

## FIRST ORDER ITERATIVE FUNCTIONAL-DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION WITH PARAMETER

EDITH EGRI AND IOAN A. RUS

*Dedicated to Professor D. D. Stancu on his 80<sup>th</sup> birthday*

**Abstract.** We consider the following first order iterative functional-differential equation with parameter

$$\begin{aligned}x'(t) &= f(t, x(t), x(x(t))) + \lambda, \quad t \in [a, b]; \\x(t) &= \varphi(t), \quad a_1 \leq t \leq a, \\x(t) &= \psi(t), \quad b \leq t \leq b_1.\end{aligned}$$

Using the Schauder's fixed point theorem we first establish an existence theorem, then by means of the contraction principle state an existence and uniqueness theorem, and after that a data dependence result. Finally, we give some examples which illustrate our results.

### 1. Introduction

Although many works on functional-differential equation exist (see for example J. K. Hale and S. Verduyn Lunel [9], V. Kalmanovskii and A. Myshkis [10] and T. A. Burton [3] and the references therein), there are a few on iterative functional-differential equations ([2], [4], [5], [8], [12], [13], [16], [17], [19]).

In this paper we consider the following problem:

$$x'(t) = f(t, x(t), x(x(t))) + \lambda, \quad t \in [a, b]; \tag{1.1}$$

$$x|_{[a_1, a]} = \varphi, \quad x|_{[b, b_1]} = \psi. \tag{1.2}$$

---

Received by the editors: 01.03.2007.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47H10, 34K10, 34K20.

*Key words and phrases.* iterative functional-differential equations, boundary value problem, contraction principle, Schauder fixed point theorem, data dependence.

where

(C<sub>1</sub>)  $a, b, a_1, b_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a_1 \leq a < b \leq b_1$ ;

(C<sub>2</sub>)  $f \in C([a, b] \times [a_1, b_1]^2, \mathbb{R})$ ;

(C<sub>3</sub>)  $\varphi \in C([a_1, a], [a_1, b_1])$  and  $\psi \in C([b, b_1], [a_1, b_1])$ ;

The problem is to determine the pair  $(x, \lambda)$ ,

$$x \in C([a_1, b_1], [a_1, b_1]) \cap C^1([a, b], [a_1, b_1]), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R},$$

which satisfies (1.1)+(1.2).

In this paper, using the Schauder's fixed point theorem we first establish an existence theorem, then by means of the contraction principle state an existence and uniqueness theorem, and after that a data dependence result. Finally, we take an example to illustrate our results.

## 2. Existence

We begin our considerations with some remarks.

Let  $(x, \lambda)$  be a solution of the problem (1.1)+(1.2). Then this problem is equivalent with the following fixed point equation

$$x(t) = \begin{cases} \varphi(t), & t \in [a_1, a], \\ \varphi(a) + \int_a^t f(s, x(s), x(x(s))) ds + \lambda(t - a), & t \in [a, b], \\ \psi(t), & t \in [b, b_1]. \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

From the condition of continuity of  $x$  in  $t = b$ , we have that

$$\lambda = \frac{\psi(b) - \varphi(a)}{b - a} - \frac{1}{b - a} \int_a^b f(s, x(s), x(x(s))) ds. \quad (2.4)$$

Now we consider the operator

$$A : C([a_1, b_1], [a_1, b_1]) \rightarrow C([a_1, b_1], \mathbb{R}),$$

where

$$A(x)(t) := \begin{cases} \varphi(t), & t \in [a_1, a], \\ \varphi(a) + \frac{t-a}{b-a}(\psi(b) - \varphi(a)) - \frac{t-a}{b-a} \int_a^b f(s, x(s), x(x(s))) ds + \\ \quad + \int_a^t f(s, x(s), x(x(s))) ds, & t \in [a, b], \\ \psi(t), & t \in [b, b_1]. \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

It is clear that  $(x, \lambda)$  is a solution of the problem (1.1)+(1.2) iff  $x$  is a fixed point of the operator  $A$  and  $\lambda$  is given by (2.4).

