

ON CERTAIN INEQUALITIES INVOLVING THE IDENTRIC MEAN IN n VARIABLES

TIBERIU TRIF

Abstract. In this paper we establish one Chebyshev type and two Ky Fan type inequalities for the weighted identric mean in n variables.

1. Introduction and notation

Let $n \geq 2$ be a given integer, let

$$A_{n-1} = \{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}) \mid \lambda_i \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, n-1, \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{n-1} \leq 1\}$$

be the Euclidean simplex, and let μ be a probability measure on A_{n-1} . For each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, the i th weight w_i associated to μ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} w_i &= \int_{A_{n-1}} \lambda_i d\mu(\lambda) & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \\ w_n &= \int_{A_{n-1}} (1 - \lambda_1 - \dots - \lambda_{n-1}) d\mu(\lambda), \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}) \in A_{n-1}$. Obviously, $w_i > 0$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, and $w_1 + \dots + w_n = 1$. We also define

$$\begin{aligned} w_{ij} &= \int_{A_{n-1}} \lambda_i \lambda_j d\mu(\lambda) & \text{if } 1 \leq i, j \leq n-1, \\ w_{in} &= w_{ni} = \int_{A_{n-1}} \lambda_i (1 - \lambda_1 - \dots - \lambda_{n-1}) d\mu(\lambda) & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \\ w_{nn} &= \int_{A_{n-1}} (1 - \lambda_1 - \dots - \lambda_{n-1})^2 d\mu(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account the Liouville formula (see, for instance, [1])

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{A_{n-1}} \lambda_1^{p_1-1} \dots \lambda_{n-1}^{p_{n-1}-1} f(\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{n-1}) d\lambda_1 \dots d\lambda_{n-1} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(p_1) \dots \Gamma(p_{n-1})}{\Gamma(p_1 + \dots + p_{n-1})} \int_0^1 x^{p_1 + \dots + p_{n-1} - 1} f(x) dx, \end{aligned}$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 26D15.

in the special case $\mu = (n-1)!$ we get $w_i = 1/n$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} w_{ii} &= \frac{2}{n(n+1)} & \text{for all } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \\ w_{ij} &= \frac{1}{n(n+1)} & \text{for all } i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}, i \neq j. \end{aligned}$$

Next, recall that the *identric mean* $I(x_1, x_2)$ of the positive real numbers x_1 and x_2 is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} I(x_1, x_2) &= \frac{1}{e} \left(\frac{x_2^{x_2}}{x_1^{x_1}} \right)^{1/(x_2-x_1)} & \text{if } x_1 \neq x_2, \\ I(x_1, x_1) &= x_1. \end{aligned}$$

It is easily seen that the following integral representation holds:

$$I(x_1, x_2) = \exp \left(\int_0^1 \log(tx_1 + (1-t)x_2) dt \right). \quad (1.1)$$

Given $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in]0, \infty[^n$, we set

$$\lambda \cdot X := \lambda_1 x_1 + \dots + \lambda_{n-1} x_{n-1} + (1 - \lambda_1 - \dots - \lambda_{n-1}) x_n$$

for all $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}) \in A_{n-1}$. Starting from (1.1), in [7] it was pointed out that

$$I(X; \mu) := \exp \left(\int_{A_{n-1}} \log(\lambda \cdot X) d\mu(\lambda) \right)$$

can be considered as the weighted identric mean of x_1, \dots, x_n . For $\mu = (n-1)!$ we obtain the unweighted and symmetric identric mean of x_1, \dots, x_n

$$I(X) = I(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \exp \left((n-1)! \int_{A_{n-1}} \log(\lambda \cdot X) d\lambda_1 \dots d\lambda_{n-1} \right).$$

As in the case of other means, $I(X; \mu)$ can be generalized as follows: for each real number r we set $X^r := (x_1^r, \dots, x_n^r)$, and then define

$$\begin{aligned} I_r(X; \mu) &:= (I(X^r; \mu))^{1/r} & \text{if } r \neq 0, \\ I_0(X; \mu) &:= \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} I_r(X; \mu) = x_1^{w_1} \dots x_n^{w_n} & \text{(see [5]).} \end{aligned}$$

The means $I_r(X; \mu)$ are special cases of the so-called Stolarsky-Tobey means introduced in [5]: namely $I_r(X; \mu) = E_{r,r}(X; \mu)$. Consequently, several inequalities (of the Jensen, Minkowski, Hölder, Rennie, and Kantorovich type, respectively) involving the means I_r can be obtained as special cases of the results listed in [5]. In Section 2 of

this paper we complete these inequalities by proving a Chebyshev type inequality for I_r .

