

ON GENERALIZED DIFFERENCE LACUNARY STATISTICAL CONVERGENCE

BINOD CHANDRA TRIPATHY AND MIKÂIL ET

Abstract. A lacunary sequence is an increasing integer sequence $\theta = (k_r)$ such that $k_0 = 0, k_r - k_{r-1} \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. A sequence x is called $S_\theta(\Delta^m)$ -convergent to L provided that for each $\varepsilon > 0$, $\lim_r (k_r - k_{r-1})^{-1} \{\text{the number of } k_{r-1} < k \leq k_r : |\Delta^m x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\} = 0$, where $\Delta^m x_k = \Delta^{m-1} x_k - \Delta^{m-1} x_{k+1}$. The purpose of this paper is to introduce the concept of Δ^m -lacunary statistical convergence and Δ^m -lacunary strongly convergence and examine some properties of these sequence spaces. We establish some connections between Δ^m -lacunary strongly convergence and Δ^m -lacunary statistical convergence. It is shown that if a sequence is Δ^m -lacunary strongly convergent then it is Δ^m -lacunary statistically convergent. We also show that the space $S_\theta(\Delta^m)$ may be represented as a $[f, p, \theta](\Delta^m)$ space.

1. Introduction

Throughout the article $w, \ell_\infty, c, c_0, \bar{c}$, and \bar{c}_0 denote the spaces of all, bounded, convergent, null, statistically convergent and statistically null complex sequences. The notion of statistical convergence was introduced by Fast [6] and Schoenberg [19] independently. Subsequently statistical convergence have been discussed in ([5], [7], [8], [12], [16], [18]).

Received by the editors: 15.11.2004.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 40A05, 40C05, 46A45.

Key words and phrases. Difference sequence, statistical convergence, lacunary sequence.

The notion depends on the density of subsets of the set \mathbb{N} of natural numbers. A subset E of \mathbb{N} is said to have density $\delta(E)$, if

$$\delta(E) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \chi_E(k) \text{ exists,}$$

where χ_E is the characteristic function of E .

A sequence (x_n) is said to be statistically convergent to L if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, $\delta(\{k \in \mathbb{N} : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}) = 0$. In this case we write $S - \lim x_k = L$ or $x_k \rightarrow L(S)$.

The notion of difference sequence spaces was introduced by Kizmaz [10]. Later on the notion was generalized by Et and Çolak [3] and was studied by Et and Basarir [4], Malkowsky and Parashar [14], Et and Nuray [5], Çolak [2] and many others.

Let m be a non-negative integer, then

$$X(\Delta^m) = \{x = (x_k) : (\Delta^m x_k) \in X\}$$

for $X = \ell_\infty, c$ and c_0 , where $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\Delta^0 x = (x_k)$ and $\Delta^m x = (\Delta^m x_k) = (\Delta^{m-1} x_k - \Delta^{m-1} x_{k+1})$.

The generalized difference has the following binomial representation:

$$\Delta^m x_k = \sum_{v=0}^m (-1)^v \binom{m}{v} x_{k+v}.$$

The sequence spaces $\ell_\infty(\Delta^m)$, $c(\Delta^m)$ and $c_0(\Delta^m)$ are BK-spaces, normed by

$$\|x\|_\Delta = \sum_{i=0}^m |x_i| + \|\Delta^m x\|_\infty.$$

We call these sequence spaces Δ^m -bounded, Δ^m -convergent and Δ^m -null sequences, respectively. The classes $\bar{c}(\Delta^m)$ and $\bar{c}_0(\Delta^m)$ was studied by Et and Nuray [5].

Let $\theta = (k_r)$ be the sequence of positive integers such that $k_0 = 0$, $0 < k_r < k_{r+1}$ and $h_r = k_r - k_{r-1} \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. Then θ is called a lacunary sequence. The intervals determined by θ will be denoted by $I_r = (k_{r-1}, k_r]$ and the ratio k_r/k_{r-1} will be denoted by q_r .