So, the problem is to study the fixed point equation

$$x = A(x).$$

We have

**Theorem 2.1.** *We suppose that*

- (i) *the conditions  $(C_1) - (C_3)$  are satisfied;*
- (ii)  *$m_f \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $M_f \in \mathbb{R}$  are such that  $m_f \leq f(t, u_1, u_2) \leq M_f, \forall t \in [a, b], u_i \in [a_1, b_1], i = 1, 2$ , and we have:*

$$a_1 \leq \min(\varphi(a), \psi(b)) - \max(0, M_f(b-a)) + \min(0, m_f(b-a)),$$

and

$$\max(\varphi(a), \psi(b)) - \min(0, m_f(b-a)) + \max(0, M_f(b-a)) \leq b_1.$$

*Then the problem (1.1) + (1.2) has in  $C([a_1, b_1], [a_1, b_1])$  at least a solution.*

**Proof.** In what follow we consider on  $C([a_1, b_1], \mathbb{R})$  the Chebyshev norm,  $\|\cdot\|_C$ .

Condition (ii) assures that the set  $C([a_1, b_1], [a_1, b_1])$  is an invariant subset for the operator  $A$ , that is, we have

$$A(C([a_1, b_1], [a_1, b_1])) \subset C([a_1, b_1], [a_1, b_1]).$$

Indeed, for  $t \in [a_1, a] \cup [b, b_1]$ , we have  $A(x)(t) \in [a_1, b_1]$ . Furthermore, we obtain

$$a_1 \leq A(x)(t) \leq b_1, \forall t \in [a, b],$$

if and only if

$$a_1 \leq \min_{t \in [a, b]} A(x)(t) \tag{2.6}$$

and

$$\max_{t \in [a, b]} A(x)(t) \leq b_1 \tag{2.7}$$

hold. Since

$$\min_{t \in [a, b]} A(x)(t) = \min(\varphi(a), \psi(b)) - \max(0, M_f(b-a)) + \min(0, m_f(b-a)),$$

respectively

$$\max_{t \in [a, b]} A(x)(t) = \max(\varphi(a), \psi(b)) - \min(0, m_f(b-a)) + \max(0, M_f(b-a)),$$

the requirements (2.6) and (2.7) are equivalent with the conditions appearing in (ii).

So, in the above conditions we have a selfmapping operator

$$A : C([a_1, b_1], [a_1, b_1]) \rightarrow C([a_1, b_1], [a_1, b_1]).$$

It is clear that  $A$  is completely continuous and the set  $C([a_1, b_1], [a_1, b_1]) \subseteq C([a_1, b_1], \mathbb{R})$  is a bounded convex closed subset of the Banach space  $(C([a_1, b_1], \mathbb{R}), \|\cdot\|_C)$ . By Schauder's fixed point theorem the operator  $A$  has at least a fixed point.  $\square$

### 3. Existence and uniqueness

Let  $L > 0$ , and introduce the following notation:

$$C_L([a_1, b_1], [a_1, b_1]) := \{x \in C([a_1, b_1], [a_1, b_1]) \mid |x(t_1) - x(t_2)| \leq L|t_1 - t_2|,$$

$$\forall t_1, t_2 \in [a_1, b_1]\}.$$

Remark that  $C_L([a_1, b_1], [a_1, b_1]) \subset (C([a_1, b_1], \mathbb{R}), \|\cdot\|_C)$  is a complete metric space.

We have

**Theorem 3.1.** *We suppose that*

- (i) the conditions  $(C_1) - (C_3)$  are satisfied;  
 (ii) there exists  $L_f > 0$  such that:

$$|f(t, u_1, u_2) - f(t, v_1, v_2)| \leq L_f (|u_1 - v_1| + |u_2 - v_2|),$$

for all  $t \in [a, b]$ ,  $u_i, v_i \in [a_1, b_1]$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ ;

- (iii)  $\varphi \in C_L([a_1, a], [a_1, b_1])$ ,  $\psi \in C_L([b, b_1], [a_1, b_1])$ ;  
 (iv)  $m_f, M_f \in \mathbb{R}$  are such that  $m_f \leq f(t, u_1, u_2) \leq M_f$ ,  $\forall t \in [a, b]$ ,  $u_i \in [a_1, b_1]$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , and we have:

$$a_1 \leq \min(\varphi(a), \psi(b)) - \max(0, M_f(b - a)) + \min(0, m_f(b - a)),$$

and

$$\max(\varphi(a), \psi(b)) - \min(0, m_f(b - a)) + \max(0, M_f(b - a)) \leq b_1;$$

- (v)  $2 \max\{|m_f|, |M_f|\} + \left| \frac{\psi(b) - \varphi(a)}{b - a} \right| \leq L$ ;  
 (vi)  $2L_f(L + 2)(b - a) < 1$ .

