Point Theory with Applications to Differential Equations*, CBMS Reg. Conference Series in Math., vol. **65**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1986.
- [7] Ricceri, B., *On a three critical points theorem*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **75** (2000), 220-226.
- [8] Ricceri, B., *Existence of three solutions for a class of elliptic eigenvalue problems*, Math. Comput. Modelling, **32** (2000), 1485-1494.

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE  
 UNIVERSITY OF BABEŞ BOLYAI  
 STR. M. KOGALNICEANU 1, 400084 CLUJ NAPOCA, ROMANIA  
*E-mail address:* mezeiildi@yahoo.com