

## DATA DEPENDENCE FOR SOME INTEGRAL EQUATIONS VIA WEAKLY PICARD OPERATORS

ION MARIAN OLARU

**Abstract.** In this paper we study data dependence for the following integral equation:

$$u(x) = h(x, u(0)) + \int_0^{x_1} \cdots \int_0^{x_m} K(x, s, u(\theta_1 s_1, \dots, \theta_m s_m)) ds,$$

$$x \in \prod_{i=1}^m [0, b_i], \theta_i \in (0, 1), (\forall) i = \overline{1, m}$$

by using c-WPOs.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and  $A : X \rightarrow X$  an operator. We shall use the following notations:

$F_A := \{x \in X \mid A(x) = x\}$  the fixed points set of  $A$ .

$I(A) := \{Y \in P(X) \mid A(Y) \subset Y\}$  the family of the nonempty invariant subsets of  $A$ .

$A^{n+1} = A \circ A^n, A^0 = 1_X, A^1 = A, n \in N$ .

**Definition 1.1.** [1] *An operator  $A$  is weakly Picard operator (WPO) if the sequence*

$$(A^n(x))_{n \in N}$$

*converges, for all  $x \in X$  and the limit (which depend on  $x$ ) is a fixed point of  $A$ .*

**Definition 1.2.** [1] *If the operator  $A$  is WPO and  $F_A = \{x^*\}$  then by definition  $A$  is Picard operator.*

---

Received by the editors: 10.09.2005.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 34K10, 47H10.

*Key words and phrases.* Picard operators, weakly Picard operators, fixed points, data dependence.

**Definition 1.3.** [1] *If  $A$  is WPO, then we consider the operator*

$$A^\infty : X \rightarrow X, A^\infty(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A^n(x).$$

We remark that  $A^\infty(X) = F_A$ .

**Definition 1.4.** [1] *Let  $A$  be an WPO and  $c > 0$ . The operator  $A$  is  $c$ -WPO if*

$$d(x, A^\infty(x)) \leq c \cdot d(x, A(x)).$$

We have the following characterization of the WPOs:

**Theorem 1.1.** [1] *Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and  $A : X \rightarrow X$  an operator. The operator  $A$  is WPO ( $c$ -WPO) if and only if there exists a partition of  $X$ ,*

$$X = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_\lambda$$

*such that*

- (a)  $X_\lambda \in I(A)$
- (b)  $A|_{X_\lambda} : X_\lambda \rightarrow X_\lambda$  is a Picard ( $c$ -Picard) operator, for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ .

For the class of  $c$ -WPOs we have the following data dependence result:

**Theorem 1.2.** [1] *Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and  $A_i : X \rightarrow X, i = \overline{1, 2}$  operators. We suppose that:*

- (i) *the operator  $A_i$  is  $c_i$ -WPO,  $i = \overline{1, 2}$ .*
- (ii) *there exists  $\eta > 0$  such that*

$$d(A_1(x), A_2(x)) \leq \eta, (\forall)x \in X.$$

*Then*

$$H(F_{A_1}, F_{A_2}) \leq \eta \max\{c_1, c_2\}.$$

*Here stands for Hausdorff-Pompeiu functional.*

We have:

**Lemma 1.1.** [1], [3] *Let  $(X, d, \leq)$  be an ordered metric space and  $A : X \rightarrow X$  an operator such that:*

- a)  *$A$  is monotone increasing.*
- b)  *$A$  is WPO.*

*Then the operator  $A^\infty$  is monotone increasing.*

**Lemma 1.2.** [1], [3] *Let  $(X, d, \leq)$  be an ordered metric space and  $A, B, C : X \rightarrow X$  such that :*

- (i)  *$A \leq B \leq C$ .*
- (ii) *the operators  $A, B, C$  are WPOs.*
- (iii) *the operator  $B$  is monotone increasing.*

*Then*

$$x \leq y \leq z \implies A^\infty(x) \leq B^\infty(y) \leq C^\infty(z).$$

## 2. Main results

Data dependence for functional integral equations was studied [1], [2], [3]. In what follows we consider the integral equation

$$u(x) = h(x, u(0)) + \int_0^{x_1} \cdots \int_0^{x_m} K(x, s, u(\theta_1 s, \dots, \theta_m s)) ds, \quad (1)$$

where

$$x \in \prod_{i=1}^m [0, b_i], \theta_i \in (0, 1), (\forall) i = \overline{1, m}.$$