Then the problem (1.1)+(1.2) has in  $C_L([a_1, b_1], [a_1, b_1])$  a unique solution. Moreover, if we denote by  $(x^*, \lambda^*)$  the unique solution of the Cauchy problem, then it can be determined by

$$x^* = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A^n(x), \text{ for all } x \in X,$$

and

$$\lambda^* = \frac{\psi(b) - \varphi(a)}{b - a} - \frac{1}{b - a} \int_a^b f(s, x^*(s), x^*(x^*(s))) ds.$$

**Proof.** Consider the operator  $A : C_L([a_1, b_1], [a_1, b_1]) \rightarrow C([a_1, b_1], \mathbb{R})$  given by (2.5).

Conditions (iii) and (iv) imply that  $C_L([a_1, b_1], [a_1, b_1])$  is an invariant subset for  $A$ . Indeed, from the Theorem 2.1 we have

$$a_1 \leq A(x)(t) \leq b_1, \quad x(t) \in [a_1, b_1]$$

for all  $t \in [a_1, b_1]$ .

Now, consider  $t_1, t_2 \in [a_1, a]$ . Then,

$$|A(x)(t_1) - A(x)(t_2)| = |\varphi(t_1) - \varphi(t_2)| \leq L|t_1 - t_2|,$$

as  $\varphi \in C_L([a_1, a], [a_1, b_1])$ , due to (iii).

Similarly, for  $t_1, t_2 \in [b, b_1]$

$$|A(x)(t_1) - A(x)(t_2)| = |\psi(t_1) - \psi(t_2)| \leq L|t_1 - t_2|,$$

that follows from (iii), too.

On the other hand, if  $t_1, t_2 \in [a, b]$ , we have,

$$\begin{aligned} & |A(x)(t_1) - A(x)(t_2)| = \\ & = \left| \varphi(a) + \frac{t_1 - a}{b - a}(\psi(b) - \varphi(a)) - \frac{t_1 - a}{b - a} \int_a^b f(s, x(s), x(x(s))) \, ds \right. \\ & + \int_a^{t_1} f(s, x(s), x(x(s))) \, ds - \varphi(a) - \frac{t_2 - a}{b - a}(\psi(b) - \varphi(a)) \\ & \left. + \frac{t_2 - a}{b - a} \int_a^b f(s, x(s), x(x(s))) \, ds - \int_a^{t_2} f(s, x(s), x(x(s))) \, ds \right| \\ & = \left| \frac{t_1 - t_2}{b - a} [\psi(b) - \varphi(a)] - \frac{t_1 - t_2}{b - a} \int_a^b f(s, x(s), x(x(s))) \, ds - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} f(s, x(s), x(x(s))) \, ds \right| \\ & \leq |t_1 - t_2| \left[ \left| \frac{\psi(b) - \varphi(a)}{b - a} \right| + 2 \max\{|m_f|, |M_f|\} \right] \leq L|t_1 - t_2|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, due to (v), the operator  $A$  is  $L$ -Lipschitz and, consequently, it is an invariant operator on the space  $C_L([a_1, b_1], [a_1, b_1])$ .

From the condition (v) it follows that  $A$  is an  $L_A$ -contraction with

$$L_A := 2L_f(L + 2)(b - a).$$

Indeed, for all  $t \in [a_1, a] \cup [b, b_1]$ , we have  $|A(x_1)(t) - A(x_2)(t)| = 0$ .