Let $E, F \subset w$. Then we shall write

$$M(E, F) = \bigcap_{x \in E} x^{-1} * F = \{a \in w : ax \in F \text{ for all } x \in E\} \quad [20].$$

The set $E^\alpha = M(E, l_1)$ is called Köthe-Toeplitz dual space or α -dual of E .

A sequence space E is said to be solid (or normal) if $(\alpha_k x_k) \in E$ whenever $(x_k) \in E$ for all sequences (α_k) of scalars with $|\alpha_k| \leq 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

A sequence space E is said to be symmetric if $(x_k) \in E$ implies $(x_{\pi(k)}) \in E$, where $\pi(k)$ is a permutation of \mathbb{N} ,

A sequence space E is said to be convergence free when, if x is in E and if $y_k = 0$ whenever $x_k = 0$, then y is in E ,

A sequence space E is said to be monotone if it contains the canonical preimages of its step spaces,

A sequence space E is said to be sequence algebra if $x.y \notin E$ whenever $x, y \in E$,

A sequence space E is said to be perfect if $E = E^{\alpha\alpha}$ [9].

It is well known that if E is perfect $\implies E$ is normal.

The following inequality will be used throughout this paper.

$$|a_k + b_k|^{p_k} \leq C \{|a_k|^{p_k} + |b_k|^{p_k}\}, \quad (1)$$

where $a_k, b_k \in \mathbb{C}$, $0 < p_k \leq \sup_k p_k = H$, $C = \max(1, 2^{H-1})$.

The notion of modulus function was introduced by Nakano [15]. We recall that a modulus f is a function from $[0, \infty)$ to $[0, \infty)$ such that

i) $f(x) = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$, ii) $f(x + y) \leq f(x) + f(y)$ for $x, y \geq 0$, iii) f is increasing, iv) f is continuous from the right at 0.

It follows that f must be continuous everywhere on $[0, \infty)$. A modulus may be unbounded or bounded. Ruckle [17] and Maddox [12] used a modulus f to construct sequence spaces.

2. Definitions and Preliminaries

The notion of almost convergence of sequences was introduced by Lorentz [11]. The notion was generalized by Et and Başarır [4].

Definition 2.1 [4] The sequence (x_n) is said to be Δ^m -almost convergent to L if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=k+1}^{k+n} (\Delta^m x_i - L) = 0, \text{ uniformly in } k.$$

We denote the class of all Δ^m -almost convergent sequences by $AC(\Delta^m)$.

Definition 2.2 [4] The sequence (x_n) is said to be Δ^m -strongly almost convergent to L if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=k+1}^{k+n} |\Delta^m x_i - L| = 0, \text{ uniformly in } k.$$

We denote the class of all Δ^m -strongly almost convergent sequences by $|AC|(\Delta^m)$.

Definition 2.3 [8] The sequence (x_k) is said to be lacunary statistically convergent to L if for each $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_r} \text{card} \{k \in I_r : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\} = 0.$$

The class of all lacunary statistically convergent sequences is denoted by S_θ .

Definition 2.4 A sequence (x_n) is said to be Δ^m -Cesàro summable to L if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (\Delta^m x_k - L) = 0.$$

The class of all Δ^m -Cesàro summable sequences is denoted by $\sigma_1(\Delta^m)$.

Definition 2.5 A sequence (x_n) is said to be Δ^m -strongly Cesàro summable to L if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |\Delta^m x_k - L| = 0.$$

The class of all Δ^m -strongly Cesàro summable sequences is denoted by $|\sigma_1|(\Delta^m)$.

Now we introduce the definitions of Δ^m -lacunary statistically convergence, Δ^m -lacunary strongly convergence and Δ^m -lacunary strongly convergence with respect to a modulus f .