Let

$$A(X; \mu) := w_1 x_1 + \cdots + w_n x_n \quad \text{and} \quad G(X; \mu) := x_1^{w_1} \cdots x_n^{w_n}$$

be the weighted arithmetic and geometric mean, respectively, of x_1, \dots, x_n . For $\mu = (n-1)!$ we obtain the usual arithmetic and geometric mean of x_1, \dots, x_n

$$\begin{aligned} A(X) &= A(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{x_1 + \cdots + x_n}{n}, \\ G(X) &= G(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (x_1 \cdots x_n)^{1/n}. \end{aligned}$$

A famous result due to Ky Fan asserts that if $0 < x_i \leq 1/2$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then

$$\frac{G(X; \mu)}{G(\mathbf{1} - X; \mu)} \leq \frac{A(X; \mu)}{A(\mathbf{1} - X; \mu)}, \quad (1.2)$$

where $\mathbf{1} - X := (1 - x_1, \dots, 1 - x_n)$. The following refinement of (1.2) has been recently obtained in [7]:

$$\frac{G(X; \mu)}{G(\mathbf{1} - X; \mu)} \leq \frac{I(X; \mu)}{I(\mathbf{1} - X; \mu)} \leq \frac{A(X; \mu)}{A(\mathbf{1} - X; \mu)}. \quad (1.3)$$

In Section 3 of this paper we establish a converse of the left inequality in (1.3) as well as an improvement of the right inequality in (1.3).

2. Chebyshev's inequality for the identric mean in n variables

Theorem 2.1. *Let $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$ and $Y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$ such that $0 < x_1 \leq \cdots \leq x_n$ and $0 < y_1 \leq \cdots \leq y_n$, and let $X \cdot Y := (x_1 y_1, \dots, x_n y_n)$. Then*

$$I_r(X; \mu) I_r(Y; \mu) \leq I_r(X \cdot Y; \mu) \quad \text{for all } r > 0,$$

$$I_r(X; \mu) I_r(Y; \mu) \geq I_r(X \cdot Y; \mu) \quad \text{for all } r < 0.$$

Proof. According to Chebyshev's inequality, we have

$$(\lambda \cdot X^r)(\lambda \cdot Y^r) \leq \lambda \cdot (X \cdot Y)^r$$

for all $r \in \mathbf{R}$ and all $\lambda \in A_{n-1}$, hence

$$\int_{A_{n-1}} \log(\lambda \cdot X^r) d\mu(\lambda) + \int_{A_{n-1}} \log(\lambda \cdot Y^r) d\mu(\lambda) \leq \int_{A_{n-1}} \log(\lambda \cdot (X \cdot Y)^r) d\mu(\lambda)$$

for all $r \in \mathbf{R}$. Exponentiating both sides yields

$$I(X^r; \mu)I(Y^r; \mu) \leq I((X \cdot Y)^r; \mu) \quad \text{for all } r \in \mathbf{R}.$$

This inequality implies the conclusion of the theorem. \square

Besides the identric mean $I(x_1, x_2)$ of the positive real numbers x_1 and x_2 , the logarithmic mean of x_1 and x_2 is another important special case of the Stolarsky mean of x_1 and x_2 . Recall that the *logarithmic mean* of x_1 and x_2 is defined by

$$L(x_1, x_2) = \frac{x_1 - x_2}{\log x_1 - \log x_2} \quad \text{if } x_1 \neq x_2,$$

$$L(x_1, x_1) = x_1.$$

Theorem 2.2. *Let x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 be positive real numbers.*

If $(x_1 - x_2)(y_1 - y_2) > 0$, then

$$L(x_1, x_2)L(y_1, y_2) < L(x_1y_1, x_2y_2), \quad (2.1)$$

while if $(x_1 - x_2)(y_1 - y_2) < 0$, then

$$L(x_1, x_2)L(y_1, y_2) > L(x_1y_1, x_2y_2). \quad (2.2)$$

In the proof we shall use the elementary

Lemma 2.3. *The following assertions are true:*

a) $f_1(v) = v \log v - v + 1$ is strictly decreasing from $]0, 1[$ onto $]0, 1[$, and strictly increasing from $]1, \infty[$ onto $]0, \infty[$.

b) $f_2(v) = v \log v - 2v + \log v + 2$ is strictly increasing from $]0, \infty[$ onto $] - \infty, \infty[$.

c) $f_3(v) = v^2 - 2v \log v - 1$ is strictly increasing from $]0, 1[$ onto $] - 1, 0[$.

d) $f_4(v) = v \log^2 v - (v - 1)^2$ is strictly increasing from $]0, 1[$ onto $] - 1, 0[$.

