

**A NOTE ON  $\tau$ -QUASI-INJECTIVE MODULES**

SEPTIMIU CRIVEI

**Abstract.** Let  $\tau$  be a hereditary torsion theory. We mention a characterization of  $\tau$ -quasi-injective modules, as fully invariant submodules of their  $\tau$ -injective hull, and we give some properties for such modules. Moreover, the paper studies when  $\tau$ -quasi-injective modules are quasi-injective or not, in the case of the hereditary torsion theory  $\tau_D$  whose  $\tau_D$ -torsion class consists of all semiartinian modules and  $\tau_D$ -torsionfree class consists of all modules with zero socle.

**1. Preliminaries**

Throughout this paper we will denote by  $R$  an associative ring with non-zero identity and by  $\tau$  a hereditary torsion theory on the category  $R\text{-mod}$  of left  $R$ -modules. All modules considered in the paper will be left unital  $R$ -modules.

A module  $A$  is said to be semiartinian if every non-zero homomorphic image of  $A$  contains a simple submodule [6, Chapter I, Definition 11.4.6]. Let  $A$  be a module and let  $B$  be a submodule of  $A$ . Then  $A$  is semiartinian if and only if  $B$  and  $A/B$  are semiartinian [6, Chapter I, Proposition 11.4.8].

A submodule  $B$  of a module  $A$  is said to be  $\tau$ -dense ( $\tau$ -closed) in  $A$  if  $A/B$  is  $\tau$ -torsion ( $\tau$ -torsionfree). A non-zero module  $A$  is called  $\tau$ -cocritical if  $A$  is  $\tau$ -torsionfree and each of its non-zero submodules is  $\tau$ -dense in  $A$ .

A module  $A$  is said to be  $\tau$ -injective if  $\text{Ext}_R^1(B, A) = 0$  for every  $\tau$ -torsion module  $B$ . A module  $A$  is  $\tau$ -injective if and only if  $A$  is a  $\tau$ -closed submodule of its injective hull [5, Proposition 8.2]. The class of  $\tau$ -injective modules is closed under taking direct products, direct summands and extensions [5, Proposition 8.4]. For any module  $A$ , we will denote by  $E(A)$  and  $E_\tau(A)$  the injective hull and the  $\tau$ -injective hull of  $A$  respectively.

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 16S90; Secondary 16D70.

*Key words and phrases.*  $\tau$ -quasi-injective module,  $\tau$ -injective hull, fully invariant submodule.

In this paper, a non-zero module which is the  $\tau$ -injective hull of each of its non-zero submodules will be called minimal  $\tau$ -injective.

For additional information on torsion theories we refer to [5].

## 2. Some properties

A module  $A$  is said to be  $\tau$ -quasi-injective if whenever  $B$  is a  $\tau$ -dense submodule of  $A$ , any  $g \in \text{Hom}_R(B, A)$  can be extended to  $h \in \text{End}_R(A)$  [1, Definition 4.1.19].

*Remarks.* a) Every quasi-injective module is  $\tau$ -quasi-injective.

b) Every  $\tau$ -injective module is  $\tau$ -quasi-injective.

c) A ring  $R$  is a  $\tau$ -quasi-injective  $R$ -module if and only if it is  $\tau$ -injective.

d) If  $A$  is a  $\tau$ -torsion  $\tau$ -quasi-injective module, then  $A$  is quasi-injective.

The following theorem gives a characterization of  $\tau$ -quasi-injective modules similar to the well known characterization of quasi-injective modules, which are fully invariant submodules of their injective hulls.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $A$  be a module. Then  $A$  is  $\tau$ -quasi-injective if and only if  $A$  is a fully invariant submodule of  $E_\tau(A)$ .*

*Proof.* We may suppose that  $A \neq 0$ . Denote  $K = \text{End}_R(E_\tau(A))$ .