We denote  $D = \prod_{i=1}^m [0, b_i]$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *We suppose that:*

- (i)  *$h \in C(D \times R)$  and  $K \in C(D \times D \times R)$ .*
- (ii)  *$h(0, \alpha) = \alpha, (\forall) \alpha \in R$ .*
- (iii) *there exists  $L_K > 0$  such that*

$$|K(x, s, u_1) - K(x, s, u_2)| \leq L_K |u_1 - u_2|,$$

for all  $x, s \in D$  and  $u_1, u_2 \in R$ .

In these conditions the equation (1) has in  $C(D)$  an infinity of solutions.

Moreover if

(iv)  $h(x, \cdot)$  and  $K(x, s, \cdot)$  are monotone increasing for all  $x, s \in D$

then if  $u$  and  $v$  are solutions of the equation (1) such that  $u(0) \leq v(0)$  we have  $u \leq v$ .

**Proof.** Consider the operator

$$A : (C(D), \|\cdot\|_B) \rightarrow (C(D), \|\cdot\|_B),$$

$$A(u)(x) := h(x, u(0)) + \int_0^{x_1} \cdots \int_0^{x_m} K(x, s, u(\theta_1 s, \dots, \theta_m s)) ds.$$

Here  $\|u\|_B = \max_{x \in D} |u(x)| e^{-\sum_{i=1}^m x_i}$ .

Let  $\lambda \in R$  and  $X_\lambda = \{u \in C(D) \mid u(0) = \lambda\}$ . Then

$$C(D) = \bigcup_{\lambda \in R} X_\lambda.$$

is a partition of  $C(D)$  and  $X_\lambda \in I(A)$ , for all  $\lambda \in R$ .

For all  $u, v \in X_\lambda$ , we have have

$$|A(u)(x) - A(v)(x)| \leq \frac{L_K}{\tau^m \theta_1 \cdots \theta_m} e^{\tau \sum_{i=1}^m x_i} \|u - v\|_B.$$

So the restriction of the operator  $A$  on  $X_\lambda$  is a c-Picard operator with  $c = (1 - \frac{L_K}{\tau^m \theta_1 \cdots \theta_m})^{-1}$ , for a suitable choices of  $\tau$  such that  $\frac{L_K}{\tau^m \theta_1 \cdots \theta_m} < 1$ .

If  $u \in R$  then we denote by  $\tilde{u}$  the constant operator

$$\tilde{u} : C(D) \rightarrow C(D)$$

defined by

$$\tilde{u}(t) = u.$$

If  $u, v \in C(D)$  are the solutions of (1) with  $u(0) \leq v(0)$  then  $\widetilde{u(0)} \in X_{u(0)}, \widetilde{v(0)} \in X_{v(0)}$ .

By lema 1.1 we have that

$$\widetilde{u(0)} \leq \widetilde{v(0)} \implies A^\infty(\widetilde{u(0)}) \leq A^\infty(\widetilde{v(0)}).$$

But

$$u = A^\infty(\widetilde{u(0)}), v = A^\infty(\widetilde{v(0)}).$$

So,  $u \leq v$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $h_i \in C(D \times R)$  and  $K_i \in C(D \times D \times R)$ ,  $i = \overline{1,3}$  satisfy the conditions (i), (ii), (iii) from the Theorem 2.1. We suppose that

(a)  $h_2(x, \cdot)$  and  $K_2(x, s, \cdot)$  are monotone increasing, for all  $x, s \in D$ .

(b)  $h_1 \leq h_2 \leq h_3$  and  $K_1 \leq K_2 \leq K_3$ .

Let  $u_i$  be a solution of the equation (1) corresponding to  $h_i$  and  $K_i$ .