Proof of the Theorem 2.2. Suppose first that $(x_1 - x_2)(y_1 - y_2) > 0$. Due to the symmetry, we may assume that $x_1 > x_2$ and $y_1 > y_2$, so $u := \frac{x_1}{x_2} > 1$, $v := \frac{y_1}{y_2} > 1$. Taking into account the homogeneity of L , inequality (2.1) is equivalent to

$$\frac{u-1}{\log u} \cdot \frac{v-1}{\log v} < \frac{uv-1}{\log u + \log v},$$

i. e. to

$$(u-1)(v-1)(\log u + \log v) - (uv-1)\log u \log v < 0. \quad (2.3)$$

Let $v \in]1, \infty[$ be fixed, and let $f :]0, \infty[\rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be the function defined by

$$f(u) := (u-1)(v-1)(\log u + \log v) - (uv-1)\log u \log v. \quad (2.4)$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} f'(u) &= (v-1-v\log v)\log u + \frac{u-1}{u}(v-1-\log v), \\ f''(u) &= \frac{v-1-\log v-u(v\log v-v+1)}{u^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $v > 1$, by virtue of Lemma 2.3 a) and b) we obtain

$$f''(u) < \frac{v-1-\log v-(v\log v-v+1)}{u^2} = -\frac{v\log v-2v+\log v+2}{u^2} < 0$$

for all $u \in]1, \infty[$, hence f' must be strictly decreasing on $]1, \infty[$. Therefore $f'(u) < 0$ for $u > 1$, because $f'(1) = 0$. This implies that f is also strictly decreasing on $]1, \infty[$. Consequently, $f(u) < 0$ for $u > 1$, because $f(1) = 0$. This proves the validity of (2.3).

Suppose now that $(x_1 - x_2)(y_1 - y_2) < 0$, and assume that $x_1 > x_2$ and $y_1 < y_2$. Then we have $u := \frac{x_1}{x_2} > 1$ and $v := \frac{y_1}{y_2} < 1$. Depending on u and v , we distinguish the following possible cases:

Case I. $uv = 1$.

Then inequality (2.2) is equivalent to $L(u, 1)L(1/u, 1) > 1$. Since $L(1/u, 1) = L(u, 1)/u$, this transforms into the well-known inequality $L(u, 1) > \sqrt{u} = G(u, 1)$ (see [8]).

Case II. $uv > 1$.

Then inequality (2.2) is equivalent to (2.3). Let $v \in]0, 1[$ be fixed, and let $f :]0, \infty[\rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be the function defined by (2.4). By virtue of Lemma 2.3 a) and c), for all $u \in]1/v, \infty[$ we have

$$f''(u) < \frac{v-1-\log v-\frac{1}{v}(v\log v-v+1)}{u^2} = \frac{v^2-2v\log v-1}{u^2v} < 0,$$

hence f' must be strictly decreasing on $]1/v, \infty[$. But $f'(1/v) = v\log^2 v - (v-1)^2 < 0$, according to Lemma 2.3 d), so $f'(u) < 0$ for $u > 1/v$. This implies that f is

also strictly decreasing on $]1/v, \infty[$. Consequently, $f(u) < 0$ for $u > 1/v$, because $f(1/v) = 0$. This proves the validity of (2.3).

Case III. $uv < 1$.

Then inequality (2.2) is equivalent to

$$(u - 1)(v - 1)(\log u + \log v) - (uv - 1) \log u \log v > 0. \quad (2.5)$$

Let again $v \in]0, 1[$ be fixed, and let $f :]0, \infty[\rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be the function defined by (2.4). Set

$$\tilde{v} := \frac{v - 1 - \log v}{v \log v - v + 1}.$$

By Lemma 2.3 a), b), and c) we have $1 < \tilde{v} < 1/v$. It is immediately seen that $f''(u) > 0$ for $u \in]1, \tilde{v}[$ and $f''(u) < 0$ for $u \in]\tilde{v}, 1/v[$. Consequently, f' is strictly increasing on $]1, \tilde{v}[$ and strictly decreasing on $]\tilde{v}, 1/v[$. Since $f'(1) = 0$ and $f'(1/v) = v \log^2 v - (v - 1)^2 < 0$, it follows that there exists a unique $\bar{v} \in]\tilde{v}, 1/v[$ such that $f'(\bar{v}) = 0$, $f'(u) > 0$ for $u \in]1, \bar{v}[$, and $f'(u) < 0$ for $u \in]\bar{v}, 1/v[$. Therefore f is strictly increasing on $]1, \bar{v}[$ and strictly decreasing on $]\bar{v}, 1/v[$. Since $f(1) = f(1/v) = 0$, we can conclude that $f(u) > 0$ for all $u \in]1, 1/v[$. This completes the proof of (2.5). \square

Remark. It would be interesting to study whether Theorem 2.2 can be generalized for n variables (the author does not know the answer).

