# On the Existence of Isotone Galois Connections between Preorders

F. García-Pardo **I.P. Cabrera** P. Cordero M. Ojeda-Aciego F. Rodríguez

> Department of Applied Mathematics University of Málaga, Spain

ICFCA 2014 Cluj Napoca, June 10–13, 2014

# Overline

- Introduction and preliminaries.
- Optimizione in a preordering setting.
- Building adjunctions
- Conclusions and future work

## Preliminary definitions and notations

Let  $\mathbb{A} = (A, \leq_A)$  be a partially ordered set,  $X \subseteq A$ , and  $a \in A$ .

• **Upper bounds** of *X*:

$$UB(X) = \{ u \in A \mid x \leq_A u \text{ for all } x \in X \}$$

• Maximum of X:

$$\max(X) = a$$
 iff  $a \in UB(X) \cap X$ 

• Downward closure of a:

$$a^{\downarrow} = \{ x \in A \mid x \leq_A a \}$$

• Upward closure of a:

$$a^{\uparrow} = \{x \in A \mid a \leq_A x\}$$

# Preliminary definitions and notations

A mapping  $f: (A, \leq_A) \to (B, \leq_B)$  between partially ordered sets is said to be

• isotone if, for all  $a_1, a_2 \in A$ ,

 $a_1 \leq_A a_2$  implies  $f(a_1) \leq_B f(a_2)$ 

• antitone if, for all  $a_1, a_2 \in A$ ,

$$a_1 \leq_A a_2$$
 implies  $f(a_2) \leq_B f(a_1)$ 

In the particular case in which A = B,

• f is inflationary (also called extensive) if, for all  $a \in A$ ,

 $a \leq_A f(a)$ 

• f is **deflationary** if, for all  $a \in A$ ,

 $f(a) \leq_A a$ 

# The definition of Adjunction

- Let  $\mathbb{A} = (A, \leq_A)$  and  $\mathbb{B} = (B, \leq_B)$  be posets, and  $f: A \to B$  and  $g: B \to A$  be two mappings.
- The pair (f,g) is said to be an **adjunction** or *isotone Galois connection between*  $\mathbb{A}$  *and*  $\mathbb{B}$ , denoted by

$$(f,g)$$
:  $\mathbb{A} \leftrightarrows \mathbb{B}$ 

if, for all  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ , the following condition holds

$$f(a) \leq_B b$$
 if and only if  $a \leq_A g(b)$ 

The mapping f is called **left adjoint** and g is called **right adjoint**.

# Basic definitions on preordered sets

A preordered set is a pair  $(A, \leq_A)$  where  $\leq_A$  is a reflexive and transitive binary relation on A.

#### Definition

Given a preordered set  $(A, \leq_A)$  and a subset  $X \subseteq A$ ,

• Set of **p-maximum** elements of X:

$$p\text{-max}(X) = \{a \in X \mid x \lesssim_A a \text{ for all } x \in X\}$$

• Set of **p-minimum** elements of X

 $p\text{-min}(X) = \{a \in X \mid a \lesssim_A x \text{ for all } x \in X\}$ 

Notice that p-max(X) (resp., p-min(X)) need not be a singleton because of the absence of antisymmetry.

## Characterization of adjunctions

#### Theorem

Let  $\mathbb{A} = (A, \leq_A)$  and  $\mathbb{B} = (B, \leq_B)$  be two preordered sets, and  $f: A \to B$  and  $g: B \to A$  be two mappings. The following statements are equivalent:

$$(f,g) : \mathbb{A} \leftrightharpoons \mathbb{B}.$$

*f* and *g* are isotone maps,
*g* ∘ *f* is inflationary, and *f* ∘ *g* is deflationary.

$${old 0}~~f(a)^{\uparrow}=g^{-1}(a^{\uparrow})$$
 for all  $a\in A$  .

$${f 0}~~g(b)^{\downarrow}=f^{-1}(b^{\downarrow})$$
 for all  $b\in B$  .

