

## SPLINE APPROXIMATION FOR SOLVING SYSTEM OF FIRST ORDER DELAY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

MOKHTAR A. ABDEL NABY, MOHAMED A. RAMADAN, AND SAMIR T. MOHAMED

**Abstract.** In a previous work, [9], the authors introduced a new technique using a spline function to find an approximate solution for first order delay differential equations. In this presented paper, we develop and modify the lemmas in [9] so that the technique can be extended to work for the case of numerical approximation for solving system of first order delay differential equations. Error estimation and convergence are also considered and tested using numerical examples. The stability of the technique is investigated.

### 1. Introduction

Consider the system of first order delay differential equations of the form:

$$\begin{aligned} y'(x) &= f_1(x, y(x), z(x), y(g(x))), \quad a \leq x \leq b \\ z'(x) &= f_2(x, y(x), z(x), z(g(x))), \quad y(x_0) = y_0, z(x_0) = z_0 \\ y(x) &= \phi(x), \quad z(x) = \bar{\phi}(x), \quad x \in [a^*, a] \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

In recent years many studies were devoted to the problems of approximate solutions of system ordinary as well as delay differential equations by spline functions [2-6] and [8-10]. While in [1] A. Ayad investigated the spline approximation for Fredholm integro differential equations. Also G. Micula and H. Akca [7] have studied the numerical solutions of system of differential equations with deviating argument by spline functions. Our introduced method is a one step method  $o(h^{m+\alpha})$  in  $y^{(i)}(x)$  and  $z^{(i)}(x)$  where  $i = 0, 1$ . The modulus of continuity of  $y'(x)$  and  $z'(x)$  is  $o(h^\alpha)$ ,  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$  and  $m$  is an arbitrary positive integer which is equal to the number of iterations used in computing the spline function. Assuming  $f_1, f_2 \in C([a, b] \times R^3)$  we shall investigate the error estimation and convergence as well as the stability of the method.

### 2. Description of the spline method

Rewriting the system (1) in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} y'(x) &= f_1(x, u_1, v_1, u_1^*), \quad a \leq x \leq b \\ z'(x) &= f_2(x, u_1, v_1, v_1^*) \\ y(x_0) &= y_0, z(x_0) = z_0, \quad y(x) = \phi(x), \quad z(x) = \bar{\phi}(x), \quad x \in [a^*, a] \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

---

Received by the editors: 21.10.2002.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* G.1.8.

*Key words and phrases.* Spline functions, numerical solutions, system of delay differential equations.

The function  $g$  is called the delay function and it is assumed to be continuous on the interval  $[a, b]$  and satisfies the inequality  $a^* \leq g(x) \leq x, x \in [a, b]$  and  $\phi, \bar{\phi} \in C[a^*, a]$ .

Suppose that  $f_1 : [a, b] \times R^3 \rightarrow R$  is continuous and satisfies the Lipschitz conditions

$$|f_1(x, u_1, v_1, u_1^*) - f_1(x, u_2, v_2, u_2^*)| \leq L_1\{|u_1 - u_2| + |v_1 - v_2| + |u_1^* - u_2^*|\} \quad (3)$$

and there exist a constant  $B_1$  so that

$$|u_1^* - u_2^*| \leq B_1 |f_1(x, u_1, v_1, u_1^*) - f_1(x, u_2, v_2, u_2^*)| \quad (4)$$

Also Suppose that  $f_2 : [a, b] \times R^3 \rightarrow R$  is continuous and satisfies the Lipschitz conditions

$$|f_2(x, u_1, v_1, v_1^*) - f_2(x, u_2, v_2, v_2^*)| \leq L_2\{|u_1 - u_2| + |v_1 - v_2| + |v_1^* - v_2^*|\} \quad (5)$$

and there exist a constant  $B_2$  so that

$$|v_1^* - v_2^*| \leq B_2 |f_2(x, u_1, v_1, v_1^*) - f_2(x, u_2, v_2, v_2^*)| \quad (6)$$

$$\forall (x, u_1, v_1, u_1^*), (x, u_2, v_2, u_2^*), (x, u_1, v_1, v_1^*), (x, u_2, v_2, v_2^*) \in ([a, b] \times R^3)$$

These conditions assure the existence of a unique solutions of  $y$  and  $z$  of system (1).