Moreover, for  $t \in [a, b]$  we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |A(x_1)(t) - A(x_2)(t)| \leq \\
 & \leq \left| \frac{t-a}{b-a} \int_a^b [f(s, x_1(s), x_1(x_1(s))) - f(s, x_2(s), x_2(x_2(s)))] ds \right| + \\
 & + \left| \int_a^t [f(s, x_1(s), x_1(x_1(s))) - f(s, x_2(s), x_2(x_2(s)))] ds \right| \leq \\
 & \leq \max_{t \in [a, b]} \left| \frac{t-a}{b-a} \right| \cdot L_f \int_a^b (|x_1(s) - x_2(s)| + |x_1(x_1(s)) - x_2(x_2(s))|) ds + \\
 & + L_f \int_a^t (|x_1(s) - x_2(s)| + |x_1(x_1(s)) - x_2(x_2(s))|) ds \leq \\
 & \leq L_f \left[ (b-a) \|x_1 - x_2\|_C + \int_a^b |x_1(x_1(s)) - x_1(x_2(s)) + x_1(x_2(s)) - x_2(x_2(s))| ds \right] + \\
 & + L_f \left[ (t-a) \|x_1 - x_2\|_C + \int_a^t |x_1(x_1(s)) - x_1(x_2(s)) + x_1(x_2(s)) - x_2(x_2(s))| ds \right] \leq \\
 & \leq 2L_f(b-a) (\|x_1 - x_2\|_C + L\|x_1 - x_2\|_C + \|x_1 - x_2\|_C) = \\
 & = 2L_f(L+2)(b-a) \|x_1 - x_2\|_C.
 \end{aligned}$$

By the contraction principle the operator  $A$  has a unique fixed point, that is the problem (1.1) + (1.2) has in  $C_L([a_1, b_1], [a_1, b_1])$  a unique solution  $(x^*, \lambda^*)$ .

Obviously,  $x^*$  can be determined by

$$x^* = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A^n(x), \text{ for all } x \in X,$$

and, from (2.4) we get

$$\lambda^* = \frac{\psi(b) - \varphi(a)}{b-a} - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(s, x^*(s), x^*(x^*(s))) ds.$$

□

#### 4. Data dependence

Consider the following two problems

$$\begin{cases} x'(t) = f_1(t, x(t), x(x(t))) + \lambda_1, & t \in [a, b] \\ x(t) = \varphi_1(t), & t \in [a_1, a] \\ x(t) = \psi_1(t), & t \in [b, b_1] \end{cases} \quad (4.8)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} x'(t) = f_2(t, x(t), x(x(t))) + \lambda_2, & t \in [a, b] \\ x(t) = \varphi_2(t), & t \in [a_1, a] \\ x(t) = \psi_2(t), & t \in [b, b_1] \end{cases} \quad (4.9)$$

Let  $f_i, \varphi_i$  and  $\psi_i, i = 1, 2$  be as in the Theorem 3.1.

Consider the operators  $A_1, A_2 : C_L([a_1, b_1], [a_1, b_1]) \rightarrow C_L([a_1, b_1], [a_1, b_1])$

given by

$$A_i(x)(t) := \begin{cases} \varphi_i(t), & t \in [a_1, a], \\ \varphi_i(a) + \frac{t-a}{b-a}(\psi_i(b) - \varphi_i(a)) - \frac{t-a}{b-a} \int_a^b f_i(s, x(s), x(x(s))) ds + \\ \quad + \int_a^t f_i(s, x(s), x(x(s))) ds, & t \in [a, b], \\ \psi_i(t), & t \in [b, b_1], \end{cases} \quad (4.10)$$

$i = 1, 2$ .

Thus, these operators are contractions. Denote by  $x_1^*, x_2^*$  their unique fixed points.