Definition 2.6 Let θ be a lacunary sequence, the number sequence x is Δ^m -lacunary statistically convergent to the number L provided that for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_r} \text{card} \{k \in I_r : |\Delta^m x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\} = 0.$$

In this case we write $S_\theta(\Delta^m) - \lim x_k = L$ or $x_k \rightarrow L(S_\theta(\Delta^m))$. We denote Δ^m -lacunary statistically convergent sequence by $S_\theta(\Delta^m)$.

Definition 2.7 Let θ be a lacunary sequence. Then a sequence (x_k) is said to be $C_\theta(\Delta^m)$ -summable to L if

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} (\Delta^m x_k - L) = 0.$$

We denote the class of all $C_\theta(\Delta^m)$ -summable sequences by $C_\theta(\Delta^m)$.

A sequence (x_k) is said to be Δ^m -lacunary strongly summable to L if

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} |\Delta^m x_k - L| = 0.$$

We denote the class of all Δ^m -lacunary strongly summable sequences by $N_\theta(\Delta^m)$. In the case $L = 0$ we shall write $N_\theta^0(\Delta^m)$ instead of $N_\theta(\Delta^m)$. It can be shown that the sequence space $N_\theta(\Delta^m)$ is a Banach space with norm by

$$\|x\|_{\Delta_\theta} = \sum_{i=1}^m |x_i| + \sup_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} |\Delta^m x_k|.$$

If we take $m = 0$ then we obtain the sequence space N_θ which were introduced by Freedman et al.[1].

Definition 2.8 Let f be a modulus function and $p = (p_k)$ be any sequence of strictly positive real numbers. We define the following sequence set

$$[f, p, \theta](\Delta^m) = \left\{ x = (x_k) : \lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} [f(|\Delta^m x_k - L|)]^{p_k} = 0, \text{ for some } L \right\},$$

If $x \in [f, p, \theta](\Delta^m)$, then we will write $x_k \rightarrow L[f, p, \theta](\Delta^m)$ and will be called Δ^m -lacunary strongly summable with respect to a modulus f . In the case $p_k = 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we shall write $[f, \theta](\Delta^m)$ instead of $[f, p, \theta](\Delta^m)$. It may be noted here that the space $[f, \theta](\Delta^m)$ was discussed by Colak [2].

3. Main Results

In this section we prove the results of this article. The proof of the following results is a routine work.

Proposition 3.1 Let θ be a lacunary sequence, then $S_\theta(\Delta^{m-1}) \subset S_\theta(\Delta^m)$. In general $S_\theta(\Delta^i) \subset S_\theta(\Delta^m)$, for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, m - 1$. Hence $S_\theta \subset S_\theta(\Delta^m)$ and the inclusions are strict.

Theorem 3.2 If a Δ^m -bounded sequence is Δ^m -statistically convergent to L then it is Δ^m -Cesàro summable to L .

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that $L = 0$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \Delta^m x_k \right| &\leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |\Delta^m x_k| = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ |\Delta^m x_k| \geq \varepsilon}} |\Delta^m x_k| + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ |\Delta^m x_k| < \varepsilon}} |\Delta^m x_k| \\ &< \frac{1}{n} K \text{card} \{k \leq n : |\Delta^m x_k| \geq \varepsilon\} + \frac{n}{n} \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $x \in \sigma_1(\Delta^m)$. Converse of Theorem 3.2 does not holds, for example, the sequence $x = (0, -1, -1, -2, -2, -3, -3, -4, -4, \dots)$ belongs to $\sigma_1(\Delta)$ and does not belong to $S(\Delta)$.

Theorem 3.3 Let θ be a lacunary sequence, then

- i) If a sequence is Δ^m -lacunary strongly convergent to L , then it is Δ^m -lacunary statistically convergent to L and the inclusion is strict.
- ii) If a Δ^m -bounded sequence is Δ^m -lacunary statistically convergent to L then it is Δ^m -lacunary strongly convergent to L .
- iii) $\ell_\infty(\Delta^m) \cap S_\theta(\Delta^m) = \ell_\infty(\Delta^m) \cap N_\theta(\Delta^m)$.