• f is isotone and  $g(b) \in p$ -max  $f^{-1}(b^{\downarrow})$  for all  $b \in B$ .

**(**) g is isotone and  $f(a) \in p$ -min  $g^{-1}(a^{\uparrow})$  for all  $a \in A$ .

## **P-kernel relation**

- Let A = (A, ≲<sub>A</sub>) be a preordered set. The symmetric kernel is the equivalence relation ≈<sub>A</sub> defined as follows: for a<sub>1</sub>, a<sub>2</sub> ∈ A,
  - $a_1 \approx_A a_2$  if and only if  $a_1 \lesssim_A a_2$  and  $a_2 \lesssim_A a_1$
- Given a mapping f: A → B the kernel relation ≡<sub>f</sub> is defined as follows: for a<sub>1</sub>, a<sub>2</sub> ∈ A,

$$a_1 \equiv_f a_2$$
 if and only if  $f(a_1) = f(a_2)$ 

#### **P-kernel relation**

The **p-kernel** relation  $\cong_A$  is the equivalence relation obtained as the transitive closure of the union of the relations  $\approx_A$  and  $\equiv_f$ .

 $\cong_A = (\approx_A \cup \equiv_f)^{tr}$ 

## Hoare preorder

#### Definition

Let  $(A, \leq_A)$  be a preordered set, and consider  $X, Y \subseteq A$ .

 $X \sqsubseteq Y$  iff for all  $x \in X$ , there exists  $y \in Y$  such that  $x \leq y$ .

#### Lemma

Let  $(A, \leq)$  be a preordered set, and consider  $X, Y \subseteq A$  such that  $p\text{-min}(X) \neq \emptyset$  and  $p\text{-min}(Y) \neq \emptyset$ . The following statements are equivalent:

- So For all  $x \in p\text{-min}(X)$  and for all  $y \in p\text{-min}(Y)$ ,  $x \leq y$ .

## Building adjunctions on posets

#### Theorem (García et al, IPMU14)

Let  $(A, \leq_A)$  be a poset and  $f : A \rightarrow B$ . There exist an ordering  $\leq_B$  in B and a mapping  $g : B \rightarrow A$  such that  $(f, g) : A \rightleftharpoons B$  if and only if

- There exists  $\max([a]_{\equiv_f})$  for all  $a \in A$ .
- 2  $a_1 \leq_A a_2$  implies  $\max([a_1]_{\equiv_f}) \leq_A \max([a_2]_{\equiv_f})$ , for all  $a_1, a_2 \in A$ .

## Conditions for the existence of an adjunction

Let  $\mathbb{A} = (A, \leq_A)$  and  $\mathbb{B} = (B, \leq_B)$  be two preordered sets and let (f,g):  $\mathbb{A} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{B}$ . Consider the set S = g(f(A)).

Then, the following conditions hold:

- $(f(a)) \in p-\max[g(f(a))]_{\cong_A}, \text{ for all } a \in A.$
- 2  $g(f(a)) \in p\text{-min}(UB[a]_{\cong_A} \cap S)$ , for all  $a \in A$ .

● If 
$$a_1 \leq_A a_2$$
, then  
p-min $(UB[a_1]_{\cong_A} \cap S) \sqsubseteq$  p-min $(UB[a_2]_{\cong_A} \cap S)$ .

# Sufficient conditions to build a right adjoint

#### Lemma

Let  $\mathbb{A} = (A, \leq_A)$  be a preordered set and  $f: A \to B$  be an **onto** map. Let  $S \subset A$  such that the following conditions hold:

$$S \subseteq \bigcup_{a \in A} \operatorname{p-max}[a]_{\cong_A}$$

2 
$$\operatorname{p-min}(UB[a]_{\cong_{\mathcal{A}}} \cap S) \neq \varnothing$$
, for all  $a \in A$ .

$$If a_1 \leq_A a_2, then p-min(UB[a_1]_{\cong_A} \cap S) \sqsubseteq p-min(UB[a_2]_{\cong_A} \cap S)$$

Then, there exist a preordering  $\leq_B$  in B and a map  $g: B \to A$ such that  $(f,g) : \mathbb{A} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{B}$ .

