

SOME HOMEOMORPHISM THEOREMS

FLORICA ALDEA

Abstract. In this paper we give homeomorphism result for operators that satisfies Borsuk condition.

1. Introduction

Let X be a Banach space and $f : X \rightarrow X$ be an operator such that $F_f \neq \emptyset$. There are many papers in which using the fixed point theory we obtain the surjectivity of $\mathbf{1}_X - f$ (see: Aldea [1, 2], Browder [4], Danes [8], Danes-Kolomy [9], Deimling [10], Rus [14, 15, 16]).

The aim of this paper is to give an answer to the following question. What conditions must satisfy f such that $\mathbf{1}_X - f$ be a homeomorphism?

Rus proved in [15] that if f is a φ contraction then $\mathbf{1}_X - f$ is a homeomorphism. In order to prove this he used a bijectivity and a data dependence results.

Also, it is possible to obtain homeomorphism result using domain invariance result respective closing range theorem (see: Cramer-Ray [6], Crandall-Pazzy [7], Dowing-Kirk [11], Zeidler [17]).

Following a similar technique we will give an answer to the mention question in case that operator f satisfy Borsuk condition.

Definition 1.1. Let X be a Banach space and $f : X \rightarrow X$ an operator. We say that f satisfies Borsuk condition (shortly (B)), if there exists $\eta > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$, inequality

$$\|f(x_1) - f(x_2)\| < \eta$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47H10.

Key words and phrases. homeomorphism, fixed points, Borsuk condition.

implies

$$\|x_1 - x_2\| < \varepsilon.$$

Now we will give some operators' classes which satisfy (B) condition.

Remark 1.1. Let X be a Banach space. If $f : X \rightarrow X$ is near identity (in Campanato sense [5]), then f satisfies condition (B).

Proof. Because f is near $\mathbf{1}_X$ there exists constants $\lambda, k \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\|x_1 - x_2 - \lambda(f(x_1) - f(x_2))\| \leq k \cdot \|x_1 - x_2\|, \text{ for all } x_1, x_2 \in X \quad (1)$$

or

$$(1 - k)\|x_1 - x_2\| \leq \lambda\|f(x_1) - f(x_2)\|, \text{ for all } x_1, x_2 \in X.$$

So there are $\eta > 0$ and $\varepsilon \left(= \frac{\lambda}{1 - k} \eta \right) > 0$ such that from $\|f(x_1) - f(x_2)\| < \eta$ we have $\|x_1 - x_2\| < \varepsilon$. We obtain that f verifies condition (B).

Remark 1.2. Let X be Banach space. If $f : X \rightarrow X$ is dilatation, then f satisfies (B) condition.

Proof. Because f is dilatation there exists $c > 1$ such that

$$c\|x_1 - x_2\| \leq \|f(x_1) - f(x_2)\|, \text{ for all } x_1, x_2 \in X$$

So there are $\eta > 0$ and $\varepsilon \left(= \frac{\eta}{c} \right) > 0$ such that from $\|f(x_1) - f(x_2)\| < \eta$ we have $\|x_1 - x_2\| < \varepsilon$. We obtain that f verifies condition (B).

Remark 1.3. Let X Banach space. If $f : X \rightarrow X$ is strong accretive, then f satisfies condition (B).

Proof. Because f is strong accretive there is $k > 1$ such that

$$k\|x_1 - x_2\| \leq \|f(x_1) - f(x_2)\|, \text{ for all } x_1, x_2 \in X$$

So there are $\eta > 0$ and $\varepsilon \left(= \frac{\eta}{k} \right) > 0$ such that from $\|f(x_1) - f(x_2)\| < \eta$ we have $\|x_1 - x_2\| < \varepsilon$. We obtain that f verifies (B) condition.

Definition 1.2. (Rus, [15]) A function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is a comparison function if φ is increasing and $\varphi^n(t) \rightarrow 0$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

2. Main result

In what follows, we solve the problem for case of an operator which is sum of two operators and one of them satisfies condition (B).

Theorem 2.1. (Granas, [12]) *Let X be a Banach space and operator $F : X \rightarrow X$ be a complete continuous . If operator $f : X \rightarrow X$ satisfies condition (B) (with $f(x) = x - F(x)$ for all $x \in X$), then f is surjective.*

Theorem 2.2. *Let X be a Banach space, $F, L : X \rightarrow X$ be two continuous operators with F compact and functions $\varphi, \psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. Suppose that:*

(i)

$$\varphi(\|x_1 - x_2\|) \leq \|f(x_1) - f(x_2)\| \tag{2}$$

for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$ with $f(x) = \mathbf{1}_X(x) - F(x)$, for all $x \in X$;

(ii)

$$\|L(x_1) - L(x_2)\| \leq \psi(\|x_1 - x_2\|) \tag{3}$$

for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$;

(iii) $\varphi(0) = 0$, φ bijective and φ^{-1} comparison function;

(iv) $\psi(0) = 0$ and ψ comparison function.