Proof. We give the proof of (i) only. If $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x_k \rightarrow L(N_\theta(\Delta^m))$ we can write

$$\sum_{k \in I_r} |\Delta^m x_k - L| \geq \sum_{\substack{k \in I_r \\ |\Delta^m x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon}} |\Delta^m x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon \cdot |\{k \in I_r : |\Delta^m x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}|.$$

Hence $x_k \rightarrow L(S_\theta(\Delta^m))$. The inclusion is strict. In order to establish this, let θ be given and define $\Delta^m x_k$ to be $1, 2, \dots, [\sqrt{h_r}]$ at the first $[\sqrt{h_r}]$ integers in I_r ,

and $\Delta^m x_k = 0$ otherwise. Then x is not Δ^m -bounded, $x_k \rightarrow 0(S_\theta(\Delta^m))$ and $x_k \not\rightarrow 0(N_\theta(\Delta^m))$.

Note that any Δ^m -bounded $S_\theta(\Delta^m)$ -summable sequence is $C_\theta(\Delta^m)$ -summable.

Theorem 3.4 Let θ be a lacunary sequence, then $S(\Delta^m) = S_\theta(\Delta^m)$ if and only if $1 < \liminf_r q_r \leq \limsup_r q_r < \infty$.

The proof of Theorem 3.4, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.5 For any lacunary sequence θ , $S(\Delta^m) \subset S_\theta(\Delta^m)$ if and only if $\liminf_r q_r > 1$.

Proof. If $\liminf_r q_r > 1$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that $1 + \delta \leq q_r$ for sufficiently large r . Since $h_r = k_r - k_{r-1}$, we have $\frac{k_r}{h_r} \leq \frac{1+\delta}{\delta}$. Let $x_k \rightarrow L(S_\theta(\Delta^m))$. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{k_r} |\{k \leq k_r : |\Delta^m x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| &\geq \frac{1}{k_r} |\{k \in I_r : |\Delta^m x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \\ &\geq \frac{\delta}{1 + \delta} \frac{1}{h_r} |\{k \in I_r : |\Delta^m x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $S(\Delta^m) \subset S_\theta(\Delta^m)$.

Conversely suppose that $\liminf_r q_r = 1$. If we consider the sequence defined by,

$$\Delta^m x_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i \in I_{r_j} \text{ for some } j = 1, 2, 3, \dots \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

then $x \in \ell_\infty(\Delta^m)$ but $x \notin N_\theta(\Delta^m)$. However, $x \in |\sigma_1|(\Delta^m)$. Theorem 3.3 (ii) implies that $x \notin S_\theta(\Delta^m)$. On the other hand if a sequence is strongly Δ^m -strongly Cesàro summable to L then it is Δ^m -statistically convergent to L (Theorem 4.2, Et and Nuray [5]). Hence $S(\Delta^m) \not\subset S_\theta(\Delta^m)$ and the proof is complete.

Lemma 3.6 For any lacunary sequence θ , $S_\theta(\Delta^m) \subset S(\Delta^m)$ if and only if $\limsup_r q_r < \infty$.

Proof. Sufficiency can be proved using the same technique of Lemma 3 of [8]. Now suppose that $\limsup_r q_r = \infty$. Consider the sequence defined by

$$\Delta^m x_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } k_{r_j-1} < i \leq 2k_{r_j-1} \text{ for some } j = 1, 2, 3, \dots \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Then $x \in N_\theta(\Delta^m)$ but $x \notin |\sigma_1|(\Delta^m)$. Clearly we have $x \in S_\theta(\Delta^m)$, but Theorem 4.2 of Et and Nuray [5] $x \notin S(\Delta^m)$. Hence $S_\theta(\Delta^m) \not\subseteq S(\Delta^m)$. This completes the proof.