Let  $\Delta$  be a uniform partition of the interval  $[a, b]$  defined by the nodes

$\Delta : a = x_0 < x_1 \dots < x_k < x_{k+1} \dots < x_n = b, x_k = x_0 + kh, h = \frac{b-a}{n} < 1$  and  $k = 0(1)n - 1$

we define the spline function approximating the solutions  $y$  and  $z$  by  $S(x)$  and  $\bar{S}(x)$  where

$$S(x) = \begin{cases} S_{\Delta}(x), & a \leq x \leq b \\ \phi(x), & a^* \leq x \leq a \end{cases}$$

$$\bar{S}(x) = \begin{cases} \bar{S}_{\Delta}(x), & a \leq x \leq b \\ \bar{\phi}(x), & a^* \leq x \leq a \end{cases}$$

Choosing the required positive integer  $m$ , we define  $S_{\Delta}(x)$  and  $\bar{S}_{\Delta}(x)$  by:

$$S_{\Delta}(x) = S_k^{[m]}(x) = S_{k-1}^{[m]}(x_k) + \int_{x_k}^x f_1(x, S_k^{[m-1]}(x), \bar{S}_k^{[m-1]}(x), S_k^{[m-1]}(g(x)))dx \quad (7)$$

$$\bar{S}_{\Delta}(x) = \bar{S}_k^{[m]}(x) = \bar{S}_{k-1}^{[m]}(x_k) + \int_{x_k}^x f_2(x, S_k^{[m-1]}(x), \bar{S}_k^{[m-1]}(x), \bar{S}_k^{[m-1]}(g(x)))dx \quad (8)$$

where  $S_{-1}^{[m]}(x_0) = y_0, \bar{S}_{-1}^{[m]}(x_0) = z_0, S_{-1}^{[m]}(g(x_0)) = \phi(g(x_0)), \bar{S}_{-1}^{[m]}(g(x_0)) = \bar{\phi}(g(x_0))$  with  $S_{k-1}^{[m]}(x_k)$  and  $\bar{S}_{k-1}^{[m]}(x_k)$  are the left hand limit of  $S_{k-1}^{[m]}(x)$  and  $\bar{S}_{k-1}^{[m]}(x)$  as  $x \rightarrow x_k$  of the segment  $S_{\Delta}(x)$  and  $\bar{S}_{\Delta}(x)$  defined on  $[x_{k-1}, x_k]$ . In equation (7), (8) we use

the following  $m$  iterations for  $x \in [x_k, x_{k+1}]$ ,  $k = 0(1)n - 1$  and  $j = 1(1)m$

$$S_k^{[j]}(x) = S_{k-1}^{[m]}(x_k) + \int_{x_k}^x f_1(x, S_k^{[j-1]}(x), \bar{S}_k^{[j-1]}(x), S_k^{[j-1]}(g(x)))dx \quad (9)$$

$$\bar{S}_k^{[j]}(x) = \bar{S}_{k-1}^{[m]}(x_k) + \int_{x_k}^x f_2(x, S_k^{[j-1]}(x), \bar{S}_k^{[j-1]}(x), \bar{S}_k^{[j-1]}(g(x)))dx$$

$$S_k^{[0]}(x) = S_{k-1}^{[m]}(x_k) + M_k (x - x_k)$$

$$\bar{S}_k^{[0]}(x) = \bar{S}_{k-1}^{[m]}(x_k) + \bar{M}_k (x - x_k)$$

$$\text{where } M_k = f_1(x_k, S_{k-1}^{[m]}(x_k), \bar{S}_{k-1}^{[m]}(x_k), S_{k-1}^{[m]}(g(x_k))) \text{ and}$$

$$\bar{M}_k = f_2(x_k, S_{k-1}^{[m]}(x_k), \bar{S}_{k-1}^{[m]}(x_k), \bar{S}_{k-1}^{[m]}(g(x_k)))$$

Such  $S_\Delta(x)$ ,  $\bar{S}_\Delta(x) \in C[a, b] \times R^3$  are exist and unique.

