SYLLABUS

1.1 Higher education	Babeş-Bolyai University
institution	
1.2 Faculty	Mathematics and Computer Science
1.3 Department	Computer Science
1.4 Field of study	Computers and Information Technology
1.5 Study cycle	Bachelor
1.6 Study programme /	Information Engineering
Qualification	

1. Information regarding the programme

2. Information regarding the discipline

2.1 Name of the discipline		Software Security				
2.2 Course coordinator		Conf. dr. Mihai SUCIU				
2.3 Seminar coordinator		Conf. dr. Mihai SUCIU				
2.4. Year of study IV 2.5 Semester		72.6. Type of evaluationC2.7 Type of disciplineOptional DS				
2.8 Code of the discipline MLE8114						

3. Total estimated time (hours/semester of didactic activities)

3.1 Hours per week	3	Of which: 3.2 course	2	3.3 seminar/laboratory	1 LP
3.4 Total hours in the curriculum	42	Of which: 3.5 course	28	3.6 seminar/laboratory	14
Time allotment:					hours
Learning using manual, course sup	pport,	bibliography, course n	otes		20
Additional documentation (in libraries, on electronic platforms, field documentation)					
Preparation for seminars/labs, homework, papers, portfolios and essays					
Tutorship					
Evaluations					2
Other activities:					0
3.7 Total individual study hours58					
2.9 Total hours non conceptor 100					

3.8 Total hours per semester	100
3.9 Number of ECTS credits	4

4. Prerequisites (if necessary)

4.1. curriculum	Computer System Architecture		
	Operating Systems		
	Data Structures and Algorithms		
	Data Bases		
	Web Programming		
4.2. competencies	• Programming in C, basic knowledge of Intel x86 architecture,		
	basic knowledge of web programming and SQL		

5. Conditions (if necessary)

5.1. for the course	course room with video projector
5.2. for the seminar /lab	
activities	

6. Specific competencies acquired

essional etencies	 C3.1 Identifying classes of problems and solving methods that are specific to computing systems C3.2 Using interdisciplinary knowledge, solution patterns and tools, making experiments
Profe comp	 C3.5 Developing and implementing information system solutions for concrete problems
Transversal competencies	 CT1 Honorable, responsible, ethical behavior, in the spirit of the law, to ensure the professional reputation CT3 Demonstrating initiative and pro-active behavior for updating professional, economical and organizational culture knowledge

7. Objectives of the discipline (outcome of the acquired competencies)

7.1 General objective of the	Ability to evaluate the security features of a software application based on the
discipline	source code. Acquiring the minimum basic skills of writing a source code
	without vulnerability.
7.2 Specific objective of the	• Knowledge of the basic mechanisms that define the security of the system
discipline	and the software environment in which an application runs (i.e. the security
	model), such as: access permissions, security policies, interaction with the
	external environment, etc.
	• Knowledge of the main types of software vulnerabilities, such as: use of
	incorrectly validated user data, uncontrolled direct or indirect interaction with
	the external environment of the application, etc.
	• Learning effective techniques for studying and evaluating source code from
	a security perspective and the ability to identify possible vulnerabilities.
	• Ability to assess the implications of a discovered vulnerability.
	• Knowledge of techniques and function libraries useful in writing a source
	code without vulnerabilities and the ability to use them in real situations.

8. Content

8.1 Course		Teaching methods	Remarks
1	Concepts and basics related to software	Exposure:	
	vulnerabilities and methods and tools for	description,	
	developing software without vulnerabilities and	explanation	
	evaluating software from the perspective of	onplaination,	