Lemma 3.7 If \mathcal{L} denotes the set of all lacunary sequences, then

$$|AC|(\Delta^m) = \ell_\infty(\Delta^m) \cap (\cap_{\theta \in \mathcal{L}} S_\theta(\Delta^m)).$$

Proof. Omitted.

Lemma 3.8 Let E be any of the spaces $\sigma_1, |\sigma_1|, C_\theta, N_\theta, N_\theta^0, AC, |AC|$ and S_θ . Then the sequence spaces $E(\Delta^m)$ are neither solid nor symmetric nor sequence algebra nor convergence free nor perfect.

Proof. Proof follows from the following examples.

Example 1. Let $\theta = (2^r)$. Then $x = (k) \in N_\theta^0(\Delta^2)$, but $\alpha x = (\alpha_k x_k) \notin N_\theta^0(\Delta^2)$, for $\alpha_k = (-1)^k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence $N_\theta^0(\Delta^m)$ is not solid.

Example 2. Let $\theta = (2^r)$. Then $x = (k) \in (N_\theta)(\Delta)$. Let (y_k) be a rearrangement of (x_k) , which is defined as follows:

$$(y_k) = \{x_1, x_2, x_4, x_3, x_9, x_5, x_{16}, x_6, x_{25}, x_7, x_{36}, x_8, x_{49}, x_{10}, \dots\}.$$

Then $(y_k) \notin (N_\theta)(\Delta)$.

Example 3. Let $\theta = (2^r)$. Then $x = (k) \in N_\theta^0(\Delta^2)$. Let (y_k) be a rearrangement of (x_k) , which is defined as above, then $(y_k) \notin N_\theta^0(\Delta^2)$.

Example 4. Let $\theta = (2^r)$. Consider the sequences $x = (k), y = (k^{m-1})$, then $x, y \in N_\theta^0(\Delta^m)$ but $x.y \notin N_\theta^0(\Delta^m)$. For the others spaces consider the sequences $x = (k), y = (k^m)$.

Example 5. Let $\theta = (2^r)$. Then $(x_k) = (1)$ is in $N_\theta^0(\Delta)$. The sequence (y_k) defined as $y_k = k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ does not belong to $N_\theta^0(\Delta)$. Hence $N_\theta^0(\Delta)$ is not convergence free.

Note. Similarly different examples can be constructed for the other spaces.

Now we will give some relations between Δ^m -lacunary statistically convergent sequences and Δ^m -lacunary strongly summable sequences with respect to a modulus function.

Theorem 3.9 The inclusion $[f, p, \theta](\Delta^{m-1}) \subset [f, p, \theta](\Delta^m)$ is strict. In general $[f, p, \theta](\Delta^i) \subset [f, p, \theta](\Delta^m)$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, m - 1$ and the inclusion is strict.

Proof. Straight forward and hence omitted.

Theorem 3.10 Let f, f_1, f_2 be modulus functions. Then we have

- i) $[f, \theta](\Delta^m) \subset [f \circ f_1, \theta](\Delta^m)$,
- ii) $[f_1, p, \theta](\Delta^m) \cap [f_2, p, \theta](\Delta^m) \subset [f_1 + f_2, p, \theta](\Delta^m)$.