We have

**Theorem 4.1.** *Suppose we are in the conditions of the Theorem 3.1, and, moreover*

(i) *there exists  $\eta_1$  such that*

$$|\varphi_1(t) - \varphi_2(t)| \leq \eta_1, \quad \forall t \in [a_1, a],$$

*and*

$$|\psi_1(t) - \psi_2(t)| \leq \eta_1, \quad \forall t \in [b, b_1];$$

(ii) there exists  $\eta_2 > 0$  such that

$$|f_1(t, u_1, u_2) - f_2(t, u_1, u_2)| \leq \eta_2, \quad \forall t \in [a, b], \quad \forall u_i \in [a_1, b_1], \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Then

$$\|x_1^* - x_2^*\|_C \leq \frac{3\eta_1 + 2(b-a)\eta_2}{1 - 2L_f(L+2)(b-a)},$$

and

$$|\lambda_1^* - \lambda_2^*| \leq \frac{2\eta_1}{b-a} + \eta_2,$$

where  $L_f = \max(L_{f_1}, L_{f_2})$ , and  $(x_i^*, \lambda_i^*)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  are the solutions of the corresponding problems (4.8), (4.9).

**Proof.** It is easy to see that for  $t \in [a_1, a] \cup [b, b_1]$  we have

$$\|A_1(x) - A_2(x)\|_C \leq \eta_1.$$

On the other hand, for  $t \in [a, b]$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |A_1(x)(t) - A_2(x)(t)| &= \left| \varphi_1(a) - \varphi_2(a) + \frac{t-a}{b-a} [\psi_1(b) - \psi_2(b) - (\varphi_1(a) - \varphi_2(a))] - \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{t-a}{b-a} \int_a^b [f_1(s, x(s), x(x(s))) - f_2(s, x(s), x(x(s)))] ds + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_a^t [f_1(s, x(s), x(x(s))) - f_2(s, x(s), x(x(s)))] ds \right| \leq \\ &\leq |\varphi_1(a) - \varphi_2(a)| + \frac{t-a}{b-a} [|\psi_1(b) - \psi_2(b)| + |\varphi_1(a) - \varphi_2(a)|] + \\ &\quad + \frac{t-a}{b-a} \int_a^b |f_1(s, x(s), x(x(s))) - f_2(s, x(s), x(x(s)))| ds + \\ &\quad + \int_a^t |f_1(s, x(s), x(x(s))) - f_2(s, x(s), x(x(s)))| ds \leq \\ &\leq \eta_1 + \max_{t \in [a, b]} \frac{t-a}{b-a} \cdot [2\eta_1 + \eta_2(b-a)] + \eta_2 \cdot \max_{t \in [a, b]} (t-a) = \\ &= 3\eta_1 + 2(b-a)\eta_2 \end{aligned}$$

So, we have

$$\|A_1(x) - A_2(x)\|_C \leq 3\eta_1 + 2(b-a)\eta_2, \quad \forall x \in C_L([a_1, b_1], [a_1, b_1]).$$

Consequently, from the data dependence theorem we obtain

$$\|x_1^* - x_2^*\|_C \leq \frac{3\eta_1 + 2(b-a)\eta_2}{1 - 2L_f(L+2)(b-a)}.$$

Moreover, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda_1^* - \lambda_2^*| &= \\ &= \left| \frac{\psi_1(b) - \varphi_1(a)}{b-a} - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f_1(s, x(s), x(x(s))) \, ds - \frac{\psi_2(b) - \varphi_2(a)}{b-a} + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f_2(s, x(s), x(x(s))) \, ds \right| \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{b-a} \left[ |\psi_1(b) - \psi_2(b)| + |\varphi_1(a) - \varphi_2(a)| + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_a^b |f_1(s, x(s), x(x(s))) - f_2(s, x(s), x(x(s)))| \, ds \right] \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{b-a} [\eta_1 + \eta_1 + \eta_2(b-a)] = \frac{2\eta_1}{b-a} + \eta_2, \end{aligned}$$

and the proof is complete.  $\square$

## 5. Examples

Consider the following problem:

$$x'(t) = \mu x(x(t)) + \lambda; \quad t \in [0, 1], \quad \mu \in \mathbb{R}_+^*, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \quad (5.11)$$

$$x|_{[-h, 0]} = 0; \quad x|_{[1, 1+h]} = 1, \quad h \in \mathbb{R}_+^* \quad (5.12)$$

with  $x \in C([-h, 1+h], [-h, 1+h]) \cap C^1([0, 1], [-h, 1+h])$ .