Then $\mathbf{1}_X - f$ is bijective.

Proof. First, we prove that $F_{F+L} = \emptyset$. In order to apply Theorem 2.1 we will prove that f verifies condition (B). Let x_1, x_2 from X such that $\|f(x_1) - f(x_2)\| < \eta$. From (2) and φ bijective we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\|x_1 - x_2\|) &\leq \|f(x_1) - f(x_2)\| < \eta \\ \|x_1 - x_2\| &\leq \varphi^{-1}(\eta) < \varphi^{-1}(\eta) + 1 = \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

so f verifies condition (B).

From Theorem 2.1 we have that f is surjective. From (2) and (iii) we obtain that f is injective. Operator f is continuous from hypothesis and continuity of inverse operator results from inequality (2); so f is homeomorphism.

Let $x \in X$, because f is homeomorphism we define operator

$$R : X \rightarrow X; x \longmapsto R(x)$$

such that

$$f(R(x)) = L(x) \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

From (2) and (3) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\|R(x_1) - R(x_2)\|) &\leq \|f(R(x_1)) - f(R(x_2))\| = \|L(x_1) - L(x_2)\| \\ &\leq \psi(\|x_1 - x_2\|) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$. Because φ is invertible

$$\|R(x_1) - R(x_2)\| \leq (\varphi^{-1} \circ \psi)(\|x_1 - x_2\|) \quad (4)$$

for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$.

Because φ^{-1}, ψ are comparison functions we obtain that

$$\|R(x_1) - R(x_2)\| \leq \varphi^{-1}(\|x_1 - x_2\|) \quad (5)$$

for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$. But φ^{-1} is comparison function. From the last statement and (4) we apply fixed point theorem for φ -contractions (see Rus [16]) we have $F_R = \{x^*\}$.

From the definition of R results

$$(\mathbf{1}_X - F)(x^*) = L(x^*) \iff F_{F+L} = \{x^*\}.$$

Second, we prove that $\mathbf{1}_X - (F + L)$ is bijective.

Let $y \in X$. We denote by L_y operator $L+y$. It is easy to prove that operator L_y verifies inequality (3), so applying first part of our proof we have that $F_{F+L_y} = \{x^*\} \iff$ equation $F(x) + L(x) + y = x$ has only one solution. So $\mathbf{1}_X - (F + L)$ is bijective.

Theorem 2.3. *If we add to the hypotheses of Theorem 2.2 the following:*

(v) $\varphi(t) \geq \psi(t)$ for all $t \geq 0$;

(vi) *there is the inverse of $\mathbf{1}_{[0,\infty)} - (\varphi^{-1} \circ \psi)$ and it is continuous.*

Then $\mathbf{1}_X - (F + L)$ is homeomorphism.

Proof. From Theorem 2.2 we have that operator $\mathbf{1}_X - (F + G)$ is bijective, continuity of its results from the continuity of F and L .

Let x_i the unique solution of equations $x - F(x) - L(x) = y_i$, for $i = 1, 2$.

From (2) and (3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\|x_1 - x_2\|) &\leq \|f(x_1) - f(x_2)\| = \|L(x_1) - L(x_2) + y_1 - y_2\| \\ &\leq \|L(x_1) - L(x_2)\| + \|y_1 - y_2\| \\ &\leq \psi(\|x_1 - x_2\|) + \|y_1 - y_2\| \implies \end{aligned}$$

From (iii) results

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_1 - x_2\| &\leq (\varphi^{-1} \circ \psi)(\|x_1 - x_2\|) + \varphi^{-1}(\|y_1 - y_2\|) \\ &\leq (\varphi^{-1} \circ \psi)(\|x_1 - x_2\|) + \|y_1 - y_2\| \iff \\ (\mathbf{1}_{[0,\infty)} - (\varphi^{-1} \circ \psi))(\|x_1 - x_2\|) &\leq \|y_1 - y_2\| \implies \\ \|x_1 - x_2\| &\leq (\mathbf{1}_{[0,\infty)} - (\varphi^{-1} \circ \psi))^{-1}(\|y_1 - y_2\|) \quad (6) \end{aligned}$$

From last inequality and (vi) we have that

$$\|(\mathbf{1}_X - (F + L))^{-1}(y_1) - (\mathbf{1}_X - (F + L))^{-1}(y_2)\| \leq (\mathbf{1}_{[0,\infty)} - (\varphi^{-1} \circ \psi))^{-1}(\|y_1 - y_2\|)$$

Which means that $(\mathbf{1}_X - (F + L))^{-1}$ is continuous operator, so $\mathbf{1}_X - (F + L)$ homeomorphism.