Proof. i) Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and choose δ with $0 < \delta < 1$ such that $f(t) < \varepsilon$ for $0 \leq t \leq \delta$. Write $y_k = f_1(|\Delta^m x_k - L|)$ and consider

$$\sum_{k \in I_r} f(y_k) = \sum_1 f(y_k) + \sum_2 f(y_k)$$

where the first summation is over $y_k \leq \delta$ and second summation is over $y_k > \delta$. Since f is continuous, we have

$$\sum_1 f(y_k) < h_r \varepsilon \tag{2}$$

and for $y_k > \delta$, we use the fact that

$$y_k < \frac{y_k}{\delta} \leq 1 + \frac{y_k}{\delta}.$$

By the definition of f we have for $y_k > \delta$,

$$f(y_k) < 2f(1) \frac{y_k}{\delta}.$$

Hence

$$\sum_2 f(y_k) \leq 2f(1)\delta^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n y_k. \tag{3}$$

From(2) and (3), we obtain $[f, \theta](\Delta^m) \subset [f \circ f_1, \theta](\Delta^m)$.

ii) The proof of (ii) follows from the following inequality

$$[(f_1 + f_2)(|\Delta^m x_k - L|)]^{p_k} \leq C [f_1(|\Delta^m x_k - L|)]^{p_k} + C [f_2(|\Delta^m x_k - L|)]^{p_k}.$$

The following result is a consequence of Theorem 3.10 (i).

Proposition 3.11 ([2]) Let f be a modulus function. Then $N_\theta(\Delta^m) \subset [f, \theta](\Delta^m)$.

Theorem 3.12 Let $0 < p_k \leq q_k$ and (q_k/p_k) be bounded. Then $[f, q, \theta](\Delta^m) \subset [f, p, \theta](\Delta^m)$.

Proof: If we take $w_k = [f(|\Delta^m x_k - L|)]^{q_k}$ for all k . Following the technique applied for establishing Theorem 5 of Maddox [13], we can easily prove the theorem.

Theorem 3.13 The sequence space $[f, p, \theta](\Delta^m)$ is neither solid nor symmetric nor sequence algebra nor convergence free nor perfect for $m \geq 1$.

To show these, consider the examples cited in Lemma 3.8.

Theorem 3.14 Let f be modulus function and $\sup_k p_k = H$. Then $[f, p, \theta](\Delta^m) \subset S_\theta(\Delta^m)$.

Proof. Let $x \in [f, p, \theta](\Delta^m)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} [f(|\Delta^m x_k - L|)]^{p_k} &= \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{\substack{k \in I_r \\ |\Delta^m x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon}} [f(|\Delta^m x_k - L|)]^{p_k} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r, |\Delta^m x_k - L| < \varepsilon} [f(|\Delta^m x_k - L|)]^{p_k} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{\substack{k \in I_r \\ |\Delta^m x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon}} [f(|\Delta^m x_k - L|)]^{p_k} \geq \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} [f(\varepsilon)]^{p_k} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \min([f(\varepsilon)]^{\inf p_k}, [f(\varepsilon)]^H) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{h_r} |\{k \in I_r : |\Delta^m x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \min([f(\varepsilon)]^{\inf p_k}, [f(\varepsilon)]^H). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $x \in S_\theta(\Delta^m)$.

Theorem 3.15 Let f be bounded and $0 < h = \inf_k p_k \leq p_k \leq \sup_k p_k = H < \infty$. Then $S_\theta(\Delta^m) \subset [f, p, \theta](\Delta^m)$.

Proof. Suppose that f is bounded and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Then

$$\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} [f(|\Delta^m x_k - L|)]^{p_k} = \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r, |\Delta^m x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon} [f(|\Delta^m x_k - L|)]^{p_k}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} [f(|\Delta^m x_k - L|)]^{p_k} \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \max(K^h, K^H) + \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} [f(\varepsilon)]^{p_k} \\
 & \leq \max(K^h, K^H) \frac{1}{h_r} |\{k \in I_r : |\Delta^m x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \\
 & \quad + \max(f(\varepsilon)^h, f(\varepsilon)^H).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $x \in [f, p, \theta](\Delta^m)$.

Theorem 3.16 Let f be bounded and $0 < h = \inf_k p_k \leq p_k \leq \sup_k p_k = H < \infty$. Then $S_\theta(\Delta^m) = [f, p, \theta](\Delta^m)$ if and only if f is bounded.