3. Two inequalities related to (1.3)

In this section, both a converse of the left inequality in (1.3) and a refinement of the right inequality in (1.3) are obtained. They are contained in the following two theorems.

Theorem 3.1. *If $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in]0, 1/2]^n$, then it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned} \log \frac{I(X; \mu)}{I(\mathbf{1} - X; \mu)} - \log \frac{G(X; \mu)}{G(\mathbf{1} - X; \mu)} \\ \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{w_i}{x_i(1-x_i)} \right) - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{w_i}{1-x_i}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Theorem 3.2. *If $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in]0, 1/2]^n$, then it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned} \log \frac{A(X; \mu)}{A(\mathbf{1} - X; \mu)} - \log \frac{I(X; \mu)}{I(\mathbf{1} - X; \mu)} & \\ & \geq \frac{1 - 2\bar{x}}{2\bar{x}^2(1 - \bar{x})^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n (w_{ij} - w_i w_j) x_i x_j, \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

where $\bar{x} := \max \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$.

In the proofs of Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2 we shall use the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $J \subseteq \mathbf{R}$ be a nonempty interval, let $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in J^n$, and let $\phi : J \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a twice differentiable function such that $\phi''(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in J$. Then it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \phi(x_i) - \int_{A_{n-1}} \phi(\lambda \cdot X) d\mu(\lambda) & \\ \leq \sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i \phi'(x_i) - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n w_i \phi'(x_i) \right). & \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Proof. The nonnegativity of ϕ'' ensures that

$$\phi(\lambda \cdot X) \geq \phi(x_i) + \phi'(x_i)(\lambda \cdot X - x_i)$$

for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and all $\lambda \in A_{n-1}$. Integrating over A_{n-1} with respect to μ yields

$$\phi(x_i) - \int_{A_{n-1}} \phi(\lambda \cdot X) d\mu(\lambda) \leq x_i \phi'(x_i) - \phi'(x_i)(w_1 x_1 + \dots + w_n x_n)$$

for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Multiplying both sides by w_i and then summing the obtained inequalities, we get (3.3). \square

Given the nonempty interval $J \subseteq \mathbf{R}$, to each function $\phi : J \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ we associate the function $L\phi : J^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ defined by

$$L\phi(X) := \int_{A_{n-1}} \phi(\lambda \cdot X) d\mu(\lambda) - \phi \left(\sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i \right) \quad X = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in J^n.$$

Lemma 3.4. *Suppose that ϕ has a continuous second derivative in J , and let $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in J^n$, $\underline{x} := \min \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, $\bar{x} := \max \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. Then there*

exists a point $\tilde{x} \in [\underline{x}, \bar{x}]$ such that

$$L\phi(X) = \frac{1}{2}\phi''(\tilde{x})Le_2(X),$$

where $e_2(x) = x^2$.

Proof. Set $\lambda^0 := (w_1, \dots, w_{n-1}) \in A_{n-1}$ and $x_0 := w_1x_1 + \dots + w_nx_n$. Obviously, $x_0 = \lambda^0 \cdot X$. Next, let $\varphi : A_{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be the function defined by $\varphi(\lambda) := \phi(\lambda \cdot X)$. For each $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}) \in A_{n-1}$ there exists $\xi \in]0, 1[$ such that

$$\varphi(\lambda) = \varphi(\lambda^0) + d\varphi(\lambda^0)(\lambda - \lambda^0) + \frac{1}{2}d^2\varphi(\lambda^0 + \xi(\lambda - \lambda^0))(\lambda - \lambda^0),$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\lambda \cdot X) &= \phi(x_0) + \phi'(x_0) \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (x_i - x_n)(\lambda_i - w_i) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\phi''(x_\xi) \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} (x_i - x_n)(x_j - x_n)(\lambda_i - w_i)(\lambda_j - w_j), \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

where $x_\xi := (\lambda^0 + \xi(\lambda - \lambda^0)) \cdot X$. Further, let

$$m := \inf \phi''([\underline{x}, \bar{x}]) \quad \text{and} \quad M := \sup \phi''([\underline{x}, \bar{x}]).$$