### 3. Error estimation and convergence

To estimate the error, we represent the exact solution as described by the following scheme.

$$y^{[0]}(x) = y(x) = y_k + y'(\zeta_k)(x - x_k) \quad (10)$$

$$z^{[0]}(x) = z(x) = z_k + z'(\eta_k)(x - x_k)$$

where  $\zeta_k, \eta_k \in (x_k, x_{k+1})$ ,  $y(x_k) = y_k$ ,  $z(x_k) = z_k$ . For  $1 \leq j \leq m$  we write

$$y^{[j]}(x) = y(x) = y_k + \int_{x_k}^x f_1(x, y^{[j-1]}(x), z^{[j-1]}(x), y^{[j-1]}(g(x)))dx \quad (11)$$

$$z^{[j]}(x) = z(x) = z_k + \int_{x_k}^x f_2(x, y^{[j-1]}(x), z^{[j-1]}(x), z^{[j-1]}(g(x)))dx$$

Set  $\omega(h) = \max\{\omega(y', h), \omega(z', h)\}$  where  $\omega(y', h)$  and  $\omega(z', h)$  are the moduli of continuity for the functions  $y'(x)$  and  $z'(x)$ .

Moreover, we denote to the estimated error of  $y(x)$  and  $z(x)$  at any point  $x \in [a, b]$  by:

$$e(x) = |y(x) - S_\Delta(x)|, \quad e_k = |y_k - S_\Delta(x_k)| \quad (12)$$

$$\bar{e}(x) = |z(x) - \bar{S}_\Delta(x)|, \quad \bar{e}_k = |z_k - \bar{S}_\Delta(x_k)|$$

**Lemma 3.1.** [1]. Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be non negative real numbers and  $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^m$  be a sequence satisfying  $A_1 \geq 0$ ,  $A_i \leq \alpha + \beta A_{i+1}$  for  $i = 1(1)m - 1$  then:

$$A_1 \leq \beta^{m-1} A_m + \alpha \sum_{i=0}^{m-2} \beta^i$$

**Lemma 3.2.** [1]. Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be non negative real numbers,  $\beta \neq 1$  and  $\{A_i\}_{i=0}^k$  be a sequence satisfying  $A_0 \geq 0$ ,  $A_{i+1} \leq \alpha + \beta A_i$  for  $i = 0(1)k$  then:

$$A_{k+1} \leq \beta^{k+1} A_0 + \alpha \frac{[\beta^{k+1} - 1]}{[\beta - 1]}$$

**Definition 3.1.** [4] for any  $x \in [x_k, x_{k+1}]$ ,  $k = 0(1)n - 1$  and  $j = 1(1)m$  we define the operator  $T_{kj}(x)$  by:

$$T_{kj}(x) = \left| y^{[m-j]}(x) - S_k^{[m-j]}(x) \right| + \left| z^{[m-j]}(x) - \bar{S}_k^{[m-j]}(x) \right| \quad (13)$$

whose norm is defined by:  $\|T_{kj}\| = \max_{x \in [x_k, x_{k+1}]} \{T_{kj}(x)\}$

**Lemma 3.3.** For any  $x \in [x_k, x_{k+1}]$ ,  $k = 0(1)n - 1$  and  $j = 1(1)m$ , then

$$\|T_{km}\| \leq [1 + h(c_0 + \bar{c}_0)](e_k + \bar{e}_k) + 2h\omega(h) \quad (14)$$

$$\|T_{k1}\| \leq c_1(e_k + \bar{e}_k) + c_2 h^m \omega(h) \quad (15)$$

where  $c_0 = \frac{L_1}{1-L_1 B_1}$ ,  $\bar{c}_0 = \frac{L_2}{1-L_2 B_2}$ ,  $c_1 = \sum_{i=0}^m (c_0 + \bar{c}_0)^i$  and  $c_2 = 2(c_0 + \bar{c}_0)^{m-1}$  are constants independent of  $h$ .