Remark 2.1. If X is finite dimensional Banach space, then Theorems 2.1, 2.2 are true without assumption of compactness on operator F .

Theorem 2.4. (Altman, [3]) *Let X be a finite dimensional Banach space, $F, L : X \rightarrow X$ two continuous operators and constants $c > 0$ and $k > 0$. Suppose that:*

(i)

$$c \cdot \|x_1 - x_2\| \leq \|f(x_1) - f(x_2)\| \quad (7)$$

for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$ with $f(x) = \mathbf{1}_X(x) - F(x)$, for all $x \in X$;

(ii)

$$\|L(x_1) - L(x_2)\| \leq k \cdot \|x_1 - x_2\| \quad (8)$$

for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$;

(iii)

$$K < c.$$

Then

(a) $F_{F+L} = \{x^*\}$;

(b) $\mathbf{1}_X - (F + L) : X \rightarrow X$ is homeomorphism;

(c) Operator $(\mathbf{1}_X - (F + L))^{-1} : X \rightarrow X$ is Lipschitz continuous.

Proof. In order to prove theorem, we apply Theorem 2.2 and 2.3 considering $\varphi(t) = c \cdot t$ with $c > 1$ and $\psi(t) = k \cdot t$ with $k < 1$.

These functions verify assumption (i)-(v) from mentioned theorems.

Function $(\mathbf{1}_{[0,\infty)} - (\varphi^{-1} \circ \psi))(t) = \frac{c-k}{c}t$ verifies (vi).

Conclusion (c) of Altman's theorem results from inequality (6).

References

- [1] F. Aldea, *Surjectivity via fixed point structures*, Seminar on Fixed Point Theory "Babeş-Bolyai" Univ., 1997, 9-14.
- [2] F. Aldea, *Surjectivity theorems for normcontraction operators*, to appear in *Mathematica*.
- [3] M. Altman, *Dilating mappings, implicit functions and fixed point theorems in finite-dimensional*, *Fundamenta Mathematicae*, LXVIII(1970), 129-141.
- [4] F. Browder, *Fixed Point Theory and Nonlinear Problems*, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 9(1983), 1-39.
- [5] S. Campanato, *A Cordes type condition for nonlinear and variational systems*, *Rend. Acad. Naz. Sci.*, 107(1989), 307-321.
- [6] W.J. Cramer, W.O. Ray, *Solvability of nonlinear operator equations*, *Pacific J. Math.*, 95(1981), 37-50.
- [7] M. Crandall, A. Pazy, *On the range of accretive operators*, *Israel J. Math.*, 27(1977), 235-246.
- [8] J. Danes, *Surjectivity and fixed point theorems*, *C. M. U. C.*, 13(1972), 181-184.
- [9] J. Danes, J. Kolomy, *Fixed points, surjectivity and invariance of domain theorems for weakly continuous mappings*, *Boll. U.M.I.*, 5 (1976), 369-394.
- [10] K. Deimling, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, 1985.
- [11] D. Dowing, W. Kirk, *A generalization of Caristi theorem with application to nonlinear mappings theory*, *Pacific J. Math.*, 69(1977), 339-349.
- [12] A. Granas, *Über ein Satz von K. Borsuk*, *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci.*, 5(1957), 595-562.
- [13] S. Kasahara, *Surjectivity and fixed point of nonlinear mappings*, *Math. Sem. Notes*, 2 (1974), 119-126.
- [14] I. A. Rus, *Generalized contraction*, Seminar on fixed point theory, "Babeş-Bolyai" Univ., 1983, 1-131.
- [15] I. A. Rus, *Ecuații diferențiale, ecuații integrale și sisteme dinamice*, Transilvania Press, 1996.
- [16] I. A. Rus, *Contractii generalizate*, to appear.
- [17] E. Zeidler, *Nonlinear functional analysis and this applications. I. Fixed point theorems*, Springer, 1990.

BABEȘ-BOLYAI UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS,
 STR. M. KOGALNICEANU 1, 3400 CLUJ-NAPOCA, ROMANIA
 E-mail address: aldea@math.ubbcluj.ro