We have

**Proposition 5.1.** *We suppose that*

$$\mu \leq \frac{h}{1+2h}.$$

*Then the problem (5.11) has in  $C([-h, 1+h], [-h, 1+h])$  at least a solution.*

**Proof.** First of all notice that accordingly to the Theorem 2.1 we have  $a = 0$ ,  $b = 1$ ,  $\psi(b) = 1$ ,  $\varphi(a) = 0$  and  $f(t, u_1, u_2) = \mu u_2$ . Moreover,  $a_1 = -h$  and  $b_1 = 1+h$  can be

taken. Therefore, from the relation

$$m_f \leq f(t, u_1, u_2) \leq M_f, \quad \forall t \in [0, 1], \forall u_1, u_2 \in [-h, 1 + h],$$

we can choose  $m_f = -h\mu$  and  $M_f = (1 + h)\mu$ .

For these data it can be easily verified that the conditions (ii) from the Theorem 2.1 are equivalent with the relation

$$\mu \leq \frac{h}{1 + 2h},$$

consequently we have the proof.  $\square$

Let  $L > 0$  and consider the complete metric space  $C_L([-h, h + 1], [-h, h + 1])$  with the Chebyshev norm  $\|\cdot\|_C$ .

Another result reads as follows.

**Proposition 5.2.** *Consider the problem (5.11). We suppose that*

- (i)  $\mu \leq \frac{h}{1 + 2h}$ ;
- (ii)  $2(1 + h)\mu + 1 \leq L$
- (iii)  $2\mu(L + 2) < 1$

*Then the problem (5.11) has in  $C_L([-h, h + 1], [-h, h + 1])$  a unique solution.*

**Proof.** Observe that the Lipschitz constant for the function  $f(t, u_1, u_2) = \mu u_2$  is  $L_f = \mu$ .

By a common check in the conditions of Theorem 3.1 we can make sure that

$$2 \max\{|m_f|, |M_f|\} + \left| \frac{\psi(b) - \varphi(a)}{b - a} \right| \leq L \iff 2(1 + h)\mu + 1 \leq L,$$

and

$$2L_f(L + 2)(b - a) < 1 \iff 2\mu(L + 2) < 1.$$

Therefore, by Theorem 3.1 we have the proof.  $\square$

Now take the following problems

$$x'(t) = \mu_1 x(x(t)) + \lambda; \quad t \in [0, 1], \quad \mu_1 \in \mathbb{R}_+^*, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \quad (5.13)$$

$$x|_{[-h, 0]} = \varphi_1; \quad x|_{[1, 1+h]} = \psi_1, \quad h \in \mathbb{R}_+^* \quad (5.14)$$

$$x'(t) = \mu_2 x(x(t)) + \lambda; \quad t \in [0, 1], \quad \mu_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+^*, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \quad (5.15)$$

$$x|_{[-h, 0]} = \varphi_2; \quad x|_{[1, 1+h]} = \psi_2, \quad h \in \mathbb{R}_+^*. \quad (5.16)$$

Suppose that we have satisfied the following assumptions

(H<sub>1</sub>)  $\varphi_i \in C_L([-h, 0], [-h, 1+h])$ ,  $\psi_i \in C_L([1, 1+h], [-h, 1+h])$ , such that

$$\varphi_i(0) = 0, \quad \psi_i(1) = 1, \quad i = 1, 2;$$

(H<sub>2</sub>) we are in the conditions of Proposition 5.2 for both of the problems (5.13) and (5.15).

Let  $(x_1^*, \lambda_1^*)$  be the unique solution of the problem (5.13) and  $(x_2^*, \lambda_2^*)$  the unique solution of the problem (5.15). We are looking for an estimation for  $\|x_1^* - x_2^*\|_C$ .