Then

$$u_1(0) \leq u_2(0) \leq u_3(0) \text{ imply } u_1 \leq u_2 \leq u_3.$$

**Proof.** The proof follows from Lemma 1.2.

For studding of data dependence we consider the following equations:

$$u(x) = h_1(x, u(0)) + \int_0^{x_1} \cdots \int_0^{x_m} K_1(x, s, u(\theta_1 s_1, \cdots, \theta_m s_m)) ds \quad (2)$$

$$u(x) = h_2(x, u(0)) + \int_0^{x_1} \cdots \int_0^{x_m} K_2(x, s, u(\theta_1 s_1, \cdots, \theta_m s_m)) ds \quad (3)$$

**Theorem 2.3.** We consider (2), (3) under the following conditions:

(i)  $h_i \in C(D \times R)$  and  $K_i \in C(D \times D \times R)$ ,  $i = \overline{1,2}$ .

(ii)  $h_i(0, \alpha) = \alpha$ ,  $(\forall) \alpha \in R$ ,  $i = \overline{1,2}$ .

(iii) there exists  $L_{K_i} > 0$ ,  $i = \overline{1,2}$  such that

$$|K_i(x, s, u_1) - K_i(x, s, u_2)| \leq L_{K_i} |u_1 - u_2|, \quad i = \overline{1,2}$$

for all  $x, s \in D$  and  $u_1, u_2 \in R$ .

(iv)  $(\exists)\eta_1, \eta_2 > 0$  such that

$$|h_1(x, u) - h_2(x, u)| \leq \eta_1,$$

$$|K_1(x, s, u) - K_2(x, s, u)| \leq \eta_2,$$

$(\forall)x, s \in D, u \in R$ .

If  $S_1, S_2$  are the solutions sets of the equations (2), (3), then we have:

$$H(S_1, S_2) \leq (\eta_1 + \eta_2 \prod_{i=1}^m b_i) \max_{i=1,2} \left\{ \frac{1}{1 - \frac{L_{K_i}}{\tau^m \theta_1 \cdots \theta_m}} \right\},$$

for  $\tau > \max_{i=1,2} \left\{ \sqrt[m]{\frac{L_{K_i}}{\theta_1 \cdots \theta_m}} \right\}$ .

**Proof.** We consider the following operators:

$$A_i : (C(D), \|\cdot\|_B) \rightarrow (C(D), \|\cdot\|_B),$$

$$A_i u(x) := h_i(x, u(0)) + \int_0^{x_1} \cdots \int_0^{x_m} K_i(x, s, u(\theta_1 s, \cdots, \theta_m s)) ds, \quad i = \overline{1, 2}$$

From:

$$\begin{aligned} |A_1(u)(x) - A_2(u)(x)| &\leq |h_1(x, u(0)) - h_2(x, u(0))| + \\ &\int_0^{x_1} \cdots \int_0^{x_m} \|K_1(x, s, u(\theta_1 s \cdot \theta_m s)) - K_2(x, s, u(\theta_1 s, \cdots, \theta_m s))\| ds \leq \\ &\leq \eta_1 + \eta_2 \prod_{i=1}^m b_i. \end{aligned}$$

we have that  $\|A(u) - A(v)\|_B \leq \eta_1 + \eta_2 \prod_{i=1}^m b_i$

Like in the proof of Theorem 1.2 we obtain that the operators  $A_i, i = \overline{1, 2}$  are  $c_i$ -WPOs with  $c_i = \left(1 - \frac{L_{K_i}}{\tau^m \theta_1 \cdots \theta_m}\right)^{-1}$ ,  $\tau > \max_{i=1,2} \left\{ \sqrt[m]{\frac{L_{K_i}}{\theta_1 \cdots \theta_m}} \right\}$ .

From this and by Theorem 1.2. we have conclusion.

## References

- [1] I. A. Rus, *Weakly Picard operators and applications*, Seminar on Fixed Point Theory, Cluj-Napoca, vol. 2, 2001, 41-57.
- [2] I.A.Rus, *Generalized contractions*, Seminar on Fixed Point Theory, No. 3, 1983, 1-130.
- [3] I. A. Rus, *Functional-Differential equation of mixed type, via weakly Picard operator*, Seminar on Fixed Point Theory Cluj-Napoca, vol. 3, 2002, 335-345.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS ,  
UNIVERSITY "LUCIAN BLAGA " ,  
SIBIU, ROMANIA  
*E-mail address:* olaruim@yahoo.com