Taking into account that

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} (x_i - x_n)(x_j - x_n)(\lambda_i - w_i)(\lambda_j - w_j) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (x_i - x_n)(\lambda_i - w_i) \right)^2 \geq 0,$$

from (3.4) we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2}m \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} (x_i - x_n)(x_j - x_n)(\lambda_i - w_i)(\lambda_j - w_j) \\ &\leq \phi(\lambda \cdot X) - \phi(x_0) - \phi'(x_0) \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (x_i - x_n)(\lambda_i - w_i) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}M \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} (x_i - x_n)(x_j - x_n)(\lambda_i - w_i)(\lambda_j - w_j) \end{aligned}$$

for all $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}) \in A_{n-1}$. Integrating over A_{n-1} with respect to μ yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2}m \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} (w_{ij} - w_iw_j)(x_i - x_n)(x_j - x_n) \leq L\phi(X) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}M \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} (w_{ij} - w_iw_j)(x_i - x_n)(x_j - x_n). \end{aligned}$$

As a simple computation shows, we have

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} (w_{ij} - w_i w_j)(x_i - x_n)(x_j - x_n) = Le_2(X),$$

hence $\frac{1}{2}mLe_2(X) \leq L\phi(X) \leq \frac{1}{2}MLE_2(X)$. Now, the continuity of ϕ'' ensures the existence of a point $\tilde{x} \in [\underline{x}, \bar{x}]$ such that $L\phi(X) = \frac{1}{2}\phi''(\tilde{x})Le_2(X)$. \square

Proof of the Theorem 3.1. Inequality (3.1) follows at once from (3.3) if we take $J :=]0, 1/2]$ and $\phi : J \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ to be the function $\phi(x) = \log(1-x) - \log x$, whose second derivative is

$$\phi''(x) = \frac{1-2x}{x^2(1-x)^2} \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in J.$$

\square

Proof of the Theorem 3.2. With the same choices for J and ϕ , from Lemma 3.4 we conclude the existence of a point $\tilde{x} \in [\underline{x}, \bar{x}]$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \log \frac{A(X; \mu)}{A(\mathbf{1}-X; \mu)} - \log \frac{I(X; \mu)}{I(\mathbf{1}-X; \mu)} &= \frac{1-2\tilde{x}}{2\tilde{x}^2(1-\tilde{x})^2} Le_2(X) \\ &= \frac{1-2\tilde{x}}{2\tilde{x}^2(1-\tilde{x})^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n (w_{ij} - w_i w_j) x_i x_j \\ &\geq \frac{1-2\bar{x}}{2\bar{x}^2(1-\bar{x})^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n (w_{ij} - w_i w_j) x_i x_j, \end{aligned}$$

because ϕ'' is decreasing on J . \square

Remark. For $\mu = (n-1)!$, inequalities (3.1) and (3.2) reduce to

$$\log \frac{I(X)}{I(\mathbf{1}-X)} - \log \frac{G(X)}{G(\mathbf{1}-X)} \leq \frac{1}{n^2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{x_i(1-x_i)} \right) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{1-x_i}$$

and

$$\log \frac{A(X)}{A(\mathbf{1}-X)} - \log \frac{I(X)}{I(\mathbf{1}-X)} \geq \frac{1-2\bar{x}}{2n^2(n+1)\bar{x}^2(1-\bar{x})^2} \left(n \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^2 \right),$$

respectively.

References

- [1] I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik, *Table of Integrals, Series, and Products* (prepared by A. Jeffrey), Academic Press, New York, 1980.
- [2] A. McD. Mercer, An "error term" for the Ky Fan inequality, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **220** (1998), 774–777.
- [3] E. Neuman, Inequalities involving multivariate convex functions, II, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **109** (1990), 965–974.
- [4] E. Neuman, The weighted logarithmic mean, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **188** (1994), 885–900.
- [5] J. E. Pečarić and V. Šimić, Stolarsky-Tobey mean in n variables, *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **2** (1999), 325–341.
- [6] A. O. Pittenger, The logarithmic mean in n variables, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **92** (1985), 99–104.
- [7] J. Sándor and T. Trif, A new refinement of the Ky Fan inequality, *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **2** (1999), 529–533.
- [8] K. B. Stolarsky, Generalizations of the logarithmic mean, *Math. Mag.* **48** (1975), 87–92.
- [9] M. D. Tobey, Two-parameter homogeneous mean value, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **18** (1967), 9–14.

UNIVERSITATEA BABEȘ-BOLYAI, FAC. DE MATEMATICĂ ȘI INFORMATICĂ,
STR. KOGĂLNICEANU NR. 1, RO-3400 CLUJ-NAPOCA, ROMANIA
E-mail address: ttrif@math.ubbcluj.ro