**Proof.** Using (3), (4), (5), (6), (9), (10), (11) and (12), it is easy to proof the lemma.

**Lemma 3.4.** Let  $e(x), \bar{e}(x)$  be defined as in (12), then there exist constants  $c_3, c_4, \bar{c}_3, \bar{c}_4$  independent of  $h$  such that the following inequalities hold:

$$e(x) \leq (1 + hc_3) e_k + hc_3 \bar{e}_k + c_4 h^{m+1} \omega(h) \quad (16)$$

$$\bar{e}(x) \leq h\bar{c}_3 e_k + (1 + h\bar{c}_3) \bar{e}_k + \bar{c}_4 h^{m+1} \omega(h) \quad (17)$$

where  $c_3 = c_0 c_1$ ,  $c_4 = c_0 c_2$ ,  $\bar{c}_3 = \bar{c}_0 c_1$  and  $\bar{c}_4 = \bar{c}_0 c_2$

**Proof.** Using (3), (4), (7), (11), (12) and (15) we get:

$$\begin{aligned} e(x) &\leq \left| y(x) - S_k^{[m]}(x) \right| \leq e_k + c_0 \|T_{k1}\| \int_{x_k}^x dx \\ &\leq (1 + hc_3) e_k + hc_3 \bar{e}_k + c_4 h^{m+1} \omega(h) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly using (5), (6), (8), (11), (12) and (15), we can proof the other part of the lemma where  $c_3 = c_0 c_1$ ,  $c_4 = c_0 c_2$ ,  $\bar{c}_3 = \bar{c}_0 c_1$  and  $\bar{c}_4 = \bar{c}_0 c_2$  are constants independent of  $h$ .

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $A = [a_{ij}]$  and  $B = [b_{ij}]$  be two matrices of the same order then we say that  $A \leq B$  iff:

(i) both  $a_{ij}$  and  $b_{ij}$  are non negative

(ii)  $a_{ij} \leq b_{ij} \forall i, j$ .

Using matrix notation we let

$$E(x) = [e(x) \quad \bar{e}(x)]^T, E_k = [e_k \quad \bar{e}_k]^T \text{ and } C = [c_4 \quad \bar{c}_4]^T$$

where  $T$  stands for the transpose, then from lemma 3.4, we write

$$E(x) \leq (I + hA) E_k + Ch^{m+1} \omega(h) \quad (18)$$

where  $I$  is the unit matrix of order 2 and  $A = \begin{pmatrix} c_3 & c_3 \\ \bar{c}_3 & \bar{c}_3 \end{pmatrix}$ .

**Definition 3.3.** Let  $T = [T_{i,j}]$  be an  $m \times n$  matrix, then we define

$$\|T\| = \max_i \sum_{j=0}^n |t_{i,j}|.$$

Using this definition the inequality (18) yields:

$$\|E(x)\| \leq (1 + h \|A\|) \|E_k\| + \|C\| h^{m+1} \omega(h).$$

This inequality holds for  $x \in [a, b]$ . Setting  $x = x_{k+1}$ , we obtain:

$$\|E_{k+1}\| \leq (1 + h \|A\|) \|E_k\| + \|C\| h^{m+1} \omega(h).$$

Using lemma 3.2 and noting that  $\|E_0\| = 0$ , we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \|E(x)\| &\leq \|C\| h^{m+1} \omega(h) \frac{\left[ (1 + h \|A\|)^{k+1} - 1 \right]}{1 + h \|A\| - 1} \\ &\leq \frac{\|C\|}{\|A\|} \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{\|A\| (b-a)}{n} \right)^n - 1 \right] h^m \omega(h) \\ &\leq \frac{\|C\|}{\|A\|} \left[ e^{(\|A\|(b-a))} - 1 \right] h^m \omega(h) \\ &\leq c_5 h^m \omega(h) = o(h^{m+\alpha}) \end{aligned}$$

where  $c_5 = \frac{\|C\|}{\|A\|} [e^{(\|A\|(b-a))} - 1]$  is a constant independent of  $h$ . Using definition 3.3, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} e(x) &\leq c_5 h^m \omega(h) \\ \bar{e}(x) &\leq c_5 h^m \omega(h) \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

now we are going to estimate  $\left| y'(x) - S'_\Delta(x) \right|$ . Using (3), (4), (7), (11), (12), (15) and (19), we get:

$$\left| y'(x) - S'_\Delta(x) \right| \leq c_6 h^m \omega(h)$$

where  $c_6 = c_0 [2c_1 c_5 + c_2]$  is a constant independent of  $h$ . Similarly using (5), (6), (8), (11), (12), (15) and (19), we get:

$$\left| z'(x) - \bar{S}'_\Delta(x) \right| \leq c_7 h^m \omega(h)$$

where  $c_7 = \bar{c}_0 [2c_1 c_5 + c_2]$  is a constant independent of  $h$ .