## The construction

• Under the previous hypotheses, the preordering relation in *B* is defined as follows:

$$b_1 \lesssim_B b_2$$
 if and only if

there exist  $a_1 \in f^{-1}(b_1)$  and  $a_2 \in f^{-1}(b_2)$  such that

 $\operatorname{p-min}(UB[a_1]_{\cong_A} \cap S) \sqsubseteq \operatorname{p-min}(UB[a_2]_{\cong_A} \cap S).$ 

The definition of g: B → A is not unique, because all the functions such that, for all b ∈ B,

 $g(b) \in \operatorname{p-min}(UB[x_b]_{\cong_A} \cap S)$  being  $x_b \in f^{-1}(b)$ 

are suitable to define the adjunction.

## Extension from the image set to whole codomain

Consider  $(A, \leq_A)$  a preordered set, B a set, and  $f: A \rightarrow B$ . If there exists an adjunction (f, g'):  $(A, \leq_A) := (f(A), \leq_{f(A)})$ , then, there exist both a preorder  $\leq_B$  on B and an adjunction

$$(f,g)$$
:  $(A,\leq_A) \leftrightarrows (B,\leq_B)$ 

Fix  $m \in f(A)$  and choose  $\leq_B$  to be the reflexive and transitive closure of the relation  $\leq_{f(A)} \cup \{(m, y) \mid y \notin f(A)\}$  and

$$g(x) = egin{cases} g'(x) & ext{if } x \in f(A) \ g'(m) & ext{if } x \notin f(A) \end{cases}$$

## Main contribution

#### Theorem

Let  $\mathbb{A} = (A, \leq_A)$  be a preordered set,  $f : A \to B$  be a mapping.

Then, there exist a preorder  $\mathbb{B} = (B, \leq_B)$  and  $g \colon B \to A$  such that  $(f,g) \colon \mathbb{A} \leftrightarrows \mathbb{B}$ 

if and only if

there exists  $S \subseteq A$  such that

$$\ \ \, {\sf S}\subseteq \bigcup_{{\sf a}\in {\sf A}} {\rm p}\text{-}{\sf m}{\sf a}{\sf x}[{\sf a}]_{\cong_{{\sf A}}}$$

② p-min( $UB[a]_{\cong_A} \cap S$ ) ≠ Ø, for all  $a \in A$ .

**3** If 
$$a_1 \leq_A a_2$$
, then  
p-min $(UB[a_1]_{\cong_A} \cap S) \sqsubseteq p$ -min $(UB[a_2]_{\cong_A} \cap S)$ .

# Conclusions

- We have studied the existence and construction of the adjoint pair to a given mapping *f*, but in the more general framework of preordered sets.
- The absence of antisymmetry makes both the statements and the proofs of the results to be much more involved than in the ordered setting.
- Contrariwise to the partially ordered case, given a preordered set A = (A, ≲<sub>A</sub>) and an onto mapping f : A → B, the unicity of neither the preordering ≲<sub>B</sub> nor the mapping g : B → A satisfying (f,g): A ⇔ B, when it exists, can be guaranteed.

## Future work

- Alternative approaches to this problem in order to obtain, if possible, a simpler alternative characterization.
- Possible applications to generalizations in FCA which weaken the structure on which a Galois connection is defined and to knowledge discovery.
- Extending the results to a fuzzy setting.

# On the Existence of Isotone Galois Connections between Preorders

F. García-Pardo **I.P. Cabrera** P. Cordero M. Ojeda-Aciego F. Rodríguez

> Department of Applied Mathematics University of Málaga, Spain

ICFCA 2014 Cluj Napoca, June 10–13, 2014