Proof. Let f be bounded. By Theorem 3.14 and Theorem 3.15 we have $S_\theta(\Delta^m) = [f, p, \theta](\Delta^m)$.

Conversely suppose that f is unbounded. Then there exists a sequence (t_k) of positive numbers with $f(t_k) = k^2$, for $k = 1, 2, \dots$. If we choose

$$\Delta^m x_i = \begin{cases} t_k, & i = k^2, i = 1, 2, \dots \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

then we have

$$\frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : |\Delta^m x_k| \geq \varepsilon\}| \leq \frac{\sqrt{n}}{n}$$

for all n and so $x \in S_\theta(\Delta^m)$, but $x \notin [f, p, \theta](\Delta^m)$ for $\theta = (2^r)$ and $p_k = 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. This contradicts to $S_\theta(\Delta^m) = [f, p, \theta](\Delta^m)$.

References

- [1] Freedman, A. R., Sember, J. J., Raphael, M., *Some Cesàro-type summability spaces*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 37(1978), 508-520.
- [2] Colak, R., *Lacunary strong convergence of difference sequences with respect to a modulus function*, FILOMAT 17(2003), 9-14.
- [3] Et, M., Colak, R., *On some generalized difference sequence spaces*, Soochow J. Math. 21(4)(1995), 377-386.
- [4] Et, M., Başarır, M., *On some new generalized difference sequence spaces*, Period. Math. Hung 35 (1997), 169-175.

- [5] Et, M., Nuray, F., Δ^m -Statistical convergence, Indian J. Pure appl. Math. 32(6)(2001), 961-969.
- [6] Fast, H., *Sur la convergence statistique*, Colloq. Math. 2(1951), 241-244.
- [7] Fridy, J. A., *On the statistical convergence*, Analysis 5(1985), 301-313.
- [8] Fridy, J. A., Orhan, C., *Lacunary statistical convergence*, Pacific J. Math. 160(1993), 43-51.
- [9] Kamthan, P. K., Gupta, M., *Sequence Spaces and Series*, Marcel Dekker Inc. New York, 1981.
- [10] Kizmaz, H., *On certain sequence spaces*, Canadian Math. Bull. 24(1981), 169-176.
- [11] Lorentz, G. G., *A contribution to the theory of divergent series*, Acta Math. 80(1948), 176-190.
- [12] Maddox, I. J., *Statistical convergence in a locally convex space*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 104 (1988), 141-145.
- [13] Maddox, I. J., *Spaces of strongly summable sequences*, Quart. J. Math. 18(72)(1967), 345-355.
- [14] Malkowsky, E., Parashar, S.D., *Matrix transformations in spaces of bounded and convergent difference sequences of order m*, Analysis 17(1997), 87-97.
- [15] Nakano, H., *Concave modulars*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 5 (1953), 29-49.
- [16] Rath, D., Tripathy, B. C., *On statistically convergent and Statistically Cauchy sequences*, Indian J. Pure appl. Math. 25(4)(1994), 381-386.
- [17] Ruckle, W. H., *FK spaces in which the sequence of coordinate vectors is bounded*, Canad. J. Math. 25(1973), 973-978.
- [18] Šalàt, T., *On statistically convergent sequences of real numbers*, Math. Slovaca 30(2)(1980),139-150.
- [19] Schoenberg, I. J., *The integrability of certain functions and related summability methods*, Amer. Math. Monthly 66(1959), 361-375.
- [20] Wilansky, A., *Summability through Functional Analysis*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies 85(1984).

MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES DIVISION, INSTITUTE OF ADVANCED STUDY IN SCIENCE
AND TECHNOLOGY, KHANPARA, GUWAHATI 781022 INDIA
E-mail address: tripathybc@yahoo.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FIRAT UNIVERSITY, 23119 ELAZIĞ TURKEY
E-mail address: mikaillet@yahoo.com