	possible vulnerabilities	examples, debate	
2	Memory corruption vulnerabilities (buffer /	1	
	integer overflow, etc.)		
3	Vulnerabilities specific to the C language:		
	arithmetic limits (representation), type		
	conversions, pointers, etc.		
4	Vulnerabilities in the structural components of a		
	software application (Program building blocks)		
5	Vulnerabilities in the use and manipulation of		
	strings and metacharacters		
6	Vulnerabilities specific to UNIX operating		
	systems		
7	Vulnerabilities specific to Windows operating		
	systems		
8	Synchronization vulnerabilities		
9	Web vulnerabilities: SQL code injection, XSS,		
	XSRF etc.		
10	Cryptography vulnerabilities: vulnerable		
	passwords, predictable random numbers, etc.		
11	Methods for designing applications from a		
	security perspective: design principles, definition		
	of the risk model (threat modelling), design		
	evaluation, etc.		
12	Methods of correct implementation of a software		
	application from a security perspective: methods		
	and models of application development		
	(Waterfall, agile), the most common and most		
	dangerous risks and vulnerabilities, defensive		
	coding techniques		
13	Methods for evaluating the application (code)		
	from a security perspective: quality assurance,		
	testing, management of identified vulnerabilities		
14	Proactive approaches to security		
Bibl	iography	<i>a</i>	
1. N	I. Down, J. McDonald, J. Schuh, " <i>The Art of Softwar</i>	e Security Assessment.	Identifying and
Prev	venting		
Soft	ware Vulnerabilities", AddisonWesley, 2007		
2. N	I. Howard, D. LeBlanc, J. Viega, "24 Deadly Sins of	Software Security. Pro	gramming Flows and
How	to Fix Them", McGraw Hill, 2010	in dama Vieta ? Mienee	of Dress 2007
3. IV	McGrow Software Security Puilding Secure Code for W	" AddisonWoslow 200	on Press, 2007
4. U	Second CEPT C Coding Standard: 08 Pules for I	, Addison Wesley, 200	ola and Sacura Systems"
J. K	isonWesley 2 nd edition 2014	Severoping Sale, Kenat	secure systems,
Auu 6	Common Weaknesses Enumeration (WCE)" online	• http://cwe mitre org/	data/index.html
82	Seminar / Jahoratory	Teaching methods	Pemarks
0.2	Tools useful in identifying and assessing	Dialogue debate	
-	yulnerabilities in a source code: source code	examples guided	
	browsers debuggers executable code browsers	discovery	
	(hinary) fuzzy testing		
-	2. Techniques for avoiding detecting and		
4	assessing vulnerabilities in memory corruption		
	used of the second seco		

	and specific to C language	
3.	Techniques for avoiding, detecting and	
	assessing vulnerabilities in the use and	
	management of strings and meta-characters	
4.	Techniques for avoiding, detecting and	
	assessing vulnerabilities specific to the Linux	
	operating system	
5.	Techniques for avoiding, detecting and	
	assessing vulnerabilities in Windows operating	
	systems	
6.	Penetration testing	
7.	Penetration testing	

Bibliography

1. M. Down, J. McDonald, J. Schuh, " The Art of Software Security Assessment. Identifying and Preventing

Software Vulnerabilities ", AddisonWesley, 2007

2. M. Howard, D. LeBlanc, J. Viega, "24 Deadly Sins of Software Security. Programming Flows and How to Fix Them", McGraw Hill, 2010

3. M. Howard, D. LeBlanc, "Writing Secure Code for Windows Vista", Microsoft Press, 2007

4. G. McGraw, "Software Security: Building Security In", AddisonWesley, 2006

5. R. Seacord, "CERT C Coding Standard: 98 Rules for Developing Safe, Reliable, and Secure Systems", AddisonWesley, 2 nd edition, 2014

6., "Common Weaknesses Enumeration (WCE)", online: http://cwe.mitre.org/data/index.html

9. Corroborating the content of the discipline with the expectations of the epistemic community, professional associations and representative employers within the field of the program

It is carried out through regular discussions with representatives of significant employers in the field of information security.

Courses on security issues in application development and related fields (e.g. penetration tests) are present in many other masters in the field of computer and information security, at universities in the country and abroad, such as:

· Security of software systems, Master of Information Security, Al. I. Cuza, Iași, Faculty of Computers, http://profs.info.uaic.ro/~webdata/planuri/master/MISS1FS03.pdf

· Security of systems and applications, Master of Information Technology Security, Military Technical Academy, Bucharest, http://mta.ro/masterat/masterinfosec/curricula2013.html

· Secure Software Systems, Master of Science in Information Security, Carnegie Mellon University, USA, http://www.ini.cmu.edu/degrees/msis/courses.html

 \cdot Software Security, Master in Information Security, Royal Holloway University of London, Information Security Group,

https://www.royalholloway.ac.uk/isg/documents/pdf/coursespecs(msc)/modules201314/iy5607 software security spec1314.pdf

10. Evaluation			
Type of activity	10.1 Evaluation criteria	10.2 Evaluation methods	10.3 Share in the
			grade (%)

10.4 Course	Ability to define concepts specific to security issues at source code level and to set out the methods for correctly evaluating and developing a source code from a security perspective. • Ability to solve problems specific to the field. • Attendance, (inter) activity during class	Written exam	60%
10.5 Seminar/lab activities	Ability to solve problems specific to the field · Presence, (inter) activity during laboratory / project hours.	Practical exam	40%
10.6 Minimum performance standards			
 Ability to define fundamental software vulnerabilities, such as: buffer overflow, SQL code injection, XSS, etc. Ability to identify fundamental software vulnerabilities and correct code (demonstrated in lab exercises and final evaluation). 			

Date

Signature of course coordinator

Signature of seminar coordinator

Conf. Dr. Mihai SUCIU

Conf. Dr. Mihai SUCIU

May 2022

Date of approval

Grein

Sien

Signature of the head of department

Prof. Dr. Laura DIOŞAN

Wissen

24.05.2022