SYLLABUS

1. Information regarding the programme

1.1 Higher education	Babeş Bolyai University
institution	
1.2 Faculty	Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science
1.3 Department	Department of Computer Science
1.4 Field of study	Computer Science
1.5 Study cycle	Master
1.6 Study programme /	Cyber Security
Qualification	

2. Information regarding the discipline

2.1 Name of the discipline (en) (ro)Program Analysis for Software Security Analiza Programelor pentru Securitatea Software							
2.2 Course coo				Assoc. Prof. Eng.			
2.3 Seminar co	ordinat	tor		Assoc. Prof. Eng.	Florir	n Craciun	
2.4. Year of	2	2.5	3	2.6. Type of	E	2.7 Type of	Mandatory
study		Semest		evaluation		discipline	
		er					
2.0. Couc of	MME8 201						

3. Total estimated time (hours/semester of didactic activities)

•						
3.1 Hours per week	4	Of which: 3	.2	2	3.3	1sem
		course			seminar/laboratory	+ 1pr
3.4 Total hours in the curriculum	56	Of which: 3	.5	28	3.6	28
		course			seminar/laboratory	
Time allotment:						hours
Learning using manual, course support, bibliography, course notes						20
Additional documentation (in libraries, on electronic platforms, field documentation)						24
Preparation for seminars/labs, homework, papers, portfolios and essays					35	
Tutorship					5	
Evaluations						10
Other activities:					-	
3.7 Total individual study hours 94						
3.8 Total hours per semester		150				
3.9 Number of ECTS credits		6				

3.8 Total hours per semester	150
3.9 Number of ECTS credits	6

4. Prerequisites (if necessary)

4.1. curriculum	•	None
4.2. competencies	•	Basic software development skills

5. Conditions (if necessary)

5.1. for the course	Projector
5.2. for the seminar /lab activities	Projector

6. Specific competencies acquired

Professional competencies	 Understanding and working with basic concepts in software engineering; Capability of analysis and synthesis; Proficient use of methodologies and tools specific tool software systems Organization of software production processes.
Transversal competencies	 Team work capabilities; able to fulfill different roles Professional communication skills; concise and precise description, both oral and written, of professional results, Antepreneurial skills;

7. Objectives of the discipline (outcome of the acquired competencies)

7.1 General objective of the discipline	• be able to apply basic methods for software formalization
7.2 Specific objective of the discipline	 Be able to write formal specifications understanding of program verification be able to use software verification tools

8. Content

8.1 Course	Teaching methods	Remarks
1. Introduction in program verification: main	Exposure, description,	
concepts	explanation, debate	
	and dialogue,	
	discussion of case	
	studies	
2. Formal Specifications	explanation, debate	
	and dialogue,	
	discussion of case	
	studies	
3. Semantic models: Operational Semantics,	Exposure, description,	
Denotational Semantics	explanation	
4. Logic: basic concepts, inference rules	Exposure, description,	
	explanation	
5. Hoare logic: basics, weakest precondition	Exposure, description,	
	explanation,	
	discussion of case	
	studies	
6. Hoare Logic: loops, invariants	Exposure, description,	
	explanation,	
	discussion of case	
	studies	
7. Hoare Logic: modular verification	Exposure, description,	
	explanation,	
8. Separation logic: introduction	Exposure, description,	
	explanation	

9. Separation logic: inductive predicates,	Exposure, description,	
lemmas	explanation, discussion of case	
	studies	
10. Separation logic: entailment	Exposure, description,	
1 0	explanation,	
	discussion of case	
	studies	
11. Separation logic for object-oriented paradigm	Exposure, description,	
	explanation, discussion of case	
	studies	
12. Separation logic: arrays	Exposure, description,	
	explanation,	
	discussion of case	
	studies	
13. Concurrent Separation Logic	Exposure, description,	
	explanation, discussion of case	
	studies	
14. Concurrent Separation Logic	Exposure, description,	
	explanation,	
	discussion of case	
	studies	
Bibliography 1. Hoare logic research papers		
1. Hoare logic research papers		
2. Separation logic research papers		
8.2 Seminar / laboratory	Teaching methods	Remarks
1. Research papers allocation for the oral	Use practical tools to	Seminar is
presentation	implement group	organized as a
	projects. Discuss	total of 14 hours -
	research papers.	2 hours every
		second week
		Project is
		organized as a total of 14 hours –
		2 hours every
2. Hoare Logic project allocation	Use practical tools to	
	implement group	
	projects. Discuss	
	research papers.	
3. Research papers presentations	Use practical tools to	
	implement group projects. Discuss	
	research papers.	
4. Separation Logic project allocation	Use practical tools to	
	implement group	
	projects. Discuss	
	research papers.	
5. Research papers presentations.	Use practical tools to implement group	
	i numemeni vroim	
	projects. Discuss research papers.	

6. Hoare Logic project presentation	Use practical tools to implement group projects. Discuss research papers.
7. Separation Logic project presentation	Use practical tools to implement group projects. Discuss research papers.
Bibliography verification tools Research papers	

9. Corroborating the content of the discipline with the expectations of the epistemic community, professional associations and representative employers within the field of the program

- The course respects the IEEE and ACM Curriculla Recommendations for Software Engineering studies;
- The content of the course is considered by the software companies as important for average software development skills

10. Evaluation

Type of activity	10.1 Evaluation criteria	10.2 Evaluation methods	10.3 Share in the grade (0)
10.4.0		TTT •	the grade (%)
10.4 Course	- know the basic principle of	Written exam	50.00%
	the domain;		
	 apply the course concepts problem solving		
10.5 Seminar/lab	- be able to implement	-Practical examination	50.00%
activities	course concepts		
- (e – be able to use verification		
	tools		
-	- be able to do a critical		
	evaluation of research		
	papers		
	- to be able to write a critical		
	essay		
10.6 Minimum performa	nce standards		
At least grade 5 (1)	from a scale of 1 to 10) at both v	written exam and laboratory	work.

DateSignature of course coordinatorSignature of seminar coordinator.....Assoc. Prof. En. Florin CRACIUNAssoc. Prof. Eng. Florin CRACIUN

Date of approval

Signature of the head of department

.....

Assoc. Prof. PhD. Adrian Sterca