Thus from above lemma we have arrived to the following theorem:

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $y(x), z(x)$  be the exact solutions of the system (1). If  $S_\Delta(x), \bar{S}_\Delta(x)$  given by (7), (8) are the approximate solutions for the problem,  $f_1, f_2 \in C([a, b] \times R^3)$ , then the inequalities*

$$\begin{aligned} \left| y^{(q)}(x) - S_\Delta^{(q)}(x) \right| &\leq c_8 h^m \omega(h) \\ \left| z^{(q)}(x) - \bar{S}_\Delta^{(q)}(x) \right| &\leq c_9 h^m \omega(h) \end{aligned}$$

hold for all  $x \in [a, b]$  and  $q = 0, 1$  where  $c_8$  and  $c_9$  are constants independent of  $h$ .

#### 4. Stability of the method

To study the stability of the method given by (7), (8) we change  $S_\Delta(x)$  to  $W_\Delta(x)$  and  $\bar{S}_\Delta(x)$  to  $\bar{W}_\Delta(x)$  where

$$W_\Delta(x) = W_k^{[m]}(x) = W_{k-1}^{[m]}(x_k) + \int_{x_k}^x f_1(x, W_k^{[m-1]}(x), \bar{W}_k^{[m-1]}(x), W_k^{[m-1]}(g(x)))dx \quad (20)$$

$$\bar{W}_\Delta(x) = \bar{W}_k^{[m]}(x) = \bar{W}_{k-1}^{[m]}(x_k) + \int_{x_k}^x f_2(x, W_k^{[m-1]}(x), \bar{W}_k^{[m-1]}(x), \bar{W}_k^{[m-1]}(g(x)))dx \quad (21)$$

$W_{-1}^{[m]}(x_0) = y_0^*$ ,  $\bar{W}_{-1}^{[m]}(x_0) = z_0^*$ ,  $W_{-1}^{[m]}(g(x_0)) = \phi(g(x_0))$ ,  $\bar{W}_{-1}^{[m]}(g(x_0)) = \bar{\phi}(g(x_0))$ , with  $W_{k-1}^{[m]}(x_k)$  and  $\bar{W}_{k-1}^{[m]}(x_k)$  are the left hand limit of  $W_{k-1}^{[m]}(x)$  and  $\bar{W}_{k-1}^{[m]}(x)$  as  $x \rightarrow x_k$  of the segment of  $W_\Delta(x)$  and  $\bar{W}_\Delta(x)$  defined on  $[x_{k-1}, x_k]$ . In equations (20) and (21), we use the following m iterations. For  $x \in [x_k, x_{k+1}]$ ,  $k = 0(1)n-1$  and  $j = 1(1)m$

$$W_k^{[j]}(x) = W_{k-1}^{[m]}(x_k) + \int_{x_k}^x f_1(x, W_k^{[j-1]}(x), \bar{W}_k^{[j-1]}(x), W_k^{[j-1]}(g(x)))dx \quad (22)$$

$$\bar{W}_k^{[j]}(x) = \bar{W}_{k-1}^{[m]}(x_k) + \int_{x_k}^x f_2(x, W_k^{[j-1]}(x), \bar{W}_k^{[j-1]}(x), \bar{W}_k^{[j-1]}(g(x)))dx$$

$$W_k^{[0]}(x) = W_{k-1}^{[m]}(x_k) + N_k (x - x_k)$$

$$\bar{W}_k^{[0]}(x) = \bar{W}_{k-1}^{[m]}(x_k) + \bar{N}_k (x - x_k)$$

$$N_k = f_1(x_k, W_{k-1}^{[m]}(x_k), \bar{W}_{k-1}^{[m]}(x_k), W_{k-1}^{[m]}(g(x_k)))$$

$$\bar{N}_k = f_2(x_k, W_{k-1}^{[m]}(x_k), \bar{W}_{k-1}^{[m]}(x_k), \bar{W}_{k-1}^{[m]}(g(x_k)))$$

Moreover, we use the following notation.

$$e^*(x) = |S_\Delta(x) - W_\Delta(x)|, \quad e_k^* = |S_\Delta(x_k) - W_\Delta(x_k)| \quad (23)$$

$$\bar{e}^*(x) = |\bar{S}_\Delta(x) - \bar{W}_\Delta(x)|, \quad \bar{e}_k^* = |\bar{S}_\Delta(x_k) - \bar{W}_\Delta(x_k)|$$