Assume first that  $A$  is  $\tau$ -quasi-injective and let  $f \in K$ . Denote  $g = f|_A$  and  $B = g^{-1}(A)$ . Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \xrightarrow{i} & A & \xrightarrow{j} & E_\tau(A) \longrightarrow E_\tau(A)/A \\
 & & \downarrow u & & \swarrow v & & \\
 & & A & & \searrow g & & \\
 & & \downarrow k & & \swarrow f & & \\
 & & E_\tau(A) & & & & 
 \end{array}$$

where  $i, j, k$  are inclusion monomorphisms and  $u : B \rightarrow A$  is defined by  $u(b) = g(b)$  for every  $b \in B$ .

We will show that  $B$  is a  $\tau$ -dense submodule of  $A$ . The homomorphism  $g$  induces a monomorphism  $w : A/B \rightarrow E_\tau(A)/A$ , defined by  $w(a + B) = g(a) + A$  for

every  $a \in A$ . Then  $A/B$  is  $\tau$ -torsion because  $E_m(A)/A$  is  $\tau$ -torsion. Hence  $B$  is a  $\tau$ -dense submodule of  $A$ .

Since  $A$  is  $\tau$ -quasi-injective, there exists  $v \in \text{End}_R(A)$  such that  $vi = u$ . By  $\tau$ -injectivity of  $E_\tau(A)$ , there exists  $h \in K$  such that  $hj = kv$ . Thus  $h(A) \subseteq A$ . Assume  $(h - f)(A) \neq 0$ . Then  $(h - f)(A) \cap A \neq 0$  and there exist  $x, y \in A$ ,  $y \neq 0$  such that  $y = (h - f)(x)$ . It follows that  $(h - f)(x) = v(x) - f(x) = y$ , hence  $f(x) = v(x) - y \in A$ . Then  $x \in B$  and  $y = v(x) - f(x) = 0$ , contradiction. Therefore,  $(h - f)(A) = 0$ , i.e.  $f(A) = h(A) \subseteq A$ . Hence  $A$  is a fully invariant submodule of  $E_\tau(A)$ .

Suppose now that  $A$  is a fully invariant submodule of  $E_\tau(A)$ . Let  $B$  be a  $\tau$ -dense submodule of  $A$  and let  $g \in \text{Hom}_R(B, A)$ . The module  $E_\tau(A)/B$  is  $\tau$ -torsion because  $E_\tau(A)/A$  and  $A/B$  are  $\tau$ -torsion. Then  $g$  extends to  $h \in K$  because  $E_\tau(A)$  is  $\tau$ -injective. Since  $h(A) \subseteq A$ ,  $g$  extends to an endomorphism of  $A$ . Therefore  $A$  is  $\tau$ -quasi-injective.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.2.** *If every  $\tau$ -injective module is injective, then every  $\tau$ -quasi-injective module is quasi-injective.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.1, if  $A$  is a  $\tau$ -quasi-injective module, then  $A$  is a fully invariant submodule of  $E_\tau(A)$ . But  $E_\tau(A) = E(A)$ . Hence  $A$  is a fully invariant submodule of  $E(A)$ , i.e.  $A$  is quasi-injective.  $\square$

*Remark.* By Theorem 2.1 and in a similar way as for quasi-injective modules, it can be easily shown that the class of  $\tau$ -quasi-injective modules is closed under taking direct summands and any finite direct sum of copies of a  $\tau$ -quasi-injective module is  $\tau$ -quasi-injective.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let*

$$0 \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \longrightarrow 0$$

*be a short exact sequence of modules and let  $h : B \rightarrow A \oplus D$  be a monomorphism, where  $D$  is a module. If  $(hf)(A)$  is a  $\tau$ -dense submodule of  $A \oplus D$  and  $A \oplus D$  is  $\tau$ -quasi-injective, then the above sequence splits.*

*Proof.* Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & A & \xrightarrow{f} & B & \xrightarrow{g} & C \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow h & & \\
& & A \oplus D & \xleftarrow{\theta} & A \oplus D & & 
\end{array}$$

where  $\alpha : A \rightarrow A \oplus D$  is the canonical injection. Since  $(A \oplus D)/(hf)(A)$  is  $\tau$ -torsion and  $A \oplus D$  is  $\tau$ -quasi-injective, there exists an endomorphism  $\theta : A \oplus D \rightarrow A \oplus D$  such that  $\theta hf = \alpha$ . Let  $p : A \oplus D \rightarrow A$  be the canonical projection and define  $\gamma : B \rightarrow A$  by  $\gamma = p\theta h$ . Then  $\gamma f = p\theta hf = p\alpha = 1_A$ , hence the above sequence splits.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be a monomorphism of modules. If  $B$  is  $\tau$ -torsion and  $A \oplus B$  is  $\tau$ -quasi-injective, then  $A \oplus B$  is  $\tau$ -injective if and only if  $B$  is  $\tau$ -injective.*

*Proof.* The "if" part is obvious.