Then, build upon Theorem 4.1, by a common substitution one can make sure that we have

**Proposition 5.3.** *Consider the problems (5.13), (5.15) and suppose the requirements H<sub>1</sub> – H<sub>2</sub> hold. Additionally,*

(i) *there exists  $\eta_1$  such that*

$$|\varphi_1(t) - \varphi_2(t)| \leq \eta_1, \quad \forall t \in [-h, 0],$$

$$|\psi_1(t) - \psi_2(t)| \leq \eta_1, \quad \forall t \in [1, 1+h];$$

(ii) *there exists  $\eta_2 > 0$  such that*

$$|\mu_1 - \mu_2| \cdot |u_2| \leq \eta_2, \quad \forall t \in [0, 1], \quad \forall u_2 \in [-h, 1+h].$$

*Then*

$$\|x_1^* - x_2^*\|_C \leq \frac{3\eta_1 + 2\eta_2}{1 - 2(L+2) \cdot \max\{\mu_1, \mu_2\}},$$

*and*

$$|\lambda_1^* - \lambda_2^*| \leq 2\eta_1 + \eta_2.$$

## References

- [1] Buică, A., *On the Chauchy problem for a functional-differential equation*, Seminar on Fixed Point Theory, Cluj-Napoca, 1993, 17-18.
- [2] Buică, A., *Existence and continuous dependence of solutions of some functional-differential equations*, Seminar on Fixed Point Theory, Cluj-Napoca, 1995, 1-14.
- [3] Burton, T.A., *Stability by Fixed Point Theory for Functional Differential Equations*, Dover Publications, Mineola, New York, 2006
- [4] Coman, Gh., Pavel, G., Rus, I., Rus, I.A., *Introducere în teoria ecuațiilor operatoriale*, Editura Dacia, Cluj-Napoca, 1976.
- [5] Devasahayam, M.P., *Existence of monoton solutions for functional differential equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 118(1986), No.2, 487-495.
- [6] Dunkel, G.M., *Functional-differential equations: Examples and problems*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, No.144(1970), 49-63.
- [7] Granas, A., Dugundji, J., *Fixed Point Theory*, Springer, 2003.
- [8] Grimm, L.J., Schmitt, K., *Boundary value problems for differential equations with deviating arguments*, *Aequationes Math.*, 4(1970), 176-190.
- [9] Hale, J.K., Verduyn Lunel, S., *Introduction to functional-differential equations*, Springer, 1993.
- [10] Kalmanovskii, V., Myshkis, A., *Applied Theory of Functional-Differential Equations*, Kluwer, 1992.
- [11] Lakshmikantham, V., Wen, L., Zhang, B., *Theory of Differential Equations with Unbounded Delay*, Kluwer, London, 1994.
- [12] Oberg, R.J., *On the local existence of solutions of certain functional-differential equations*, *Proc. AMS*, 20(1969), 295-302.
- [13] Petuhov, V.R., *On a boundary value problem*, *Trud. Sem. Teorii Diff. Univ. Otklon. Arg.*, 3(1965), 252-255 (in Russian).
- [14] Rus, I.A., *Principii și aplicații ale teoriei punctului fix*, Editura Dacia, Cluj-Napoca, 1979.
- [15] Rus, I.A., *Picard operators and applications*, *Scientiae Math. Japonicae*, 58(2003), No.1, 191-219.
- [16] Rus, I.A., *Functional-differential equations of mixed type, via weakly Picard operators*, *Seminar on fixed point theory*, Cluj-Napoca, 2002, 335-345.
- [17] Rzepecki, B., *On some functional-differential equations*, *Glasnik Mat.*, 19(1984), 73-82.
- [18] Si, J.-G., Li, W.-R., Cheng, S.S., *Analytic solution of on iterative functional-differential equation*, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 33(1997), No.6, 47-51.

- [19] Stanek, S., *Global properties of decreasing solutions of equation  $x'(t) = x(x(t)) + x(t)$* ,  
Funct. Diff. Eq., 4(1997), No.1-2, 191-213.

BABEȘ-BOLYAI UNIVERSITY,  
DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY,  
530164 MIERCUREA-CIUC, STR. TOPLIȚA, NR.20, JUD. HARGHITA, ROMANIA  
*E-mail address:* [egriedit@yahoo.com](mailto:egriedit@yahoo.com)

BABEȘ-BOLYAI UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS,  
STR. M. KOGĂLNICEANU NR.1, 400084 CLUJ-NAPOCA, ROMANIA  
*E-mail address:* [iarus@math.ubbcluj.ro](mailto:iarus@math.ubbcluj.ro)