**Definition 4.1.** For any  $x \in [x_k, x_{k+1}]$ ,  $k = 0(1)n-1$  and  $j = 1(1)m$  we define the operator  $T_{kj}^*(x)$  by:

$$T_{kj}^*(x) = \left| S_k^{[m-j]}(x) - W_k^{[m-j]}(x) \right| + \left| \bar{S}_k^{[m-j]}(x) - \bar{W}_k^{[m-j]}(x) \right| \quad (24)$$

whose norm is defined by  $\|T_{kj}^*\| = \max_{x \in [x_k, x_{k+1}]} \{T_{kj}^*(x)\}$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** For any  $x \in [x_k, x_{k+1}]$ ,  $k = 0(1)n-1$  and  $j = 1(1)m$ , then

$$\|T_{km}^*\| \leq [1 + h(c_0 + \bar{c}_0)](e_k^* + \bar{e}_k^*) \quad (25)$$

$$\|T_{k1}^*\| \leq c_1(e_k^* + \bar{e}_k^*) \quad (26)$$

where  $c_0, \bar{c}_0$  and  $c_1$  are constants defined as in lemma 3.3 **Proof.** Using (3), (4), (5), (6), (9), (22) and (23) it is easy to prove the above lemma

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $e^*(x), \bar{e}^*(x)$  be defined as in (23), then there exist constants  $c_3, \bar{c}_3$  independent of  $h$  such that the following inequalities hold:*

$$e^*(x) \leq (1 + hc_3) e_k^* + hc_3 \bar{e}_k^* \quad (27)$$

$$\bar{e}^*(x) \leq h\bar{c}_3 e_k^* + (1 + h\bar{c}_3) \bar{e}_k^* \quad (28)$$

**Proof.** *Using (3), (4), (5), (6), (7), (8), (20), (21), (23) and (26) the proof is similar to the proof in lemma 3.4. On the light of definition 3.2 and matrix notation*

$$E^*(x) = [e^*(x) \ \bar{e}^*(x)]^T \text{ and } E_k^* = [e_k^* \ \bar{e}_k^*]^T \text{ then from lemma 4.2, we write}$$

$$E^*(x) \leq (I + hA) E_k^* \quad (29)$$

where  $I$  and  $A$  are matrices defined as in (18) using definition 3.3. The inequality (29) yields:

$$\|E^*(x)\| \leq (1 + h \|A\|) \|E_k^*\|.$$

This inequality holds for any  $x \in [a, b]$ . Setting  $x = x_{k+1}$ , we get:

$$\|E_{k+1}^*\| \leq (1 + h \|A\|) \|E_k^*\|$$

Using lemma 3.2, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \|E^*(x)\| &\leq (1 + h \|A\|)^{k+1} \|E_0^*\| \\ &\leq \left(1 + \frac{\|A\| (b-a)}{n}\right)^n \|E_0^*\| \\ &\leq e^{\|A\|(b-a)} \|E_0^*\| \\ &\leq c_{10} \|E_0^*\| \end{aligned}$$

where  $c_{10} = e^{\|A\|(b-a)}$  is a constant independent of  $h$ . Now using definition 3.3, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} e^*(x) &\leq c_{10} \|E_0^*\| \\ \bar{e}^*(x) &\leq c_{10} \|E_0^*\| \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

To estimate  $|S'_\Delta(x) - W'_\Delta(x)|$  we use (3), (4), (7), (20), (23), (26) and (30), we obtain:

$$|S'_\Delta(x) - W'_\Delta(x)| \leq c_{11} \|E_0^*\|$$

where  $c_{11} = 2c_0 c_1 c_{10}$  is a constant independent of  $h$ . Similarly using (5), (6), (8), (21), (23), (26) and (30) we get

$$|\bar{S}'_\Delta(x) - \bar{W}'_\Delta(x)| \leq c_{12} \|E_0^*\|$$

where  $c_{12} = 2\bar{c}_0 c_1 c_{10}$  is a constant independent of  $h$ . Thus from above lemma we have arrived to the following theorem