For the "only if" part, in the Theorem 2.3, let  $h : B \rightarrow A \oplus B$  be the canonical injection. Since  $B$  is  $\tau$ -torsion,  $A$  and  $B/f(A)$  are  $\tau$ -torsion. Hence  $(A \oplus B)/(hf)(A) \cong (A \oplus B)/f(A)$  is  $\tau$ -torsion. By Theorem 2.3,  $f(A)$  is a direct summand of  $B$ , hence  $A$  is  $\tau$ -injective. Therefore  $A \oplus B$  is  $\tau$ -injective.  $\square$

### 3. The Dickson torsion theory

In this section we will establish further results in the case of a particular hereditary torsion theory, namely the Dickson torsion theory.

For let  $\mathcal{T}$  be the class of all semiartinian  $R$ -modules and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the class of all  $R$ -modules with zero socle. Then  $\tau_D = (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$  is a hereditary torsion theory. The corresponding Gabriel filter  $F$  consists of all  $\tau_D$ -dense left ideals of  $R$  (i.e. all left ideals of  $R$  with  $R/I$  left semiartinian as an  $R$ -module).

An  $R$ -module  $D$  is  $\tau_D$ -injective if any homomorphism from any left ideal  $I \in F$  to  $D$  extends to  $R$  or equivalently if  $D$  is injective with respect to every short exact sequence of modules  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ , where  $C$  is  $\tau_D$ -torsion (i.e.  $C$  is semiartinian).

We consider now the following generalization of injectivity for modules. An  $R$ -module  $D$  is said to be  $m$ -injective if for every maximal left ideal  $M$  of  $R$  the  $R$ -module  $D$  is injective with respect to the inclusion monomorphism  $u : M \rightarrow R$  [2, Definition 1].

The notions of  $\tau_D$ -injectivity and  $m$ -injectivity are in fact the same [2, Theorem 6]. By this reason, in the sequel we will use the notation  $m$  instead of  $\tau_D$ . For instance, injective and quasi-injective modules with respect to the Dickson torsion theory will be called  $m$ -injective and  $m$ -quasi-injective modules respectively.

From the general context of torsion theories it follows that every module  $A$  has an  $m$ -injective hull, denoted by  $E_m(A)$ , contained in  $E(A)$ , unique up to an isomorphism.

We have seen that every quasi-injective module is  $\tau$ -quasi-injective. For the Dickson torsion theory we will give several cases when quasi-injectivity and  $m$ -quasi-injectivity are or are not the same.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $R$  be either left semiartinian or left  $m$ -cocritical. Then every  $m$ -quasi-injective  $R$ -module is quasi-injective.*

*Proof.* In both cases every, every non-zero left ideal is  $m$ -dense in  $R$ , hence every  $m$ -injective module is injective. Now the result follows by Corollary 2.2.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative noetherian domain with  $\dim R \leq 1$ . Then every  $m$ -quasi-injective  $R$ -module is quasi-injective.*

*Proof.* By hypotheses, every  $m$ -injective module is injective [2, Corollary 13]. Now the result follows by Corollary 2.2.  $\square$

In the sequel we will see that there exist  $m$ -quasi-injective modules which are not  $m$ -injective and even quasi-injective modules which are not  $m$ -injective.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $A$  be an  $m$ -quasi-injective module which is not  $m$ -injective and denote  $M = E_m(A)$ . Consider the Loewy series of  $M/A$*

$$0 = S_0(M/A) \subseteq S_1(M/A) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq S_\alpha(M/A) \subseteq S_{\alpha+1}(M/A) \subseteq \cdots$$

where, for each ordinal  $\alpha \geq 0$ ,

$$S_{\alpha+1}(M/A)/S_\alpha(M/A) = \text{Soc}((M/A)/S_\alpha(M/A))$$

and if  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal, then

$$S_\alpha(M/A) = \bigcup_{0 \leq \beta < \alpha} S_\beta(M/A).$$

For every ordinal  $\alpha \geq 0$ , let  $M_\alpha$  be a submodule of  $M$  be such that  $S_\alpha(M/A) = M_\alpha/A$ .

Then every non-zero proper submodule  $M_\alpha$  of  $M$  is  $m$ -quasi-injective, but not  $m$ -injective.

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha \geq 1$  be an ordinal such that  $M_\alpha$  is a proper submodule of  $M$  and let  $f \in \text{End}_R(M)$ . Since  $A$  is  $m$ -quasi-injective,  $f(A) \subseteq A$  by Theorem 2.1. Then  $f$  induces an endomorphism  $f^* \in \text{End}_R(M/A)$ . Since  $M_\alpha/A = S_\alpha(M/A)$  is fully invariant [4, 3.11, p.25],  $f^*(M_\alpha/A) \subseteq M_\alpha/A$ , therefore  $f(M_\alpha) \subseteq M_\alpha$ , i.e.  $M_\alpha$  is  $m$ -quasi-injective. On the other hand,  $M_\alpha$  is a proper submodule of  $E_m(A) = M$ , hence  $M_\alpha$  is not  $m$ -injective.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $S$  be a simple module which is not  $m$ -injective and denote  $M = E_m(S)$ . Consider the Loewy series of  $M$*

$$0 = S_0(M) \subseteq S_1(M) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq S_\alpha(M) \subseteq S_{\alpha+1}(M) \subseteq \cdots$$

where, for each ordinal  $\alpha \geq 0$ ,  $S_{\alpha+1}(M)/S_\alpha(M) = \text{Soc}(M/S_\alpha(M))$  and if  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal, then  $S_\alpha(M) = \bigcup_{0 \leq \beta < \alpha} S_\beta(M)$ .

Then every non-zero proper submodule  $S_\alpha(M)$  of  $M$  is quasi-injective, but not  $m$ -injective.

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha \geq 1$  be an ordinal such that  $S_\alpha(M)$  is a proper submodule of  $M$ . Then  $S_\alpha(M)$  is a fully invariant submodule of  $M$  [4, 3.11, p.25], therefore  $m$ -quasi-injective by Theorem 2.1. Also  $S_\alpha(M)$  is semiartinian as a submodule of the semiartinian module  $M$ . It follows that  $S_\alpha(M)$  is quasi-injective. Since  $M = E_m(S)$  is minimal  $m$ -injective,  $S_\alpha(M)$  is not  $m$ -injective.  $\square$

We have noted that every quasi-injective module is  $m$ -quasi-injective. The converse is not true, as we can see in the following example.

**Example 3.5.** Let  $R$  be a unique factorization domain such that every maximal ideal of  $R$  is not principal. Then  $R$  is an  $m$ -injective  $R$ -module which is not injective [2, Theorem 15]. Hence  $R$  is  $m$ -quasi-injective. Since  $R$  is quasi-injective if and only if  $R$  is injective, it follows that  $R$  is not quasi-injective.

## References

- [1] P.E. Bland, Topics in torsion theory, Wiley-VCH, Berlin, 1998.
- [2] S. Crivei, *m*-injective modules, *Mathematica (Cluj)*, **40** (63), No.1 (1998), 71-78.
- [3] S. Crivei, *m*-injective envelopes of modules, *Mathematica (Cluj)* **41** (64) (1999), 149-159.
- [4] N.V. Dung, D.V. Huynh, P.F. Smith, R. Wisbauer, Extending modules, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series, Longman Scientific & Technical, 1994.
- [5] J.S. Golan, Torsion theories, Longman Scientific and Technical, New York, 1986.

- [6] C. Năstăsescu, *Inele. Module. Categorii*, Ed. Academiei, București, 1976.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE,  
"BABEȘ-BOLYAI" UNIVERSITY, 3400 CLUJ-NAPOCA, ROMANIA  
*E-mail address:* `crivei@math.ubbcluj.ro`