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $S_\Delta(x), \bar{S}_\Delta(x)$  given by (7), (8) be the approximate solutions of the problem (1) with the initial conditions  $y(x_0) = y_0, z(x_0) = z_0$  and let  $W_\Delta(x), \bar{W}_\Delta(x)$  given by (20), (21) are the approximate solutions for the same problem with the initial conditions  $y^*(x_0) = y_0^*, z^*(x_0) = z_0^*$  and  $f_1, f_2 \in C([a, b] \times \mathbb{R}^3)$  then the inequalities*

$$\begin{aligned} |S_\Delta^{(q)}(x) - W_\Delta^{(q)}(x)| &\leq c_{13} \|E_0^*\| \\ |\bar{S}_\Delta^{(q)}(x) - \bar{W}_\Delta^{(q)}(x)| &\leq c_{14} \|E_0^*\| \end{aligned}$$

hold for all  $x \in [a, b]$  and  $q = 0, 1$   $\|E_0^*\| = \max\{|y_0 - y_0^*|, |z_0 - z_0^*|\}$  where  $c_{13}, c_{14}$  are constants independent of  $h$ .

**5. Numerical example**

The method is tested using the following example in the interval  $[0, 1]$  with step size  $h=0.1$  where  $m = 4$  and  $m = 5$ . To test the stability of the method we do change in the initial condition by adding 0.00001.

**Example 5.1.** Consider the system of delay differential equation

$$y'(x) = y(x) - z(x) + y(x/2) - e^{x/2} + e^{-x}, 0 \leq x \leq 1$$

$$z'(x) = -y(x) - z(x) - z(x/2) + e^{-x/2} + e^x$$

$$y(x) = e^x, z(x) = e^{-x}, x \leq 0, y(0) = 1, z(0) = 1.$$

The exact solution is  $y = e^x, z = e^{-x}$ .

**Table I**

$x$	$m$	First Apr.	Absolute error	Second Apr.	Abs diff. bet. the num. sol.
0.1	4	$y = 1.105170911$	$7.6 \times 10^{-9}$	1.105182139	$1.1 \times 10^{-5}$
0.1	5	$y = 1.105170918$	$2.9 \times 10^{-11}$	1.105182147	$1.1 \times 10^{-5}$
0.2	4	$y = 1.221402377$	$3.8 \times 10^{-7}$	1.221415306	$1.3 \times 10^{-5}$
0.2	5	$y = 1.221402778$	$2 \times 10^{-8}$	1.221415714	$1.3 \times 10^{-5}$
0.3	4	$y = 1.349851046$	$7.8 \times 10^{-6}$	1.349866173	$1.5 \times 10^{-5}$
0.3	5	$y = 1.349859939$	$1.1 \times 10^{-6}$	1.349875098	$1.5 \times 10^{-5}$
0.4	4	$y = 1.491771687$	$5.3 \times 10^{-5}$	1.491789545	$1.8 \times 10^{-5}$
0.4	5	$y = 1.491836988$	$1.2 \times 10^{-5}$	1.491854936	$1.8 \times 10^{-5}$
0.5	4	$y = 1.648505578$	$2.2 \times 10^{-4}$	1.648526745	$2.1 \times 10^{-5}$
0.5	5	$y = 1.64878964$	$6.8 \times 10^{-5}$	1.648811008	$2.1 \times 10^{-5}$
0.6	4	$y = 1.821472326$	$6.5 \times 10^{-4}$	1.821497444	$2.5 \times 10^{-5}$
0.6	5	$y = 1.822380782$	$2.6 \times 10^{-4}$	1.822406275	$2.5 \times 10^{-5}$
0.7	4	$y = 2.012179165$	$1.6 \times 10^{-3}$	2.012208952	$3 \times 10^{-5}$
0.7	5	$y = 2.014537772$	$7.9 \times 10^{-4}$	2.014568184	$3 \times 10^{-5}$



**Table II**

$x$	$m$	First Abr.	Absolute error	Second Apr. Sol.	Abs. diff. bet. the num. sol.
0.1	4	$z = 0.9048374116$	$6.4 \times 10^{-9}$	0.9048445718	$7.2 \times 10^{-6}$
0.1	5	$z = 0.9048374182$	$1.8 \times 10^{-10}$	0.9048445788	$7.2 \times 10^{-6}$
0.2	4	$z = 0.8187301857$	$5.7 \times 10^{-7}$	0.8187347665	$4.6 \times 10^{-6}$
0.2	5	$z = 0.8187307828$	$3 \times 10^{-8}$	0.8187353697	$4.6 \times 10^{-6}$
0.3	4	$z = 0.7408112275$	$7 \times 10^{-6}$	0.740813402	$2.2 \times 10^{-6}$
0.3	5	$z = 0.7408189118$	$6.9 \times 10^{-7}$	0.740821138	$2.2 \times 10^{-6}$
0.4	4	$z = 0.6702800604$	$4 \times 10^{-5}$	0.6702799171	$1.4 \times 10^{-7}$
0.4	5	$z = 0.6703255091$	$5.5 \times 10^{-6}$	0.6703254446	$6.6 \times 10^{-8}$
0.5	4	$z = 0.6063734706$	$1.6 \times 10^{-4}$	0.6063710188	$2.5 \times 10^{-6}$
0.5	5	$z = 0.606555279$	$2.5 \times 10^{-5}$	0.6065530007	$2.3 \times 10^{-6}$
0.6	4	$z = 0.5483243125$	$4.9 \times 10^{-4}$	0.5483194985	$4.8 \times 10^{-6}$
0.6	5	$z = 0.5488891836$	$7.8 \times 10^{-5}$	0.548884684	$4.5 \times 10^{-6}$
0.7	4	$z = 0.4953086589$	$1.3 \times 10^{-3}$	0.4953013317	$7.3 \times 10^{-6}$
0.7	5	$z = 0.4967716293$	$1.9 \times 10^{-4}$	0.4967648351	$6.8 \times 10^{-6}$

## 6. Conclusions

A new technique using spline function approximation to numerically solve the system of first order delay differential equation is presented. The convergence and stability are discussed. Also, error analysis and stability are investigated showed in table I where  $m$  the number of iterations. Tables I and II show improvements of error analysis and stability. Also, from the sixth column of the tables one can see that the algorithm is stable.

## References

- [1] A. Ayad, *Spline approximation for second order Fredholm integro - differential equations*, Intern. J. Computer Math. 66, 1997, 1-13.
- [2] A. Bellen, *Oone step collocation for delay differential equation*, J. Comp. Appl. Math., 10, 1984, 275-285.
- [3] A. Bellen, *Constrained mesh methods for functional differential equations*. In "Delay Equations Approximations and Applications", eds. Meniardus and G. Nuruberg, ISNM, 74, Birkhauser, 1985, 52-70.
- [4] B. S. Bader, *Error of an arbitrary order for solving  $n^{th}$  order differential equations by spline functions*, Ph. D. Thesis, Faculty of Science, Tanta University, Egypt, 1996.
- [5] G. Micula, *Approximate integration of system of differential equations by spline functions*, Studia Univ. Babes-Bolyai Ser. Math. Mech. Fasc., 2, 17, 1971, 27-39.
- [6] G. Micula, *Spline functions of higher of degree of approximation for solutions of system of differential equations*, Studia Univ. Babes-Bolyai Ser. Math. Mech. 17, Fasc. 1, 1972, 21-32.
- [7] G. Micula and H. Acka, *Numerical solutions of system of differential equations with deviating argument by spline functions*, ACTA Tech. Napoca, 35, 1992, 107-116.
- [8] G. Micula, T. Fawzy and Z. Ramadan, *A polynomial spline approximation method for solving system of ordinary differential equations*, Babes-Bolyai Univ., Faculty of Math. Research, Seminar, Romania, 1988.
- [9] M. Abdel Naby, M. Ramadan and S. Mohamed, *Spline approximation for first order delay differential equations*, submitted to Memories Journal, Kochi Univ., Japan.
- [10] T. Fawzy and Z. Ramadan, *Spline approximation for system of ordinary differential equations*, I. 2nd International conference in Math. Faculty of Education Ain Shams Univ., April, Cairo, Egypt, 1985.

MOKHTAR A. ABDEL NABY, MOHAMED A. RAMADAN, AND SAMIR T. MOHAMED

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF EDUCATION,  
AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY , CAIRO, EGYPT

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE,  
MENOUIA UNIVERSITY, SHEBIN EL KOM EGYPT  
*E-mail address:* [mramadan@frcu.eun.eg](mailto:mramadan@frcu.eun.eg)

MINISTRY OF EDUCATION